

JESSICA VAN 'T WESTEINDE

Roman Nobilitas in Jerome's Letters

*Studien und Texte zu
Antike und Christentum*

Mohr Siebeck

Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum
Studies and Texts in Antiquity and Christianity

Herausgeber/Editors

CHRISTOPH MARKSCHIES (Berlin) · MARTIN WALLRAFF (München)
CHRISTIAN WILDBERG (Pittsburgh)

Beirat/Advisory Board

PETER BROWN (Princeton) · SUSANNA ELM (Berkeley)
JOHANNES HAHN (Münster) · EMANUELA PRINZIVALLI (Rom)
JÖRG RÜPKE (Erfurt)

127



Jessica van 't Westeinde

Roman Nobilitas in Jerome's Letters

Roman Values and Christian Asceticism
for Socialites

Mohr Siebeck

Jessica van 't Westeinde, 2016 PhD from Durham University, UK; currently Junior Research Fellow at the Department of Ancient History, University of Bern (IRC Religious Conflict and Coping Strategies), and the Department of Ancient History, Eberhard Karls University of Tübingen.
orcid.org/0000-0002-4963-4130

ISBN 978-3-16-159343-7 / eISBN 978-3-16-160682-3
DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-160682-3

ISSN 1436-3003 / eISSN 2568-7433 (Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2021 by Mohr Siebeck, Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed on non-aging paper by Laupp & Göbel in Gomaringen and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

Dedicated to Petrus Franciscus Leonardus van 't Westeinde

My beloved father

Who sailed into the west in 2013

Preface

One famous Headmaster once spoke the wise words “it is our choices that show what we truly are, far more than our abilities”.¹ Whilst this holds true for Jerome, it applies to the current author, too: Jerome gave up his career in the imperial administration in favour of a life as an ascetic mentor and author of scholarly and spiritual writings. The current author chose an academic path at the cost of a musical career. Similarly, converting a doctorate into an accessible book is a deliberate choice. With this book on Jerome and his Roman aristocratic patrons I wish to offer the reader a glimpse into one tiny aspect of the complex world of the later Roman Empire, and bring it to life. This book is the stage where I present Jerome and his *socialites*. The reader will have to imagine the dramatic music.

The embarkation on the doctoral path marked the start of a long journey which from the beginning had to manoeuvre through rocky waters, at times tortured by temperamental tides that almost shipwrecked the entire mission. An expression of gratitude is therefore in order for those who helped me reach the other shore. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Lewis Ayres and Carol Harrison. Many thanks to Lewis in particular for his confidence in my abilities which helped me get through the last stages of writing and submitting the thesis. Needless to say, this work could not have been completed if it were not for their continued support. Secondly, I would like to thank my examiners Neil McLynn and Robert Hayward for their constructive feedback, instructive remarks, and for the inspiring discussion we had during the *viva voce*. I have tried to follow their suggestions to the best of my abilities (especially for this revised and extended edition). Any flaws that remain are my own. Yet, much of the initial work would not have reached its final form were it not for Markus Vinzent. Markus’ refreshing take on texts inspired me greatly, and opened up new avenues for interpreting Jerome’s rhetoric. He challenged me profoundly, and together with Jörg Rüpke he inspired me to apply a new methodology to the analysis of Jerome’s correspondence with aristocrats. Much gratitude also goes to fellow *Hieronymians* Josef Lössl, Thomas Hunt, Andrew Cain, and Hillel Newman. Many thanks to Éric Rebillard for so generously sharing the proofs of his article “Everyday Christianity in Carthage at the Time of Tertullian”,

¹ J.K. Rowling, *Harry Potter and the Chamber of Secrets*, London: Bloomsbury, 1998.

where he develops a new methodology which proved important to consider in the study of Jerome's Roman aristocrats. I also thank Peter Gemeinhardt, David Hunter, Christopher Nunn, Silvia Georgieva, and Mattias Brand for much valued research conversations. I thank the editors of STAC, Christoph Marksches, Martin Wallraff, and Christian Wildberg for accepting this book in their series, and I thank Klaus Hermannstädter, Elena Müller, Tobias Städler, and the team at Mohr Siebeck for their incredible support. Finally, I owe an expression of gratitude to the one who first sparked my interest in the field, who first taught me – *exemplo suo* – the skills and, more importantly, the love for doing research: Mathijs Lamberigts. He will never forgive me for having abandoned Pelagius for Jerome, but at least I have not become an Augustinian.

Although the road of writing a book can be a solitary and lonesome one, it cannot be achieved without the support of cheering backers on the sideline or the athletes who walk with you for parts of the journey. Many thanks go to Andrew Moss in Durham for being my academic partner in crime, and dear friend: thank you so much for the coffee breaks in Dun Cow Cottage, the rants we could have when faced with research that seemed not to be moving in any direction, but equally the fun and laughter we had; not to forget the cricket matches at Chester-le-Street that proved very welcome breaks from writing. Throughout my time at university Laurens de Rooij has been a stable factor of presence: thank you for your friendship, and I hope our friendship may last wherever (academic) life may take us. Likewise a massive *todah rabah* to my fellow-sufferers at Durham Jewish Society: particularly Ben Kasstan (thank you for being a safe harbour in the tumultuous sea of the last-stage PhD) and Lee Goldfarb. Friday nights reminded us that a good chicken soup is a cure to most ills, even PhD-*mesjoggene*.

Last but not least, I thank my family for their continuous support even at the hardest of times. Special thanks go to my father of blessed memory who, despite everything, convinced me to pursue doctoral studies. I wish he could have lived to see the result. In honour of his memory I dedicate the fruit of these studies to him.

Jessica van 't Westeinde

Table of Contents

Preface	VII
Abbreviations	XI
Introduction	1
A. Methodology	5
1. Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity (EEMC)	7
B. Terminology	12
C. Towards a Common Denominator	14
D. Manuscript Tradition	21

Part I: Jerome's World

Chapter 1: Jerome of Stridon	27
A. A Short Biography	31
Chapter 2: Embodied in Roman Society	41
A. Roman Society and Metropolitan Life	41
B. Roman Law	45
C. Senatorial Aristocracy	48
D. Literature and Literacy	52
E. Religion and the Emergence of Christianity in Its Manifold Manifestations	58

Part II: Junior Correspondents

Chapter 3: Young Aristocrats: Patricians	65
A. From Patrician to Ascetic Patrician	67
B. On How to Appear	87
C. On What to Avoid	94
D. Transformation through the Study of the Scriptures	98
E. Doctrinal and Public Engagement	106
F. Conclusion	109

Chapter 4: Young Aristocrats: ‘Peripherals’	111
A. From ‘Aristocrat’ to ‘Monk-Priest’: What Transformation?	112
B. A Change of Mode of Life	126
C. On How to Appear	128
D. On What to Avoid	131
E. Transformation through the Study of the Scriptures	136
F. Doctrinal and Public Engagement	142
G. Conclusion	145

Part III: Senior Correspondents

Chapter 5: The ‘Peripheral’ Aristocracy	149
A. Lucinus of Baetica	152
B. Julian of Dalmatia	162
C. Rustus ‘the Naughty’ from Gaul	174
D. Conclusion	183
Chapter 6: Pammachius and the ‘Inner Roman Circle’	185
A. Pammachius	190
1. Nobilitas	196
B. Marcella	207
C. Oceanus	211
D. Significant Others of the ‘Inner Roman Circle’	214
Chapter 7: A Closer Look at the Jerome-Pammachius Correspondence	219
A. Conclusion	238
Conclusion	241
Bibliography	247
Primary Sources	247
Secondary Literature	249
Index of Sources	265
Index of Modern Authors	275
Index of Subjects, Names, and Places	277

Abbreviations

<i>AJA</i>	<i>American Journal of Archaeology</i>
<i>AJS</i>	<i>American Journal of Sociology</i>
<i>ANF</i>	<i>Ante-Nicene Fathers</i>
<i>BBKL</i>	<i>Biographisch-bibliographisches Kirchenlexikon</i> (F.W. Bautz, ed.)
<i>BSNAF</i>	<i>Bulletin de la Société Nationale des Antiquaires de France</i>
<i>CCSL</i>	Corpus Christianorum: Series Latina
<i>CH</i>	<i>Church History</i>
<i>CIJ</i>	<i>Corpus Inscriptionum Judaicarum</i> , 2 vols. (J.B. Frey, ed.)
<i>CIL</i>	<i>Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum</i>
<i>CP</i>	<i>Classical Philology</i>
<i>CPL</i>	Clavis Patrum Latinorum
<i>CQ</i>	<i>The Classical Quarterly</i>
<i>CSEL</i>	Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum
<i>CTh</i>	<i>Codex Theodosianus</i>
<i>CV</i>	<i>Echos du Monde/Classical Views</i>
<i>CW</i>	<i>The Classical World</i>
<i>ECCA</i>	Early Christianity in the Context of Antiquity
<i>Ep./Epp.</i>	<i>Epistula/Epistulae</i>
<i>ETL</i>	<i>Ephemerides Theologicae Lovanienses</i>
<i>Gest. Pel.</i>	<i>De gestis Pelagii</i>
<i>Historia</i>	<i>Historia: Zeitschrift für Alte Geschichte</i>
<i>ILS</i>	<i>Inscriptiones Latinae Selectae</i>
<i>JECS</i>	<i>Journal of Early Christian Studies</i>
<i>JFSR</i>	<i>Journal of Feminist Studies in Religion</i>
<i>JLARC</i>	<i>Journal of Late Antique Religion and Culture</i>
<i>JMEMS</i>	<i>Journal of Medieval and Early Modern Studies</i>
<i>JRS</i>	<i>Journal of Roman Studies</i>
<i>JTS</i>	<i>Journal of Theological Studies</i>
<i>LAA</i>	<i>Late Antique Archaeology</i>
<i>LCL</i>	The Loeb Classical Library
<i>LLT-A</i>	Library of Latin Texts, Series A
<i>LRE</i>	<i>The Later Roman Empire, 284–602</i> , A.H.M. Jones
<i>MP</i>	<i>Medieval Prosopography</i>
<i>NPNF</i>	<i>Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers</i> (Fremantle)
<i>NRT</i>	<i>Nouvelle Revue Théologique</i>
<i>PCBE</i>	<i>Prosopographie chrétienne du Bas-Empire</i>
<i>PL</i>	<i>Patrologia Latina</i> (J.J. Migne)
<i>PLRE</i>	<i>Prosopography of the Later Roman Empire</i> (A.H.M. Jones, J.R. Martindale, and J. Morris, eds.)

PTS	Patristische Texte und Studien
REA	<i>Revue des études augustiniennes</i>
REL	<i>Revue des études latines</i>
RLT	<i>Roman Legal Tradition</i>
RRE	<i>Religion in the Roman Empire</i>
SC	Sources chrétiennes
SO	Symbolae Osloenses
STAC	Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum
<i>StudPatr</i>	<i>Studia Patristica</i>
<i>TJT</i>	<i>Toronto Journal of Theology</i>
TSAJ	Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism
WS	<i>Wiener Studien</i>

Introduction

“The most distinguished privilege loses its prestige when lavished on a crowd, and dignities themselves become less dignified in the eyes of good men when held by persons who have no dignity.”¹ These words of Jerome capture exactly what this book is about: the preservation of the exclusivity of Roman *nobilitas* through appropriation of Christian asceticism to create the perfect ‘Christian’ *nobilitas*. It is not an appropriation of *nobilitas* for the sake of Christianity, but an appropriation of Christian asceticism for Roman aristocrats so that they can retain their noble superiority in an environment where their senatorial status seems to be in decline. As such, I am turning upside down the approach which perceives Christianity as adopting (and thereby altering) Roman aristocratic values and manners in order to accommodate aristocratic converts. I will show that the careful selection of Christian ascetic elements by these aristocrats into their daily lives forces us to nuance our perception of an otherwise overrated powerful and dominant, institutional Christianity.

What needs to be asked is how ‘Christian’ was Jerome’s model, and how should we understand the social relation between this provincial advocate of asceticism and his aristocratic associates? These are the primary questions of my research project, where I have analysed Jerome and his epistolary correspondence with Roman aristocrats. As such my research concentrates on an individual agent, embodied and embedded in Roman aristocratic society. In other words: it starts from Jerome as an individual agent rather than the more common approach of seeing Jerome as spokesperson of an institution² or Jerome wishing for himself to be seen as such yet portraying him as more of a marginal figure.³ These scholars tend to present an interpretation of Jerome’s

¹ *Ep.* 66.7 (CSEL, 54), translation by Fremantle, *NPNF*, 206: “*quamvis clarus honor vilescit in turba: et apud viros bonos indignior fit ipsa dignitas, quam multi indigni possident [...]. Quod ante per manus patritias tradebatur, et sola nobilitas possidebat, [...] nunc sola militia possidet, et agrestia dudum corpora, fulgens palma circumdat.*”

² See for example Michele R. Salzman, “Elite Realities and *Mentalités*: The Making of a Western Christian Aristocracy”, in *Arethusa* 33/3 (2000), 347–362, at 355. See also John Curran who refers to Jerome as a churchman: *Pagan City and Christian Capital: Rome in the Fourth Century*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002, 316.

³ It appears Andrew Cain’s argument would veer more in that direction, when he states that Jerome is a rather marginal figure yet he fashions himself as a representative of the

advances to the Roman aristocracy as attempts to convert, or to fit these attempts into the ‘conversion model’. Instead, my research looks at Jerome’s highly idiosyncratic efforts to redefine and, to a certain extent, transform Christian *nobilitas* by adopting the basic notions of Roman *nobilitas*, even though he seems to do the opposite and make Roman nobles adopt a Christian form of life.⁴ Of course, the process is intertwined, and becomes more complex, taking into account the various differing backgrounds of the noble people with whom Jerome interacts. It emerges that Jerome’s modelling of Christian *nobilitas* allows his patron-students to retain *nobilitas* in the old Roman sense, and even restores the traditional Roman understanding of it within a new and slowly emerging Christian framework which contrasts with the recent changes that had been brought by the emperor to the *clarissime* and had triggered a devaluation of the ‘original’ aristocracy.⁵ Although ‘the institution’ and Christian tradition have been eager to emphasise a radical rupture between the former Roman ‘pagan’ state and highlighted conversion to Christianity, between the old life of abundance, luxury, and status in contrast to asceticism, I argue that reality was much more complex and that the example of Jerome, formerly used as an example *par excellence* for this model of a Christianity of discontinuity,⁶ offers in fact insight into the

‘orthodox’ Christian institution, *The Letters of Jerome: Asceticism, Biblical Exegesis, and the Construction of Christian Authority in Late Antiquity*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009, especially 130ff. Similarly, Peter Brown, *Through the Eye of a Needle*, Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2012, 260.

⁴ John Curran appears to have accepted Jerome’s rhetoric and contrasts those aristocrats whom Jerome (and others) successfully ‘converted’ with their more moderate Christian peers; see chapter 7 of his *Pagan City*.

⁵ This is exactly what Jerome is alluding to in the segment quoted at the beginning. For imperial changes, see Michele R. Salzman, *The Making of a Christian Aristocracy: Social and Religious Change in the Western Roman Empire*, Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2002, esp. 178ff. See already Stefan Rebenich, *Jerome*, London – New York: Routledge, 2002, who has observed that the adaptation took place more on the side of the Christian ‘missionaries’ than on the side of the aristocrats, see in particular p. 34: “[...] [Jerome] sought to reconcile Christian virtues with the traditional primacy of the Roman senatorial aristocracy [...]. Ascetic virtues now guaranteed the superiority of the Roman ladies and transcended their noble origin. [...] Jerome Christianized aristocratic competitiveness and emphasized that the holy women of asceticism surpassed the old nobility of birth and office [...]. The better part of mankind, to use Symmachus’ definition of the senatorial aristocracy, still identified itself by impressive genealogies, immense fortunes, overwhelming prestige, and social munificence; Jerome just added ascetic values, above all sexual renunciation and virginity.” But Stefan Rebenich *et al.* all have their eyes set on the Roman ladies (not unlike Jerome, to some extent ...), whereas I try to take their conclusions further and see if we can argue along similar lines, or even more radical lines, for the male aristocrats.

⁶ See still Alfons Fürst, *Hieronymus: Askese und Wissenschaft in der Spätantike*, Freiburg – Basel – Wien: Herder, 2003, 43, who illustrates Jerome as „militante[n] Propagan-

entanglement of concepts where lines between traditions, social customs, and religions are very much blurred. Jerome can be regarded as mediating agent, but he is also a ‘counter’ agent. Often scholars have argued there is a broken line between Christian asceticism and Roman *nobilitas*,⁷ although recently there has been a shift in scholarly thinking which reveals a more nuanced view.⁸ Although Jerome seems to sound like he is subscribing to this, in effect by reading his work from an embodied perspective he argues quite often the opposite and advocates for the contrary. The findings of my work challenge our most fundamental sense of identity, i.e. ‘us’ vs. ‘them’ which is often how history is portrayed by scholars, institutions, religious agents, and religious affiliations. This was particularly true for the *clarissime*, and within the *clarissime* for the *nobilitas*. We observe how Jerome plays to this sentiment and desire to define boundaries and to emphasise distinction. However, other than definition of identity based on social standing, it has become clear that other identity markers – religion, tradition, customs, and ethical behaviour – are much more vague and complex than what is often represented to be the case.⁹

dist[en] des Mönchtums[, der] die asketische Lebensform ausdrücklich als kritische Reaktion auf das reichskirchlich bedingte Missverhältnis zwischen Reichtum und Einfluss der Kirche einerseits, Aushöhlung ihrer ethischen Substanz andererseits [verstand]”.

⁷ Cfr. John Curran, who suggests that conversion to extreme asceticism often implied a wholesale relinquishing of property, *Pagan City*, 280. Yet Peter Brown has recently convincingly shown, using the example of Paulinus of Nola, that such a ‘wholesale’ might have been a theoretical ideal but could never be executed in practice, see *Through the Eye of a Needle*, 208ff.

⁸ This scholarly shift is aptly captured by Fürst, *Hieronymus* (quoted above, and,) “das asketische Ideal [...] [k]onzipiert als elitäre Absage an die Integration des Christentums in ‘weltliche’ Strukturen und getragen von freien Gruppen wohlhabender Laien, vor allem aristokratischer Frauen, die in solchem Protest eine Möglichkeit der Befreiung aus traditionellen Zwängen erblickten” (pp. 44–45), and further p. 46, “Im Bruch mit der Familie, in der Absage an Reichtum und Nachkommenschaft, in der Abkehr von weltlicher Macht und Prestige erblickten sie einen Verrat an den staatstragenden Idealen der römischen Tradition. Die Hinwendung von Angehörigen der römischen Oberschicht zu einem asketischen Lebensstil wurde als Angriff auf die altrömische aristokratische Identität betrachtet.” However, subsequently Fürst, pp. 44–45, does stipulate that this changes in the fifth century, and, referring to Jerome’s letters 108, 118, and 130, he argues that the ascetic model has changed and that now the ascetic is the true aristocrat. He summarises this as “Das christliche Askeseideal durchlief eine aristokratische Metamorphose [...]. Hieronymus hat diese Entwicklung mit seiner asketischen Propaganda in den oberen und obersten Schichten des Römerreiches maßgeblich mitgestaltet” (p. 48). This transitional thinking also comes to the fore in the works of for example Peter Brown, Michele Salzman, and John Curran.

⁹ As Éric Rebillard has recently pointed out, “religion is only one among other membership categories, and does not necessarily have salience”, see É. Rebillard, “Everyday Christianity in Carthage at the Time of Tertullian”, in *RRE* 2 (2016), 113–124, 114. See

In what follows I will elaborate on the methodology applied for the analysis of the epistolary correspondence, and I will explain the terminology used. Subsequently, I offer an overview of the path paved by the many scholars on Jerome and late antiquity before me; whereafter the reader will be travelling back in time to Jerome's world. The section on Jerome's world encompasses two chapters and consists of a biography and an illustration of the context in which Jerome was so fully emerged: Roman society – particularly in the metropolis Rome –, including the senatorial aristocracy, its values and social norms, patronage, literature and literacy (including *paideia*), Roman legislation, social geography, religion, and the emergence of Christianity in its manifold manifestations. All these sections will exhibit the fluidity of categories and the shifting of paradigms, which all depend on literary and historical context. This introduction together with the first section should offer a substantial basis for the analyses of the letters. Since the aim of this book is to assess if transformation of Roman *nobilitas* did take place we ought to start with the youngest group of addressees, and progress gradually towards Jerome's cherry on the ascetic cake: Pammachius. Therefore, the second section of the book analyses Jerome's correspondence with young aristocrats. Two chapters make up this section: Chapter 3 considers the letters addressed to young 'patricians', and Chapter 4 assesses letters addressed to young 'peripherals'. The section aims to detect a pattern in Jerome's language and attitude towards his young correspondents. It must be stipulated that although I have tried to not make a gender divide, inadvertently the chapter division created the spalt between genders I had sought to avoid. The chapters on young aristocrats originally formed one entity; yet, because I decided to contrast 'patrician' and 'peripheral', this resulted automatically in a gender division. Furthermore, the letters analysed in Chapter 4 are addressed to young Rusticus and to Nepotian: their social status is obscure and we cannot fully determine if they could be considered aristocrats, but they have definitely come from well-off provincial families, which allows their categorisation as 'peripheral'. As one will notice: it makes them the odd ones out, yet as such they are closest to Jerome himself.

The fifth chapter considers Jerome's correspondence with senior 'peripheral' aristocrats: senior aristocrats from the provinces, respectively Lucinus from Spain (Baetica), Julian from Dalmatia, and 'naughty' Rusticus from Gaul. The final section, again consisting of two chapters, deals primarily with Jerome's correspondence with Pammachius, and extends to his other patron-students of patrician pedigree. This group of aristocratic associates are all based in the 'epicentre' of the Empire: Rome. In the original research plan this study also incorporated a chapter on widows. Yet much research has

also his earlier monograph *Christians and their Many Identities in Late Antiquity: North Africa, 200–450 CE*, Ithaca, N.Y. – London: Cornell University Press, 2012.

already focussed on Jerome and women, one of the most comprehensive studies being Patrick Laurence's *Jérôme et le nouveau modèle féminin*.¹⁰ Therefore, I will only cross-reference those letters where relevant. By contrast, Jerome's correspondence with non-clergy male *socialites* has received little attention. This book seeks to fill this lacuna.

A. Methodology

Whilst I am aware of the risks that come with adopting new approaches to study aspects of Late Antiquity, as pointed out by Stefan Rebenich,¹¹ I have chosen to adopt a new methodology to analyse Jerome's correspondence with Roman aristocrats in order to see if a new perspective might lead to refreshing insights beyond the veil that has been put up by institutions and apologetic authors. I believe that this methodology, in combination with insights derived from social network and social identity theories, could lay bare those aspects that have been obscured by centuries of ideological (confessional) scholarship and textual transmission,¹² and as such it could reshape the

¹⁰ Patrick Laurence, *Jérôme et le nouveau modèle féminin*, Paris: Institut d'Études augustiniennes, 1997. See also Elizabeth A. Clark, *Ascetic Piety and Women's Faith* (Studies in Women and Religion 20), Lewiston, N.Y.: Edwin Mellen Press, 1986; Philip Rousseau, "'Learned Women' and the Development of a Christian Culture in Late Antiquity", in *SO* 70 (1995), 116–147; Kate Cooper, "Insinuations of Womanly Influence: An Aspect of the Christianisation of the Roman Aristocracy", in *JRS* 82 (1992), 150–164; Susanna Elm, *Virgins of God: The Making of Asceticism in Late Antiquity*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1994; Barbara Feichtinger, *Apostolae apostolorum: Frauenaskese als Befreiung und Zwang bei Hieronymus*, Frankfurt/M.: Peter Lang, 1995.

¹¹ Stefan Rebenich, "Late Antiquity in Modern Eyes", in *A Companion to Late Antiquity*, ed. Philip Rousseau, Oxford: Blackwell, 2009, 77–92. Although on p. 85 he points at the nineteenth-century scholarly tendency to turn "evolutionary biology into a paradigm of historical discovery", and where "scholars tried to transfer the discoveries made by the natural sciences [...] to the cultural evolution of mankind", he writes positively of twentieth-century approaches that borrow from insights of other social sciences (*cfr.* p. 91). Of course we should be cautious when appropriating modern concepts and theories to ancient times – as I will explain in the 'terminology' section – yet with regard to insights from the social sciences there are some helpful tools which might allow us to better understand the world of late antiquity; tools which allow us to look at the world of late antiquity from a different perspective. Similarly, see Jörg Rüpke who acknowledges that "ancient religions are only partially receptive of techniques established in social studies so as to create new data by means of empirical or experimental procedures", J. Rüpke, "Lived Ancient Religion: Questioning 'Cults' and 'Polis Religion'", in *Mythos* 5 (2011), 191–204, at 197.

¹² See below for the difficulties the manuscript tradition brings. See Wendy Mayer who also points to the problem my study partially hopes to alleviate, namely the problem that sources and groups have been looked at from a 'Christianist' perspective. As Mayer argues "the 'Christianist' lens is due as much to the bias of the surviving (textual) sources as the

picture of alleged ‘Christianisation’ and (radical) ‘transformation’ of the Roman aristocracy.

The methodological approach adopted to analyse Jerome’s letters and their context is based on Markus Vinzent’s newly developed method of *Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity* (EEMC), which in itself takes up from Erfurt’s model of *Lived Ancient Religion* (LAR).¹³ I have also used Daniel Boyarin’s approach of ‘reading against the sources’,¹⁴ and applied Jörg Rüpke’s insights on individuality and individuation.¹⁵ Furthermore, I took into consideration Éric Rebillard’s adaptation of Rogers Brubaker’s concept of ‘everyday nationhood’ to ‘everyday Christianity’.¹⁶ In addition, I have built upon theories about social network analysis, appropriated for ‘prosopographical network analysis’ or, maybe even more precise, ‘narrative network analysis’,¹⁷ as well as Mark Granovetter’s theory of strong ties–weak ties.¹⁸ Although Manuel Castells’ theory is focussed on modern society and electronic communication,¹⁹ his argument that social networks empower people and that communication is able to transform society is also relevant for ancient Roman society: it is through exchange of literature and communication (cross-community, cross-borders) that such networks are established, power and authority are expressed and confirmed (or confuted) through these (written) communication channels. Particularly if one connects this with Granovetter’s theory of weak ties, as we will see the connection through (textual) communication between the central actor (*in casu* Jerome) and his

biases of the ideological approaches brought to bear in analyzing them. [...] it is important to resist (if we are to move beyond them) modes of viewing the late antique world that stem from the late antique world itself.” Wendy Mayer, “Approaching Late Antiquity”, in *A Companion to Late Antiquity*, ed. Philip Rousseau, Oxford: Blackwell, 2009, 10.

¹³ Markus Vinzent, “Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity: Challenging its ‘Canonical’ and ‘Institutional’ Origin”, in *RRE* 2 (2016), 91–112. ‘LAR’ is a methodology developed at the Max-Weber-Kolleg, Erfurt, by the LAR research group chaired by Rüpke, see below.

¹⁴ Daniel Boyarin, *Border Lines: The Partition of Judeo-Christianity*, Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004, where he advocates this approach.

¹⁵ Jörg Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation as Concepts for Historical Research”, in *The Individual in the Religions of the Ancient Mediterranean*, ed. J. Rüpke, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014, 3–38; these ideas and concepts are closely connected to the LAR-model.

¹⁶ Rebillard, “Everyday Christianity”.

¹⁷ This implies a form of social network analysis tailored to historical research based on prosopography, yet ‘narrative network analysis’ seems more appropriate since the research and evidence considered are text-based with texts in most cases only from the hand of one author, Jerome, about the subjects (aristocrats) whose profiles and lives we try to grasp and to fit within this particular social network.

¹⁸ Mark Granovetter, “The Strength of Weak Ties”, in *AJS* 78/6 (1973), 1360–1380.

¹⁹ Manuel Castells, “Communication, Power and Counter-Power in the Network Society”, in *International Journal of Communication* 1 (2007), 238–266.

weak ties (*in casu* peripheral aristocrats) has the ability to build and facilitate networks and empower both parties by expanding their respective social networks, outreach, reputation, and authority.

1. *Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity (EEMC)*²⁰

EEMC is the principal methodology of this study and therefore requires some further elaboration. Against common scholarly approaches to early Christianity through a canonical or institutional perspective, this new methodology starts from the bottom up.²¹ It considers actors as individual agents fully embodied in their contemporary context; it reorients “research on materiality, localised and temporal embodiments of the individual – namely the signifiers of an embodied, not only lived, but living religion [...]. Its methodology combines a situational, cross-temporal, and multi-local perspective of varied and complex forms of Christianity.”²² I have applied this broader framework to a particular focus on one individual religious agent, Jerome, and his relations – through literary communication – with individual actors situated primarily in Rome (Aventine area), secondarily in the provinces (Baetica, Gaul, Dalmatia, and Altinum [northern Italy]), embedded in an aristocratic milieu, with a temporal range from the late 380s to the early 410s.

The methodological approach of EEMC departs from the stance which takes “people’s differing (and often overlapping and interlinking) perceptions, positions, views and – if one can grasp them – emotions seriously as individual expressions of unique attempts at making sense in life. These have to be placed in the broader framework of ancient societies as part of an even wider scenery of traditions to which the developed positions were responses, and which changed together with the traditions that they were part of.”²³ In a three-fold way, it seeks to “1) address the undervalued individual religious agent; 2) look at actions of individuals within non-essentialist frames, and 3)

²⁰ For a full discussion of the methodology, see Vinzent, “Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity”.

²¹ As Vinzent explains (*ibid.*, 108) “the bottom-up perspective of embodied Christianity of individuals will point to overlooked resistance to such changes [e.g. Christianisation of the Roman Empire], to ideological historicising of contingent developments, and will develop historical accounts that go beyond positivistic accumulation of data within given frameworks, and highlight shared and entangled experiences within different religious traditions, instead of writing a teleologically oriented history of exclusion and avoidance of what often has been termed syncretism”. Of course, it goes beyond the scope of this thesis to expand on different religious traditions, but I have already embarked on this path by looking at Jerome and his “Jewish network” in Rome and in the Holy Land. For the bottom-up approach, compare Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation”, 14, and 15, Figure 1 for a schematic illustration of his model.

²² Vinzent, “Embodied Early and Medieval Christianity”, 107.

²³ *Ibid.*, 101.

take into account archaeology, iconography and reception history, not mainly canonical and institutionalised belief systems, with a particular regard not mainly for ‘typical’ features, but for those which in the past have been seen as strange or deviating ones.”²⁴ Rather than committing the same fallacies of institutional typology, one needs firstly to be aware of “the precedence of individual religious activities” and secondly, “much of Christian identity [...] is intrinsically linked to local memory, individual and often fictitious biographies and religious activities which hardly go beyond the specific context of those individuals or at best a local group”.²⁵ A fine example here are Jerome’s *vitae* which are all tailored for a very particular and limited audience.

As EEMC takes off from LAR this implies that, naturally, I have also used this methodology for my research. LAR focuses on “individual appropriation of traditions and embodiment, religious experiences and communication on religion in different social spaces, and the interaction of different levels facilitated by religious specialists”.²⁶ Applied to the concrete context of this work, the individual appropriation takes place both on the level of the aristocrats who are Jerome’s correspondents, as well as on the level of the author, Jerome himself. The different social spaces refer to the temporal and spatial realms of metropolitan Rome and the provinces. Jerome is both the one who signifies individual appropriation of traditions as well as the one who is the facilitator, who presents or represents himself as religious specialist (i.e. Biblical scholar and ascetic expert). The current work offers an “analysis of the interaction of individuals [*in casu* Roman and peripheral aristocrats] with the agents of traditions and providers of religious services [*in casu* Jerome]”,²⁷ but as I just mentioned, Jerome has the dualistic role of being both an agent as well as a – peripheral – individual embodied in Roman society. Therefore I would de-emphasise a perceived dichotomy between individuals and agents, and stipulate that aspect of the methodology which looks at the forming of social coalitions between individuals, individual agents, and religious specialists.²⁸ In other words, individual appraisal and interaction will be analysed in diverse social spaces, but primarily in what Jörg Rüpke calls “the virtual

²⁴ *Ibid.*, 101.

²⁵ *Ibid.*, 104.

²⁶ Rüpke, “Lived Ancient Religion”, 191.

²⁷ *Ibid.*, 191.

²⁸ It is not entirely clear what distinction is advocated by LAR, as particularly the terms ‘individual’ and ‘individual agent’ are used interchangeably, as well as the seemingly interchangeable use of ‘individual agent’ and ‘religious specialist’, see especially Rüpke, “Lived Ancient Religion”, 197–198: “[...] scattered evidence will be contextualised and interpreted by relating it to individual agents, their use of space and time, their forming of social coalitions, their negotiation with religious specialists or ‘providers’, and their attempts to ‘make sense’ of religion in a situational manner and thus render it effective”.

space of literary communication [and the intellectual discourses formed therein]”,²⁹ which I have then teamed up with narrative network analysis.

Similarly, EEMC is connected to Rüpke’s ideas of individuation where the individual agent is regarded as agent for religious individuation.³⁰ In Roman society, emphasis was put on honour and dignity as values that informed individuation.³¹ Particularly *memoria dignus* was important and regarded as one of the highest achievements for aristocrats who sought to achieve recognition of reputation and respect. *Memoria dignus* was a sign of honour and dignity that would not only bestow respect upon the ‘*dignus*’ in their life time, but it extended beyond the lifespan of mortals on earth.³² As such it existed beyond death, and this is an important facet to bear in mind when reading Jerome’s claims for novelty when he argues that honour and praise will be bestowed upon his patron-students *even* beyond death.

It must be stipulated that when speaking of religious individuation, it must not be understood as “a wish to be different but rather the contrary [...] being different was not a value-informing individuation”.³³ The values that were to be acquired included dignity, honour, being better or being perfect.³⁴ all situated within notions of competition. These fields of competition also included religious practices, euergetic activities (i.e. generosity),³⁵ displays of cultured taste, and an intensive relationship with a deity or deities.³⁶ This proves that for example ascetic competition as Jerome presents it is not something uniquely Christian but a phenomenon that occurred across multiple religions. This is rather important in order to understand the degree of appropriation (aristocrats to ascetic Christianity, ascetic Christianity to aristocrats, see above). When it comes to existing models of individuality in antiquity, Rüpke distinguishes five different types. For the purpose of our research, it suffices to highlight two of them: 1) competitive individuality, which, according to Rüpke, “refers to the widespread aristocratic struggle for distinctiveness”,

²⁹ Rüpke, “Lived Ancient Religion”, 200.

³⁰ This approach distances itself from and allows to correct the modernist method of the 19th and 20th centuries which focussed on difference because difference was regarded a central implication, and hence a problem of individuality in antiquity, see Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation”, 10. Of course, this is closely tied in with the LAR-model which also focuses on the experiences and practices of individuals: see Rüpke, “Lived Ancient Religion”, 191.

³¹ Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation”, 11.

³² As Rüpke explains, “*Memoria dignus*, worthy of memory, would prolong this beyond the space of one’s own lifetime, but to achieve such a memory, a much higher degree of being remarkable, excellent, and different had to be attained” (*ibid.*, 10).

³³ *Ibid.*, 8.

³⁴ *Ibid.*, 8.

³⁵ With particular emphasis on female euergetic activities, see Emily Hemelrijk, “City Patronesses in the Roman Empire”, in *Historia* 53/2 (2004), 209–245.

³⁶ Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation”, 8, 10.

and it is important to add that these “established aims towards which other social groups would orient themselves”.³⁷ This is an aspect that will come to the fore in the chapter on ‘peripheral aristocrats’; and 2) representative individuality, where “individuals may strive to become exemplary and those who succeed would be cited as examples. The aim is not individual difference, but perfection in fulfilling a social or religious role, whether as Roman general, Christian martyr, or male Jew, yet fulfilment is a personal feat.”³⁸ Again, this is an aspect we will come across multiple times in the analyses of the letters, those addressed to patricians in particular. These patricians, in turn, will be mentioned as the ‘examples’, or, in Rüpke’s words “representative individuals”, in epistolary correspondence with ‘peripherals’. Yet, “rules on representative individuality could easily outlaw a more general understanding of what behaviour is acceptable and preferable in competitive individuality”.³⁹ It is also in this context that Granovetter’s theory is applied: Jerome functions as the facilitator to establish ties between the peripherals who seek to achieve recognition and respect from their Rome-based senatorial peers and superiors, namely the Roman patricians with whom Jerome is connected through stronger ties. At the same time, both Jerome and the peripherals seek to be recognised by these same patricians, and seek to achieve the same noble status. The ‘weak’ ties between Jerome and the peripherals – that is to say, only single letters have survived, there is little to no evidence of more frequent correspondence, which has lead me to determine the ties as ‘weak’ – could actually better serve to achieve that goal than the strong ties, and this is, in an adapted form, what Granovetter argues when he explains the ‘strength of weak ties’. Applied to Jerome’s case, the weak ties serve the peripherals in that they are put into contact with the patricians; the ties serve Jerome because he can now claim to be an authority, the ties also serve the peripherals because they have evidence that they consult the same experts or that they patronise the same mentors. Furthermore, the ties serve Jerome because they indicate his strong embeddedness in Roman aristocratic society, and like his aristocrats he has contacts across the Empire; relations which he maintains through the medium of epistolary correspondence, the exchange of literature, and the exchange of gifts.

³⁷ Rüpke, “Individualization and Individuation”, 13. Rüpke also explains that it would often give rise to conflict, and how “individual differences would be sharply noticed by contemporary observers, but evaluated against a discursively constructed common ethos that would stress the commonwealth. The concrete norms would be very much shaped and modified by actual competitive behaviour.” This aspect of ‘common ethos’ and reflections that match the idea of ‘commonwealth’ are equally apparent in Jerome’s model of Christian ascetic *nobilitas*.

³⁸ *Ibid.*, 13.

³⁹ *Ibid.*, 14.

Index of Sources

Bible

<i>Genesis</i>	158	63:8	98 (n. 128)
3	125–126 (n. 54)	84	177 (n. 93)
35:16	194 (n. 30)	119:176	182
37:3	89 (nn. 97, 98)		
<i>Exodus</i>		<i>Proverbs</i>	158
2	89 (n. 97)	4:5	103 (n. 148), 136 (n. 93)
15:21	105, 105 (n. 153)	5–9	136
33	179	24	178
<i>Numbers</i>		<i>Canticles</i>	
11	81 (n. 65)	2:6	225
23:21	98 (n. 128)	3:1	98 (n. 128)
<i>Joshua</i>		<i>Jeremiah</i>	
15:15	222 (n. 14)	17:16	98 (n. 128)
<i>1 Samuel</i>		<i>Lamentations</i>	
4:19–22	194 (n. 37)	2:18	177 (n. 93)
<i>2 Samuel</i>		<i>Ezekiel</i>	
13:18	89 (n. 100)	18:30–32	176 (n. 89)
		40–47	75 (n. 42)
<i>1 Kings</i>	158	40:47	75 (n. 42)
1:3	115 (n. 19)	41:3–4	75 (n. 42)
<i>2 Kings</i>	158	<i>Habakkuk</i>	
		3:8	192 (n. 27)
<i>Psalms</i>		<i>Ecclesiasticus</i>	
39:12	157, 157 (n. 28)	2:1	167
44:12	86		
45:9	77	<i>Judith</i>	
45:14	77	13:6–9	100 (n. 135)
45:137	77	16:6–9	100 (n. 135)
54	179		
55:7, 8	154		
63:1–2	154		

<i>Matthew</i>		3:2	182, 182 (n. 110)
6:24	160 (n. 41)	3:6	79
8:14	170	6	179
13:8	191	6:12	98 (n. 126)
15	182	7	145 (n. 122), 231
18	178 (n. 94)	7:9	78 (n. 52)
19:12	198	9:5	170
19:28	158	13	174 (n. 84)
19:29	170 (n. 69)	15:33	95, 95 (nn. 115, 116), 131, 131 (n. 73)
<i>Mark</i>			
1:30–31	170	<i>Ephesians</i>	
2:1–12	182	4:14	99 (n. 131)
<i>Luke</i>		5:27	145 (n. 124)
2:32	210	6:16	92 (n. 107)
4:6–8	163		
4:10	164	<i>1 Thessalonians</i>	
4:38	170	5:21	209 (n. 91)
6:1	227 (n. 35)		
7	97 (n. 122)	<i>2 Timothy</i>	
16:9	69 (n. 14), 160, 203 (n. 68)	2:7	109 (n. 161)
17:10	167, 167 (n. 60)	3:7	99 (n. 131)
		3:14	138
<i>John</i>		<i>Titus</i>	
3:17	192 (n. 27)	1:9	138
<i>Romans</i>			
7:24	134 (n. 82)	<i>1 Peter</i>	
10:1	72 (n. 32)	3:15	138 (n. 102)
<i>1 Corinthians</i>		<i>2 Peter</i>	
1:24	235 (n. 65)	2:22	81 (n. 65), 98 (n. 127)
1:26	234 (n. 63)		

Ancient Authors

<i>Ammianus Marcellinus</i>		<i>Augustinus</i>	
<i>Res gestae</i>		<i>De bono coniugali</i>	37 (n. 64), 101 (n. 137)
27.3.6	49 (n. 50)		
27.9.10	44 (n. 17)	<i>De civitate Dei</i>	
<i>Athanasius</i>		18.32	192 (n. 27)
<i>Vita Antonii</i>	136	<i>Confessiones</i>	
		2.3.1–7	33 (n. 36)

<i>Epistulae</i>		Gregorius Nyssenus	
58	233 (n. 59)	<i>Vita sanctae</i>	
71	27 (n. 4)	<i>Macrinae</i>	101 (n. 138)
180	211 (n. 102), 214 (n. 112)	Hieronymus	
262	179 (n. 100)	<i>Adversus Helvidium</i>	
		20–22	83 (n. 66)
<i>Gestis Pelagii liber unus</i>			
12	145 (n. 124)	<i>Adversus Iovinianum</i>	123 (n. 49), 187, 220, 229
66	39 (n. 75)	1	170 (n. 69)
<i>Quaestionum in Heptateuchum</i>			
<i>libri septem</i>		<i>Apologia adversus</i>	
7. <i>Quest. Iudicum</i> , q. 3	222 (n. 14)	<i>libros Rufini</i>	187, 212 (n. 106), 219
<i>Regula</i>		1.12	219 (n. 1)
4–8	155 (n. 22)	3	123 (n. 49)
		3.38	219 (n. 1)
Cicero		<i>Chronicon</i>	227
<i>Orationes in Verrem</i>	123 (n. 44)		
		<i>Commentarii</i>	
Clemens Alexandrinus		<i>in Ecclesiasten</i>	209
<i>Stromata</i>			
3	170 (n. 68)	<i>Commentarii in epistulam</i>	
Eusebius Caesariensis		<i>ad Ephesios</i>	209
<i>Chronicon</i>	227		
		<i>Commentarii in iv epistulas Paulinas.</i>	
<i>Historia ecclesiastica</i>		<i>Ad Titum</i>	
3.3.2	170 (n. 68)	1:37	124 (n. 50)
Faltonia Betitia Proba			
<i>De laudibus Christi</i>	67 (n. 4)	<i>Commentarii in Ezechielem libri XIV</i>	
		1:1	38 (n. 72), 213 (n. 110)
Gerontius		41:3–4	75 (n. 42)
<i>Vita Melaniae junioris</i>			
7	18 (n. 63)	<i>Dialogus adversus</i>	
11	18 (n. 63)	<i>Pelagianos</i>	123 (n. 49)
18–20	18 (n. 63)		
		<i>Epistulae</i>	
Galenus, Claudius		7	223 (n. 14)
<i>De sanitate tuenda</i>		7.2	34 (n. 46)
(περὶ ὑγίεινῶν)	93 (n. 111)	14	121 (n. 42), 129 (n. 64), 135 (n. 90), 223 (n. 14)
Gregorius Magnus			
<i>Epistulae</i>		14.4	33 (n. 38), 129 (n. 66)
3.15	203 (n. 68)		

14.6	33 (n. 38), 129 (n. 66)	48.2	221 (n. 9), 223 (n. 18),
21.3	123 (n. 49)		229 (n. 43),
22	45 (n. 23),		230 (n. 50)
	129 (nn. 64, 65),	48.2–3	231 (n. 54)
	135 (n. 90),	48.3	225 (n. 25),
	196 (n. 43), 224		230 (n. 49),
22.7	33 (n. 38),		232 (n. 55)
	91 (n. 102),	48.4	224 (n. 21),
	129 (n. 66)		225 (n. 26),
22.11	123 (n. 46)		229 (n. 45),
22.14	45 (n. 22)		230 (n. 46),
22.16	94 (n. 114),		236 (n. 69)
	95 (n. 116),	49	188, 220, 224
	97 (n. 125)		(n. 21), 226, 229,
22.17	97 (n. 124)		231, 233, 237
22.28	45 (n. 22),	49.1	188 (n. 10),
	139 (n. 105)		220 (n. 5),
22.29	77 (n. 52),		221 (n. 10),
	95 (n. 116),		224 (n. 20),
	131 (n. 73)		237 (n. 76),
22.30	32 (n. 33),		238 (n. 77)
	115 (n. 18),	49.2	91 (n. 103)
	225 (n. 23)	49.12	221 (n. 11),
22.31	160 (n. 41)		222 (n. 12)
23.1	45 (n. 23)	49.13	222 (n. 12),
23.2–3	45 (n. 23)		223 (n. 17)
23.3	202 (n. 65)	49.20	229 (n. 44)
27	161 (n. 43)	52	192 (n. 26),
27.2	44 (n. 19)		196 (n. 43)
29.1	209 (n. 94)	52.1	117 (n. 30)
38	82 (n. 67)	52.2	114 (n. 16),
39	36 (n. 56),		115 (n. 19)
	82 (n. 67),	52.2–4	224 (n. 22)
	83 (n. 70),	52.3	115 (nn. 20, 21),
	129 (n. 65),		136 (nn. 93, 94),
	192 (n. 25)		137 (nn. 95, 97)
39.1	216 (n. 118)	52.3–4	103 (n. 148)
41	60 (n. 97), 208	52.4	115 (n. 22),
42	60 (n. 97), 208		119 (nn. 32, 33),
45.7	186 (n. 8),		135 (n. 86),
	208 (n. 85)		138 (n. 101)
47.3	230–231 (n. 50)	52.5	96 (n. 116),
47.3.1	226 (n. 27)		98 (n. 128),
48	187, 221, 226,		112 (n. 9),
	229, 231, 232,		120 (n. 38), 125
	233, 236, 237		(nn. 53, 54),
48.1	237 (n. 74)		126 (n. 55),

	131 (n. 73),	54.7	91 (nn. 104,
	140 (n. 110)		105), 92
52.6	120 (n. 39),		(nn. 106, 107),
	126 (n. 56),		96 (n. 121),
	127 (n. 57),		97 (n. 122)
	216 (n. 119)	54.8	139 (n. 105)
52.7	98 (n. 128),	54.9	93 (n. 111)
	137 (n. 98),	54.10	92 (n. 109),
	138 (nn. 101,		93 (n. 110)
	102, 104)	54.11	89 (n. 97),
52.8	35 (n. 49),		99 (n. 129),
	138 (n. 103),		102 (n. 146),
	143 (nn. 117,		103 (n. 147)
	118), 227 (n. 35)	54.12	69 (n. 14),
52.9	129 (n. 69)		104 (n. 151),
52.10	160, 203 (n. 68)		160, 204 (n. 69)
52.11	119 (nn. 36, 37),	54.13	95 (nn. 116,
	129 (n. 68),		117),
	132 (n. 75)		96 (n. 118),
52.11–17	139 (n. 105)		97 (n. 125),
52.12	129 (n. 67)		98 (n. 126),
52.13	192 (n. 26)		105 (n. 153)
52.15	140 (nn. 108,	54.14	74 (nn. 38, 39),
	111)		78 (n. 54),
52.16	145 (n. 122)		105 (n. 154)
54	67 (n. 5),	54.15	78 (n. 55),
	73 (n. 33),		79 (nn. 56, 57,
	190 (n. 16)		59), 123 (n. 45)
54.1	72 (n. 28),	54.16	100 (nn. 133,
	73 (n. 34),		134)
	75 (n. 41),	54.17	88 (n. 96)
	82 (n. 66),	54.18	88 (n. 96),
	123 (n. 45)		108 (n. 160)
54.2	72 (n. 30)	57	188, 224 (n. 21),
54.3	86 (nn. 87–89)		226, 229,
54.4	81 (n. 65),		230 (n. 47), 231,
	82 (n. 67),		233, 238
	83 (n. 69),	57.1	221 (n. 9),
	98 (n. 127),		223 (n. 16),
	123 (n. 45)		227 (n. 32)
54.5	78 (n. 53),	57.2–4	229 (n. 42)
	96 (n. 120),	57.5	227 (nn. 31, 34)
	139 (n. 105)	57.12	191 (n. 22),
54.6	72 (nn. 31, 32),		213 (n. 52)
	73 (nn. 35, 36),	57.13	230 (n. 47),
	78 (n. 53),		231 (n. 53),
	81 (n. 65),		238 (n. 78)
	86 (n. 86),	58.3–4	155 (n. 21)
	87 (n. 90)	58.4	222 (n. 14)

60.9	111 (n. 4)		227 (n. 33),
60.10	113 (nn. 10, 11),		234 (n. 64)
	114 (n. 15),	66.11	191 (n. 21),
	132 (n. 77),		203 (n. 68),
	137 (n. 96)		222 (n. 14),
60.11	118 (n. 30),		235 (nn. 67, 68)
	236 (n. 69)	66.13	156 (n. 26),
62	212 (n. 108), 213		234 (n. 61)
62.2	213 (n. 109)	66.14	131 (n. 72),
64	89 (n. 99)		197 (n. 52)
64.3	123 (n. 49)	66.15	192 (n. 25)
64.21	123 (n. 49)	69	171 (n. 70),
66	1, 43 (n. 11), 165		212 (n. 104), 213
	(n. 55), 174, 175,	70	58 (n. 88),
	188, 190 (n. 16),		114 (n. 17)
	191, 198, 201,	70.2	131 (n. 73)
	202, 216, 220,	71.1	152 (n. 9),
	224, 226, 227		154 (nn. 17, 19),
	(n. 33), 234		156 (nn. 24, 25)
66.1	223 (nn. 16, 19)	71.2	156 (n. 23),
66.2	192 (n. 27),		157 (nn. 27, 28)
	193 (nn. 28, 29),	71.3	157 (nn. 29, 31),
	194 (n. 35)		158 (nn. 33–35)
66.3	123 (n. 46),	71.4	155 (n. 20),
	191 (n. 24),		159 (n. 37),
	192 (n. 26),		160 (n. 40)
	193 (n. 30),	71.5	160 (n. 42),
	194 (nn. 31, 32,		161 (n. 43)
	34, 36),	71.6	161 (n. 44),
	216 (n. 119),		162 (n. 45)
	235 (n. 65)	71.7	153 (nn. 14, 15)
66.4	191 (nn. 21, 23),	72.2	123 (n. 49)
	194 (n. 37),	77	190 (n. 16), 212,
	195 (n. 38),		234
	234 (n. 63)	77.1	185 (n. 2),
66.5	199 (n. 58),		199 (n. 56)
	200 (n. 60)	77.3	212 (n. 105)
66.6	190 (n. 17),	77.4	171 (n. 71)
	204 (n. 71),	77.8	38 (n. 67)
	205 (n. 73)	77.11	160
66.6–7	200 (n. 62)	78	123 (n. 49)
66.7	1 (n. 1),	79	86 (n. 88)
	197 (n. 48),	79.4	160
	198 (n. 53),	81	219
	201 (n. 64), 239	81.1	220 (n. 3)
66.8	198 (n. 55),	82.2	33 (n. 35)
	224 (n. 22)	83	188, 208,
66.9	223 (n. 15),		220 (n. 6), 221,
	226 (nn. 28–30),		

	228, 232, 233, 235	118.4	160, 167 (nn. 59, 60), 168 (n. 61), 169 (nn. 64, 65), 170 (n. 66), 171 (nn. 72, 73) 172 (nn. 74, 75, 77)
83.1	188 (n. 12), 211 (n. 101), 228 (nn. 37–40), 232 (n. 56), 233 (n. 58)	118.5	172 (n. 78), 173 (nn. 79, 80), 204 (n. 70) 173 (nn. 81–83) 175, 175 (n. 85) 125 (n. 51), 174 175 (nn. 86, 87), 176 (nn. 88–90), 177 (n. 91) 177 (n. 92) 177 (n. 93), 178 (nn. 94, 96) 178 (n. 97), 179 (nn. 98, 101), 180 (nn. 102, 103), 181 (nn. 104–106), 182 (nn. 107– 109)
84	188, 189	118.6	67 (n. 5) 160 107 (n. 158) 121 (n. 40) 105 (n. 154), 121 (n. 41), 122 (n. 43) 122 (n. 42) 122 (n. 42) 111 (n. 1), 116 (nn. 23, 25, 26), 124 (n. 51) 92 (nn. 108, 109), 128 (nn. 62, 63), 132 (nn. 78, 79), 134 (nn. 81, 82) 134 (n. 84), 135 (nn. 86, 87) 135 (nn. 89–91) 127 (nn. 58, 59), 134 (n. 83), 140 (n. 112)
84.1	188 (n. 13)		
84.2	209 (n. 90)		
84.7	209 (nn. 91, 92)	118.7	
84.9–10	209 (n. 93)	120	
85.3	233	122	
95	208 (n. 88)	122.1	
95.3	208 (n. 89)		
97	188, 208, 210, 223 (n. 14), 233, 234	122.2 122.3	
97.1	188 (n. 14), 210 (n. 95)	122.4	
97.3	210 (n. 97), 211 (nn. 99, 100)		
98	210, 234 (n. 62)		
107.6	123 (n. 49)		
107.13	123 (n. 49)		
108	3 (n. 8), 38 (n. 68), 43 (n. 11), 83 (n. 70), 190 (n. 16)	123 123.6 124 125.1	
108.1	190 (n. 19), 216 (n. 116)	125.2	
108.3	166 (nn. 57, 58), 216 (n. 116)	125.3	
108.4	123 (n. 48), 216 (n. 116)	125.4 125.6	
108.6	159 (n. 38), 216 (n. 119)		
108.7–14	36 (n. 59)	125.7	
108.16	160		
108.20	86 (n. 85), 130 (n. 71)		
108.34	216 (n. 117)		
117	124 (n. 49)	125.8	
118	3 (n. 8)		
118.2	163 (nn. 47, 49), 164 (nn. 50–53), 165 (n. 54)	125.9 125.11	
118.3	165 (n. 56)		

125.12	141 (n. 114)		88 (n. 93),
125.15	127 (n. 60), 142 (nn. 115, 116)		90 (n. 101), 98 (n. 128),
125.16	128 (n. 61), 130 (n. 70)	130.11	102 (n. 144), 160 123 (n. 47)
125.17	144 (n. 120)	130.13	77 (n. 51),
125.18	75 (n. 43), 135 (n. 86), 137 (nn. 99, 100)		123 (n. 47), 133 (n. 80)
125.20	75 (n. 43), 134 (n. 85), 145 (n. 123)	130.14 130.15	104 (n. 150) 98 (n. 128), 102 (n. 145), 104 (n. 152)
126	212 (n. 108)	130.16	107 (nn. 157, 158), 108 (n. 161)
126.1	152 (n. 10), 212 (n. 106)		99 (n. 131), 101 (nn. 138–140), 102 (n. 142), 225 (n. 24)
126.3	213 (n. 111)	130.17	95 (n. 116), 96 (n. 119)
127	38 (n. 71), 190 (n. 16), 223 (n. 14)		97 (n. 123)
127.10	208 (nn. 87, 88)	130.18	88 (n. 94), 89 (n. 97)
127.13	213 (n. 110)		22 (n. 70), 39 (n. 75)
128	38 (n. 71), 84 (n. 74), 124 (n. 49)	130.19 130.20	
128.3	125 (n. 51)	139	
130	3 (n. 8), 39, 67 (n. 5), 121 (n. 40), 196 (n. 43)		
130.1	68 (nn. 8, 9)	<i>Epistularum ad diversos liber</i>	22
130.2	75 (nn. 43, 44), 77 (n. 49), 79 (n. 60)	<i>Liber ad Marcellam</i>	22, 36, 207
130.3	68 (nn. 10, 11), 69 (nn. 12, 13), 74 (n. 40)	<i>De viris illustribus</i> 135	31, 31 (n. 28)
130.4	77 (n. 50), 123 (n. 47)	<i>Vita Hilarionis</i>	136
130.5	83 (n. 71), 84 (nn. 73–75, 77, 78), 85 (nn. 79, 80), 123 (n. 47)	<i>Vita Malchi</i> 6.47	136 124 (n. 50)
130.6	80 (nn. 61–63), 85 (nn. 81, 83, 84)	<i>Vita sancti Pauli primi eremitae</i>	136 (n. 92), 196 (n. 45)
130.7	69 (n. 14), 70 (nn. 21, 22), 71 (n. 25), 87 (n. 91),	Leo Magnus <i>Epistulae Ad Demetriadem 40 Ad Rusticum</i>	94 (n. 112) 116 (n. 27)

Livius		<i>Epidicus</i>	125 (n. 52)
<i>Ab urbe condita</i>			
10.23	123, 123 (n. 44)	<i>Truculentus</i>	125 (n. 52)
Origenes		Pliny the Younger	
<i>In Iesu Nave homiliae XXVI</i>		(Gaius Plinius Caecilius Secundus)	
(transl. Rufinus)		<i>Epistulae</i>	
19.4	222 (n. 14)	9.27	97 (n. 123)
20.3	222 (n. 14)		
Περὶ ἀρχῶν	107 (n. 158), 213, 228, 232– 23	Plutarch	
		<i>Camillus</i>	
		1.1	72 (n. 2)
Palladius		Porphyrus	
<i>Historia Lausiaca</i>		<i>Vita Plotini</i>	
61.7	17 (n. 57)	7	205 (n. 74)
62	130 (n. 72)	8	205 (n. 74)
Paulinus Nolanus		Rufinus	
<i>Carmina</i>		<i>Apologia</i>	
21.202ff	172 (n. 76), 235 (n. 66)	<i>contra Hieronymum</i>	228 (n. 41)
		2.4–8	58 (n. 89)
<i>Epistulae</i>		Seneca the Younger	
13	130–131 (n. 72)	<i>Consolatio ad Helviam</i>	223 (n. 19)
13.11.15	205 (n. 76)		
Pelagius		Symmachus	
<i>Epistula ad Demetriadem</i>		<i>Epistulae</i>	
10.1	101 (n. 136)	1.10	150 (n. 4)
22.1	103 (n. 149)	7.2	150 (n. 4)
24.3	145 (n. 124)	Tertullianus	
24.4	94 (n. 113)	<i>Apologeticum</i>	
Persius (Aulus Persius Flaccus)		18.4	202 (n. 67)
<i>Saturae</i>		<i>De cultu feminarum</i>	92 (n. 105)
1.32–34	96 (n. 120)		
Plato		Theodoretus	
<i>Gorgias</i>	45 (n. 23)	<i>Historia ecclesiastica</i>	
		5.17–18	171 (n. 71)
<i>Phaedrus</i>		Vergilius	
246ab	193 (n. 28)	<i>Aeneis</i>	
		1.364	173 (n. 83), 181 (n. 105), 194 (n. 31)
<i>Symposium</i>	99 (n. 130)	4.67	133, 133 (n. 78)
Plautus		4.366–367	223 (n. 19)
<i>Asinaria</i>	125 (n. 52)	6.625, 627	200 (n. 60)

Index of Modern Authors

- Adkin, Neil 27, 246
 Anderson, Benedict 54
 Arnheim, Michael 28, 29
- Bell, Harold I. 28
 Brown, Peter 28, 29, 53, 205
- Cain, Andrew 21–22, 30–32, 36, 56,
 57, 109, 141, 151–154, 177, 205, 207,
 215–216, 221
 Cameron, Alan 49
 Castells, Manuel 5, 6
 Cavallera, Ferdinand 30
 Clark, Elizabeth 37 (n. 65)
 Curran, John 29, 30, 46, 49, 50, 53, 61,
 62, 69, 70, 71, 205 (n. 74)
- Dam, Raymond van 56
 Dill, Samuel 28
- Ebbeler, Jennifer 55–57
- Flower, Harriet 52
 Fremantle, William 81, 82 (n. 67),
 108 (n. 161), 131 (n. 73), 173 (n. 83),
 179, 181, 219
 Fürst, Alfons 2 (n. 6), 3 (n. 8), 30
- Gibbon, Edward 29 (n. 14)
 Granovetter, Mark 6–7, 10
- Harnack, Adolf von 28
 Hayward, Robert 33 (n. 37)
 Hunt, Thomas 60, 61, 206
- Jacobs, Andrew 21
 Jones, Arnold 28, 48
- Kelly, John N.D. 30, 32 (n. 32)
- Labourt, Jérôme 23
 Lamberigts, Mathijs 32 (n. 32)
 Laurence, Patrick 5
 Letsch-Brunner, Sylvia 30 (n. 25), 207
- Machado, Carlos 7, 41, 43–45, 61
 Maier, Harry 45, 61
 Marrou, Henri-Irénée 28
 Mathisen, Ralph 28, 50
 Matthews, John 29
 Mayer, Wendy 5–6 (n. 12), 22
 McGuire, Martin 31 (n. 29)
 McLynn, Neil 33 (n. 37)
 Miles, Richard 54
 Miller, Patricia Cox 185
 Mommsen, Theodor 28
- Oost, Stewart Irwin 71
- Patzelt, Maik 43
- Rebenich, Stefan 5 (n. 11), 30, 32, 212
 Rebillard, Eric 49
 Rüpke, Jörg 5 (n. 11), 9, 11, 48
- Salzman, Michele 29, 30, 45,
 46 (n. 25), 50, 111, 113, 149, 151,
 153, 159, 195–196, 201, 203 (n. 68)
- Vinzent, Markus 6, 7 (n. 21)
- Wiesen, David 19, 30
 William, Megan Hale 150 (n. 5)
 Winterling, Aloys 48
 Wright, Frederick 81

Index of Subjects, Names, and Places

- Abisama 122 (n. 42)
 Abishag 115, 136, 224
 Abraham 157, 203, 235
 Abraham Ibn Ezra 28
 actor/s 96
 addressee/correspondent 13
 adolescence 65, 129
 advocate 109, 221
 Africa/North Africa 18 (n. 63), 42, 70–71, 75 (n. 44), 80, 124, 233, 242
 Agamemnon 190 (n. 19), 216
 agency/agent 236
 Ageruchia 20 (n. 69), 160
 Agnes 84
 Agrippa II, King 188
 Ahaz 123 (n. 49)
 Alagasia 124 (n. 49)
 Alaric 42 (n. 6), 69, 75 (n. 44), 108 (n. 159)
 Albina 79 (n. 58), 207
 Alexandria 188
 alms-giving 50, 78, 104, 159, 160, 183, 203, 243
 altar of incense 76
 Altinum 7, 111, 117
 Ambrose of Milan 169 (n. 63), 171 (n. 71)
amicitia, friendship 55, 57, 153–154, 185, 188, 219, 237–238
 – epistolary ~ 188
 – friend 195, 220, 221
 – media-friendship 206
 Anapsychia 149, 152 (n. 10), 212–214, 242
 anarchy, aristocratic 45
 Anastasius of Rome 107, 208
 Anchorites 128, 135
 Antioch 34
 Antisthenes 158, 159
 anthropology 141, 157, 158
 Antonius, Marcus 224
 Apollinaris of Laodicea 209, 225
 appearance 91, 96–97, 109, 128–129, 140, 201
 Apronius 22 (n. 70)
 Apulia 18 (n. 63)
 Aquileia 220
 Arcadius, emperor 46 (n. 31), 47
 aristocracy, urban 186
 Arnobius 114
 Artemia 174–175, 179, 180–182, 184
 asceticism, neoplatonic 121
 Asella 17, 186 (n. 8), 215
askēsis 53
 association, voluntary 11, 49, 58
 athlete/athletics 156, 173
 Attalus, Priscus 69, 70, 71
 Augustan law → Roman law
 Augustine of Hippo 22–23, 27, 33 (n. 36), 37, 56 (n. 78), 57 (n. 84), 61 (n. 101), 71, 75 (n. 44), 76, 101, 116 (n. 24), 155 (n. 22), 168 (n. 63), 189, 205, 215, 233
 Aulus Verginius 122 (n. 44)
 Ausonius 169
 authoritative 117, 242
 authority 68, 90, 106, 115 (n. 22), 117, 138, 144–145, 151–153, 163, 183–185, 186 (n. 6), 187, 205, 237, 241, 244
 avarice 122 (n. 42)
 Aventine 17, 35, 186 (n. 8), 207
 Avitus 107
 Baetica 7, 18, 152
 baptism 155, 176
 barbarian invasion 38, 84, 163, 180, 184

- Basil of Caesarea 101 (n. 138)
 bath/s 97, 128
 beauty 88, 91, 94, 100 (n. 135), 225
 bedroom 95, 179
 belonging 140, 151
 benevolence 200
 Bethlehem 16, 33 (n. 37), 36, 58, 86,
 105, 130, 142, 150, 152–153, 157,
 159, 174, 182–183, 212, 217
 betrothal 79 (n. 58), 83, 84 (n. 72)
 Beturia Paulla 60 (n. 96), 203
 Blesilla 36, 82 (n. 67), 129, 185 (n. 2),
 191–192, 216–217
 bloodline 109, 110
 bodily care 129; *see also* → hygiene
 bodily neglect 205
 Bonosus of Dalmatia 33
 boundary, religious 49, 60, 61
 branding → marketing-strategy
 Britain 18 (n. 63)
 business relation 65, 150, 188, 195,
 206, 213, 215, 232, 236

 Caelestius 39
 Caleb 222
 Camillus, L. Furius 72, 81 (n. 65), 82
 (n. 66)
 Campania 18 (n. 63)
captatio 154, 185, 206, 236
 Cartesius, bishop 213
 Carthage 33 (n. 36), 116 (n. 24)
 Castorina 32
 catacombs
 – Jewish 61 (n. 99)
 – Roman 59
 censorship 229
 champion 220–221, 229, 232
 charity/works 104, 143 (n. 119), 160,
 183, 191 (n. 20), 202
 Charybdis 124
 chastity 68, 75, 78, 81–82, 84–85, 100,
 109, 122, 125–126, 133, 139 (n. 106),
 170 (n. 67), 212, 243
Christianus perfectus 191, 196, 199,
 201
 Chromatius of Aquileia 52, 223
 Cicero 71, 80 (n. 63), 122,
 143 (n. 118), 222–224, 230
 citizen, cultivated 51

 city-state model 42
 civil office/career 34, 120, 141, 195
civitas litterarum 222
clarissimate 2, 3, 12, 14, 18, 50,
 51 (n. 57), 106, 149–151 (n. 7),
 163 (n. 48), 186, 195, 198, 200–201,
 239
 classical education 21, 53, 54, 100,
 101, 113, 115, 118, 135, 173, 225
 Claudianus, Claudius 49
 Clement of Alexandria 170
 clergy, malignant 139 (n. 105)
 clergy, Roman 36
 clerical/ecclesiastical office/career
 35 (n. 52), 47, 111, 113, 117 (n. 28),
 118 (n. 30), 120, 131, 134, 146
 client 106, 207, 209, 238
 clothing → dress
 coenobitic (life) 128, 135
cognoscere 114 (n. 16)
 communication 6, 54–56
 community, imagined 54
 community, literary 54, 56
 companionship 216
 company 94 (n. 114), 95, 133
 competition 9, 35 (n. 54), 79, 109, 120–
 121, 153–154, 156, 178, 183, 237
 confidentiality 140 (n. 109)
 connectivity 112, 112 (n. 8)
 consent 179
 consolation 117, 162, 185 (n. 2), 188,
 191, 224
 Constantine, emperor 18 (n. 62), 46,
 198
 Constantinian turn 59
 Constantinople 34, 41, 58, 215, 227
 consul 45 (n. 23), 67–69, 71 (n. 23)
 – Plebeian 122 (n. 44)
 consular rank 69, 73, 79 (n. 58), 198
 context, situational/spatial 11
 continence 82 (n. 66), 157, 170, 174–
 175, 179–180, 183, 195, 202
 continuation 151 (n. 8), 202, 241, 245–
 246
 conversion 241
 Cornelia 82 (n. 67), 123
 correspondence → epistolary correspon-
 dence
 cosmetics 91, 96; *see also* → make-up

- courage 84, 243
 courier → messenger
 Crates the Theban 158, 159
 critical discernment 106 (n. 156), 107, 115, 145
 culture of knowledge 153
 Cyprian of Carthage 114, 118
- Dalmatia 7, 31, 149, 183
 Damasus 22, 27 (n. 3), 35–36, 59–60, 60 (n. 98), 186 (n. 6), 189, 206, 214, 236
 David 115, 157
 Dead Sea 154
 Debir 222
 Deborah 88 (n. 96)
 defence 188, 232, 237, 245
 deification 86
 Demetrias 17, 38, 66, 68, 71, 74, 79, 80–81, 83–85, 87, 89–91, 93–97, 102–104, 107–109, 123, 124 (n. 49), 137, 141, 145, 160, 183, 222
 Demosthenes 222, 223, 230
 desert fathers 135
 Desiderius 226 (n. 27)
 devil 94, 164–167, 180
 Dexter 206, 215
 Dido 173
 Didymus 209, 225
 diet 93, 128
 dignity 1, 51, 198
 Dionysius of Alexandria 225 (n. 25)
 Diospolis, Synod of 39, 145
 discipline 102, 127
 diversity 58, 61, 151, 243
 divine law 137, 222
 divorce 79 (n. 58), 170
 doctor, house doctors 49, 140 (n. 109), 173
 doctrine 15, 106, 108, 138, 142, 144, 189, 208, 243
 domination, moral 173 (n. 83)
 Domnio 52, 225, 226, 231
 domus, house 43–45, 45 (n. 20), 46, 48, 61, 132 (n. 76), 244
 – decoration 44, 59
 Donatist 233
 Donatus 33, 190, 238
 dowry 79 (n. 58), 87
- dress, clothing 48, 77, 89, 94 (n. 114), 96, 100, 109, 128–129, 140, 167, 184
- Ecdicia 179 (n. 100)
 Elijah 158, 169 (n. 64)
 Elisha 158
 elite, ecclesial 116 (n. 27)
 elitist/elitism 161 (n. 43), 198, 241
 emancipation 19, 83
 embeddedness 13
 embodiment 13
 entertainment 132, 146
 Epiphanius of Salamis 27 (n. 3), 35, 38 (n. 66), 189, 229, 231
episcopus 236 (n. 69)
 epistolary correspondence 10, 11, 58, 154, 162, 186, 206, 208, 236
 – elite ~ 30, 57, 117 (n. 30)
 – exegetical ~ 187
 – private ~ 55
 epistolography 241; *see also* → letter collections
 – convention 57, 152 (n. 10), 188
 – etiquette of ~ 55, 57, 117 (n. 30), 241
Epistula Christiana 57
 estate 18 (n. 62), 42, 150
 – residence 18 (n. 62), 61
 – villa 61 (n. 101)
euergetism 54, 200, 203, 244
 eulogy/-ies 38, 189, 212, 232, 242
 eunuchs 77, 94 (n. 114), 133 (n. 80)
 Euripides 131 (n. 73)
 Eusebius of Caesarea 170, 208 (n. 89), 209, 223 (n. 14), 225, 227
 Eustochium 16, 22, 36, 39, 45 (n. 23), 46, 66 (n. 3), 77, 80, 83, 86–87, 88 (n. 96), 91, 94, 104–105, 123, 129, 139 (n. 105), 160, 174, 185, 191–192, 207, 217, 235
 Evagrius of Antioch 34, 206, 215
 exclusivity 227, 239
 excommunication 37, 38, 39
exemplum/example(s) 10, 15, 18, 21, 72, 74, 87–88, 97–101, 104–105, 114, 118–119, 127, 133–134, 139, 145–146, 174, 181, 185, 187, 198, 204, 243–244
 exile, expulsion 84, 182, 226

- extravagance 93
 Exuperius 99
- Fabian 226
 Fabiola 17, 123 (n. 49), 160,
 170 (n. 70), 171, 171 (n. 71), 185
 (n. 2), 186, 190 (n. 16), 199, 212
 fasting 92–93, 105, 129, 141, 243
 Faustina 163
 female protagonist 234
 financial resources 197
 financial support, investment 37, 83,
 163, 172, 186
 Florentinus 206, 215
 Forum Boarium 122 (n. 44)
 free will 178
 freedmen 124, 125
 freedom 79, 84, 98, 127, 208
 friendship → *amicitia*
 funeral 164–165, 167–168, 216
 Furia 17, 66–67, 72, 74–75, 77–78, 80,
 82–83, 86–87, 89, 92–93, 95–97,
 102–105, 108–109, 123, 139 (n. 105),
 160, 190 (n. 16), 204, 234
 Furius 82
- Galen 93
 Gaudentius 38 (n. 70), 124 (n. 49),
 125 (n. 51)
 Gaul 18, 32, 42, 111, 116–117, 125,
 174–175, 180, 207
 gender 18, 157–158, 194
 – trans-~ 157
gens Aemillia 36, 66 (n. 3), 190, 216
gens Anicia 17, 38, 49, 60, 66,
 67 (n. 5), 68–72, 74–76, 84, 87, 107,
 109, 208
gens Fabia 212
gens Furia 17, 66, 72, 81 (n. 66), 82,
 86, 190
gens Iulia 17, 66 (n. 3), 211, 212, 214,
 216
gens Marcella 17, 207
 gentile/s 177
 gift/s 10, 49, 132 (n. 76), 150, 153,
 186, 198
glorificatio/glorification 75–76, 80, 87,
 165, 179, 185, 200, 203, 235, 239
 Goths/Gothic invasion 38
- Gracchus/-i 82 (n. 67), 190 (n. 19), 216
 Gratian, emperor 47, 111 (n. 3),
 127 (n. 57)
 Gregory the Great 203 (n. 68)
 Gregory Nazianzen 35, 113, 227
 Gregory of Nyssa 101 (n. 138)
- Hades 45 (n. 23)
hebraica veritas 161 (n. 43)
 Hebrew 141, 161 (n. 43), 230
 Hedibia 175
 heir 193–195, 217
 Heliodorus of Altinum 18, 33, 111,
 113–114, 117, 118 (n. 30), 119, 119
 (n. 35), 128, 132, 135, 185 (n. 2), 223,
 236, 242
 Heraclian, count 70, 71, 124
 Hercules, Temple of 122 (n. 44)
 heresy 107
 heretic 108, 208, 209
 heritage 127 (n. 57), 195, 222, 224
 Hilary of Poitiers 114
 Hippocrates 130, 139, 140
 Holofernes 100
 Holy Land/holy places 16, 38, 152,
 155, 175, 180, 212, 222
 Holy of Holies 76
 Honorius, emperor 47, 69
 honour 86 (n. 88), 160, 165, 172, 180,
 183, 198, 202, 239
 Horace 223, 224
 hospice 197
hostis antiquus 163
 house → *domus*
 humiliation 174, 181, 191 (n. 23)
 humility 234
 hygiene 97, 109, 205; *see also*
 → bodily care
 Hymetius, Iulius Festus 217 (n. 120)
- identity/ies 3, 15, 20, 42, 53, 65, 88,
 90, 102, 106, 110, 112, 118, 140,
 162–163, 177, 189, 194–195, 199,
 200, 202, 204, 228, 243
 – group-~ 54
 – ~ markers 59, 163 (n. 48)
 – religious ~ 57, 58, 59
illustres 17, 31, 51 (n. 57), 69 (n. 14),
 150 (n. 3), 186, 191, 241, 243, 246

- imitation/*imitare* 72, 88
- imperial administration 29, 198
 - *militia officialis* 51, 112 (n. 9)
- imperial city 34, 41
- imperial court 41, 113, 116 (n. 24), 117 (n. 29), 200
- imperial legislation 34, 45, 62, 131 (n. 74), 151 (n. 7)
- India 122 (n. 42)
- indifference 166
- indigestion 92 (n. 109), 128–129
- individual religious agent 1, 8, 9, 11, 13, 51–52, 58, 59, 60, 61 (n. 101), 162, 244
- individuality 6, 9, 11, 13, 171
- individuation 6, 9
- inheritance 46, 83, 168, 171, 202, 216–217
- inheritance law 45–46, 83, 127
- Innocent, bishop 107, 189
- institutional politics 244
- instruction 15, 65, 88, 90, 102–103, 123, 126, 129, 139, 140, 144, 185, 209, 238, 243
- instructor, private 173
- insula* 44
- integration 153
- investments → financial support
- Israel 100 (n. 135)
- Italy 7, 42, 71, 80, 153, 155, 173 (n. 83), 207, 222
- Iuliana, Anicia 17, 67
- Jerusalem 38, 182, 217, 222, 229, 235; *see also* → Temple
- Jew/Jewish → Judaism
- jewellery/jewels 85, 88, 88 (n. 95), 89, 93, 94 (n. 113), 122 (n. 42), 124, 155, 171, 183, 192 (n. 26)
- Job 164, 165, 167
 - Book of ~ 225, 229
- John the Baptist 133
- John Chrysostom 59 (n. 91)
- John of Jerusalem 27 (n. 3), 37, 38 (n. 66), 39, 119 (n. 34), 231
- Jordan 154
- Joseph 77, 89, 158
 - ~'s coat 77, 89
- Josephus, Flavius 161
- Jovinian 19, 28, 37, 101, 108, 139 (n. 105), 229
- Jovinian Controversy 37, 91, 119, 233
- Jovinus 223
- Judaea 38
- Judah 222
- Judaism 59, 60, 203
 - Jew/Jewish 59, 76 (n. 46), 100, 126, 177, 203
 - Jewish customs 59
- Judith 100
- Julian of Dalmatia 18, 142, 149, 160, 162–163, 165, 170–172, 175, 177, 183–184, 204
- justice 243
- Kiriath Sepher 222
- Lactantius 114, 209
- Laeta 123 (n. 49)
- Lateran 171 (n. 71)
- laudatio* 68, 72–73, 80
- Lea 45 (n. 23), 61, 186 (n. 8), 215
- leader 104, 144, 201
- leadership 105–106, 109, 120, 143–144
- letter collections 22, 23, 31
- letter writing → epistolography
- Leviathan 154
- Levites 126, 168
- liberal arts 53, 135, 243
- libido 79 (n. 56), 92, 123 (n. 45); *see also* → lust, → sexual desire
- library/-ies 37 (n. 62), 54, 58, 130, 159, 224–226, 231
- literary space 37
- literature, exchange of 6, 10, 53
- Lived Ancient Religion 6, 8
- Livy 122, 187, 199
- Lucinus of Baetica 18–19, 142, 149, 152–156, 158, 160–161, 165, 170 (n. 67), 175, 177, 179, 183–184
- lust 33 (n. 38), 78, 92 (n. 109), 100, 121, 128–129; *see also* → libido, → sexual desire
- Luther, Martin 19, 27
- Magna Mater 202 (n. 65)
- Magnus, orator 58, 131 (n. 73)

- make-up 91–92, 96–97; *see also*
 → cosmetics
- Mammon 70, 160, 203
- Marcella 16–17, 20, 22, 35–36, 38, 43–
 44, 45 (n. 23), 52, 60, 79 (n. 58),
 88 (n. 96), 108 (n. 159), 154 (n. 18),
 186–187, 190, 207–211, 213, 215–
 216, 225–226, 229, 231, 234, 238,
 242
- Marcellinus 149, 152 (n. 10), 212–214,
 242
- Marcellus 80
- mare clausum* 210
- Marius, consul 198 (n. 53)
- marketing product 241
- marketing-strategy, branding 36, 61,
 142, 237, 242
- marriage 73, 78–79, 81–83, 84 (n. 72),
 87, 109, 124, 125 (n. 51), 157, 170–
 171, 190–195
- ~ law 45
- second ~ 78, 79 (n. 58), 81,
 82 (n. 66), 98, 108–109, 145,
 171 (n. 70), 212–213
- martyr/-dom 84, 123 (n. 46),
 173 (n. 83)
- Mary Magdalen 91
- Matthew 191
- Mauretania 18 (n. 63)
- Melania the Elder 16 (n. 57), 38, 130,
 131 (n. 72), 159 (n. 38), 207, 217,
 222, 229
- Melania the Younger 16 (n. 57),
 18 (n. 63), 207
- memoria dignus* 9, 48, 50, 73, 80, 145,
 202, 239, 246
- Merari 100 (n. 135)
- messenger/s (courier) 34, 56–57, 150,
 152 (n. 10)
- metropolis 41–43, 58, 222
- Milan 41 (n. 2)
- militaris impressio* 45 (n. 20)
- military office/career/service 111–113,
 198, 239, 245
- military typology 221, 222
- Minucius Felix 114
- Miriam 105
- misogynist 94, 174
- Mnaseas 203
- moderation 93
- modesty 95, 109, 237, 243
- monastic life 119, 127, 129, 134
- monogamy 73 (n. 32)
- Montanists 60, 208
- moral conduct 51
- moral education 103, 138
- Moses 134
- munificence 48, 49, 160
- Narbonne 116
- nautical typology 121, 155
- Nebrius 206, 215
- Nebuchadnezzar 100
- Neocaesarea, Council of 113 (n. 12)
- Nepotian 18, 66, 80, 83, 111, 113–114,
 117–118, 120, 125, 129, 131–132,
 134–135, 137–138, 140, 142, 143,
 160, 185 (n. 2), 203, 222, 242
- nobilitas* 1–4, 20, 31, 37 (n. 61), 47, 65,
 124, 142, 150–151, 163 (n. 48), 172,
 186–187, 190–191, 195–197, 199,
 201–202, 215, 219, 226, 239, 241–
 243, 245
- nobility 73, 85–87, 89, 168, 172, 193,
 197–198
- Nola 203, 207
- nouveau riche* 14, 215
- Novatianus 60, 208
- Numidia 18 (n. 63)
- nutrition 93
- Oceanus 19, 22, 38, 57, 149, 160,
 171 (n. 70), 185 (n. 2), 186–188, 199,
 208–209, 211–213, 215, 235, 238,
 242
- offspring 62, 73, 79 (n. 58), 81, 85,
 110, 193, 195, 202, 216–217, 245
- Olybrius, Anicius Hermogenianus 17,
 67, 67 (n. 6), 68, 69, 73, 74
- Onasus 28
- Origen 27 (n. 3), 189, 208–211, 213,
 222, 225, 228, 232–233
- Περὶ ἀρχῶν 107 (n. 158), 213, 228,
 232–233
- Origenism 211, 213
- Origenist Controversy 27, 37, 106, 229,
 233
- orthodoxy, Nicene 21, 34 (n. 47), 233

- otium* 54, 239, 243
Pacatula 65 (n. 1)
pageant 130
paideia 12, 21, 53, 100, 101 (n. 138), 114
paiderastia 99
Pammachius 17–20, 22, 33, 38, 52, 57, 85, 91, 108, 123, 130, 153, 155–156, 162, 165, 171–175, 177, 183, 185–189, 190, 191 (n. 23), 192–195, 197, 199–211, 213–216, 219ff
Pannonia 31
Papias of Hierapolis 161
pars melior generis humani 246
passion 137, 141, 194, 243
pater familias 79 (n. 58), 86, 133 (n. 80)
patria potestas 82, 83
patriarchs 226, 235
patrician 12, 18, 20, 33, 42, 65, 68, 71, 73, 87, 106, 109, 111–112, 118, 121, 122 (n. 44), 124, 130, 142, 146, 149, 150–151, 157, 169, 171 (n. 71), 172, 178, 183, 190 (n. 17), 191, 195, 198–200, 204, 212, 214–216, 234, 242, 244
patriciate 12, 50
patrimony 72, 164
patron/ess 35, 48–49, 80, 85, 87, 150, 153–154, 159, 208, 233, 236, 239
patron–client relation 183, 190, 195, 221, 236
patron–students 2, 12, 16, 37, 149, 170, 185, 209, 216
patronage 31, 35, 45, 48–49, 53, 54, 60, 106, 153, 159 (n. 38), 199, 204–206, 237, 244
– monumental ~ 43, 44 (n. 16), 45 (n. 20), 49, 116 (n. 27), 244
Paul, apostle 99, 131 (n. 73), 134, 138, 139, 179, 182, 188
Paula 16, 20, 22, 36, 38, 72, 83, 86, 123, 130, 132 (n. 76), 159 (n. 38), 160, 168, 174, 185 (n. 2), 190–194, 207, 212, 215–216
Paula (child) 65 (n. 1), 123 (n. 49)
Paulina 171, 174–175, 185 (n. 2), 190–195, 199, 217
Paulinianus 32, 38 (n. 66)
Paulinus of Antioch 34, 35
Paulinus of Nola 16, 56 (n. 78), 57 (n. 84), 130, 131 (n. 72), 155, 172, 183, 203–205, 207, 222, 233, 235
pedagogy 101, 102
pedigree 33, 50, 66, 68, 71–72, 79 (n. 58), 80, 82, 87, 109, 196, 202, 216, 244
peer(s) 51 (n. 60), 195, 197, 201, 204–205
peerage 58, 206, 232
Pelagian debate 39, 106, 109, 213
Pelagius 17 (n. 61), 37 (n. 64), 39, 42 (n. 7), 49, 75, 76 (n. 45), 94 (n. 113), 101, 103 (n. 149), 107, 145
penance 171 (n. 71), 174–176, 178, 181, 212
perfection 10, 33 (n. 38), 66, 72, 94, 97, 100–103, 105, 109, 115, 118–121, 123 (n. 46), 124, 131, 134–136, 142, 145, 155–157, 159, 164–166, 168–169, 173–174, 176, 183, 193, 198, 201–202, 215, 225, 234, 239, 242–244, 246
performance, textual 56
Persius 96 (n. 120)
personal attitude, comportment 81, 103, 205, 234
personal merit 219
personal qualities 196
Peter, apostle 121, 170
philosophy/-er 49, 192 (n. 26), 224, 235
Phineas 194
Pierius 225
pilgrimage 16, 180
Pinianus 16 (n. 57), 207
pirates 122, 124
Plato 45 (n. 23), 192, 193 (n. 28)
Platonic 166
pleasure
– sensual 92
– sexual 194 (n. 34)
plebeian rank 122 (n. 44)
plebs → populace
Pliny the Younger 52, 57, 97 (n. 123)
Plotinus 205

- plurality 162
 Polycarp of Smyrna 161
pontifex 236
 populace, *plebs* 50, 200, 201
 Porphyry 209
portus 203
 postal service (*cursus publicus*) 55,
 152 (n. 10), 210
 poverty 78, 127 (n. 57), 131, 135, 160,
 203
 Praetextata 217 (n. 120)
 Praetextatus, Vettius Agorius 44,
 45 (n. 23), 202 (n. 65)
 prefect/prefecture 44, 130
 prestige 51 (n. 60), 162, 198, 245
 pride 68, 129, 132, 174, 182, 196
 primacy/*primus* 169, 235
 Principia 17, 38 (n. 71), 208
 Priscillian 61 (n. 101)
 Priscillian Controversy 143 (n. 119)
 privatisation 43
 privilege 46 (n. 25), 143, 149, 165,
 173, 198, 206, 239, 245
 Proba, Anicia Faltonia 67, 69, 70,
 84 (n. 76)
 Proba, Faltonia Betitia 67 (n. 5)
 Probinus, Anicius 67, 67 (n. 6), 69
 Probus, Anicius Petronius 67, 67 (n. 6),
 69
 Probus, Sextus Petronius 45 (n. 20), 66,
 67 (nn. 4, 6), 202
 proconsular office 190 (n. 17), 201,
 214
 project, building 37, 37 (n. 62), 153,
 159, 203 (n. 68)
 project, scholarly 37, 37 (n. 62), 60,
 153, 159
 property/-ies 16 (n. 57), 69, 70, 74, 78–
 79 (n. 58), 83, 126, 126 (n. 56),
 127 (n. 57), 128, 130, 131 (n. 72),
 146, 150 (n. 3), 159 (n. 38), 163, 168,
 180, 197, 217
pubertas 121
 public engagement 103 (n. 149), 104,
 127, 131, 140, 142, 184, 204
 public facilities 42, 203
 public figure 48, 56
 public office 129, 196, 205
 public opinion 226
 public/imperial service 33, 47, 51,
 111 (n. 4), 112
 public space 41, 43, 45, 48, 97, 109,
 132
 public stage 60
 public worship 167
 publication/distribution 37, 56, 211,
 213, 220, 226, 229, 244
 publisher 108, 186, 213, 229, 230
pudicitia 51 (n. 61), 84, 122–123,
 123 (nn. 46, 49), 126, 133, 243
 – *impudicus/impudicitia* 92 (n. 105),
 122
 – ~ *sacerdotalis* 124
 Pudicitia, Shrine of 100 (n. 132),
 122 (n. 44)
 purification 200
 purity 51, 131

 quarters/rooms, private 45, 97
 Quintilius Laetus 72
 Quintus Gallius 143 (n. 118)

 rabbi/s 59 (n. 92), 76
 RaDaK (David Kimhi) 28
 rape 84
 Ravenna 41 (n. 2), 42 (n. 7)
 reception 230
 recognition 51, 54, 97, 142, 145, 165–
 166, 177, 195, 244
 recommendation 130
 reconciliation 219, 220
 Red Sea 122 (n. 42)
 religion, Christ~ 59
 renunciation 126–127, 159, 160, 164,
 171 (n. 71), 184, 205, 235, 244–245
 – self~ 204
 representation 10, 109, 244
 reputation 51–52, 82, 103, 109, 117,
 124, 129, 132, 144, 152, 153 (n. 11),
 170 (n. 70), 183, 205, 219, 228, 232,
 238, 239, 241
 residence 149
 respect 86 (n. 88), 144, 153
 responsibility 105, 140, 142–143, 169,
 207, 238
 riches, taste of 120
 Rogatianus 52 (n. 62)

- Roman law 47, 65, 83, 146, 170 (n. 70)
 – Augustan law 46
- Rome 7, 17–18, 33–35, 38, 41–44, 58, 70–72, 75 (n. 44), 80, 84–85, 88 (n. 96), 97, 105–106, 108–109, 113, 115–116, 116 (n. 24), 119, 122 (n. 44), 131 (n. 73), 135, 149, 150, 153, 170, 186, 188, 190, 192, 200, 205–208, 210–211, 213–215, 217, 219–222, 226–227, 233, 235–236, 238
- Fall of ~ 38, 42 (n. 6), 69, 70, 72 (n. 27), 75 (n. 44), 80, 84, 108 (n. 159), 223
- Rufinus of Aquileia 16 (n. 57), 27–28, 33, 37 (n. 65), 38, 58, 131 (n. 72), 213, 217, 219–220, 222, 228–229, 232–233
- Rusticus, naughty 18, 149, 155, 170 (n. 67), 174–176, 179, 180–184
- Rusticus, young (of Narbonne) 18, 66, 80, 83, 92, 111, 113, 115–118, 121–122, 124–125, 127–128, 132 (n. 76), 133, 134–135, 137, 140–142, 144–145, 177–178, 222
- sacerdos* 118 (n. 30), 236
- sacred space (*tituli*) 61
- sacrifice 76, 166
 – self-~ 159, 166
- sacrificial offering 76
- saint, living 172
- salutatio* 187–188, 206, 211
- Salvina 20 (n. 69), 160
- satire 221
- scientia Scripturarum* 15, 102, 115, 141
- Scipio 190 (n. 19), 198 (n. 53), 216
- scribe/s 55–59, 130, 161, 183, 188
- Scylla 124
- seclusion 204
- secretary 35, 55, 57, 215
- segregation 61, 86, 143 (n. 119), 200, 202
- self-confidence 99, 107, 137, 139 (n. 106), 183
- self-control 243
- self-identification 8, 187
- self-justification 238
- self-promotion 34 (n. 48), 186 (n. 6), 220
- self-representation 8, 13–14, 31, 36–37, 44, 48, 50–52, 58, 202, 224, 232
- senate 41–42, 48, 51 (n. 59), 149, 190 (n. 17), 195, 201, 204–205, 207, 235
- senator 68–69, 150 (n. 3), 173, 185, 190 (n. 17), 200–202, 205, 221
- senatorial status 1, 14, 18, 47–48, 51, 58, 69, 70, 73, 123 (n. 46), 169, 190, 198, 210, 214, 245
- Seneca, Lucius Annaeus 57, 224
- Septuagint 161
- servants/slaves 77–78, 85–87, 94 (n. 112), 96 (n. 120), 97, 106, 125, 125 (nn. 51, 52), 130, 133, 144, 161 (n. 42), 163, 244
- sex, biological 158 (n. 31)
- sexual abstinence 204; *see also*
 → virginity
- sexual behaviour 124 (n. 49)
- sexual desire 91, 92, 94, 121, 129, 141, 194, 243; *see also* → libido, → lust
- sexual ethics 122
- sexual intercourse 92, 125 (n. 51), 127, 133, 136, 144, 179, 194
- sexual practices 91, 125
- shame 204
- shared education 223, 227, 238
- Sicily 18 (n. 63)
- Simplicianus of Milan 208
- sin, freedom from 75, 145, 178
- sin, theology of 178
- sine ruga et macula* 76, 145, 178
- Siricius 27 (n. 3), 36
- Sixteen Prophets, Book of the 230
- slaves → servants
- social ladder 113, 195, 199
- social life 20, 49, 83, 98
- social media 56
- social mobility 33, 37 (n. 61), 51, 111–113, 120, 162, 195, 198, 215
- social network 6, 11, 35 (n. 51), 37, 49, 54, 150, 152, 175, 241
- social obligation 20, 51, 65, 82 (n. 66), 103, 244
- social relation 10, 17, 23, 30, 117 (n. 29), 118, 131, 162

- social status/standing 2, 3, 49, 52, 65–66, 71, 81, 86 (n. 85), 87, 90, 96, 104, 118, 120, 125 (n. 51), 133–134, 142, 145, 152, 153 (n. 11), 154, 155 (n. 22), 165, 169, 172–173, 177, 197–198, 200, 202, 204, 208, 210, 234, 238
 social theory 5, 10
 social visibility 232, 244
socialites 5, 14, 30, 32, 65, 132, 143 (n. 119), 146, 227, 241, 246
 Solomon 123 (n. 49), 136
 Spain 7, 18 (n. 63), 32, 42, 149, 152, 157, 207
 specialist 8
spectabilis 51 (n. 57), 214
 spiritual cleansing 155
 spiritual mentor 35, 38, 49, 60, 75, 139, 150, 152, 153, 163, 237, 244
 spoliation 44
 St Peter's Basilica 205, 206
 stakeholders 162
 status awareness 66, 88
 status culture 20, 46 (n. 25), 48, 62, 86 (n. 85), 163, 183, 245–246
 status group 48, 199–201, 245
 Stoic/s 57, 166, 192 (n. 26)
 strategic management 232, 236
 Stridon 31
 strong-ties, weak-ties 5, 6, 10, 11, 37 (n. 61), 112 (n. 8), 117, 117 (n. 30), 153, 184, 186, 242, 244
studiosus magister 222
 study group 15, 143 (n. 119)
 submission 102, 194
 subordinate/subordination 127, 156, 182, 213, 236, 242
suburbium 207
 superiority 1, 191 (n. 23), 201–202, 241, 245
 supersessionism 76
 Symmachus 42 (n. 5)
 synagogue 59, 203
 – father of ~s 203 (n. 68)
 – mother of ~s 203 (n. 68)
 Syria 38, 58, 223
 Syrian desert 35
 Tacitus 122
 Tamar 89 (n. 100), 123 (n. 49)
 Tartarus 45 (n. 23)
taurobolium 202
 teacher 49, 99, 115, 117, 134, 137, 139, 140, 142, 150, 168, 181, 223, 238, 241
 Temple (Jerusalem) 75, 76, 99
 temptation 33 (n. 38), 125, 127, 133–134, 141, 156, 167, 174, 180
 Tertullian 114, 123 (n. 49), 202
 Theodora 18, 20 (n. 69), 152, 158, 170 (n. 67), 175
 Theodoretus of Cyrrhus 171 (n. 71)
 Theodosian court 34, 206, 215
 Theodosius I, emperor 31, 46 (n. 31), 127 (n. 57), 171 (n. 71)
 Theodosius II, emperor 47
 Theophilus of Alexandria 23, 27 (n. 3), 189, 210–211
 Tisha B'Av 76
 Titiana 72, 73, 82 (n. 66), 86
 toilette 91
 topography 43, 59
 Toxotius, Iulius 212, 216
 Toxotius jr. 192, 217
 Tranquillinus 213
 transformation 4, 6, 21, 81–82, 85, 89–91, 93, 96–97, 104, 109–110, 112–113, 120, 126–128, 131, 146, 155, 157–158, 160, 172, 179, 183–185, 187, 194, 199, 200, 202, 204, 224, 234, 243–246
 transition 113, 225
 translation 211, 228–230
 translator 189
 Trent, Council of 27
 Trier 34, 41 (n. 2)
 trilingual 177
 Turcius Apronianus 235
 uneducated 226, 227
urbs 41, 149
 Ursinus 60 (n. 98)
 Valens, emperor 47, 111 (n. 3)
 Valentinian I, emperor 34 (n. 39)
 Valentinian II, emperor 46 (n. 31), 47, 111 (n. 3), 127 (n. 57)

- Vandals 180
 Venosa 203
 Vera, holy 173, 174
 Verginia 122 (n. 44)
 Vestina 44
 vice (of the flesh) 140
 victim 227
 Victorinus, Marius 114
 victory of Christianity 59
 Vincentius 119 (n. 34)
vir illustris 199, 241
 Virgil 80 (n. 63), 133, 173,
 181 (n. 105), 194 (n. 31), 200, 224
 virginity 33 (n. 38), 45 (n. 23), 73,
 81 (n. 65), 85, 90, 91, 243–244
 – consecrated ~ 66, 74, 77, 109,
 194 (n. 33)
 virtues 15, 21, 74, 88 (n. 96), 98, 168,
 196, 202
 – cardinal ~ 192, 235
virtutes 123
visitatio 43 (n. 11), 132 (n. 76)
 visual arts 245
Vitae 15, 242
 Vitalis 123 (n. 49)
 vocation 77, 113, 192
 Volumnius, L. 122 (n. 44)
 Volusianus, C. Ceionius Rufus
 49 (n. 50)
 Vulgate 27
 vulnerability 133
 widowhood 66, 81, 82 (n. 66), 99, 116,
 195
 wisdom 66, 73 (n. 32), 88–90, 102–
 103, 107, 114–115, 130, 136–138,
 141, 203, 224–225, 234–235, 243
 Zosimus 72 (n. 27)