

MARTIN MEISER

The Septuagint
and Its Reception

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen
zum Neuen Testament*
482

Mohr Siebeck

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

Herausgeber / Editor

Jörg Frey (Zürich)

Mitherausgeber / Associate Editors

Markus Bockmuehl (Oxford) · James A. Kelhoffer (Uppsala)
Tobias Nicklas (Regensburg) · Janet Spittler (Charlottesville, VA)
J. Ross Wagner (Durham, NC)

482



Martin Meiser

The Septuagint and Its Reception

Collected Essays

Mohr Siebeck

Martin Meiser, born 1957; 1983–1991 Lutheran minister in Bavaria; 1991–2001 Assistant for New Testament in Erlangen; 2001–2005 Assistant for Early Church History in Mainz; 2005–2007 Vicarious Professor in Münster/Westphalia; since 2007 Associate Professor in Saarbrücken.

ISBN 978-3-16-154917-5 / eISBN 978-3-16-161758-4
DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-161758-4

ISSN 0512-1604 / eISSN 2568-7476
(Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testamente)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie;
detailed bibliographic data are available at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2022 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Gulde Druck in Tübingen on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Spinner in Ottersweier.

Printed in Germany.

Preface

It is a great honor for me to publish this volume in the well-known series *Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*, and I would like to give a warm thanks to Prof. Dr. Jörg Frey, Zürich, and Dr. Henning Ziebritzki, Tübingen, for their generous invitation and continued patience.

Research on the Septuagint has enriched my life for the past 25 years, and I thank Wolfgang Kraus for introducing me to this work with his characteristic enthusiasm. With gratitude I remember the conferences in Wuppertal and other places, and I am thankful for all the fruitful discussions, all the collegiality, and all the friendships that grew out of these conferences.

From the beginning I was fascinated by the variety of possible questions in Septuagint research and by its interdisciplinary character.

I have focused my own work on the interpretation of the Septuagint within the framework of the multi-faceted ancient Judaism and on the perception of the history of its reception and impact. From the 4th century BCE onward, a scribal milieu developed in ancient Judaism that reformulates topics of Halakah and history by harmonizing authoritative pretexts according to a distinct way of Torah orientation. The Septuagint is both witness and participant in these processes, sometimes indicator but sometimes author of textual alterations. Within the Greek textual tradition, the different styles of translation reveal a different hermeneutical approach on how to be faithful to Jewish identity. A literal character of a translation does not preclude efforts to develop a theology that emphasizes the transcendence of God and avoids inappropriate statements.

The other focus results from my other fields of research in the New Testament and patristic exegesis. With regard to textual history, we learned to realize the diversity of the Greek Old Testament textual tradition. New Testament authors are less initiators than witnesses of certain developments. With regard to hermeneutics, we observe partial changes: texts are applied for own legitimization, interpreted, and unfortunately also misused in detestable anti-Jewish polemics.

I am truly grateful for all the people who helped me improve my English, and I would like to name them in alphabetical order: Mark Glenn Bilby, Phillip Davis, James Andrew Doole, Michael Gisinger, William Ed-

ward Glenny, Robert J.V. Hiebert, Isabel Kreimes, Kelly Kucaba, William Loader, Josef Lössl, Margaret Mitchell, Katharine Perry, Jenny Pulido, William A. Ross, Alison Salvesen, Mark A. Seifrid. Remaining mistakes, mostly introduced after receiving the manuscripts, are of course mine. Thanks also to Elena Belenkaja, Nora Hempel and Kerstin Kirsch for preparing the bibliographies and the indices.

I thank Elena Müller and Tobias Stäbler from the publishing house for all their support, and I thank Ilse König, Matthias Spitzner and Jana Trispel for their helpful remarks on preparing this manuscript.

Saarbrücken, September 2021

Martin Meiser

Table of Contents

The Septuagint and Its Interpretation

Annotations on Theology in Ancient Scholarship on Homer and the Concept of God in the Septuagint	3
Historiographic Tendencies in the Septuagint	30
The Septuagint Translation of the Books of Kings in the Context of the History of Early Jewish Literature	53
The Septuagint of Samuel and the Targum Jonathan	69
The Temple Construction Report 1Kgs 6 – How Translators Deal with a Difficult Template	82
Interpretive Methods in the Septuagint of the Prophetic Books	95
Phenomena of Prophecy in the Septuagint	114
Conceptions of God's Dwelling in the Septuagint	139

Septuagint and New Testament

Septuagint Quotations in the Gospel of Mark	157
Septuagint Quotations in Acts	187
The Torah in Galatians	217
The Torah in the Ethics of Paul	244

Textual Criticism of Patristic Quotations of the Septuagint	
Bible Quotations in Greek and Latin Patristic Texts	277
New Testament Quotations of the Septuagint within Patristic Literature – A Study on Textual Criticism and Hermeneutics	309
New Testament Quotations of the Septuagint in the Works of Justin Martyr.....	340
Jerome as Textual Critic	362
Antiochene Readings of I–IV Reigns in Early Church Fathers	379
 Interpretation of Old Testament Texts in Ancient Christian Literature	
The Impact of Reception History for the Research on the Septuagint	399
Jealousy, Unrighteousness and Ignorance of God? Ancient Jewish and Christian Exegetes on Questionable Texts in the Book of Genesis	422
Adam in Patristic Literature – Some Issues of Anthropology and Ethics	439
Abraham and His Family in Ancient Greek and Latin Exegesis	461
Gen 28:10–22 in Ancient Jewish and Christian Reception	480
The “Song of Hannah” (1Sam 2:1–10) and Its Reception in Ancient Judaism and Christianity	500
Ancient Christian Exegesis of Psalms and Ancient Philology on Homer	511

Prophecy and Pseudo-Prophecy: Biblical Texts, Rewritten Bible, and Ancient Exegetical Literature.....	532
Receptions of Jer 10:1–16 in Ancient Christian Literature	559
List of First Publications	579
Index of References	583
1. Septuagint.....	583
2. Jewish Literature of the Greco-Roman Period.....	589
3. Greco-Roman Authors	591
4. New Testament.....	592
5. Rabbinic Literature.....	594
6. Christian Literature.....	594
Index of Hebrew and Greek Words	599
Index of Subjects	600

The Septuagint and Its Interpretation

Annotations on Theology in Ancient Scholarship on Homer and the Concept of God in the Septuagint

The relationship between the Septuagint and Greek philosophy is one of the major issues in Martin Karrer's research.¹ In detailed studies², he has developed a well-differentiated portrait: The Septuagint translators were by no means philosophers; they created, however, a terminology capable of formulating Jewish traditions in a Greek fashion and of enabling Jews to develop their apologetics and to carry on debate. Due to the concept of God's personality and the uniqueness of the Torah, the translators did not feel free to an embracement to Greek philosophy more closely.

This contribution dedicated to him focuses only indirectly on philosophy, but more specifically on ancient philology in the writings of Homer. First, I will give a short overview of history. Zoilus of Amphipolis – his work is lost – seems to have discussed inconsistencies of plot within the Homeric poems. Zenodot of Ephesus (325–260) became chief librarian at the *Mουσεῖον* in Alexandria in 285/84. He was probably the first to use the obelus as a critical sign. We do not know, however, whether he made a new edition of Homer's poems or integrated the results of his comparisons of earlier editions into an already existing version. He rejected (the common term is *ἀθέτεω*) some passages which were inconsistent with other passages within Homer; he did not delete them, however, but characterized them as inauthentic. Aristophanes of Byzantium (ca. 265–190 or ca. 257–180) was more cautious in such evaluations. He re-integrated many passages rejected by Zenodot but rejected passages due to poor style. Further, he used the asterisk for marking verses that were repeated in the poems. His work on colometry was important also for subsequent centuries. The research of his pupil, Aristarchus of Samothrace (216–144), focused on language and customs, mirrored in Homer's poems. He distinguished “the Homeric” (*τὸ δύμηρικὸν*) from characteristics typical of Hesiod and of later poets. His edition became the standard edition in ancient times. Didymus (1st century BCE) intended to put Aristarchus's exegetical decisions on firmer ground. Aristonicus of Alexandria (living during the reigns of Augustus and Tiberius) dealt with the critical signs employed by Aristarchus.

¹ He demonstrated this already in his Habilitation thesis; cf. KARRER, *Der Gesalbte*.

² KARRER, “Septuaginta und Philosophie;” ID., “Die Septuaginta und die griechische Philosophie.”

Nicanor (flourishing during the reign of Hadrian) dealt with punctuation. His system, however, did not become successful. The research of Herodian (flourishing during the reign of Marcus Aurelius) is dedicated to the language of Homer. He formulated rules of pronunciation and for the employment of diacritic signs. The commentaries of Didymus, Aristonicus, Nicanor and Herodian are combined in the so-called four-man commentary, transmitted in *Codes Venetus Graec.* 822 (located in the Library of St. Mark's, Venice), dated to the tenth century. Other sources are the *scholium exegeticum*, including comments by Porphyry and Heraclitus, and the *scholia D*, named erroneously for Didymus, going back to 4th and 5th century CE.³

A comparison between Alexandrinian scholarship on Homer and scholarship on Septuagint⁴ must be justified. It is one thing to write a commentary on an important but not sacred text; it is another to translate a sacred text. We cannot prove direct contacts between the Greek philologists and the Jewish translators in Alexandria.⁵ Issues regarding Homeric scholarship are diverse: language⁶ and style⁷, etymology⁸ and grammar⁹, explanation of words¹⁰ and characterization of persons¹¹ and coherence of text¹²

³ Cf. Erbse (ed.), *Scholia Graeca in Homeri Iliadem*, Vol. 1–5 (1969–1977).

⁴ Cf. TROXEL, *LXX-Isaiah*, 290; SCHENKER, “What Do Scribes,” 292.

⁵ SIEGERT, *Zwischen Hebräischer Bibel und Altem Testament*, 32.

⁶ The term ψηφῖδες is regarded as un-Homeric and Attic (*scholium exegeticum*, on *Il.* 21:260; Erbse 5:185). Concerning *Il.* 24:423 (ἐπεὶ σφι [φίλος]) this is said: οὐχ' Ὁμηρικῶς κεῖται ἡ ἀντωνυμία (*scholium exegeticum*, Erbse 5:592). Sometimes even the comparative Ὁμηρικώτερον is used (on *Il.* 24:20; Erbse 5:519).

⁷ Cf. the characterizing evaluations “tawdry” (εὐτελῆς; Aristonicus, on *Il.* 24:6–9, Erbse 5:512) and ridiculous (γέλοιον; Aristonicus, on *Il.* 24:35–40, Erbse 5:521).

⁸ Concerning *Il.* 20:72 (σῶκος) the following is said in the *scholium exegeticum*: ὡς παρὸ τὸ θάσσω θάκος, σάω σάκος, οὕτω σφέζω σῶκος (Erbse 5:17).

⁹ According to Nicanor, the words ὑπαὶ δείους (*Il.* 15:4) can be combined with both the preceding and the following contexts (Erbse 4:3).

¹⁰ Concerning “Dardanos” (*Il.* 20:215; Erbse 5:35), the *scholium exegeticum* gives the following explanation: He is the son of Electra and Zeus, and he is dwelling in Samothrace. The term “Samothrace” is also a subject of explanation. According to Aristonicus (on *Il.* 24:78; Erbse 5:534), “Samothrace” of today is named Samos in Homer; Aristonicus discusses the difference in topographic designation. The command not to eat an animal’s heart is compared with a Pythagorean command (*scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 24:129; Erbse 5:542).

¹¹ *Il.* 9,651 (“Εὗτορα δῖον) is commented upon: οὐχ Ὁμηρικὸν τὸ ἐπίθετον ἀλλὰ ὁ Ἀχιλλεῦς (Erbse 2:535). Aristonicus rejects *Il.* 15:265–268 (these verses are appropriate with respect to Alexander but not to Hector) and *Il.* 15:449–451 (these verses are appropriate with respect to Hippotoos; cf. Erbse 4:69.103). In the *scholium exegeticum*, *Il.* 20:180–186 is rejected as inconvenient to Achilles (Erbse 5:31).

¹² Aristonicus rejects *Il.* 20:205–209 (Erbse 5:33): these verses are not necessary. Further, he rejects *Il.* 24:476 (Erbse 5:599) for including a wrong statement: The tables are not removed. The phrasing of this verse do not fit the heroic meter. Andronicus, Didymus

are the main topics. Sometimes variant readings stand side-by-side.¹³ Many passages are rejected due to inelegance of poetical style¹⁴ or contradictions within Homer¹⁵ or the lack of verisimilitude¹⁶ or assumptions within the narrated world.¹⁷ Theological issues are seldom the subject of philological remarks. On the other hand, the translators of the Septuagint also are not one-sidedly motivated by theological interests.¹⁸ In some cases, we also have to note parallels in the Targumim. Scribal activities well-known from Palestinian Judaism since the fourth century are continuing independently of the use of Greek and Jewish or Aramaic language.

In this study, I will ask whether the translators of the Septuagint are motivated by presuppositions similar to those found in Greek philology. At least Jerome is well aware of Aristarchus's dealing with Homeric texts.¹⁹ But in general, most Christian exegetes were well-educated in Greek rhetoric and philology, and they used ancient Greek philological terminology such as *καταχρηστικῶς*²⁰ or *ἀρμόζειν*²¹ or *λύειν τὸ ὑφορμοῦν*²², or they referred to issues of form criticism.²³

1. Theological Comments in Greek Philology on Homer

First, we have to refer to traditional well-known Greek concepts concerning deities.

and Nicanor reject *Il.* 24:71–73 (Erbse 5:532): *οὐ γὰρ διὰ παντὸς συνδιατρίβει αὐτῷ ἡ Θέτις.*

¹³ In *Il.* 21:246, Aristarchus reads *ἐκ δίνης*, other read *ἐκ λίμνης* (Erbse 5:179).

¹⁴ *Il.* 15:212–217 (Aristonicus; Erbse 4:58); *Il.* 22:199–201 (Aristonicus; Erbse 5:309).

¹⁵ Aristonicus rejects *Il.* 20:125–128 due to its contradiction to *Il.* 20:26f., 30 (Erbse 5:24).

¹⁶ Aristonicus rejects *Il.* 10:409–411 on the basis of two arguments: 1. It is ridiculous to ask whether remaining or returning to the city is the best to do. 2. Dolon's response answers the speech of Odysseus in general but not the statement in *Il.* 10:409–411 (Erbse 3:88). According to the *scholium exegeticum*, *Il.* 24:594–595 is to be rejected: The poet should have referred to the command of Zeus (Erbse 5:617).

¹⁷ Aristonicus rejects *Il.* 11:78–83: Not all deities rebuke Zeus but only those who align themselves with the Greeks (Erbse 3:139).

¹⁸ Cf. SOLAMO, “Significance of Septuagint Studies,” 506.

¹⁹ Jerome, *Ep.* 57.12, CSEL 54:525.

²⁰ John Chrysostom, *Comm. Gal.*, PG 61:662; Ammonius of Alexandria, *Act.*, PG 85:1529c. Philo of Alexandria, however, uses the term *κατάχρησις* (*Somn.* 1:229, LCL 275:418 etc.).

²¹ Theodoret of Cyrus, *In Gal.*, PG 82:473b.

²² Theodoret of Cyrus, *In Gal.*, PG 82:481a.

²³ Theodoret of Cyrus, *In Gal.*, PG 82:461a names Gal 1:1–5 a *prooemium*.

Deities are envious²⁴ and biased,²⁵ they are subject to εἰμαρμένη,²⁶ and they are not vituperated for activating their sexuality.²⁷ Anthropomorphisms are not rebuked.²⁸ Despite this, a positive relation to the deities is characteristic for piety (see below). The issue of piety, however, is part of the process of constructing identities: The Greeks consider themselves to be pious whereas they regard the inhabitants of Troy to be impious.²⁹ The comments to be studied here, however, are more far-reaching.

1.1. Explanations of Phrasings

Homer uses the formula “father of deities and human beings” (*Il.* 1: 544) only of Zeus, but why? The answer to that question is that it is Zeus, who is father of both divine and human beings.³⁰ In *Il.* 9:158, Hades is characterized as ἀμείχιλος ἥδ' ἀδάμαστος (“unyielding and implacable”). The author of the *scholium exegeticum* asks why other deities are regarded to be compliant whereas Hades is named ἀνελεήμων, and he answers: The acts of other deities are hostile only when provoked by sins committed against themselves; Hades reacts to sins committed also against others.³¹ Amyntor, blamed by his son Phoenix, calls on the avenging Furies, but Hades, the “Zeus of underworld,” and Persephone enact the curse. According to the *scholium exegeticum*, they are the αὐτοκράτορες of the punishments but delegate the εξουσία of actualizing them to the other demons.³² *Il.* 21:275–

²⁴ *Scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 4:507 (Erbse 1:534).

²⁵ According to Aristonicus, *Il.* 2:160–162 are not appropriate for Hera but for Athene (Erbse 1:216).

²⁶ How was it possible that Zeus who intended to save Hector from the Achaeans should not have been able to prevent Achilles's dragging of Hector's corpse (*scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 22:402–403; Erbse 5:342)?

²⁷ Zenodot and Aristonicus reject Hera's words in *Il.* 14:304–306: these words are not suitable for inciting Zeus's sexual desire (Hera intends to seduce him in order to support the Greeks during his sleeping; Erbse 3:637).

²⁸ Both deities and heroes are bowmen: Apollo, Artemis, Heracles, Idas, Euphytus, Messenes, Philoktet, Teucus (*scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 11:385, Erbse 3:195).

²⁹ According to *Il.* 8:42, Agamemnon inserts a prayer to Zeus when threatened after his rebuke of the others' boasting. The author of the *scholium exegeticum* comments on in the following way: Agamemnon is a Greek, having the good in mind; therefore, he does not desist from hope in the deities (Erbse 2:349). The same author remarks concerning *Il.* 10:277: The Greeks invoke the deities in an adequate way as leaders before their actions whereas the barbarian Dolon does not pray anymore (Erbse 3:60).

³⁰ *Scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 1:544 (Erbse 1:147), referring to Apollo, Hephaistos on the one hand, Sarpedon, Ajax, Radamanthys, Minos, Heracles on the other. According to Dio of Prusa, *Or.* 53.12, this formula includes a moral admonition of paternal care with benevolence and friendship.

³¹ Erbse 2:432.

³² *Scholium exegeticum* on *Il.* 9:454–457 (Erbse 2:498).

276 reports Achilles's lamentation "I blame none of the other dwellers on Olympus (*οὐρανιῶν*) so severely as I do my dear mother, who has beguiled and tricked me." The author of the *scholium exegeticum* gives an emending explanation for *οὐρανιῶν* and writes: θεῶν. Οὐ γὰρ Θέτις οὐρανία.³³ The term *αἴσα* (fate) in *Il.* 20:127 is the starting point of an interpretation of diverse designations of Zeus.³⁴ He is called "Logos of all being" because he is the cause of all living; he is called *Δία* because all that exists is due to him (derivation from *διά* + acc.); he is called *μοῖρα* and *εἰμαρμένη* due to his apportioning of destinies (derivation from *μείρω*; normally the medio-passive *μείρομαι* is used).³⁵

1.2. Questions of Celestial Status

According to *Il.* 1:583, Hephaistos hopes for a soon reconciliation between Zeus and the other Olympic deities. The *scholium exegeticum* offers an explanation concerning questions of status: Zeus is superior to other deities as they are superior to human beings.³⁶ Once Zeus was angry at Poseidon and sent Iris with a message expressing his wrath. Iris quotes Zeus's speech on his authority and superiority and Poseidon's inferiority (*Il.* 15:182–183). Some critiques relocate thesees verses to Zeus's speech to Iris before encountering Poseidon: Zeus is the only one who is allowed to deal with this subject.³⁷ Similarly, the translators of the Septuagint are careful to avoid putting false statements even in the mouths of non-Jews.³⁸ Other critiques, however, reject also the words of Zeus themselves: Only fear or the wish for reconciliation could be the reason for such a speech but that seems implausible.³⁹ In his comment on *Il.* 18:117, Aristonicus states that Homer was not familiar with Heracles's immortality.⁴⁰

1.3. Moral Critique Concerning Deities

The main topics of moral critique of the deities are not jealousy or sexual impropriety but inappropriateness with regard to the situation, implacability, and fraud.

³³ Erbse 5:188.

³⁴ A similar list of characteristics of Zeus, without reference to *Il.* 20:127 is given by Cornutus, *Compendium* 2, Nesselrath 32.

³⁵ Erbse 5:24.

³⁶ Erbse 1:156.

³⁷ Erbse 4:46.

³⁸ SIEGERT, *Zwischen Hebräischer Bibel und Altem Testament*, 172.

³⁹ Erbse 4:46.

⁴⁰ Erbse 4:460. Lucian of Samosata wrote a satire (*Dial. mort.* 16) which illustrates also problems for non-Christians of understanding the Christian doctrines of the two natures of Jesus Christ and of the Trinity.

According to *Il.* 8:423f., Iris rebukes Athene: “You (are) most terrible, you fearless dog, if you will truly dare to raise your monstrous spear against Zeus.”⁴¹ In the *scholium exegeticum*, these words are rejected because of their bitterness. Hera addresses her son Hephaistos as κυλλοπόδιον (limping).⁴² According to Aristonicus’s point of view, the kind Hera, while addressing her son as “my child”, should not choose the epithet on the base of a fault. He shortly comments briefly: ἄκαιρον καὶ ἀπρεπές τὸ ἐπίθετον.⁴³ Pallas Athene, disguised as Deiphobos, the brother of Hector, feigns encouragement to Hector before the fight (*Il.* 22:231): “Let us stand, let us remain unimpaired.” In the *scholium exegeticum*, these fraudulent words are rejected: ἀτοπὸν θεὸν οὔσαν πλανᾶν τὸν Ἐκτόρα.⁴⁴ Aristonicus criticizes *Il.* 24:130–132 as inappropriate to the situation: With regard to the war, Thetis should not wish the embracing of a woman for Achilles and announce his imminent death. The *scholium exegeticum* offers but a short comment: It is unsuitable for the goddess and the hero.⁴⁵ Apollo’s sending pestilence for nine days (*Il.* 1:50) is defended: Before these nine days, the φιλάνθρωπος Apollo intended to lead the Greek men to piety by infecting only the animals.⁴⁶ The motive of Apollo’s φιλανθρωπία and his intention concerning the piety of the Greeks has no basis within the text; rather it is traced back to the classical conception of this deity which diverges from the portrait of Apollo in Homer’s poems.

1.4. Difference of Status between Divine and Human Beings

In comparisons between deities and human beings, sometimes the term ὑπερβολή is used.⁴⁷ Hecabe, the mother of Hector, complains about his death (*Il.* 22:432–435). In the *scholium exegeticum*, the dead Hector is directly addressed: “The inhabitants of Troy prayed to you every day as to a deity and savior. During your lifetime, you were equated with divine beings; now, not even the corpse is benefited by fate.”⁴⁸ On the other hand, sometimes also an exceptional attribute can be justified: If Zeus himself calls Hector “divine” (*Il.* 15:15), it is not objectionable when in Od. 1:65 Zeus is quoted: “How could I forget the divine Odysseus.”⁴⁹

⁴¹ ET: WILSON, *Homer Iliad, Books VIII & IX*, 95.

⁴² Erbse 2:373.

⁴³ Erbse 5:202.

⁴⁴ Erbse 5:314.

⁴⁵ Erbse 5:543.

⁴⁶ Erbse 1:24.

⁴⁷ *Il.* 3:158 (Erbse 1:388); *Il.* 9:389–390 (Erbse 2:481).

⁴⁸ Erbse 5:346.

⁴⁹ Erbse 4:9.

1.5. Questions of Human Moral

In the *scholium exegeticum*, the following comments appear concerning *Il.* 1:18: Divine beings dwell not only in heaven but also on earth; human beings should not regard them to be distant and aloof.⁵⁰ *Il.* 9:357–361 is regarded as moral teaching: Homer admonishes people to invoke the deities before beginning any activity.⁵¹ In the quarrel between Achilles and the other Greeks, Agamemnon is engaged in reconciliation and admonishes to appeal for mercy from Zeus (*Il.* 9:172). In the *scholium exegeticum*, the comment is made: We should always begin with the deities, especially in dangerous situations.⁵² According to *Il.* 15:147–148, Hera admonishes Apollo and Iris to obey Zeus with regard to whatever he commands. These words are dismissed as ἀνηθοποίητοι: they do not shape a good mind. Apollo and Iris are obliged to obey even if Hera has not issued a command.⁵³ Once, Athene admonishes Diomedes not to fight against the deities except for Aphrodite (*Il.* 5:131–132). In the *scholium exegeticum*, this is the starting point for a moral interpretation: practical reason teaches condemnation of lusts.⁵⁴ *Il.* 16:433–438 reports Zeus's sorrowful question as to whether his beloved Sarpedon would survive in a fight against Patroclus. The *scholium exegeticum* offers an exhaustive commentary: we should not reproach the poet. We should either abandon the notion of the kinship between divine and human beings or join in Zeus's lament. This lament also has an educational effect: the poet teaches that deities, like humans, are subject to fate.⁵⁵ Human beings should, therefore, be willing to suffer the blows of fate with resolve and noble-mindedness.⁵⁶

2. Theologically Motivated Adjustments in the Septuagint

In the following sections, I will arrange the material thematically. To be sure, we should take into account the individuality of each translator, though that could lead to a discussion of the individual books in their canonical sequence, resulting in manifold repetition. Due to the diversity of the *Vorlagen* it seems impossible to present a diachronic development of ideas to be dealt with. A mere enumeration of possible concepts is one

⁵⁰ Erbse 1:14.

⁵¹ Erbse 2:472 (*scholium exegeticum*).

⁵² Erbse 2:436.

⁵³ Erbse 4:41 (Aristonicus).

⁵⁴ Erbse 2:23.

⁵⁵ Therefore, παντοκράτωρ is seldom attested in Greco-Roman literature (SIEGERT, *Zwischen Hebräischer Bibel und Altem Testament*, 208).

⁵⁶ Erbse 4:258.

thing, developing a trajectory another. Being aware of the problems inherent in attempting to date early Jewish literature, we can say: 1. The uniqueness of Israel's God and his transcendence, but also the issue of his benignity vs. his cruelty and arbitrariness, were issues for some of the translators from the very beginning. 2. Conceptions regarding the so-called anthropomorphisms are diverse. 3. The emphasis on the liability of God's words gave rise to some alterations in the Septuagint of Leviticus and in some prophetic books *vis-à-vis* their Hebrew *Vorlagen*. 4. The implications with respect to the uniqueness of Israel's God, namely his power over creation and history, are articulated only beyond the Septuagint of the Pentateuch.

It seems wise to include two further preliminary remarks. 1. Some formulations which seemingly are motivated by theological arguments, are simply the result of lexical choice.⁵⁷ 2. The tendency to make such adjustments is by no means limited to the Septuagint translators; it is evident in the Masoretic Text as well, but also in other early Jewish literature.⁵⁸

2.1. Designations and Attributes of God

This topic was an issue from the very beginning. For the Septuagint of Genesis, Martin Rösel provided the material.⁵⁹ From his point of view, “κύριος is used for the friendly, merciful portrayals of God, while θεός is used for the powerful actions.”⁶⁰ Against Rösel, Emanuel Tov cites examples proving the inconsistency of the data.⁶¹ But other terms involve problems as well, e.g. ὑψιστος, παντοκράτωρ, and κύριος τῶν δυνάμεων. According to Philo of Alexandria, the term ὑψιστος (Gen 14:18) ismistakable: there is no “other not Most High – for God being One ‘is in the heaven above and on earth beneath, and there is none beside Him’ (Deut 4:39).”⁶² The issue παντοκράτωρ/κύριος τῶν δυνάμεων for הַיְהוָה צבאות and אל שדי is very complex.⁶³ In this study, we can only offer a rather unsophisticated overview. The translation is close to the Hebrew original and reflects Ptolemaic Egyptian usage (“troops; army”)⁶⁴ but can also lead to misunderstanding in terms of polytheism, due to the semantics of δύναμις including

⁵⁷ TOV, “Theologically Motivated Exegesis,” 262.

⁵⁸ In the following, I gratefully used PIETERSMA and WRIGHT (eds.), *A New English Translation of the Septuagint*.

⁵⁹ RÖSEL, “Übersetzung der Gottesnamen;” ID., “Theo-Logie der griechischen Bibel;” ID., “Towards a ‘Theology’,” 245.

⁶⁰ RÖSEL, “Towards a ‘Theology’,” 245.

⁶¹ TOV, “Harmonizing Character,” 330.

⁶² Philo, LegAll 3:82 (English Translation): Philo, In ten Volumes [and two Supplement Volumes] 1, 355; cf. FELDMEIER, “Der Höchste,” 552.

⁶³ BACHMANN, *Allmacht*, 127–136.

⁶⁴ FLASHAR, “Exegetische Studien zum Septuagintalter,” 90.

Index of References

1. Septuagint		17:10	247
		17:16	227
<i>Genesis</i>		18:12	462
1:1	561	18:21	431
1:2	403	18:33	341, 344
1:26–27	441 – 443	19:1–3	462
1:26	403–405, 449	19:8	468
1:31	167	19:15–18	462
2:2	36, 368	19:30–38	469
2:7	443	21	227
2:16–17	423–424, 426, 449	21:9	471
2:24	169, 259, 465–466	21:10–11	472
3	445	22	431
3:1–7	256, 451	22:2	36, 472
3:1	401	22:5	474
3:5	283, 426	25	474
3:14	425	26:32	369
3:19	448, 563	31:13	11
3:22	426–427	32:23–33	407
4:4f.	21, 294, 410, 427–	35:12	37
	430	39:9	256
4:26	405	46:26–27	374
5:24	410	46:27	37
5:25–27	374	49:10	106
6:3	375		
6:4	406	<i>Exodus</i>	
6:5	283	1:8	198
6:6	294	2:14	199
7:3f.	35	3:1–14	407
12:1–3	463	3:1	36
12:1	197	3:5	199, 343
12:10–20	464	3:6	173, 200
15:6	254, 352	3:7	200
15:13	197	4:24	21, 42, 413
16	227	6:20	44
16:2	402, 465–467	7:13f.	412
16:13	407, 414	8:10	13
17:8	39, 225	12:40	41

13:11–13	430	14:28	14
15:3	20, 42, 105	16:15	44
15:7	11	21:4–9	347
15:11	501	26:16	37
15:17	144–145, 148	27:12	39
16:20	39	27:14	45
20:1–17	257	27:17	165
20:12	167	35:34	145
20:13	432		
20:16	539	<i>Deuteronomy</i>	
20:17	170, 256, 402	4:2	124
22:[28]27	40, 209, 566	4:3	247
24:9–11	411	5:16	167
24:16	142, 145	5:17–20	170
25:7	145	6:4–5	173
29:45–46	145–146	6:4	233
32:1	200	6:5	350
32:5	43	6:13	350
32:23	200	7:5	34, 40
33:13	16	8:3	14
33:16	146	13:1	124
33:20	523	13:2–6	117, 128, 533,
33:23	414, 490		544–546, 549
34:15	245, 247, 267	13:6	537
36:21	22	17:15	40
40:35	145	18:9–12	33
		18:11	128
<i>Leviticus</i>		18:15, 18, 19	195–197
8:31	20	18:18	120
9:21	20	18:20–22	408, 533, 536,
11:5	40		538, 540–541,
11:17	40		551, 553
18:5	229, 234	18:22	100
19:2	508	21:10	40
19:18	237, 245	21:23	229, 353
19:29	256	23:21	430
20:26	508	24:1	169
23:29	195–197	27:26	229–230, 352
23:30	21	28:58	229
26:33	21	30:10	229
27:30	45	30:11–14	230, 444
		32:4	501
<i>Numbers</i>		32:35	22
1:24	41	33:2	233
4:3	41		
6:25	17	<i>Joshua</i>	
8:24	41	1:13	20
9:17–22	145–146	1:14	19
11:1	14	2:10	19
12:8	14, 17	4:5	17

4:24	15	25:37	71
5:6	38	28:16	76
13:2	37	30:17	390
24:2	463		
<i>Second Kingdoms [Second Samuel]</i>			
<i>Judges</i>		2:23	44
11:30–40	434, 474	5:8	79
		5:20	72
<i>Ruth</i>		5:21	78
3:7	44	6:14, 21	79
		6:14	36, 45
<i>First Kingdoms [First Samuel]</i>			
1:13	386	7:4, 14	387
1:21	45	7:5	18
1:23	35	7:10	73
2:3	386	7:13f.	382
2:8	384	7:15	74
2:16	45	7:23	72
2:25	387	7:29	79
2:30	387	8:18	45, 61, 78
2:36	387	11:27b	383
3:3	75	12:13	386
3:11	45	12:14	78
3:16	70	13:13	72
4:1	73	14:6	55
4:8	70	18:6	55
5:6	389	19:28	79
6:3	389	20:15	71
6:7	389	21:1	74
6:14	77	22:3	501
6:19	75	22:9	15
9:12	77	23:25	55
9:16	71	24:16	76
10:1	39		
10:14–16	72	<i>Third Kingdoms [First Kings]</i>	
12:3–5	383	6:1–15	84–91
13:14	203	6:17	86
14:18	70	7:43–45	86
14:50	72	8:27	147
15:2	76	8:29	141
15:29	74	8:39	148
15:22	382–383	8:53	147
15:28–29	74, 384	11:53	12
15:35	36, 74	13	540–541
16:5	77	17:1	386
16:7	387	18:18	13
16:14	385	18:24	383
19:13, 16	77	18:36	383–384
19:24	36	19:10, 18	354
21:7	163, 386	19:11–12	384
		19:14, 18	382

19:18	390	<i>Second Maccabees</i>	
22:19–22	534	7:3	131
22:20	390	14:33, 35	142
<i>Fourth Kingdoms [Second Kings]</i>			
5:10, 14	391	2:6	256
9:6–7	22	18:10–20	64
10:15–27	540		
14:25	118, 127	<i>Fourth Maccabees</i>	
<i>First Chronicles</i>			
10:13	61	2:7	163, 203
17:4	18	2:11	524
18:8	33	5:12	149
25:1–6	116	8:6	449
26:28	116	13:3	316, 353
<i>Second Chronicles</i>			
6:18	141, 147	15[16]:8–11	194–195, 204, 287
15:3–5	56	17:15	523
16:8	56	18[19]:4	148
32:32	116, 118, 119	21[22]:2	519
33:19	57	21[22]:3	526
34:15–16	168	21[22]:4	149
34:24	32	21[22]:8	179
35:15	116	21[22]:19	179
35:19a–d	62	28[29]:2	87
35:20	33	28[29]:10	149
36:15f.	118	30[31]:6	356–357
<i>First Esdras</i>			
1:22	62	33[34]:20[21]	527
<i>Judith</i>			
6:2	122	40[41]:10	179
9:7	20	41[42]:7	178
14:10	218	44[45]	524
16:12	20	44[45]:4	525
<i>Tobit</i>			
2:6	119	46[47]:4	408, 521, 522
6:13	32	50[51]:6	526
14:4	100, 119	50[51]:11[12]	444
<i>First Maccabees</i>			
1:11–15	130–131	50[51]:13[14]	425
2:47–60	62	50[51]:13[14]	444
4:9	63	54[55]:12	408, 521
4:30	63	54[55]:16[15]	518
		54[55]:20	149
		59:3	524
		67:19	355
		68[69]:22	179, 521
		68:23–24	517
		68[69]:26	190
		68[69]:27	324
		77[78]:1	62
		77[78]:2	329
		77[78]:25	347
		81[82]:1	525
		81[82]:6	12, 332, 487

83[84]:12	19	18:12	122, 127
92[93]	454		
95[96]:10	347	<i>Hosea</i>	
104[105]:25	516	2:18	125
105[106]:37–38	433	5:2	105, 232
108[109]:8	191	7:2	294
109[110]:1	175, 178, 352	8:4	18, 104
109[110]:3	401	8:13	126
109[110]:4	355, 413	9:12	14, 125
113:12–16	571–574	11:1	287, 316–317
		11:5	126
<i>Proverbs</i>		13:1	369
9:3	547	13:4	100, 104
		13:13	375
<i>Job</i>			
6:9	22	<i>Amos</i>	
10:2f.	22	1:1	128
14:15	13	1:15	102
		2:16	179
<i>Wisdom of Solomon</i>		3:6	412
9:8	141	3:9	292
12:2	218	4:2	131
		4:4	104
<i>Jesus Sirach</i>		4:13	107
prol. 1:9	119	5:1	106, 126
15:11–20	444	5:15	21, 104
24:8–9	141	5:18–27	357
24:33	121	5:25–27	200–201, 317–320
31[34]:5	121	6:8	103–104
36:20	115, 119	6:9	38
39:1	57, 119	7:1	131–132
39:10[11]	58	7:3	23
39:1–9	57–58	7:5	23, 43
44–49	58–59	7:8	134
44:15–16	58	7:14	101
44:20	59	8:7	107
45:23	59	8:8	107
45:5	58	8:10	119
46:4f.	59	9:1	16, 126
46:13	59	9:11–12	107, 129, 207–209
46:14	120		
46:15	59	<i>Micah</i>	
47:1	120	1:8	133
48:10f.	120	2:11–13	128
48:17	132	3:7	128
48:25[28]	100	3:12	534
		4:2	125
<i>Psalms of Solomon</i>		4:9	125
2:3–9; 8:11–13	172	5:1	204–205
9:9	226	5:2	287

6:2	134	6:1	17, 120, 134
6:15	130	6:2	375
		6:6	12
<i>Joel</i>		6:9f.	104, 163–164,
3:1–5	191–194		209–211, 310,
3:1–2	356–357		326, 375, 411
		7:9	101, 293
<i>Jonah</i>		7:10–14	114
1:9	127	7:14	313–315, 349, 414
2:1	126	8:4	349
2:11	126	8:14	325–326
3:4	549	8:16	102
3:9	23	8:19	128
4:2	127	9:4	102
4:7	39, 100	9:5	107
		9:14	300
<i>Habakkuk</i>		11:1	107
1:5	204–206	11:2	163
2:4	229–230, 311,	13:1	116
	321–323	13:10	176
		14:3–19	114
<i>Zechariah</i>		14:13–14	489
2:12	104	14:19	130
2:15	99	18:4	150
5:1	99	19:5	40
9:9	41, 103	19:19	103, 406
9:14	16, 126	19:25	105
11:12	329–330	24:16	102
12:10	293	28:16	325–326
13:2	129, 534, 551	29:4	128
13:7	177, 323–325	29:13–14	165–166, 354
14:14	41, 103, 130	34:4	177
		35:5–6	167
<i>Maleachi</i>		40:3	161
3:1	161	42:1–4	287, 326–327,
3:23	168		350–351
		42:1	163
<i>Isaiah</i>		42:4	369
1:1	374	44:8	13
1:2	100	44:28	19, 104
1:4	99	45:7	20, 412
1:9	105	49:3	488
1:16	102	49:6	206
2:1–4	218	52:5	320
2:1	106, 374	52:7	327–328
3:10	342	52:10	348
3:12	537, 549	53:7–8	202–203
3:20	102	53:7	357–358
4:5	150	54:1	228
5:2	172	55:3	204

56:7	170, 218	<i>Baruch</i>	
57:15	104, 150, 401	2:20	122
57:17	22		
59:1	15, 104	<i>Ezekiel</i>	
59:20	310	1	488
62:4	134	2:3	133
63:3	21	5:11	134
63:6	14	7:1–11	115
65:2	354	8:2	134
65:5	15	11:2	127
65:11	13, 40	13:1–10	534
66:1–2	150, 201–202	13:9	121
66:24	168–169	14:14	120
		16:20–21	433
<i>Jeremiah</i>			
1:1	106, 126	20:25	234
1:6	100	21:3f. [8f.]	104
5:21	168, 310	21:25–28	103
6:11	373	21:26[31]	408
6:12	373	22:27–28	544
6:13	536–537, 549	27:4	369
7:11	171	28:13	100
8:15	374	30:26	373
9:22f.	501	36:21	22
10:11	301	36:26–27	265
10:20	301	38f.	506
11:19	374	40:14–17	90
15:17	347	41:3	85
17:1–14	105	43:7, 9	149
18:8, 10	44	44:30	102
19:8	23	46:14	102
19:9	22		
21:7	105	<i>Daniel</i>	
21:7	22, 43, 375	1:20	105
23	550	2	506
23:9–40	533	2:1	116, 132
23:11, 14	544	2:7	132
33[26]	550	6:6 [8]	132
33[26]:2	35, 101, 373	7; 8	38, 101
33[26]:7	106	7:13	178–179
33[26]:17–19	533	9:21	116
34[27]:1	373	9:24–27	107
34[27]:11f.	122	10:1	100
35[28]	534, 550	11:17	38
36[29]:1	537	12:3	102
36[29]:8	537		
36[29]:12	121	2. Jewish Literature of the Greco-Roman Period	
38[31]:20	104		
38[31]:31–32	355		
45[38]:4	551	<i>Apocalypse of Abraham</i>	
50:10	22	24.8	256

<i>Letter of Aristeas</i>		<i>Life of the Prophets</i>	
155, 168	254	10:3	539
		19:2	539
<i>Second Baruch</i>		Philo	
54:15, 19	445	<i>De opificio mundi (Opif.)</i>	
66:4	541	29	403
77:13–15	165	69–71	403
<i>Fourth Ezra</i>		75	233
7:118–131	445, 452	<i>De sacrificiis Cain et Abel (Sacr.)</i>	
<i>First Henoch</i>		52–53	429–430
42:1–3	141	88	430
70:4	173	<i>Quod Deus sit immutabilis (Imm.)</i>	
83–91	121	22	413
Josephus Flavius		<i>De migratione Abrahami (Migr.)</i>	
<i>Antiquitates Iudaicae (Ant.)</i>		13	462
4:145–149	261	128	258
6:16	75	<i>De Congressu eruditio[n]is causa</i>	
6:283	285	(Congr.)	
8:236	541	9	467
8:236, 241–42	540	<i>De mutatione nominum (Mut.)</i>	
8:318	540	143	173
8:402–409	540	<i>De Somniis (Somn.)</i>	
10:104, 111	541	1:248	462
15:136	233	<i>De Abrahamo (Abr.)</i>	
19:343–350	48	17f.	410
<i>De bello Iudaico (Bell.)</i>		69	218
2:261–263	540	99	462
6:285–287	540	112f.	461–462
<i>Contra Apionem (C. Ap.)</i>		178–183	472
2:169	218	252	402
2:210	229	<i>De Decalogo (Decal.)</i>	
2:277	233	173	256
<i>Jubilees</i>		<i>De specialibus legibus (Spec. Leg.)</i>	
1:2–17	142–143	4.50	539
1:27	233	<i>Quaestiones in Genesim (QG)</i>	
12:12–16	463	1:55	426
17:16	432	1:60	410, 429
<i>Liber Antiquitatum Biblicarum</i>		1:62	428
51.3–5	505	3.34	407
<i>Greek Life of Adam and Eve</i>			
18.4	426		
30.1	445		

<i>De virtutibus (Virt.)</i>			
211–219	226	1Sam 3:3	75
		1Sam 4:1	73
		1Sam 4:8	70
<i>Qumran</i>		1Sam 6:14	77
CD V 6	60	1Sam 6:19	75
CD V 20–VI 2	539	TgJon1Sam 9:12	77
CD VIII 16–21	60	1Sam 13:14	203
CD XIII 7–8	60	1Sam 15:2	76
1QH 12: 6, 16	538	1Sam 15:29	74
1QH 12:24–32	445, 264–265	1Sam 15:35	74
1Q22	95, 257	1Sam 16:5	77
4Q51	35, 79, 501	1Sam 19:13, 16	77
4Q160	63	1Sam 25:37	71
4Q174 III 7–13	63	1Sam 28:16	76
4Q216 II 9f.	142		
4Q252 frag. 1 iv,	60	<i>Targum Jonathan on Second Samuel</i>	
1–3		2Sam 5:8	79
4Q339	123, 538	2Sam 5:20	72
4Q375/376	123, 539	2Sam 5:21	78
4Q381 frag. 33	63	2Reg 6:14, 21	79
4Q394–399	221–222	2Sam 7:10	73
4Q398 frag. 14 ii	59	2Sam 7:23	72
2		2Sam 7:29	79
4Q399	63	2Sam 8:18	78
4Q504 Frg. 2 col.	142	2Sam 12:14	78
IV 3f.		2Sam 13:13	72
4Q559	63	2Sam 19:28	79
6Q9	63	2Sam 20:15	71
11Q05 VII 11	329	2Sam 21:1	74
11Q05 XXVII 11	123	2Sam 24:16	76
11Q19/20	95, 142, 257		
<i>Targumim</i>		<i>Targum on Isaiah</i>	
<i>Targum Neofiti I on the Pentateuch</i>		Isa 6:9f.	164
Exod 4:24	414	Jes 66:24	169
Deut 6:5	174		
Deut 13:2–6	543	<i>Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs</i>	
Deut 18:20–22	543	T. Levi 19:1	221
<i>Targum Pseudo-Jonathan on the Pentateuch</i>		3. Greco-Roman Authors	
Gen 16:13	414	Diogenes Laertius	
Deut 13:2–6	543	7:110	256
Deut 18:20–22	543		
<i>Targum Onqelos on the Pentateuch</i>		Epictetus	
Deut 27:26	352	<i>Dissertationes (diss.)</i>	
		1:25.3	247
<i>Targum Jonathan on First Samuel</i>			
1Sam	506	Heraclitus min.	
		<i>Homeric Problems</i>	409
		15, 45	513

Homer		7:37	167
<i>Iliad</i>		8:18	168
1:18	139	9:12	168
10:409–411	518	9:48	168
11:356f.	523	10:7	169
11:78–83	523	10:19	170
15:182f.	516	11:17	170–172
22:231	516	12:1–12	172
		12:19, 26	173
Plato		12:29–30	173–175
<i>Gorgias (Gorg)</i>		12:36	175
466b	551	13:22	543, 552
501b	541	13:24–25	176–177
		14:27	177–178, 323–325
<i>De re publica (Rep.)</i>		14:34	178
379a–383e	42, 74, 422, 439, 515, 518, 551	14:62 15:24 15:34	178 179 180
<i>Theaetet (Theat.)</i>			
176b	403, 442	<i>Luke</i>	
		1:46–55	503–505
4. New Testament		2:36	505
		6:26	544
<i>Matthew</i>		6:38	403
1:23	313–315	7:21	46
2:6	320–321, 349–350	21:12	46
2:15	316–317	21:27	46
2:23	332	22:3	48
5:8	467	23:46	356–357
5:44	517	24:47	46
7:15	544, 552		
12:18–21	287, 326–327, 351	<i>John</i>	
13:35	329	1:18	411, 491
15:4	167	1:51	480–481, 491
15:8	166	10:8	547
19:5	169	10:34	331–332
24:24	544, 553		
24:29	176–177	<i>Acts</i>	
26:31	323–325	1:20	190, 521
27:9f.	329–330	2:7–8	356–357
27:46	179–180, 521	2:17–21	47, 191–194
		2:25–28	194–195
<i>Mark</i>		3:22–25	195–197
1:2f.	161, 331	4:24	564
1:11	162	4:25–26	197
2:25	163	5:1–11	551
4:12	163–165	7:3	197
6:34	165	7:6	197
7:6–7	165–167	7:18	198
7:10	167	7:27–35	199–200

7:33	343	13:8–10	236, 245, 248–
7:40	200		249, 254, 262
7:42–43	200, 317–320	14:14	254, 267
7:49–50	201–202	15:8	263, 267
8:32–33	202–203		
10:1–11:18	46	<i>First Corinthians</i>	
12:20–23	48	5:1	255, 258
13:6	544	5:9	255
13:22	203	6:12–20	259
13:33	203	6:16	466
13:34	204	6:18	255
13:35	204	7:10	256
13:41	204–206	7:19	245, 247, 249,
13:47	206–207		254, 267
15:16–17	207–209	8:6	566
23:5	209	9:9	220
28:26–27	209–211	9:20–21	262
		10:27–30	245, 247, 267
<i>Romans</i>		14:21	331–332
1:17	321–323		
3:10–20	263–264	<i>Second Corinthians</i>	
3:13–18	316, 353	3:6, 9	230
3:20	232, 235	3:9	263–264
3:24	252	5:1–10	249
3:25	252	5:10	237
3:28	237, 252	5:21	217
4:15	231		
4:20	252	<i>Galatians</i>	
5:12	453	1:15–16	219, 233, 253
5:20	231	2:16	220–223, 253
6:11	263	2:19	223–224, 263
6:16	263	2:20	222
7:4	263	2:21	224
7:6	265	3:1–5	225, 253
7:7–25	263	3:6–9	225, 226
7:7–11	256	3:10–14	225, 228–231, 252
7:9	445	3:10	222, 264, 352
7:12	267	3:11	321–323
8:1–8	249	3:12	221
8:3–4	217, 230, 251–	3:15–18	225
	252, 263–265	3:19–25	231–235
8:4	248, 260, 263, 266	3:21	219, 221, 265
9:16	446	3:22	219
9:33	325–326	4:21–31	228, 467
10:3	252	4:21	219, 227
10:4	248–249	4:25	227
10:15	327–328	5:14	219, 235–237,
11:1	250		245–246, 248,
11:3	354		254, 262, 266
12:1–2	249, 261	5:16	264

5:17	236, 446	5. Rabbinic Literature	
5:18	236–237		
5:23	237	<i>Genesis Rabba</i>	
6:2	245–246, 259	68; 69	480–482, 485, 490
6:12–13	217		
		<i>Babylonian Talmud</i>	
<i>Ephesians</i>			
4:8	355	bBer 62b	76
5:1–2, 25	260	bAZ 2a–3a	249
<i>Philippians</i>			
3:6	221–222, 224, 233, 263	bChul 91b bSanh 95b	487 482
3:9	252		
3:15–21	249	<i>Pirqe Rabbi Eliezer</i>	493
<i>Colossians</i>			
3:1	489	<i>Midrash Tehilim</i>	523, 525
		Ps 9	516
		Ps 15[16]:10	526
<i>First Thessalonians</i>			
4:1–8	249	Ps 21[22]	520
		Ps 44[45]:4	525
		Ps 46[47]:4	522
<i>Hebrews</i>			
10:38	321–323	<i>Tosefta</i>	
11:13–16	228	tShev 3.6	249
11:19	433, 474		
		6. Christian Literature	
<i>First Peter</i>			
2:6	325–326	<i>Acts of Pilate</i>	
		16.7	561
<i>Second Peter</i>			
2:3	546	Ambrosius	
2:7	462	<i>De Abraham (Abr.)</i>	
		1:4	465
<i>James</i>		1:6	468, 470
2:14 - 26	237, 252	1:7	464
		2:72–75	467
<i>First John</i>			
4:1–3	546	<i>De paradiso (Parad.)</i>	
4:18	524	6.30–8.40	424
<i>Revelation</i>			
15:3–4	561	<i>De fide (Fid.)</i>	
16:13	545	4:4.47	565
19:20	545		
20:10	545	Arnobius Minor	
		<i>Commentarii in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>	
		Ps 113	572

Asterius		Cassiodorus	
<i>Homiliae (Hom.)</i>		<i>Expositio Psalmorum (Expos. Ps.)</i>	
<i>Hom.</i> 20	520	Ps 59[60]	515
		Ps 104[105]	517
		Ps 113[115]	573
Augustine		Chromatius of Aquileia	
<i>Contra Faustum (C. Faust.)</i>		<i>Sermones (Serm.)</i>	
22:5	469	23.1	566
22:44–45	470		
<i>Contra mendicum (Mend.)</i>		Clement of Alexandria	
9	464	<i>Protrepticus (Protr.)</i>	
		80.3	563
<i>De civitate dei (Civ.)</i>		<i>Stromata (Str.)</i>	
16:19	464	1:81	547
		2:100.3f.	404
		3:33.5	562
<i>Enarrationes in Psalmos</i>		5:127.3	563
(<i>En. Ps.</i>)			
47.15.15	571	Cyril of Alexandria	
50	526	<i>Commentarius in Prophetas Minoras</i>	
98.2	571	In Zech 13:2	551
104	517		
113 s. 2	572	<i>Fragmenta in Psalmos</i>	
		Ps 33[34]	527
<i>Quaestiones in Heptateuchum</i>		Ps 50[51]	526
(<i>Qu. Hept.</i>)		Ps 68[69]	518
<i>Qu. Gen.</i> 51	472	Ps 113[115]	573
<i>Qu. Gen.</i> 84	494		
Basilius of Caesarea		<i>Glaphyra</i>	
<i>Homilie in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>		Ex 24	411
32.6	524		
33.13	527	<i>Didache</i>	
59.2	524	11:8; 16:3	544
Bede, The Venerable			
<i>Libri quatuor in principium Genesis</i>		Didymus of Alexandria	
(<i>Gen.</i>)		<i>De Trinitate (Trin.)</i>	
4	468	1:2	565
		3:24	566
<i>In primam partem Samuhelis (In 1Reg)</i>		<i>In Genesin (Gen.)</i>	
On 1Reg 2	413, 508	48	467
		226	464
Caesarius of Arles		<i>Fragmenta expositionis in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>	
<i>Sermones (Serm.)</i>		Ps 21[22]	519
87	491–492	Ps 44[45]	524
		Ps 46[47]	521

Ps 50[51]	526	<i>Fragmenta in Prophetas Minoras</i>
		On Jonah 3:4 549
Diodor of Tarsus		Hilarius of Poitiers
<i>Commentarii in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>		<i>Commentarius in Matthaeum (In Matt.)</i>
Ps 21[22]	519	6:4 552
Ps 29[30]; 33[34]	514	
Epiphanius of Salamis		Hippolytus of Rome
<i>Panarion adversus Haereses (Pan.)</i>		<i>Refutatio omnium haeresium</i>
76.29.6	565	9:15.2 547
Eusebius of Caesarea		Irenaeus of Lyons
<i>Commentaria in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>		<i>Adversus Haereses</i>
Ps 21[22]	519–520, 527	3:6.3 562
Ps 46[47]	521	3:16.8 546
Ps 50[51]	526	4:31.1–3 469
Ps 54[55]	518	
Ps 59[60]	524	Jerome
Ps 68[69]	517	<i>Adversus Jovinianum (Adv. Jov.)</i>
Ps 113[115]	573	2:37 549
<i>Praeparatio Evangelica (Pr. ev.)</i>		<i>Commentarii in Ieremiam</i>
7:115–120	562	2:19.4 549
		2:85–89 567
<i>Historia Ecclesiastica (H.e.)</i>		5:40.2 550
4:22.5–6	546	5:58.2–59.1 551
5:16.8–12, 19	548	
Euthymius Zigabenus		<i>Commentarii in evangelium Matthei</i>
<i>Expositio in Iohannem (In Joh.)</i>		<i>(In Matt.)</i>
On Joh 8:56	461	On Matt 24:14 553
		On Matt 7:15 552
Gregory of Nazianzus		<i>Epistulae (Ep.)</i>
<i>Orationes (Or.)</i>		277, 280, 283,
31.16	566	106 285, 297
Gregory of Nyssa		
<i>Ad Eustathium de Sancta Trinitate</i>		John Chrysostom
(Eust.)		<i>Homiliae in Genesin (Hom. Gen.)</i>
On Jer 10:11	565	32.4 464
		34.5 566
Gregory the Great		38.1 466
<i>Homiliae in Ezechielem (In Ez.)</i>		42.4 468
8.3	525	44.3 485
Hesychius of Jerusalem		
<i>Fragmenta in Psalmos</i>		<i>Expositio in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>
108.1	522	On Ps 49[50]:1 566
		<i>Homiliae in Matthaeum (Hom. Matt.)</i>
		23.6 552
		76.2 553

John of Damascus		Nicephorus Blemmyda	
<i>De imaginibus (Imag.)</i>		<i>Expositio in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>	
1.4	571	Ps 19[20]	521
<i>Passio S. Artemii (P. Artem.)</i>		Olympiodor	
33	565	<i>Fragmenta in Jeremiam (In Jer.)</i>	
Justinus Martyr		On Jer 33[26]	550
<i>Apologies (Apol.)</i>		On Jer 35[28]	551
<i>I. Apol.</i> 50.10	356	Origenes	
<i>Dialogue with Trypho (Dial.)</i>		<i>Contra Celsum (Cels.)</i>	
7.3	545	4:45	402, 469–470
11.3	344, 355	<i>De Principiis (Princ.)</i>	
20.1	343	4:3.5	522
39.1	382	<i>Homiliae in Genesin (Hom. Gen.)</i>	
39.4	355	7.6	467
50.3	343	<i>Homilia in primum librum regnorum</i>	
69.1	545	(<i>Hom. IReg.</i>)	
82.1f.	546	18, 19	507
87.6	355–357	<i>Homiliae in Jeremiam (Hom. Jer.)</i>	
92.3	353	8.1	563
95.1	353	<i>Fragmenta in Jeremiam (Frgm. Jer.)</i>	
123.8	350–351	15, 19	550
<i>Martyrium Isaiae</i>		63	551
2:12–16	539	<i>Commentarii in Matthaeum (Comm. Matt.)</i>	
<i>Christian Martyr Acts</i>		Comm. ser. 46	553
<i>M. Carponii</i> , Rec.	564	Petrus Comestor	
Gr. 10/Rec.		<i>Historia Scholastica</i>	
Lat. 2		Genesis 54	470–471
<i>M. Crispinae</i> 1.7;	564	Procopius of Gaza	
2.2; 3.1		<i>Catena in Exodum</i>	
<i>M. Euplii</i>	564	Exod 22:27[28]	525
(rec. lat.) 5		<i>Expositiones in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>	
Maximus Confessor		On Ps. 21[22]	520, 527
<i>Quaestiones et dubia</i>		On Ps 81[82]	525
Qu. 121	517	On Ps. 104 [105]	517
Qu. 138	524	On Ps 113	573
<i>Nag Hammadi Codices</i>		Ps.-Athanasius	
II,2	449	<i>Expositio in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>	
III,1	445	On Ps. 21[22]	520, 527
III,2	547	On Ps 81[82]	525
VII,2	440, 546–547	On Ps. 104 [105]	517
		On Ps 113	573

<i>Qu. ad Antiochum Ducem (Qu. Ant.)</i>		Theodor Studites
98	466	<i>Antirrheticus (Antirrh.)</i>
		1.7
		571
Ps.-Basilius		
<i>Contra Eunomium (C. Eun.)</i>		Theodoret
4:3	565	<i>Quaestiones in Octateuchum</i>
		<i>Qu. Gen. 31</i>
		401
Ps.-Bede		<i>Qu. Gen. 68</i>
<i>Quaestiones in Genesin (Qu. Gen.)</i>		466
On Gen 19:30–38	471	<i>Qu. Gen. 70. 71</i>
		470
<i>De Psalmorum libro exegesis (In Ps.)</i>		<i>Qu. Gen. 73</i>
Ps 113	573	472
		<i>Qu. Ex. 12</i>
		413
		<i>Qu. Ex. 51</i>
		525
		<i>Qu. Deut. 12</i>
		549
Ps.-Clement of Rome		<i>Quaestiones in libros regum</i>
<i>Homilies (Hom.)</i>		Qu. 1Reg 3
16.6.5f., 8,2f.	561	508
Ps.-Polychronius		<i>Interpretatio in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>
<i>Fragmenta in Jeremiam (In Jer.)</i>		On Ps 21[22]
On Jer 10	569–570	517
On Jer 23	550	On PIs 33[34]
On Jer 35[28]	551	527
Shepherd of Hermas		On Ps 42[43]
43:1f.3b	545	518
		On Ps 46[47]
		519
		On Ps 50[51]
		526
		On Ps 59[60]
		524
		On Ps 64[65]
		518
		On Ps 113 [115]
		573
Tertullian		<i>In Jeremiae Prophetiam interpretatio</i>
<i>De cultu feminarum (Cult. fem.)</i>		<i>(In Jer.)</i>
2:2.6	464	On Jer 6,13
		549
<i>De monogamia (Monog.)</i>		On Jer 10:1–16
16.4	469	569
		On Jer 23
		550
		On Jer 35[28]
		551
<i>De praescriptione haereticorum</i>		<i>Theophilos von Antiochia</i>
<i>(Praescr.)</i>		<i>Ad Autolycon (Autol.)</i>
4.2–4	546	2:35.8, 11
		561–562
Theodor of Mopsuestia		<i>Theophylact of Achrida</i>
<i>Expositio in Psalmos (In Ps.)</i>		<i>Expositio in prophetam Jonam (In Jon.)</i>
On Ps 2; 3	514	On Jonah 3:4
On Ps 113	573	549
<i>Commentarius in XII Minoras</i>		<i>Enarratio in Evangelium Johannis (In</i>
<i>Prophetas</i>		<i>Joh.)</i>
On Jonah 3:4; 4:2	549	On John 8:56
		461
Walafrid Strabo		
<i>Glossa ordinaria</i>		
On Gen 19:30–38		471

Index of Hebrew and Greek Words

Hebrew (and Aramaic) Words

אָוֹלֶם 85–87
אַלְהִים 12
בָּעֵד 12–13
בְּרִיָּה 85–87
כִּיכְלָה 85–87
זָהָן 115
מַדְרָשָׁה 62
מוֹקָם 481
נוֹחַ 23, 43, 413
נוֹנָה 13
פָּגָע 481
אַבָּצָבָץ 10–11
צָוָה 502
חַלְצָה 19
עַלְצָה 88
תוֹדוֹשָׁה 90
שָׁנָה 143, 145–146

Greek words

ἄγειν 265
ἀθετέω 3, 36, 363
αιλαμ 84–88
ἀλλόφυλοι 60, 102
ἀνομία 101
ἀπατάω 117
βωμός 406
γίγαντες 406
γραφή 219–220, 254
δαβειρ/δαβιρ 84–88
δαιμόνιον 116
διάστημα 89
δέξα 11
δουλεύειν 261
δῶμα 139
ἐγγαστρίμυθος 128
εἰκών 403–405, 441–443
ἐλευθερία 261
ἐνύπνιον 115, 117

ἐπιφάναι 17
ἔργα νόμου 221–222
εὐοδόω 19
ἡδονή 541
θυσιαστήριον 406
καρδία 174
καταλαλέω 128
κατασκηνόω 143, 149–150
κατασκήνωσις 142, 143
καταχρηστικᾶς 401
κατοικέω 141–143, 149–150
κιβωτός 39
μαντεία, μαντεύειν, μάντις 115–117, 121
μέλαθρον 89
μετανοέω 23, 413
μὴ γένοιτο 234
μῆποτε 164
ναιέιν, ναιετάω 140
ναός 87, 142
νόμος 219–220, 254
οἶκος, οἰκέω 139
όμοιώσις 403–405, 441–443
ὅρασις 115, 117
ὁ ὄρῶν 116
παιδαγωγός 232
παντοκράτωρ 11
παρακέλημαι 413
παστοφόριον 90
πίστις Χριστοῦ 222–223
πλανάω 117, 128
πλευρά 88
πρεπόν, πρεπές 8, 34, 365, 573
προαίρεις 446
προφήτης 115
σηκός 142
σκηνή, σκήνωμα 140, 144
ταπείνωσις 505
ὑπάρχω 149
ψευδῆς 115, 117
ψευδοπροφήτης 408, 533, 534
ώφελεια 537

Index of Subjects

- Actualizations 39–42, 60–61, 102, 114, 130–132
Allegorical Exegesis 409, 467, 471, 485, 488–490, 508, 516, 563
Allusions, criteria 277–278
Ambiguity, spiritual 473
Angels and the Law 233
Angels, rivalry with human beings 487
Anthropology 411, 424, 441–445
Anthropomorphisms 13–15, 104
Anti-Christian critique, ancient 309, 311, 313, 316, 402, 422–424, 432, 439, 450–451, 454, 469, 472
Anti-Gnostic polemics 562
Anti-heretic polemics 468, 546–547, 567
Anti-Jewish polemics 227, 311, 313, 319–321, 333, 346–347, 367, 368, 551
Anti-Manichean polemics 367
Anti-pagan polemics 560–562, 564–566, 572
Anti-Pelagian polemics 567
Antiochene text-type 192, 194, 287, 297, 316
Apelles 424
Apologetics, Christian 402, 413, 422
Apologetics, Jewish 34, 422, 413, 484, 488, 492
Aquila 279, 287–295, 297, 314, 317, 328, 368, 369, 527
Aramaic influence on the Septuagint 372
Arbitrariness in God? → God, arbitrariness (?)
Arguments, kind of, in ethical texts 257–260
Aristophanes of Byzantium 3, 364, 511, 512, 523
Art History, Place of reception of biblical texts 486
Asteriscus 3, 280, 291
Authority of texts 409

Babylon Exile as point of reference of exegesis 524

Bible older than Greek Philosophy 563
Biblical figures, decency 79
Celsus 423, 440, 454
Characters, Change of Hebrew, as source of variants 371
Christianity, self-stylizing against pagans 566, 572
Christological interpretation 316–317, 327, 332, 524–525
Chronicles, Book of, and prophecy 56
Chronicles, Book of, tendencies 32–33
Chronology, biblical 41, 63
Codices, arranging the biblical books 101
Common Biblical language as source of variation 282
Compound verb instead of the verbum simplex as mode of interpretation 402
Confusion of Hebrew characters as source of variants 296, 372
Contemporizing translation 102, 128–129
Contextual harmonization 190, 193, 195, 207, 374
Corruption, textual 315, 330
Critique against biblical figures 464–467
Cruelty in God? → God, cruelty in (?)
Depowering other deities 12, 72
Deuteronomistic theology, influence 33, 58, 65, 100, 117, 122, 127, 142
Devil, notion of 443
Discrepancies within the Bible 36, 37, 43, 408, 465–466, 536
Double translation 130

Egypt influence on translation 10, 17, 90, 372
Equivocation 401
Errors within the Bible 328–332
Eschatology in the Targumim 506

- Eschatology in the Septuagint 106–108, 131
 Ethical motifs in allegory 489–490
 Ethics, biblical and Greco-Roman, convergence 256
 Eupolemus 62
 Exegesis, Christian, Methods 508
 –, combination of source texts 538
 –, filling gaps 463
 –, influenced by Considering History 408, 520, 522, 537
 –, Jewish, Methods 506–507
- False prophecy, debates on 127–128, 532–558
 Fidelity to the *Vorlage* 83, 149
 Free will 444–445
- “Getting in” (New Perspective on Paul) 254
 gezera-shawa-exegesis 228
 Gnosis, Identifications of Pseudo-Prophesy 546
 Gnosticism, anthropology 411
 Gnosticism, Gnostic 404, 423, 445, 446, 449, 451
 God, his authority 73, 75
 –, his goodness 20, 75, 104, 119, 365
 –, his invisibility 490
 –, his omniscience 18, 74, 165
 –, his transcendence 13, 73, 103, 134, 140, 144–146
 God, notion of 42, 103–105, 119, 375, 410–413, 423, 431, 433, 439, 472, 485
 God, arbitrariness (?) 10, 21, 24, 25, 164, 410, 411, 427
 –, corporality (?) 14, 75
 –, cruelty in (?) 21–22, 76, 375
 –, ignorance (?) 431
 –, repentance (?) 23, 43
 Graphical nearness in Hebrew as reason for changes 73, 76, 123, 134, 502
 Greek mythology 405, 431
 Greek philosophy, Christian evaluation 547
- Halakha, halakhic 220, 246, 249, 266
 Hardening, divine 164
- Harmonization within the Septuagint, intertextual 39, 71, 78, 99–100, 102, 116, 118, 128, 373
 Harmonization within the Septuagint, intratextual 34, 36, 46, 70, 71, 99–100, 116, 132–133
 Harmonizing with history 100–101, 200
 Harmonizing with the Hebrew text 197, 341
 Hermeneutics, ancient Christian 309, 400
 Hexapla, hexaplaric 166, 169, 176, 196, 201, 281, 284, 289, 291–292, 298–299, 362, 366
 Historiography, Greek and Jewish 31–32
 History of Israel, parenetic approach 34, 62, 105, 121–122
 –, part of its identity 54, 57, 118–120
 –, Rabbinic exegesis 522
 –, in Second Temple Literature 117–121
 History, correction according to 36–38, 70, 100, 129, 374
 History of Salvation 158, 188, 191, 207, 211, 218–224, 229, 251–254
 Holy Spirit, effects of his acting 253
 Homer, allegorical interpretation 61
 Homer, Philology on 3–9, 32, 34–36, 39, 87, 362–365, 367
 homoioarkton 193, 503
 homoioteleuton 195, 210, 296, 341, 373
- Images, Christian Veneration 571, 573
 Imitation of Christ 259–260
 Imitation of God 258
 Immorality, sexual 465–467
 Immortality, original, of humans 447
 Inner-Greek ambiguities of morphology 401
 Inner-Greek Scribal error 123, 126, 129, 195, 372
 Inner-Hebrew Scribal error 128, 132, 134
 Intentional or not-intentional change 125, 188, 191
 Interpolations 349
 Intertextual harmonization →
 Harmonization within the Septuagint, intertextual
 Intertextuality, Criteria 160
 –, implicit 59–60, 62–64

- Intratextual harmonization → within the Septuagint, intratextual
- Introduction in the New Testament, patristic 311–312
- Introduction in the Old Testament, patristic 230, 252, 536
- Israel and the nations 40, 105, 218
- Israel under non-Israelite rule 40, 488–489, 493
- Jerome, Textual criticism 362–378, 560
- Jewish Evaluation of Greek (im-)morality 402
- Jews and non-Jews 253
- Jubilees, Book of 95
- Judaism, self-stylizing 44–45
- Julian the Apostate 313, 316, 424–425, 428, 440
- kaige-recension 192, 285, 287, 297, 379, 381, 383, 388, 391
- Law, divine, eternity 233
- Leontopolis 406
- Literal translation, hermeneutic 83, 124, 149
- Literary dependency of translations within the Septuagint 98
- Lucianic 297, 379–381, 384–385, 391–392
- , Pre-Lucianic 392
- , Proto-Lucianic 382, 392
- Maccabean times, reference point for exegesis 506, 521
- Manicheans, Manicheic 367, 431, 446, 465, 469
- Marcion, Marcionites 423, 433, 454
- Mark, Gospel of, Aramaisms 158
- Martyrdom, context of reception of the Bible 564
- Messianism in the Septuaginta 106–108, 131
- Metaphor “sun and shield” 19
- Metaphor “rock” 14, 18, 73, 502–503
- Misogyn exegesis, Christian 468–469
- Moral critique against biblical figures 461, 484–485
- Mortality, original, of humans 447, 452
- Μουσεῖον* of Alexandria 3, 365
- New Perspective on Paul 220–221, 249, 252, 259
- New Testament manuscripts, corrections to the Old Testament text 175, 200, 202
- Non-Jewish Life in Jewish view 255
- Non-variants 198, 204, 208
- NT texts, influence on OT quotations (?) 355
- Obedience as reason for misunderstood deeds 473
- Obeloi 3, 280, 291–292
- Old and New Testament textual tradition, analogous variants 191, 197, 200, 202
- , stable independent transmission 177, 201, 205, 206
- Old Testament manuscripts, corrections to the New Testament text 208
- Old Testament quotations in the NT 280, 286–288
- Old Testament text-forms, influence on NT texts 316
- Old Testament, Christian reading 491–493
- Olympiodor 569
- Onias III. 406
- Parablepsis 169
- Participationist terminology 259
- Philo the Elder 62
- Philology on Homer → Homer, Philology on
- Philosophy, Greek, influence on Septuagint 42, 104
- , influence on exegesis 403, 467, 539, 541, 551
- Piety as reason for misunderstood deeds 473
- Plato, concept of ideas 403
- Polemics against astrology 568, 570
- Polemics against paganism within Christianity 570
- , anti-Gnostic → Anti-Gnostic polemics
- , anti-heretic → Anti-heretic polemics
- , anti-Jewish → Anti-Jewish polemics

- , anti-Manicheic → Anti-Manicheic polemics
- , anti-pagan → Anti-pagan polemics
- , anti-Pelagian → Anti-Pelagian polemics
- , kind of literary 166
- Polytheism, misunderstandings, avoided 11
- Prophecy, false 127–128, 532–558
- Prophet, authority 106, 118, 126
- Prophetic books, translation, rationale for 53, 98
- Prosopographic Exegesis 515, 518
- Proto-Antiochene 285, 297
- Psalms, inscriptions 408, 520, 524
- Psalterium Gallicanum 299
- Qumran 538–539
- Quotation from memory 282, 296, 333, 386, 387, 390
- Quotation, kind of introduction 173, 203
- Rabbinic exegesis 454, 522
- Reality, correction towards 70–72, 87, 104, 133–134, 145, 146, 149, 373
- Repentance of God → God, repentance
- Rewritten Bible 54, 95–96, 217, 532, 541, 544
- Rock as metaphor → Metaphor “rock”
- Sahidic traditions, close to Justin 346
- Salvation History → History of Salvation
- Samaritan Pentateuch 200
- Scribal milieu and reformulation of biblical texts and issues 54, 56, 63
- Scripture, Function for Jesus-believers 158–159, 220
- Seeing God 16, 17, 411
- Social psychology as help for exegesis 230–231, 237
- Spirit, Holy 236–237, 253
- Stoa, Stoic, Stoicism 403, 412, 425
- Stylistic improvements 373
- Symmachus 176, 279–280, 288–295, 297, 314, 368–369, 374, 527
- Telos formula 260–261
- Temple, eschatological 142–143
- Terminology, ancient philology 512–513
- Testimony books 161, 164, 176, 181, 189, 196, 203, 348–349
- Text-critical non-Variants, indicators 282–285
- Text-critical variants, indicators 285–286
- Textual criticism, ancient Christian, preconditions 310–311, 362–365
- Textual criticism, with regard to Patristic Literature 278–280
- Textual traditions, tenacity 167, 180
- Theodotion 176, 179, 279, 287–295, 298, 328, 366, 368–369, 527, 561, 567
- Torah and natural law 249
- Torah orientation 20, 44–45, 55, 56, 58, 60, 61, 77, 101, 122, 218, 236, 246, 257, 260, 452, 539
- , its role in Paul’s thinking 231–237
- Transliteration 87, 373
- Trinitarian debate 565, 569
- Typology 225, 227, 258, 474, 483
- Variants, Jewish or Christian offspring 310
- Vetus Latina 298–299
- Vocalization as reason for different translations 401
- Vocalization as source of variants 296, 372, 401
- Vulgata 279, 297, 299
- Wisdom, Concept 58, 257, 260
- Zenodot 3, 35–36, 42, 511, 512, 518