ALEXEI SIVERTSEV

Private Households and Public Politics in 3rd – 5th Century Jewish Palestine

Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism 90

Mohr Siebeck

Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism Texte und Studien zum Antiken Judentum

Edited by Martin Hengel and Peter Schäfer

90



Alexei Sivertsev

Private Households and Public Politics in 3rd – 5th Century Jewish Palestine

Alexei Sivertsev, born 1973; 1996 B.A. Historical Archival Institute, Russia State University for the Humanities, Moscow; 1999 M.A. Skirball Department of Hebrew and Judaic Studies, New York University; 2001 Ph.D. Skirball Department of Hebrew and Judaic Studies, New York University; since 2001 Assistant Professor, Department of Religious Studies, DePaul University, Chicago.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Sivertsev, Alexei:

Private households and public politics in 3rd – 5th century Jewish Palestine /

Alexei Sivertsev. - Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2002

(Texts and studies in ancient Judaism; 90)

ISBN 3-16-147780-4

978-3-16-158753-5 Unveränderte eBook-Ausgabe 2019

© 2002 by J. C. B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), P. O. Box 2040, 72010 Tübingen.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Gulde-Druck in Tübingen on non-aging paper and bound by Heinr. Koch in Tübingen.

ISSN 0721-8753

Preface

This book is the revised version of a Ph.D. thesis submitted to the Skirball Department of Hebrew and Judaic Studies, New York University in 2001. I am profoundly grateful to my advisor, Prof. Lawrence H. Schiffman, for his support, advice and assistance during my graduate years at NYU. He closely followed my progress on my dissertation, continuously read and commented upon my manuscript drafts, and always engaged me in productive discussions on the topic of my research. I also owe thanks to my other colleagues and teachers for their valuable suggestions and intellectual input, which significantly contributed to my project. First and foremost, I would like to mention Prof. Jeffrey Rubenstein and Prof. Robert Chazan of the Department of Hebrew and Judaic Studies, as well as Prof. Frank Peters of the Department of Near Eastern Studies at New York University, Prof. Stuart Miller of the University of Connecticut kindly agreed to join the dissertation committee and made a significant number of important suggestions both before and after the dissertation was defended. Prof. William Arnal of New York University offered valid suggestions regarding early Christian documents relevant to my research.

I owe special thanks to Prof. Peter Schäfer of Princeton University for reading and commenting on my manuscript, as well as for including this work in his series Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism. I further thank my colleagues at the Department of Religious Studies, DePaul University, especially Prof. Frida Kerner Furman and Prof. Naomi Steinberg, for their collegiality and support that enabled me to sail through the editorial process and to prepare my book for publication. My special thanks go to Matthias Spitzner of Mohr Siebeck, whose suggestions proved to be indispensable during the preparation of a camera-ready copy of my manuscript. I also would like to thank an old friend, Dr. Oleg Rodionov of the Institute of Universal History, Russian Academy of Sciences, for both engaging intellectual conversations, which we have had for many years, and for his assistance in producing and formatting the final copy of this text.

Last but not least, this book serves as a sign of profound gratefulness and appreciation for my parents, Tamara and Michael Sivertsev, who created that atmosphere of care and academic pursuit in our family that shaped me as a scholar.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Central Institutions of the Jewish self-government in Roman Palestine in the Modern Historiography: Theories and Problems	1
Local Institutions of the Jewish self-government in Roman Palestine in Modern Historiography	8
Some Methodological Considerations	13
Part I:	
Jewish Patriarchs: Civic Magnates or Political Leaders?	19
Chapter 1: Political and Civic Leadership in the Roman Near East and the Formation of the Second-Third Century Jewish Aristocracy	21
Philological Ambiguity of Official Titles used in the Roman Near East and its Connection with Contemporary Social Processes	21 34
Chapter 2: Titles "Nasi" and "Patriarch" as possible designation for Jewish civic officials	40
Title "Nasi" as possible designation for local civic officials	40 52
Chapter 3: Gamalilean "Nesi'im": National Leaders or Local Magnates?	66
Royal Claims of the Local Municipal Magnates in the Roman Near East Family History of the Gamalilean Nesi'im: From Second Commonwealth	66
Aristocracy to Davidic Descendants	73 84
Part II:	
Jewish Aristocratic Households and Their Public Functions in Third-Early Fifth Century Palestine	95
Chapter 4: Clan-based public institutions during the Late Second Temple Period	97
Private courts in the Gospels	

Chapter 5: Aristocratic Households and their Public Functions during the Rabbinic Period11	7
"Those of the house of R. X" as Halakhic Authorities in Rabbinic texts	
Chapter 6: Private Courts and Judicial System in Rabbinic Palestine 14 Individual judges and consilium-type courts in Rabbinic texts	13
Chapter 7: Private Synagogues and Houses of Study in 3 rd -5 th Century Palestine and their Connections with Aristocratic Households	51 59
Part III: Creation of the Bureaucratic Apparatus in the Early Byzantine Empire and the Jewish Ruling Elite	35
Chapter 8: Jewish Governing Structures and their Place in the Late Roman Near East: An Overview	
during the Second-Third Centuries C.E	
Chapter 9: The Formation of the Patriarchal Administrative Apparatus in the Fourth Century	
The Formation of the Centralized Administrative Apparatus in the Fourth Century	
Chapter 10: Jewish Patriarchs and the Formation of the Bureaucratic Apparatus in the Fourth Century Byzantine Empire	28 31
Conclusion	5
Bibliography	59

Central Institutions of the Jewish self-government in Roman Palestine in the Modern Historiography: Theories and Problems

The modern study of the history of the Jewish self-government in the Rabbinic period has been in many ways shaped and predetermined by the magisterial work of Heinrich Graetz. In a sense he created a paradigmatic history that was followed with some adjustments and variations by most twentieth century scholars who dealt with Jewish political, social and economic history in Late Antiquity. In the fourth volume of his Geschichte Graetz for the first time in modern Jewish scholarship attempted to create a consistent picture of the major Jewish institutions of the Rabbinic period, using both Jewish and non-Jewish sources. One cannot fail to discern that the underlying idea of his study was to demonstrate that in that period Jewish life was governed by the ideal of unity and unanimity in both the religious and political spheres.² Despite the challenges that this ideal would encounter from both within and without Jewish society, the most successful and praiseworthy leaders (such as Judah the Prince) were capable of preserving it. As a result, the predominant agenda that runs throughout Graetz's work is to depict the harmonious edifice of the Jewish social, religious and political structure during the rabbinic period. All possible disruptions of this structure are seen as unfortunate deviations caused by historical circumstances and the weakness of individual Jewish leaders.3

Graetz created the paradigm of two major Jewish governing institutions in the Rabbinic period: the patriarchate and the sanhedrin. Their interaction ensured the successful and harmonious development of contemporary Jewish society. Graetz applied the term "sanhedrin" in the sense of the

¹ For my discussion of Graetz and his contribution I am greatly indebted to the most recent critical evaluation of his work by Catherine Hezser, Social Structure of the Rabbinic Movement in Roman Palestine, 1-4. For the historical and academic background of Graetz's work see Schorsch, From Text to Context: the Turn to History in Modern Judaism, Waltham: Brandeis University Press, 1994.

² Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 29-30 and 170.

³ Cf. the discussion of the controversy between Rabban Gamaliel and R. Eliezer in Graetz, *Geschichte*, vol. 4, 44. Cf. also his discussion of the rabbinic resistance to R. Shimon b. Gamaliel on pp. 186-187.

supreme national ruling body to both the "court" and the "academy" of Rabbinic texts, without distinguishing between the two. According to him, this institution fulfilled both academic and administrative functions, thus representing an ideal combination of learning and practical authority. Graetz saw the rabbis as members of the sanhedrin who thus played a role as the religious and administrative leaders of the Jewish people. In terms of its authority as a central Jewish institution, the rabbinic sanhedrin was perceived as a direct continuation of the Second Temple sanhedrin. Unlike its predecessor, however, the post-destruction Sanhedrin was firmly controlled by the rabbis and thus became a major vehicle for the dissemination of Rabbinic Judaism. The latter constituted a religious dimension of the overall trend towards unanimity among Jews exemplified by the sanhedrin and the patriarchate.⁴

According to Graetz, Jewish patriarchs (nesi'im) enjoyed a semi-royal status as supreme leaders of Jewish people throughout the period under discussion.⁵ Still, their internal status was to a large degree determined by their relationship with the sanhedrin and, specifically, by their own status as scholars. Jewish fortunes achieved their highest point when the patriarchs themselves were recognized scholars and as such were capable of leading the other members of the sanhedrin. Judging from Rabbinic narratives about Rabban Gamaliel II and R. Judah the Prince, Graetz considered these two patriarchs (especially the second one) as most successful Jewish leaders who got closest to establishing harmonious unity within the Jewish society. As a result, it was the period of R. Judah the Prince (early third century C.E.) that merited the name of the "golden age" of Palestinian Jewry in Late Antiquity. It was due to their status as presidents of the sanhedrin and to their personal learning that some patriarchs enjoyed recognition and respect among the Jews.⁶ The crisis came with the decline of knowledge and scholarly learning of the individual patriarchs after the death of R. Judah the Prince. They began to encounter increasing opposition from the sanhedrin and to loose their authority among the Jews. Steadily patriarchs became purely political leaders and as such they received recognition and honors from the Roman government. 8 Still, without the "scholarly" dimension of their authority patriarchs no longer fulfilled the expectations of their co-religionists. The patriarchate was abolished in the early fifth century C.E. as a result of antisemitic trends in Christian Byzantine. Immediately afterwards the

⁴ See, for example, Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 14-15 and 170.

⁵ Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 62.

⁶ Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 192-195.

⁷ Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 221-227.

⁸ Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 304.

Byzantine Emperor split the central sanhedrin thus effectively ending the period of relative independence of the Palestinian Jewry. According to Graetz, this constituted a logical conclusion to the ever worsening conditions that the Jews experienced under the power of Christian Byzantium and her emperors.⁹

As a whole, Graetz outlined the primary chronological framework of Palestinian Jewish history in the Late Antiquity. In his scheme, after the period of crisis in the first-second centuries C.E. connected with two unsuccessful revolts against Rome Jews experience a period of political and religious revival which reached its acme under R. Judah the Prince. This revival was characterized by perfect collaboration between the patriarch and the sanhedrin that led to the creation of the centralized administrative apparatus run by the Talmudic scholars (rabbis). After the death of R. Judah the Prince Jewish fortunes entered into the period of decline caused, on the one hand, by a rupture between the increasingly "secularized" patriarchs and the scholars of the sanhedrin, and by antisemitic policies of the christianized Byzantine Empire, on the other. Until very recently this scheme was the unchallenged basis for all major studies in Jewish history in the Rabbinic period.

Most of the post-Graetz studies dealing with Jewish self-government in the Rabbinic period have tried to define and clarify certain aspects of Graetz's theory, rather than to question its basics. As a result, in the first three quarters of the twentieth century the scholarship witnessed repeated attempts to elaborate on certain aspects of Graetz's conclusions and specifically to demonstrate how his system would work in the real historical world. One possible direction of study was to research in detail the system of Jewish self-government proposed by Graetz, in order to see how it would actually function. H. Mantel's study of the sanhedrin and G. Alon's two volume Jews in their Land in the Talmudic Age made the most thorough attempts to investigate a proposed symbiosis of the patriarchate and the sanhedrin, as if the sanhedrin had been a real institution of Jewish self-government. Both authors elaborated many of Graetz's earlier assumptions about the centralized authority of the patriarchs who used sages as their local representatives and who managed to maintain a close eye on individual Jewish communities. 10 The patriarchs' exclusive prerogatives included the appointment of communal officials, intercalation of the calendar, and authority in certain halakhic issues. Sages served as both members of the central sanhedrin and local representatives of the patriarch, who had to ensure compliance with rabbinic legislation on the

⁹ Graetz, Geschichte, vol. 4, 358-359.

¹⁰ See Mantel, Studies in the History of the Sanhedrin, 175-253. Cf. Alon, Jews in their Land in the Talmudic Age, vol. 1, 206-252 and vol. 2, 705-737.

level of individual Jewish communities.¹¹ As a result, both authors came up with a picture of all-pervasive patriarchal governing apparatus that functioned through an extensive network of rabbinic agents.

Instead of discussing internal aspect of the administrative functioning of the rabbinic government, M. Avi-Yonah attempted to examine the social and political history of the Palestinian Jewry against general contemporary developments in the Roman and Byzantine Near East. 12 Unfortunately his emphasis on general trends in political and economic history prevented him from comparing Jewish governing institutions with those of other native Near Eastern peoples. Avi-Yonah does not attempt to compare the structure of the Jewish self-government that allegedly existed in those times to other local governing structures in the contemporary Near East. As a result, his study can be summarized as an attempt to make the major points of Graetz's scheme fit into a general picture of the contemporary history without ever questioning its basic assumptions. Methodologically Avi-Yonah followed Graetz in using rabbinic sources of both Palestinian and Babylonian provenance as generally reliable historical evidence. He also accepted Graetz's chronology and his overall notion of the nature of the centralized Jewish self-government. 13

The real challenge to the historical edifice constructed by Graetz came only with the revision of some of the basic methodological principles used in his study. The first significant revision resulted from a newly formulated approach to Rabbinic literature as a historical source. Starting with the research of Jacob Neusner and Jonah Fraenkel on the historicity of the rabbinic narratives, it has become increasingly obvious that one can hardly approach these texts with the same criteria of historical reliability as one approaches, for example, Josephus. 14 The very structure and plot of most of the rabbinic narratives appear to have been thoroughly dominated by rhetorical and religious considerations, while historical trustworthiness played almost no role in the eyes of their creators and/or readers. These general observations are especially relevant in respect to the narratives in the Babylonian Talmud (Bavli) about Palestinian events. It has been extensively argued, first by D. Goodblatt and then by J. Rubenstein, that many (if not most) of the Babylonian stories about

¹¹ See Mantel, Studies, 188-206 and Alon, Jews in their Land, vol. 1, 234-237.

¹² See Avi-Yonah, The Jews of Palestine, Oxford, 1976.

¹³ See for example Avi-Yonah, The Jews of Palestine, 54-64.

¹⁴ See Neusner, Development of a Legend: Studies on the Traditions Concerning Yohanan ben Zakkai, Leiden, 1970; idem, Eliezer ben Hyrcanus: The Tradition and the Man, Leiden, 1973; Fraenkel, Darkhei ha-Aggadah weha-Midrash, Masada, 1991. Cf. also Green, "What's in a Name? – The Problematic of Rabbinic 'Biography," Approaches to Ancient Judaism: Theory and Practice, ed. William Scott Green, Missoula: Scholars Press, 1978, 77-96 for summary of methodological issues.

Palestinian historical characters are not only late, but also reflect the contemporary Babylonian, rather than Palestinian, historical setting. 15 One of their most persistent features is the representation of a fairly organized bureaucratic structure of the Jewish institutions, such as the academy and court. These two are usually depicted as ruled by the patriarch (nasi) single-handedly and in an authoritarian way. These stories also imply a high degree of internal organization among the rabbis, as well as their significant influence among the wider Jewish population. However, there are reasons to believe that from the historical point of view many elements of this picture are firmly grounded in the reality of the Jewish community of Sassanian Babylonia and is quite anachronistic as far as late Roman and Byzantine Palestine is concerned. Still, most of the conclusions about the "golden age" of R. Judah the Prince and his immediate predecessors, reached by H. Graetz and his followers, were based on these Babylonian sources. Sometimes, relevant Palestinian texts were read in the light of their Babylonian versions. 16

At the same time many historians have expressed serious reservations regarding Palestinian rabbinic sources as well. It has been suggested that many of them could intentionally sacrifice historical reality in order to draw an idealistic picture of a religiously perfect and harmonious Jewish society based on strict observance of biblical laws in their rabbinic interpretation. These reservations have been especially strong about the texts that allegedly describe a central governmental institution of the sanhedrin. In his Studies on the Hasmonean Period, Joshua Efron has forcefully argued that the rabbinic references to a supreme Pharisaic or rabbinic court must be seen as idealized and fictional. 17 According to him, they depict an imaginary institution which their authors thought had existed in biblical times. Efron has also observed the lack of external evidence for the central sanhedrin (especially in the Rabbinic period). All this taken together led him to the conclusion that no such central institution probably existed in real history. Lee Levine and David Goodblatt later reiterated Efron's conclusions and rejected the very existence of a central,

¹⁵ See Goodblatt, "Al Sipur ha-'Kasher' neged Rabban Shimon ben Gamaliel," 349-374 and Rubenstein, *Talmudic Stories*, 176-211. Similar conclusions have been reached by Rosenthal, "Masorot Erets-Yisraeliot we-Darkhan le-Bavel," *Cathedra* 92 (1999), 7-48. Cf. also Goldenberg, "The Deposition of Rabban Gamaliel," 167-190.

¹⁶ In addition to the works of Alon, Avi-Yonah, Graetz and Mantel already mentioned above, for an example of undiscriminating use of early Palestinian, late Palestinian and Babylonian sources see otherwise impeccable Lieberman, "Palestine in the Third and Fourth Centuries," *JQR* 36 (1946), 329-370 and 37 (1947), 31-54. Cf. Levine, Caesarea under Roman Rule, Leiden, 1975 and Rabbinic Class of Roman Palestine in Late Antiquity, Jerusalem, 1989.

¹⁷ Efron, Studies on the Hasmonean Period, 287-338.

national sanhedrin in the post-Destruction period (and, perhaps, even prior to it).¹⁸ As a result, one of the two major Jewish ruling institutions identified by Graetz has been firmly moved into the realm of literary fiction.

Interestingly enough, both Levine and Goodblatt tended to emphasize the significance of the other major institution, namely the patriarchate, precisely at the expense of the sanhedrin. Neither of them has proposed any substantial revision to the traditional perception of the patriarchs as semi-royal leaders of the Jewish people. Moreover, with the sanhedrin effectively moved out of the picture, the patriarchate acquired additional significance as the only central Jewish institution of that time. 19 Still. essentially the same methodological problems that worked against the rabbinic sanhedrin were equally relevant to the supreme authority of the patriarchs in the time of R. Judah the Prince. An additional problem is presented by the chronological inconsistency of Jewish and non-Jewish (Greek and Latin) sources about this office. As has been observed by Levine, most of the Jewish sources that deal with the patriarchal dynasty describe the period of the second-late third centuries C.E.20 There major characters are Rabban Gamaliel II, R. Judah the Prince and R. Judah Nesia who lived during this period. All three of them are depicted as influential scholars and people who exercised a considerable degree of public authority, but these texts say nothing about their supreme political status as leaders of the Jewish people. In fact, patriarchs are often shown in conflict with other contemporary scholars who sometimes exercise a comparable degree of influence and authority. On the other hand, Greek and Latin sources depict the patriarchs as the supreme religious and national leaders of the Jews, not only in Palestine but also in the Diaspora. Still, most of these texts originate in the fourth century C.E., or at least not later than the late third century C.E. (Origen), i.e. precisely in the moment when the abundant rabbinic information about the patriarchs virtually disappears. Many earlier scholars have followed a very questionable methodology of reading the Greek and Latin texts back into the third century C.E. (the times of R. Judah the Prince and R. Judah Nesia). Once this approach is rejected, we are left with the situation of chronologically discrepant sources that need to be harmonized with each other. The most sensible way to do so seems to be to analyze each group of texts on its own and then to

¹⁸ See Levine, Rabbinic Class, 78-82 and Goodblatt, Monarchic Principle, 77-130 and 232-276.

¹⁹ See Goodblatt, *Monarchic Principle*, 131-143 and Levine, "Jewish Patriarch (Nasi) in Third Century Palestine," 649-688.

²⁰ For chronological inconsistency between the Jewish and non-Jewish sources, see Levine, "Status of the Patriarch in the Third and Fourth Centuries," 1-32.

compare the results. It is indeed possible that the increased external attention to the patriarchs can be explained by the increasing public role that they began to play in the fourth century C.E.

Unlike Levine and Goodblatt, Martin Goodman has questioned the degree to which the patriarchs enjoyed supreme authority prior to the fourth century C.E.²¹ Refusing to read Roman sources back into the earlier period, he comes to the conclusion that the full-fledged authority of the patriarchs as national Jewish leaders developed only in the late fourth century C.E. Prior to that moment the patriarchs might have had considerable influence as local Jewish leaders in Galilee, but their authority hardly extended beyond this. Shaye Cohen has reached similar conclusions in his discussion of the patriarchal authority in the synagogue administration.²² Among more recent authors, Martin Jacobs has reviewed all major Jewish and non-Jewish sources about the patriarchs in his book Die Institution des jüdischen Patriarchen. He finds most of the rabbinic sources about the unique authority of the patriarchs to be inconclusive.²³ Once again, it is in the later Greek and Latin sources that patriarchs appear in their capacity of political leaders.²⁴ As far as the third century rabbinic texts are concerned, it remains unclear whether any of the nesi'im mentioned there was deemed absolutely superior to other rabbis in their authority. In fact, the nasi of the rabbinic sources appears to be a local authority, rather than a national leader. As a whole, once the methodological approach used by Graetz and his followers began to be increasingly questioned, the whole structure of central Jewish leadership suggested by them started to fall apart.

²¹ See Goodman, "Roman State and the Jewish Patriarch in the Third Century," 127-139 and State and Society in Roman Galilee, 111-118.

²² See Cohen, "Pagan and Christian Evidence on the Ancient Synagogue," 159-181.

²³ See Jacobs, Die Institution des jüdischen Patriarchen, 124-205.

²⁴ See Jacobs, Die Institution des jüdischen Patriarchen, 259-316.

Local Institution of the Jewish self-government in Roman Palestine in Modern Historiography

Roughly contemporary with the attempt to draw a detailed and elaborated picture of the central institutions of Jewish self-government there was another trend in modern historiography. This trend has focused on the issue of local Jewish governing institutions and those who ran them. Among the first who pursued this direction of studies were A. Büchler with his famous work *The Political and Social Leaders of Sepphoris* and S. Klein, whose *Sefer ha-Yishuv* started the series of studies about local centers and settlements in the Land of Israel during the Rabbinic period. Later, scholars began to concentrate on specific (predominantly urban) centers, such Lod, Sepphoris and Tiberias, or regional history (studies of the Jewish settlement in Darom and various parts of Galilee). Later and the series of studies are concentrated to specific (predominantly urban) centers, such Lod, Sepphoris and Tiberias, or regional history (studies of the Jewish settlement in Darom and various parts of Galilee).

This type of study by necessity narrowed the perspective of the researcher from the alleged central Jewish institutions to local selfgovernment. The latter was usually identified with either municipal or village authorities, as well as with the local aristocratic establishment. Originally scholars (and specifically A. Büchler) tended to draw sharp distinctions between the local Jewish aristocrats and the rabbinic elite. The former were perceived as rich and mostly uneducated 'am ha-arets (the Jews who did not follow rabbinic laws and requirements). Their relationship with the rabbis varied from hostile indifference to open animosity, and the two groups competed for the allegiance of the larger Jewish population.²⁷ In spite of all the mistakes associated with this approach, it made a considerable historiographic contribution by recognizing the existence of such a social group as the local Jewish aristocracy. It was also correct in observing the crucial role that this group played in local economic, judicial and administrative life. During this period in the Roman East it was precisely the city-based local aristocracy

²⁵ Büchler, The Political and Social Leaders of the Jewish Community of Sepphoris in the Second and Third Centuries, London, 1909; Klein, Sefer ha-Yishuv, vol. 1, Jerusalem, 1939. See Felix-Marie Abel, Geographie de la Palestine, vol. 1-2. Paris, 1933-38 for the first comprehensive treatment of the historical geography of the Land of Israel in late antiquity. For more recent discussion see Claudine Dauphine, La Palestine byzantine: peuplement et populations, vol. 1-3. Oxford, 1998. Cf. also Avi-Yonah, Holy Land from the Persian to the Arab Conquests. Michigan, 1966.

²⁶ See, for example, Schwartz, Lod (Lydda), Israel, Oxford, 1991; Oppenheimer, "Jewish Lydda in the Roman Era," 115-136 and Ha-Galil bi-Tekufat ha-Mishnah, Jerusalem, 1991; Levine, Caesarea under Roman Rule, Leiden, 1975; Miller, Studies in the History and Traditions of Sepphoris, Leiden, 1984.

²⁷ See Büchler, *Political and Social Leaders*, 7-49. For criticism of Büchler's approach see Miller, "Those Cantankerous Sepphoreans Revisited," 543-550.

that truly ran local affairs and represented the natives before the Roman government.²⁸ From now on Jewish internal history of the Rabbinic period would become firmly grounded in the contemporary reality of the Roman world.

In the realm of rabbinic studies, this approach corresponded to some important observations made as part of the elaboration of Graetz's theory by later scholars. In spite of the general tendency to maintain as much as possible of Graetz's basic conclusions, their research has led these scholars to a number of important revisions. Starting with G. Alon there has been an increasing sense that the local Jewish institutions that challenged the central authority of the patriarchs and the sanhedrin were not merely unfortunate deviations from the "golden path" of centralized harmony as charted by Graetz. Rather they constituted an essential part of Jewish selfgovernment. Discussing the period of Yohanan ben Zakkai Alon introduced a pattern of local Rabbinic centers that were controlled by Rabbinic masters other than the nasi and which were either independent of the central school, or even stood in direct confrontation with it.²⁹ Later on. A. Oppenheimer has developed this pattern into a full-fledged theory of local Amoraic centers in Palestine.³⁰ In his view multi-polar structure of Jewish authority in the Rabbinic period started right after the destruction of the Second Temple, when Yavneh was only one of several competing centers of influence in Palestine. While the time of Rabban Gamaliel II and R. Judah the Prince witnessed an increasing centralization of authority in the hands of patriarchally controlled rabbinic centers, after the death of R. Judah several other independent centers of halakhic learning would emerge, most noticeably in the South (Darom) and Caesarea. To a certain degree this process can be explained by the virtual withdrawal of the patriarchs from running the academy in favor of purely political leadership. The religious leadership of the people was now entrusted to the sages who tended to establish several independent centers. From that point on, one can hardly speak of centralized rabbinic authority. Rather, there were several rabbinic centers that enjoyed roughly equal local authority and often challenged the authority of the patriarchs. 31

²⁸ See Stemberger, Jews and Christians in the Holy Land, 9.

²⁹ See Alon, Jews, Judaism and the Classical World, 318-343.

³⁰ Oppenheimer, *Ha-Galil bi-Tekufat ha-Mishnah*, Jerusalem, 1991, 88-89 and "Batei-Midrashot be-Erets-Yisrael Bereshit Tekufat ha-Amoraim," *Cathedra* 8 (1978), 80-89. Cf. brief discussion of this problem in Levine, *Rabbinic Class of Roman Palestine*, 28-29.

³¹ The interest to the local centers of Rabbinic learning on the part of modern scholars coincided with the attempts to associate particular Rabbinic texts with one or another of these centers. See Lieberman, *Talmudah shel Keisarin*, Jerusalem, 1931 and *Sifre Zuta: Midrashah shel Lod*, New York, 1968. For the most recent criticism of this approach see

Büchler's attempt to represent the rabbinic elite and the non-rabbinic Jewish aristocracy as two totally separate and hostile entities was substantially revised and virtually abandoned by subsequent generations of scholars. Although both E. Urbach and G. Alon have essentially accepted a distinction between these two social groups as the point of departure for their discussions, they drew from it different conclusions.³² Both scholars have depicted Jewish social history of the rabbinic period as a series of attempts on the part of the patriarchs to reconcile the interests of the rabbinic and non-rabbinic elites to the point of merging them into one social entity. These attempts led to the emergence of the new Jewish elite that incorporated both the earlier non-Rabbinic aristocracy, and at least some groups of the Rabbinic sages. These efforts obviously met resistance. at least on the part of some rabbis, but were ultimately successful. Later on this theory has been upheld and further developed by J. Schwartz.³³ He demonstrated regarding the example of the local government of Lydda (Lod) a very high degree of cooperation and integration between the rabbinic and non-rabbinic local elites. For all practical purposes the two of them can be described as members of the same social group. Schwartz has argued that rabbis and "non-rabbinic" municipal aristocrats not only regularly interacted on an informal basis (common feasts, visits, etc.) but also tended to establish matrimonial ties between their families. In other words, on the practical level distinctions between the two were virtually non-existent. At the same time, both groups displayed a high degree of local patriotism, distinguishing themselves from Jewish elites of other places (such as Galilee). In other words, borderlines had to be drawn not between different groups within the local municipal elite, but rather between regional elites of different areas in Palestine. Once again, in this case differences in attitude displayed among the so-called "rabbinic" and "non-rabbinic" elites did not exist. 34

In recent years scholars have observed the fact that Rabbinic sources often tend to identify both named rabbis and anonymous groups of authorities by the name of their native cities (or towns). Stuart Miller has convincingly argued that the *Zippora'ei* of Palestinian rabbinic texts can

Hezser, Social Structure of the Rabbinic Movement, 201 and Form, Function and Historical Significance of the Rabbinic Story, 321-336.

³² See Alon, Jews, Judaism and the Classical World, 374-435 and Urbach, "Ma'amad we-Hanhagah be-'Olamam shel Hakhme Eres Yisrael," 38-74.

³³ Schwartz, "Al Zonen u-Veno Beytos," 108-122 and Lod (Lydda), Israel, 84-86.

³⁴ Cf. also discussion in Rosenfeld, "Hakhamim u-Va'ale-Batim be-Yavneh bi-Tekufat Yavneh," 60-71. He illustrates pretty much the same social development as Schwartz on the example of Yavneh and the later Darom.

Index of Sources

Rabbinic Sources

M	lishnah		1.1-2	141
	Pe'ah		1.3	152
	2.4	135	11.2	109
	Terumot		3.1-2	141, 153
	3.4	118	4.2	105
	Ma 'aserot		4.3-4	87
	2.1	137	6.1	147
	Ma'aser Sheni		6.4	92
	4.2	153	7.2	105
	Shabbat		Makkot	
	1.4	135, 170, 171, 172	3.12	57
	1.9	133	Eduyot	
	23.2	119	3.10	133
	Yoma		5.6	92, 158
	1.5	44	Avodah Zarah	
	Rosh Hashanah		4.7	43
	1.7	105	Avot	
	1.8	219	1.4	177, 211
	2.7	158	Horayot	
	2.8	176	2.5	90
	Ta 'anit	170	3.3	90
	1.4	46, 51	Zevahim	
	2.1	42, 89, 158	1.3	88
	Hagigah	12, 05, 150	Keritot	
	2.2	45, 88, 92, 158	6.9	126
	Yevamot	43, 66, 72, 136	Middot	
	1.4	126	5.4	109
	16.7	208	Ohalot	
	Ketubot	200	17.5	105
	1.2	105	18.9	171
	1.5	105	Miqvaot	
	5.6	126	4.1	171
	Nedarim	120	Yadaim	
	5.5	47, 50, 167	3.1	158
	Sotah	17, 20, 107		
	9.5	43, 44	Tosefta	
	Gittin	15, 11	Berakhot	
	4.2	153	2.6	147
	5.6	148, 171	3.2	158
	6.7	153	4.18	180
	Sanhedrin		5.24	136
	1.10	147	Sheviʻit	150
	1.10	4 11	DILLY II	

		• • •	
1.1	148	2.29	126
4.17	148	Sanhedrin	
4.21	171	1.1	141, 152
Pesahim		1.1-2	141
10.11	177	11.7	147
10.12	175	2.1	158
3(2).11	177	2.6	105
3.11	119	4.3	89
4.14	41	7.1	128
Yoma		7.11	43
1.4	112	7.2	158
4.2	183	7.8	45, 88, 157, 158, 161
Bezah		7.8-9	157
1.22	135	8.1	87, 88
2.12	134	9.1	105
2.13	134, 176	Shevuot	
2.14	134	3.8	152
2.16	135	Eduyot	
Rosh Hashana	h	Í.1	172
1(2).18	36	Avodah Zarah	
1.18	44	3.5	187
Ta 'anit		4.4	47
2.8	42, 89, 158	Horayot	
3.6	77	2.2	90
Megillah	• •	2.5	126
2.12	36	Menahot	120
2.15	36	13.21	102, 103, 108
2.17	166	Bekhorot	102, 105, 106
2.5	208	6.10	126
3(4).30	135	Kelim Bava Ba	
3.21	57	2.2	181
4(3).41	179	2.4	88
	179	Ohalot	00
Hagigah 2.8	89, 92, 158	18.17	171
Yevamot	89, 92, 138	4.2	167
12.11	43		107
	43	Negaim 8.2	126 127
Ketubot	181		126, 127
11.5-7		Tahorot	150
9.3	118	10.11 9.14	158
Sotah	125 172		171
13.3	135, 172	Miqvaot	171
13.3-4	172	7.10	171
13.4	172	7.11	171
13.7	103, 122	Yadaim	101
15.8	187	2.16	181
7.9	183		
Gittin		Yerushalmi	
3.13	36	Berakhot	
5.1	171	3.1 (6a)	198
Bava Metsia		6.1 (10b)	136
11.1-3	181	6.4 (10c)	136
11.23	167	Pe'ah	

2.4 (17a)	135	8.1 (11a)	135
4.6 (18c)	214	9.2 (12a)	105
7.4 (20b)	182, 183, 184	Eruvin	
8.5 (20d)	138	3.1 (20d)	138
8.6 (21a)	41, 43	4.4 (22a)	182
8.7 (21a)	36, 37, 47, 214	4.8 (22a)	135
8.7-8 (21a)	214	5.1 (22b)	130
8.8 (21b)	168	Pesahim	
8.9 (21b)	214	6.1 (33a)	41, 75, 84, 85
Demai		Sheqalim	
1.3 (22a)	122, 123, 176	2.7 (47a)	127
Kilayim		3.2 (47c)	135
9.4 (32a-b)	84, 85	5.6 (49a)	214
Sheviʻit		5.6 (49b)	168, 183
10.4		5.7 (49b)	183
(9) (39d)	118	7.1 (50a)	57
2.4 (33d)	137	Sukkah	
4.2 (35a)	172, 173	4.2 (54b)	138
6.1 (36c)	123, 171, 175, 180	Bezah	
6.1 (36d)	214	1.6 (60c)	124, 182
8.6 (38b)	138	1.9 (60d)	118, 129
8.7 (38b)	137	Rosh Hashanah	!
9.1 (38c)	118, 129	1.6 (57b)	214, 219
9.2 (38d)	41	2.5 (58b)	46
9.9 (39a)	127	2.6 (58b)	158
Ma'aserot		Ta 'anit	
2.1 (49c)	137	1.2 (64a)	57, 183
3.6 (50d)	137	1.3 (64b)	122, 123
4.6 (51c)	138	1.4 (64b)	44
5.1 (51c)	151	2.1 (65a)	89
Ma'aser Sheni		3.2 (66c)	176
1.1 (52c)	171, 172	4.1 (67d)	88, 89, 119, 158
Bikkurim		Megillah	
3.3 (65c)	45, 88, 89, 158	2.2 (73a)	118, 129
Shabbat	, , ,	3.1 (73d)	165, 169, 179, 182
1.1 (3a)	127	3.2 (74a)	124, 170
1.4 (3c)	125	3.2 (74c)	167
1.5 (3a)	139	4.10 (75c)	180
10.5(12c)	128	4.12 (75c)	182
12.3 (13c)	48, 119, 126, 159, 182	4.3~(75a)	129
18.3 (16c)	118	4.5 (75b)	167
19.1 (16d)	181	Moʻed Qatan	
2.1 (4c)	151	2.3 (81b)	41
2.1 (4d)	153	3.1 (81c)	153
20.1 (17c)	178, 179	3.1 (81d)	45, 50, 88, 89, 146,
3.1 (5c)	127, 128	158	,,,,
3.7 (6c)	138	3.5 (82c)	151
4.2 (7a)	178, 182	3.7 (83c)	127
6.1 (7d)	138	Hagigah	
6.2 (8a)	167	1.7 (76c)	212, 213, 219
6.9 (8c)	124	1.7 (76c) 1.8 (76c)	44, 50, 156
7.2 (10a)	127	1.8 (76c-d)	38
1.2 (104)	121	1.0 (70c-u)	20

		~	
2.1 (77b)	174, 183	Sanhedrin	
2.2 (77d)	40, 42, 85, 88, 92	1.1 (18a)	57, 152
Yevamot		1.1 (18a-b)	151, 158
12.7 (13d)	167, 213	1.1 (18a-c)	141
2.6 (3d)	118	1.1 (18b)	143
7.2 (8a)	123, 180	1.2 (18c)	151, 152, 158, 160,
8.3 (9d)	47, 102		176
Ketubot		1.2 (18d)	151
10.5 (34a)	49	1.2 (18d-19a)208
12.3		1.2 (19a)	41, 88, 152, 208
(34d-35b)	198	1.2 (19b)	189
12.3 (35a)	41, 43, 75, 127, 160,	1.7 (19c)	87
	203	10.1 (28a)	167, 179, 181, 182
12.3 (35b)	127	11.7 (5)	
13.1 (35d)	143	(30b)	41
4.8 (28d)	171	2.1 (19d-20a)121
9.2 (33a)	125, 154, 155	2.6 (20c)	90
Nedarim		2.6 (20d)	121
10.10 (42b)	44, 156	3.5 (21b)	173
11.10 (42b)	38	6.9 (23c)	41, 85, 92
6.1 (39c)	138	8.1 (26a-b)	41
7.13 (40a)	208	Shevuot	
Nazir		7.2 (37d)	145
7.1 (56b)	128	Avodah Zarah	
Sotah		1.2 (39c)	253
3.4 (19a)	149	2.2 (41a)	187
9.1 (23c)	152	2.8 (41d)	143
9.10 (24a)	143	3.1 (42c)	126
9.17 (24c)	126	4.1 (43d)	138
Qiddushin		5.1 (44c)	138
1.4 (60c)	138	Horayot	
1.7 (61c)	138	1.1 (45c)	151
2.1 (62c)	144	3.2 (47a)	121, 122, 123
4.1 (65c)	102	3.4 (48a)	183, 209
4.1 (65d)	47	3.4 (48b)	182, 183
Bava Qamma		3.5 (48c)	159
10.12 (7c)	135	3.7 (48c)	48, 119, 126
8.4-5 (6c)	118	3.9 (48c)	182
Bava Metsia		Niddah	
2.11 (8d)	127, 182, 183	1.5 (49b)	118
4.1 (9c)	129	2.1 (49a)	129
4.2 (9c)	144	2.1 (49d)	118
4.2 (9d)	118	2.6 (50b)	151, 152
5.6 (8) (10c)		` '	•
Bava Batra		D1:	
10.14 (17d)	150	Bavli	
2.3 (13b)	144, 145	Pesahim	75
3.3 (14a)	144	66a	75
6.2 (15c)	182	Sanhedrin	76.06
9.1 (16d)	149	5a	76, 86
9.6 (17a)	49	Horayot	76.06
9.6 (8) (17a)		11b	76, 86
- · · · (°) (• / · /			

	52
162 158,	249
Bereshit Rabbah	
1.14 128 219 44	
13.9 195 221 92	
33 3 43 75 127 160 167 238 44	
48.3 118 26 36	
59.6 44 306 41, 4	4
63 198 334 37	
78.12 49 34 126	
78.15 123 344 37	
80.1 90, 121 346 88, 1	52
251.1 180	
81.1 167, 213 38 176	
9.5 158 41 173	
97.10 76, 78, 86, 203 41.2 175	
98 76, 77, 81 41.3 43	
47.2 44	
Sifra	
5.1 90 Wayikra Rabbah 16.2 181	
Sifre Bemidbar 18.2 48	
115 182 18.4 46	
10	
2.1	
20.3	
2011 2	
30.7 47	
Sifre Devarim 35.12 167	•••
13 46 5.4 183,	209
144.5 148 9.3 211	

New Testament, Classical and Patristic Sources

Codex Theodo	sianus	16.8.8	89, 217, 220, 235
12.1.158	61	16.9.3	63, 224
12.1.165	61	2.1.10	62, 63
16.8.1	62, 195, 217, 236		
16.8.11	236	Cyril of Jerusale	m
16.8.13	56, 59, 217, 221, 236	Catechesis	
16.8.14	223	12.17	202, 79, 236
16.8.15	221, 235		, ,
16.8.16 16.8.17	241 224	Digests of Justin	ian 32
16.8.2	54, 195, 219	50.15.1.2-3	191
16.8.22 16.8.24	236 241		
16.8.29	196, 223, 225	Dio Cassius	
16.8.3	58	Historia	
16.8.4	195	LXVI.6.2	34

LXXI, 22.2	190	15.229-231	99
LXXI, 31.1	191	16.285	24
LXXIX, 31.	3 69	16.356-372	98
,		17.165-166	112
Paristancias		17.300	189
Epiphanius		17.317	28
Panarion	212	17.46-51	98
30.11.1	213 38	17.93-133	98
30.11.1-4	56, 219	18.107	117
30.11.4	223	18.269-284	189
30.11.6 30.11.7-12.1		18.88	189
30.4.2	202, 216, 236	19.305	167
30.4.2	74	20.11-14	34
30.4-12	250	20.131-133	189
30.7.1	157, 249	20.162-165	101
30.7.4	216	20.179	103
30.7. 4	210	20.179-181	100
		20.180	101, 102, 108
Eusebius		20.181	101, 122
Historia		20.197-201	100
3.12	85	20.199	100, 106
In Isaiam		20.201-203	101
18.1	38, 206	20.205	101, 120
29	236	20.208-210	101
		20.213-214	103
Historia Augusta		20.6-10	189
IIIStoria riagasta		20.0 10	105
Prescinius Nige	r	4.214	108
Prescinius Nige		4.214	
Prescinius Nige 7.9		4.214 W	108 189 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus	191	4.214 W 1.131-132	108 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5	191	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538	108 189 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome	191 191	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571	108 189 189 98, 99 99
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius	191 J 191 in Esaiam	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538	108 189 189 98, 99 99
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4	191 J 191 in Esaiam 236	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34	191 J 191 in Esaiam 236	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles	191 J 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3	191 J 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274	108 189 189 98, 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276	108 189 189 98, 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285	108 189 189 98, 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285 2.289	108 189 189 98, 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167 167
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16 Josephus	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285 2.289 2.309	108 189 189 98, 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167 167 189
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16 Josephus JA	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles 236	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285 2.289 2.309 2.331	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167 167 189 34
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16 Josephus JA 13.214	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles 236	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285 2.289 2.309 2.331 2.336	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167 167 189 34
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16 Josephus JA 13.214 14.117	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles 236	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.285 2.289 2.309 2.331 2.336 2.344	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 102 120 104 167 167 189 34 34
Prescinius Nige 7.9 Severus 9.5 Jerome Commentarius 3.4 De Antichristo 11.34 Epistles 57.3 John Chrysostom Adversus Iudae 16 Josephus JA 13.214 14.117 14.148	191 191 in Esaiam 236 in Dan. III 194 237 os et Gentiles 236 28 53 28	4.214 W 1.131-132 1.242 1.537 1.538 1.571 1.620 1.648-655 2.80 2.93 2.192-202 2.236 2.239-242 2.243-244 2.254-256 2.274-274 2.274-276 2.285 2.289 2.309 2.331 2.336	108 189 189 98, 99 99 98 98, 99 132 189 28 189 107 189 189 102 120 104 167 167 189 34

2.421	34	12 0 11	20 57
2.421	24	13.9-11	38, 57
2.426	109	14.43	108
2.443	107	14.46	108
2.534	107, 120	14.53	109, 110
2.556	103	14.54	109
2.566	103	15.43	35
2.588	116	Lk	20 57
2.570-571	113	12.11	38, 57
2.599	37	12.58	38, 142
4.158	74	12.58-59	142
7.47	39	22.4	112
Life	112 115	22.47	108
14.79	113, 115	22.50	108
17.92	115	22.52	112
18.94	115	22.54	108, 109
18.95	107, 116	22.55	109
27.134	37	23.50	35
29.144	115	John	
32.163-164	115, 116	18.10	108
35.175-176	115	18.15-18	109
38.191-194	74	18.18	108, 111
44.220	115	18.22	108
46.236	115	Acts	
48.246	116	13.5	108
66.368	115, 116	15.17	106
		19.14	103
Julian		19.21	211
Ep.		2.29	52
51	237	22.19	57
31	237	4.1	112
		4.1-3	112
Justin		4.26-27	38
Dialogue with T	• •	4.5-6	111
109	205, 206	4.8	38
17	205	5.21	106, 107, 111
		5.22	108
Libanius		6.9	167
Epistulae		7.35	38
1097	216	9.1-2	205, 206
1105	237	1 Cor	
1251	38	16.1-4	211
		2 Cor	
New Testament		11.32	28, 53
Mt		Gal	
10.17-20	57	2.10	211
20.25	38		
26.47	108	Origen	
26.50	108	De principiis	
		4.1.3	77, 78
26.51	108	Epistula ad Afr	
26.58	109		
Mk		20.14	91

In Isaiam 18.1-7

38

Palladius		Socrates		
Vita S. Joannis Chrysostomi		Historia Ecclesiastica		
15	225, 236	III, 20	201	
		Sozomenus		
Philo		Historia Ecclesiastica		
In Flace		5.22.1	63, 196, 217, 220	
74	53	5.3	23	
Leg				
209-224	189	Theodoret		
299-305	189	Eranistes		
		1	80, 202, 236	
December of Con-		Historia Ecclesiastica		
Procopius of Gaza In Isaiam		III, 20.2	201	

Index of Subjects

consilium 97, 99, 100, 106, 107, 111, agentes in rebus 241, 242, 243, 244, 246, 112, 115, 116, 140, 142, 144, 147, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156, 171, 188, 215, Alexandria 40, 42, 53, 64, 83, 131, 197 218, 255 Ananus 100, 101, 104, 105, 107, 120 Constantine the Great 13, 54, 59, 62, Antioch 38, 39, 188, 191, 209, 211, 216, 195, 198, 202, 218, 219, 220, 221, 229, 236, 257 court 2, 5, 40, 44, 49, 57, 85, 87, 88, 89, apostles 38, 74, 112, 154, 202, 204, 205, 206, 208, 210, 212, 213, 215, 218, 221, 91, 92, 98, 99, 101, 105, 106, 108, 109, 111, 114, 116, 120, 121, 123, 125, 141, 223, 224 arabarches 26, 27, 28, 69 142, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, Arcadius 56, 59, 60, 61, 62, 221, 224, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 226, 234, 236, 237 159, 160, 161, 171, 180, 187, 190, 193, archiereis 100, 102, 103, 106, 109 198, 207, 214, 218, 219, 222, 224, 229, 231, 237, 238, 239, 241, 242, 244, 245, archisynagogos 163, 164, 220, 233 archon 34, 37, 38, 39, 52, 219, 233 250, 251, 253, 255, 258 Argos 53 curia 58, 60, 61 av bet din 42, 43, 45, 88, 89, 92, 158 curiales 58, 219, 230, 240, 241, 243, 247, Avidius Cassius 190 248 bene kohanim gedolim 103, 105, 106 de-bet nesiah 90, 121 bet din 40, 44, 45, 87, 89, 92, 97, 105, defensor civitatis 242, 243, 244, 253 144, 145, 146, 148, 149, 153, 156, 158 Diaspora 6, 45, 53, 54, 56, 63, 64, 131, Bet ha-kneset 174 162, 163, 164, 167, 168, 169, 173, 176, bet midrash 165, 173, 174, 177, 178, 179, 177, 180, 183, 184, 197, 201, 204, 205, 180, 181, 182, 183, 210 208, 210, 211, 212, 216, 218, 222, 223, 232, 233, 258 Boethus b. Zonen 119, 175, 177, 178 boule 34, 35, 41, 48, 49, 57, 89, 250 Didascalus Annas 63 bouleutes 23 Dura-Europos 25, 26, 27, 28, 69, 163, 177 case stories 49, 134, 144, 145, 146, 148, 152, 178 Edessa 24, 25, 34, 66, 67, 68 elder 42, 43, 44, 46, 87, 90, 127, 153, clan 12, 24, 27, 28, 34, 72, 78, 79, 83, 84, 98, 99, 111, 112, 113, 119, 126, 142, 163, 164, 247 155, 196, 215, 217, 218, 231, 237, 249, elders of Baterah 84, 85 255, 258 elite 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 22, 45, 47, 49, 57, clarissimus 228, 229, 230, 232, 235, 236, 66, 68, 71, 82, 93, 104, 120, 143, 177, 238, 240 188, 189, 190, 229, 231, 255, 256, 257 clients 48, 98, 119, 120, 127, 131, 140, Emesa 34, 69, 70, 71, 79, 200 174, 217 eparchos 23 ethnarch 28, 29, 78, 91, 155, 197, 198, comes 56, 81, 157, 197, 232, 233, 234, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251 199, 213

exilarch 75, 77, 159

```
friends 97, 98, 99, 104, 106, 110, 113,
  114, 115, 116, 118, 119, 120, 125, 127,
  131, 140, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156,
  159, 160, 161, 174, 198, 215, 217, 218,
  246, 255, 258
Gamaliel 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 14, 16, 50, 74, 75,
  79, 83, 87, 88, 89, 90, 105, 118, 119,
  129, 133, 134, 135, 136, 146, 147, 150,
  151, 152, 158, 160, 175, 176, 177, 178,
  179, 182, 187, 188, 189, 202, 209, 214,
  219, 226, 235, 237, 238, 239, 255
gangs 98, 100, 102, 103, 104, 105, 108,
  112, 116, 120, 123, 124, 125, 126, 132,
  155
gedole ha-dor 46, 47, 52
Gutayin 121, 122, 124, 132
Hatra 34, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72
Hauran 22, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33
havraya 150
Herod 24, 28, 74, 77, 80, 83, 86, 98, 99,
   101, 104, 106, 169, 188
high priest 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105,
   106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 120, 206
Hillel 41, 43, 62, 74, 75, 76, 79, 81, 82,
  83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89, 125, 126, 128,
  132, 133, 135, 171, 172, 202, 216, 222,
Holy Land 8, 9, 22, 121, 124, 201, 202,
Honorius 61, 63, 223, 225, 241, 242, 252
house of 48, 70, 76, 77, 78, 86, 90, 102,
  103, 105, 108, 109, 111, 116, 118, 119,
  121, 125, 126, 129, 131, 132, 134, 135,
  136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 157, 159, 171,
  172, 173, 174, 175, 177, 178, 179, 180,
  181, 182, 183, 184, 187, 195, 209, 211
House of Study 174, 178, 184
household 12, 26, 27, 101, 102, 104, 105,
  108, 110, 115, 118, 119, 120, 123, 125,
  126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133,
  134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 156,
  158, 159, 160, 161, 170, 171, 175, 179,
  180, 181, 183, 209, 211, 216, 218, 231,
  256
```

ilen de-ve 131, 132, 137, 179, 182, 216,

illustris 229, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240

```
Jerusalem 5, 8, 9, 28, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40,
   42, 43, 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 87,
   97, 100, 102, 103, 104, 106, 107, 109,
   112, 116, 117, 120, 125, 147, 164, 165,
   166, 167, 169, 178, 179, 199, 201, 202,
   204, 205, 206, 211, 236, 246
Jesus 37, 74, 77, 81, 86, 100, 101, 103,
   107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 141, 155,
   205, 206
Joseph 112, 127, 215, 218, 223, 250, 251,
   252
Judah b. Tabbai 40
Judah ha-Nasi 61
Judah Nesia 6, 43, 45, 48, 49, 90, 121,
   122, 125, 129, 154, 155, 213, 219
judge 38, 41, 57, 92, 99, 113, 141, 142,
   143, 144, 145, 146, 148, 149, 151, 152,
   153, 155, 156, 158, 159, 161, 242, 243,
   255
Julian 60, 63, 196, 199, 202, 220, 222,
   223, 237, 245, 246
King David 36, 73, 77, 79
king of Arab 67, 68
lamprotatos 232, 233, 234, 237
legatus 187, 190, 191, 192, 200
Libanius 12, 38, 216, 217, 218, 219, 225,
   229, 236, 237, 239
magnate 27, 47, 49, 52, 63, 72, 84, 91, 92,
   162, 245, 251
minnui 146, 153, 158, 160
nasi 6, 40, 41, 47, 49, 50, 61, 65, 73, 75,
   76, 77, 78, 82, 84, 88, 91, 119, 121,
   122, 136, 159, 177, 188, 191, 194, 195,
   196, 198, 199, 201, 204, 213, 214, 215,
   216, 217, 226, 229
Odenathus 26, 71, 72, 199
palatines 241, 242, 243, 244, 245
Palmyra 22, 23, 26, 30, 34, 66, 67, 68, 71,
```

72, 199

246, 252, 253

parnas 36, 37, 39, 41, 43, 44, 46 pater tes poleos 233, 234, 242, 243, 244,

patriarch 6, 7, 40, 52, 53, 54, 59, 60, 61, 62, 64, 91, 123, 127, 148, 150, 152,

155, 157, 159, 160, 188, 193, 195, 197,

199, 213, 214, 215, 216, 219, 220, 221, 224, 225, 236, 237, 239, 253 patriarchal tax 222, 223, 224, 225 phylarchos 24, 25
Pinhas b. Yair 122, 123, 176, 180 pistos 30, 31
Polycharmus 64, 162 praefectus praetorio 236, 238, 240, 253 private court 40, 49, 87, 97, 98, 104, 106, 110, 111, 140, 148, 153, 154, 156, 158, 160, 161, 197 privy council 97, 101, 110

R. Hanina 11, 45, 46, 47, 51, 88, 127, 137, 151, 152, 153, 157, 159, 182, 188
R. Hiyya the Elder 75
R. Joshua b. Levi 45, 47, 148, 188

sage 63, 85, 128, 153, 157, 179, 207, 209 salutatio 48, 120, 159, 161
Sanatruq 67, 68
Sanhedrin 2, 3, 11, 35, 38, 40, 43, 45, 49, 50, 86, 87, 89, 90, 91, 92, 97, 111, 121, 143, 147, 151, 152, 153, 157, 158, 179, 202, 203, 204, 205, 207, 208, 212, 213, 214, 215, 222, 225
Sepphoris 8, 11, 34, 45, 47, 51, 88, 103,

Sepphoris 8, 11, 34, 45, 47, 51, 88, 103, 122, 137, 143, 151, 157, 167, 169, 181, 182, 184, 188, 189, 191, 192, 198, 232, 233, 246, 248, 249, 250

Septimius Severus 69, 70, 124, 191, 193, 194

servants 101, 102, 104, 108, 111, 112, 118, 121, 123, 124, 127, 129, 161, 217, 255, 258 shimmush hakhamim 127

Shimon b. Laqish 49, 121, 122, 123, 125, 154, 209, 210, 213, 214

Simeon b. Gamaliel 74

slaves 100, 101, 102, 104, 108, 116, 118, 119, 120, 124, 125, 126, 127, 129, 130, 131, 138, 140, 154, 155

spectabilis 229, 235, 239, 240

Stobi 53, 54, 62, 63, 64, 162, 163, 165, 177, 181

strategos 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 246

synagogue 7, 45, 50, 55, 56, 57, 60, 63, 90, 121, 130, 143, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 177, 178, 181, 183, 217, 224, 231, 239, 248, 249, 250, 253

syndikos 30, 31, 32, 33

Synedrion 97

Theodosius II 225, 226, 230, 236, 242, 246, 252, 253
Theodotus 164, 165, 166, 169, 178, 245 those of the house of 81, 118, 121, 125, 132, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 159, 171, 180, 216
threptos 129, 130, 131, 157, 217, 231
Tiberias 8, 37, 57, 64, 90, 121, 122, 123, 124, 130, 131, 163, 166, 183, 209, 211, 217, 222, 231, 234, 239, 250, 251, 253
Trajan 21, 33, 82
trial 98, 99, 100, 104, 106, 107, 109, 110, 111, 155, 158

urbanization 11, 21, 22, 35, 256

Vespasian 21, 33, 82, 179, 190

yeshivah 88, 152, 153, 159 Yose of Maon 90, 121, 122, 123

zaken 42, 43, 44, 46, 89 Zenobia 26, 72, 199 Zipporaei 88

Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism Alphabetical Index

Albani, M., J. Frey, A. Lange (Ed.): Studies in the Book of Jubilees, 1997. Volume 65.

Avemarie, Friedrich: Tora und Leben. 1996. Volume 55.

Becker, Hans-Jürgen: Die großen rabbinischen Sammelwerke Palästinas. 1999. Volume 70.

- see Schäfer, Peter

Cansdale, Lena: Oumran and the Essenes. 1997. Volume 60.

Chester, Andrew: Divine Revelation and Divine Titles in the Pentateuchal Targumim. 1986. Volume 14.

Cohen, Martin Samuel: The Shi ur Qomah: Texts and Recensions. 1985. Volume 9.

Crown, Alan D.: Samaritan Scribes and Manuscripts, 2001, Volume 80.

Doering, Lutz: Schabbat. 1999. Volume 78.

Ego, Beate: Targum Scheni zu Ester. 1996. Volume 54.

Engel, Anja: see Schäfer, Peter

Frey, J.: see Albani, M.

Frick, Peter: Divine Providence in Philo of Alexandria. 1999. Volume 77.

Gibson, E. Leigh: The Jewish Manumission Inscriptions of the Bosporus Kingdom. 1999. Volume 75.

Gleßmer, Uwe: Einleitung in die Targume zum Pentateuch. 1995. Volume 48.

Goldberg, Arnold: Mystik und Theologie des rabbinischen Judentums. Gesammelte Studien I. Ed. by M. Schlüter and P. Schäfer. 1997. Volume 61.

 Rabbinische Texte als Gegenstand der Auslegung. Gesammelte Studien II. Ed. by M. Schlüter and P. Schäfer. 1999. Volume 73.

Goodblatt, David: The Monarchic Principle. 1994. Volume 38.

Grözinger, Karl: Musik und Gesang in der Theologie der frühen jüdischen Literatur. 1982. Volume 3.

Gruenwald, I., Sh. Shaked and G.G. Stroumsa (Ed.): Messiah and Christos. Presented to David Flusser. 1992. Volume 32.

Halperin, David J.: The Faces of the Chariot. 1988. Volume 16.

Herrmann, Klaus (Ed.): Massekhet Hekhalot. 1994. Volume 39.

- see Schäfer, Peter

Herzer, Jens: Die Paralipomena Jeremiae. 1994. Volume 43.

Hezser, Catherine: Form, Function, and Historical Significance of the Rabbinic Story in Yerushalmi Neziqin. 1993. Volume 37.

- see Schäfer, Peter
- The Social Structure of the Rabbinic Movement in Roman Palestine. 1997. Volume 66.

Hirschfelder, Ulrike: see Schäfer, Peter

Horbury, W.: see Krauss, Samuel

Houtman, Alberdina: Mishnah und Tosefta. 1996. Volume 59.

Ilan, Tal: Jewish Women in Greco-Roman Palestine. 1995. Volume 44.

Integrating Jewish Woman into Second Temple History. 1999. Volume 76.

Instone Brewer, David: Techniques and Assumptions in Jewish Exegesis before 70 CE. 1992. Volume 30. Ipta, Kerstin: see Schäfer, Peter

Jacobs, Martin: Die Institution des jüdischen Patriarchen. 1995. Volume 52.

Kasher, Aryeh: The Jews in Hellenistic and Roman Egypt. 1985. Volume 7.

- Jews, Idumaeans, and Ancient Arabs. 1988. Volume 18.
- Jews and Hellenistic Cities in Eretz-Israel, 1990, Volume 21.

Knittel, Thomas: Das griechische, Leben Adams und Evas'. 2002. Volume 88.

Krauss, Samuel: The Jewish-Christian Controversy from the earliest times to 1789.

Vol.I. Ed. by W. Horbury. 1996. Volume 56.

Kuhn, Peter: Offenbarungsstimmen im Antiken Judentum. 1989. Volume 20.

Kuyt, Annelies: The ,Descent' to the Chariot. 1995. Volume 45.

Lange, A.: see Albani, M.

Lange, Nicholas de: Greek Jewish Texts from the Cairo Genizah. 1996. Volume 51.

Lehnardt, Andreas: Qaddish. 2002. Volume 87.

Leonhardt, Jutta: Jewish Worship in Philo of Alexandria. 2001. Volume 84.

Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism

Lohmann, Uta: see Schäfer, Peter

Loopik, M. van (Transl. a. comm.): The Ways of the Sages and the Way of the World. 1991. Volume 26. Luttikhuizen, Gerard P.: The Revelation of Elchasai. 1985. Volume 8.

Mach, Michael: Entwicklungsstadien des jüdischen Engelglaubens in vorrabbinischer Zeit. 1992. Volume 34.

Mendels, Doron: The Land of Israel as a Political Concept in Hasmonean Literature. 1987. Volume 15. Moscovitz, Leib: Talmudic Reasoning. 2002. Volume 89.

Mutins, Georg von: see Schäfer, Peter

Necker, Gerold: see Schäfer, Peter

Olyan, Saul M.: A Thousand Thousands Served Him. 1993. Volume 36.

Otterbach, Rina: see Schäfer, Peter

Prigent, Pierre: Le Judaisme et l'image. 1990. Volume 24.

Pucci Ben Zeev, Miriam: Jewish Rights in the Roman World. 1998. Volume 74.

Reeg, Gottfried (Ed.): Die Geschichte von den Zehn Märtyrern. 1985. Volume 10.

- see Schäfer, Peter

Renner, Lucie: see Schäfer, Peter

Reichman, Ronen: Sifra und Mishna. 1998. Volume 68.

Rohrbacher-Sticker, Claudia: see Schäfer, Peter

Salvesen, A. (Ed.): Origen's Hexapla and Fragments. 1998. Volume 58.

Samely, Alexander: The Interpretation of Speech in the Pentateuch Targums. 1992. Volume 27.

Schäfer, Peter: Der Bar-Kokhba-Aufstand. 1981. Volume 1.

- Hekhalot-Studien. 1988. Volume 19.

Schäfer, Peter (Ed.): Geniza-Fragmente zur Hekhalot-Literatur. 1984. Volume 6.

- see Goldberg, Arnold
- in cooperation with Klaus Herrmann, Rina Otterbach, Gottfried Reeg, Claudia Rohrbacher-Sticker, Guido Weyer: Konkordanz zur Hekhalot-Literatur. Band 1: 1986. Volume 12.
- Band 2: 1988. Volume 13.

Schäfer, Peter, Margarete Schlüter, Hans Georg von Mutins (Ed.): Synopse zur Hekhalot-Literatur. 1981. Volume 2.

Schäfer, Peter (Ed.) in cooperation with Hans-Jürgen Becker, Klaus Herrmann, Ulrike Hirschfelder, Gerold Necker, Lucie Renner, Claudia Rohrbacher-Sticker, Stefan Siebers: Übersetzung der Hekhalot-Literatur. Band 1: §§ 1–80. 1995. Volume 46.

- Band 2: §§ 81-334. 1987. Volume 17.
- Band 3: §§ 335-597. 1989. Volume 22.
- Band 4: §§ 598-985. 1991. Volume 29.

Schäfer, Peter, and Hans-Jürgen Becker (Ed.) in cooperation with Anja Engel, Kerstin Ipta, Gerold Necker, Uta Lohmann, Martina Urban, Gert Wildensee: Synopse zum Talmud Yerushalmi. Band I/1–2: 1991. Volume 31.

- Band I/3-5: 1992, Volume 33.
- Band I/6-11: 1992. Volume 35.
- Band III: 1998. Volume 67.
- Band IV: 1995. Volume 47.

Schäfer, Peter, and Shaul Shaked (Ed.): Magische Texte aus der Kairoer Geniza. Band 1: 1994. Volume 42

- Band 2: 1997. Volume 64.
- Band 3: 1999. Volume 72.

Schäfer, Peter (Ed.): The Talmud Yerushalmi and Graeco-Roman Culture. 1998. Volume 71.

Schäfer, Peter and Hezser, Catherine (Ed.): The Talmud Yerushalmi and Graeco-Roman Culture II. 2000. Volume 79.

Schlüter, Margarete: see Goldberg, Arnold

- see Schäfer, Peter

Schmidt, Francis: Le Testament Grec d'Abraham. 1986. Volume 11.

Schröder, Bernd: Die ,väterlichen Gesetze'. 1996. Volume 53.

Schwartz, Daniel R.: Agrippa I. 1990. Volume 23.

Schwemer, Anna Maria: Studien zu den frühjüdischen Prophetenlegenden. Vitae Prophetarum Band I: 1995. Volume 49.

Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism

- Band II (mit Beiheft: Synopse zu den Vitae Prophetarum): 1996. Volume 50.

Shaked, Shaul: see Gruenwald, I.

- see Schäfer, Peter

Shatzman, Israel: The Armies of the Hasmonaeans and Herod. 1991. Volume 25.

Siebers, Stefan: see Schäfer, Peter

Sivertsev, Alexei: Private Households and Public Politics in 3rd – 5th Century Jewish Palestine. 2002.

Volume 90.

Spilsbury, Paul: The Image of the Jew in Flavius Josephus' Paraphrase of the Bible. 1998. Volume 69.

Stroumsa, G.G.: see Gruenwald, I.

Stuckenbruck, Loren T.: The Book of Giants from Qumran. 1997. Volume 63.

Swartz, Michael D.: Mystical Prayer in Ancient Judaism. 1992. Volume 28.

Sysling, Harry: Tehiyyat Ha-Metim. 1996. Volume 57.

Urban, Martina: see Schäfer, Peter

Veltri, Giuseppe: Eine Tora für den König Talmai. 1994. Volume 41.

- Magie und Halakha. 1997. Volume 62.

Weyer, Guido: see Schäfer, Peter

Wewers, Gerd A.: Probleme der Bavot-Traktate. 1984. Volume 5.

Wildensee, Gert: see Schäfer, Peter

Wilson, Walter T.: The Mysteries of Rigtheousness. 1994. Volume 40.