

PAUL MICHAEL KURTZ

Kaiser, Christ,
and Canaan

*Forschungen
zum Alten Testament
122*

Mohr Siebeck

Forschungen zum Alten Testament

Herausgegeben von

Konrad Schmid (Zürich) · Mark S. Smith (Princeton)
Hermann Spieckermann (Göttingen) · Andrew Teeter (Harvard)

122



Paul Michael Kurtz

Kaiser, Christ, and Canaan

The Religion of Israel in Protestant Germany,
1871–1918

Mohr Siebeck

PAUL MICHAEL KURTZ: born 1984, in Harrisburg; 1999–2003, Diploma, Dunlap High School, Illinois; 2003–2007, B. A., Harding University, in English Literature and Biblical Languages; 2007–2010, M.Div., Princeton Theological Seminary, in Hebrew Bible and Northwest Semitics; 2010–2012, Doctoral Fellow, University of Chicago; 2010–2011, Fulbright Scholar, University of Göttingen; 2012–2016, Dr. phil., University of Göttingen, in History and Religion; 2016–2017, Research Associate, University of Göttingen; since autumn 2017, Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual European Fellow, University of Cambridge, and Postdoctoral Research Associate, Queens' College, Cambridge.

ISBN 978 3-16-155496-4 / eISBN 978-3-16-155497-1

DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-155497-1

ISSN 0940-4155 / eISSN 2568-8359 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament)

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data is available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2018 by Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was typeset by Martin Fischer in Tübingen, printed by Gulde Druck in Tübingen on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Spinner in Ottersweier.

Printed in Germany.

*To my mother and my father
for all they've done for me, known and unknown*

... history itself is in many respects the most undisciplined of disciplines.

– George W. Stocking, Jr.¹

Methods are dictated by theory – not the other way around.

– Bernard E. Harcourt²

In our time there is a great danger that those who talk most readily about historians and scholars may not know too much about history and scholarship.

– Arnaldo Momigliano³

“Classic.” A book which people praise and don’t read.

– Mark Twain⁴

¹ George W. Stocking, Jr., “On the Limits of ‘Presentism’ and ‘Historicism’ in the Historiography of the Behavioral Sciences,” *Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences* 1, no. 3 (1965): 211–18, at 211 [repr. in idem, *Race, Culture, and Evolution: Essays in the History of Anthropology* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968), 1–12].

² Bernard E. Harcourt, “On the American Paradox of *Laissez Faire* and Mass Incarceration,” *Harvard Law Review* 125, no. 54 (2012): 54–68, at 64.

³ Arnaldo Momigliano, “Introduction,” *History and Theory* 21, no. 4, Beiheft 21: *New Paths of Classicism in the Nineteenth Century* (1982): 1–2, at 1.

⁴ Samuel L. Clemens (Mark Twain), *Following the Equator: A Journey Around the World* (Hartford: The American Publishing Company, 1897), 241 (ch. 25, citing *Pudd’nhead Wilson’s New Calendar*).

Acknowledgements

Like so many Americans a century before me, I travelled to Germany in pursuit of higher learning. In addition to the long, frayed, and mostly faded threads of family heritage, more conscious ties to a mythic, almost mystic, intellectual tradition drew my imagination to the Continent at formative points in my education. From the emphasis placed upon the history of Germany in secondary school through my first encounter with Hebrew, which introduced the aphorism of German as “the most important Semitic language” (given all the reference works composed in that vernacular), to advanced training in biblical studies and ancient history, where, as in so many other fields, nineteenth-century germanophones did much to shape the modern discipline, a *je ne sais quoi* – or rather *das gewisse Etwas* – consistently attracted my attention and my curiosity to the German-speaking lands of Europe. As I learned from German masters of my own age, I learned much about those of previous ones, in places many of them had once inhabited themselves. Many of the stories in this old history, I concluded, needed to be told anew – and to be told in English. So it was that *Kaiser, Christ, and Canaan* came to be.

This book has a rather complicated history. But in fitting with the tidier, more streamlined, even teleological accounts more customary for such front matter, I can at least declare it constitutes a revised version of my doctoral dissertation, submitted to the University of Göttingen, through the Department of Medieval and Modern History, in 2016, and examined by Rebekka Habermas, Dirk Schumann, and Hermann Spieckermann. Although the lines of inquiry herein first began to form during my postgraduate study at Princeton Theological Seminary, they really consolidated during the research year that followed – funded by the Fulbright Program and conducted at Göttingen – which presented me with the luxury of time and space to read widely and think deeply about why scholars do what they do the way that they do. Such preoccupations stuck with me as I returned to the States, to the University of Chicago, and ultimately drew me back to Göttingen, where I found unspeakable support in devoted teachers, loyal mentors, and trusted friends for my doctoral undertakings. This project also took me to Mainz, Ghent, and Erfurt in official capacities. Some of the most productive labor came through working holidays in locales all differently inspiring, from Uig to Utrecht, from Brussels to Berlin, from Osnabrück to Dunlap. Various portions of this research were presented in the United States and Germany, in

Austria and Sweden, in England and Estonia, in Switzerland and Belgium, where I auspiciously encountered a wealth of engaged interlocutors from a rich diversity of scholarly traditions.

As for the intellectual formation that underlies this project, I have profited immensely from any number of others – which does not make them culpable, however, for its deficiencies (or mine). Before the whole doctorate thing even got going to begin with, I benefited tremendously from admirable mentors. Jeremy Hutton generously gave an overambitious neophyte grounding, focus, and opportunity. Choon-Leong Seow taught me how there is always more to ask and always more to know, especially in the history of interpretation. Chip Dobbs-Allsopp enlightened me, most of all, in the art and ethics of scholarship. Kate “The Great” Skrebutenas also schooled me in the craft of hunting for materials that simply do not want to be found. Since I first began in Göttingen, Nathan MacDonald has been both a faithful advocate and a true advisor. Rebekka Habermas has, from our initial interaction onward, shown me exceptional openness, and she both usefully and gently pressed me to look beyond the tiny world of olden academic discourse. Suzanne Marchand went from being an intellectual heroine confined to the world of printed books and articles to the personal tutor of a remedial student: her helpfulness and kindness I could only underpraise. Over the years, Bernard Geoghegan has done much to inspire my work, through the questions he asks, the way he thinks, and the stories he tells. During countless nights in one especial smokey pub in Eastern Germany, Emiliano Urciuoli drove me to think more sharply, more critically, and more politically. Many others have graciously shared their insight and their time, their advice and their support, even when they certainly had better things to do: without mentioning all, I must extend my sincere appreciation to Richard Fenn, John Fortner, Susannah Heschel, Reinhard Kratz, Annelies Lannoy, Michael Legaspi, Peter Machinist, Ken Neller, Carol Newsom, Danny Praet, Michael Stausberg, and George Williamson. Without Hermann Spieckermann, however, I would never have written a single page: for years, and even still, he has fed my mind with books and my soul with conversation, nourishing me with food and wine aplenty all the while. He is a mensch in the English sense. To him I will remain forever grateful, both professionally and personally.

For help in transforming rough ideas into polished pages, I owe many debts. I must extend my gratefulness to the editors of *Forschungen zum Alten Testament* for commending this project to the series and both the publisher in general and Henning Ziebritzki in particular for promoting critical work in the history of scholarship and for granting precedence to quality over haste. With an unrivaled eye for detail, Harald Samuel worked wonders on the manuscript and in the process spared me much embarrassment; Dan Pioske also read closely and ruminated deeply, which enhanced the book’s design and argument; Rebecca Van Hove displayed boundless care and kindness in perusing this text in its

final (and far longer) form, in counseling improvements, and in confronting my perfectionism: to them I am deeply indebted. For their beneficent assistance in procuring sources over the years, kind thanks are due to Paul Allen, Sam Boyd, Megan Brown, Joseph Cross, Dan Pioske, Peter Porzig, Andrew Sowers, John Thames, Bob Turner, and James Walters. Finally, this book would be much less were it not for the tremendous efforts of digitization undertaken in libraries and collections across the globe.

Material provisions for this project have come from numerous sources. As I returned to Göttingen, Nathan MacDonald brought me on board his Sofja Kovalevskaja project “Early Jewish Monotheisms,” which was funded by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Liberal and reliable support – for the initial writing and then the (unplanned) rewriting – came from the chairs of Hermann Spieckermann and Reinhard Kratz. Under the aegis of the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and U4 Network, I had the fortune of a research stint at Ghent University, in the Department of Philosophy and Moral Sciences. The Leibniz Institute of European History, in Mainz, supplied a visiting doctoral fellowship for concentrated writing. I very much enjoyed the dissertation fellowship at the Max Weber Center for Advanced Cultural and Social Studies, in Erfurt, where I was able to work in a rigorous, intellectually stimulating environment. All of them I acknowledge gratefully.

Successful (or at least completed) projects are, of course, far more than funding secured or crystallized ideas. Dear friends have sustained me through this project and through all the life that happened in the process. For their personal support at different stages along the way, I would like to convey my heartfelt thanks especially to Sonja Ammann, Metin Bagriacik, Catherine Bronson, Britt Caluwaerts, Nicola Camilleri, Reed Carlson, Malika Dekkiche, Jordan Dyniewski, Bernard Geoghegan, Alex Jousset, Eric Lander, Amy Meginnes, Joe Meginnes, Amanda Kay Moore, Jennifer Olson, Caitlan Reeg, Julia Rhyder, Clarisse Roche, Harald Samuel, Soren Seifi, Jonathan Soyars, Emiliano Urcioli, Mahsa Vahdat, and James Walters. Any number of others could, and actually should, be named. More effusively, Rebecca Van Hove has been a cherished companion and a veritable wellspring of encouragement and joy. My sisters, Sarah Dufendach and Rachel Kurtz, have affectionately tried to comprehend what, exactly, their brother has been doing all these years in Europe and have shown, at crucial points, how blood can be thicker than water. But it is to my parents, Pam and Craig, that I owe my deepest gratitude of all: I dedicate this work of mine to them.

Paul Michael Kurtz

Queens' College, Cambridge

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Acknowledgements | IX |
| <i>Introduction: Germany's Present, Israel's Past</i> | 1 |
| <i>Part One: Julius Wellhausen & The Religion of Ages Past</i> | 19 |
| <i>Chapter One: Wellhausen Among the Mandarins</i> | 23 |
| 1.1 The Making of a Professor: Patronage and Politics | 25 |
| 1.2 A Flee(t)ing Theologian | 45 |
| 1.3 An Ascetic Scholarship | 57 |
| <i>Chapter Two: The Rise and Fall of a Hebrew Nation</i> | 65 |
| 2.1 Old Testament as Prologue | 69 |
| 2.2 Prolegomena to a <i>Prolegomena</i> | 77 |
| 2.3 History as Nationcraft | 86 |
| 2.4 Theocracy Unbound | 95 |
| 2.5 Formative Islam: A Narrative Retold | 109 |
| <i>Chapter Three: Religion's Past: Semites, Primitives, and Ancients</i> | 123 |
| 3.1 Philological Positivism | 126 |
| 3.2 Different Data, Persistent Problem, Same Solution | 133 |
| 3.3 Philosophy among the Anti-Philosophical | 139 |
| 3.4 More Than Mere Empiricism | 147 |
| <i>Part Two: Hermann Gunkel & Religion through History</i> | 167 |
| <i>Chapter Four: Gunkel Amidst the Furor Orientalis</i> | 171 |
| 4.1 The Prehistory of an Historian | 173 |
| 4.2 A <i>Religionsgeschichtliche Schule</i> ; or, Göttingen Inter Alia | 184 |
| 4.3 Religion in the <i>Kaiserreich</i> , the <i>Kaiserreich</i> in Europe | 192 |
| 4.4 German Scholarship at the <i>Fin de Siècle</i> | 197 |

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <i>Chapter Five: The World of Ancient Israel</i> | 207 |
| 5.1 New Testament by Desire, Old Testament by Demand | 209 |
| 5.2 The Finish at the Start | 213 |
| 5.2.1 Binding Ancient Israel to Christianity | 213 |
| 5.2.2 Embracing Sources Outside the Canon | 225 |
| 5.2.3 Diffusing the Situation | 232 |
| <i>Chapter Six: History, Not Past: The Religion of Religionsgeschichte</i> | 253 |
| 6.1 Form in Text Through Time | 257 |
| 6.2 A Cause for Comparison; or, Everything's a Whole | 268 |
| 6.3 Inspired Persons Past | 272 |
| <i>Concluding Remarks: Piety Through History</i> | 285 |
| 7.1 Revelation in/as History | 287 |
| 7.2 Religion Now and Then, Religion Theirs and Ours | 292 |
| | |
| Bibliography | 317 |
| | |
| Index of Names and Subjects | 361 |

Introduction

Germany's Present, Israel's Past

In the early aughts of the twentieth century, Jerusalem, long slipping, now began to fall beneath the shadow cast by Babylon. This time, however, the scene was not the Middle East but Berlin's own Sing-Akademie, and the esteemed assyriologist Friedrich Delitzsch (1850–1922), son of the famed Lutheran hebraist Franz, served as the lighting technician. Here, in 1902, Delitzsch launched a series of lectures on the Bible and Babylonia, the first two of the trio held before the German Oriental Society and in the presence of none other than the German Emperor himself, Wilhelm II (1859–1941), King of Prussia and Head of the Protestant Church in Prussia. Each more polemical than the last, the sequence considered new finds from Mesopotamia and their connection to the Old Testament. Enuma Elish and the Epic of Gilgamesh, for instance, recounted stories of flood and creation much the same as those in the book of Genesis. But Delitzsch had little interest in a showcase of the similar. Instead, he pressed for dependence and pushed the question of distinction and revelation centerstage. The prospect of a god perhaps not merely waiting in the wings but in fact leaving the theatre of history altogether caused a sensation. For a German Empire deeply steeped in biblical images, motifs, and allusions, burning questions naturally emerged. Did Moses plagiarize the Code of Hammurabi? Was Paradise lost to Babylon? Could Israel's uniqueness be regained?

As the second address became an outright altercation in what became known as the "Babel–Bible Affair," Kaiser Wilhelm was compelled to give – or, better, delegate – an answer. Inquiring minds wanted to know just what their sovereign thought. The Kaiser claimed two kinds of revelation: the one continuous, general, and widespread through humanity; the other "more religious," particular, and pinnacling in Jesus.¹ While God had revealed himself, in the first case, through such great men as Hammurabi, Moses, Abraham, Homer, Charlemagne (Charles the Great), Luther, Shakespeare, Goethe, Kant, and Wilhelm I and thereby cultivated a wholistic growth of humankind, he had done so, in the second, through the lineage of Abraham to Christ, its culmination, by way of psalmists, the

¹ The text "Babel und Bibel. Ein Handschreiben Seiner Majestät Kaiser Wilhelms des Zweiten an das Vorstandsmitglied der Deutschen Orientgesellschaft, Admiral Hollmann," dated February 15, 1903, was widely distributed and published, among other places, in *Die Grenzboten: Zeitschrift für Politik, Literatur und Kunst* 62, no. 1 (1903): 493–96, cited here, at 495. Throughout this book, all translations are the author's own, unless otherwise noted.

prophets, and Moses. Suggested by the lists themselves, this twofold sense of revelation did not conceptualize the universal and the specific as only running in parallel but rather often intersecting. Moreover, according to the monarch, the Old Testament had preserved any number of passages that betrayed a purely human, historical nature and did not ultimately constitute the inspired word of God. No matter how much any further research or future discovery might modify the understanding of the deity and his dealings, so the king, the core and content of the Bible would forever stay the same. “Never was religion a result of science (*Wissenschaft*),” he concluded, “but an outpouring of the person’s heart and being from his communion with God.”²

These results of science, however, had clearly troubled the hearts of many Germans. Scholarship had thrown tenebrous shades of doubt upon the story told in the Bible and, by extension, its authority not only on the record of the ancient past but also for the present world. Such strikes against this textual foundation further risked destabilizing dominant superstructures of church and state that had rested on the holy scriptures. As one especially shrewd analysis has argued, the *Babel-Bibel-Streit* triggered in Wilhelmine Germany an equivalent to the Scopes Trial of North America, where “the long-standing contest between science and religion for social and intellectual hegemony came most forcefully into public view.”³ The stakes were high, the peril acute, precisely because of a long perceived connection, geographically, between Western Asia and Europe and, temporally, between antiquity and modernity: Christianity, and the German Protestant kind in particular, bore the torch of Israel. Consecrating the Lutheran Church of the Redeemer in Jerusalem, on Reformation Day of 1898, amidst travels across the Middle East as ostentatious as they were freighted, the Kaiser had contended, only four years prior, “From Jerusalem came the light of the world, in whose radiance our German people has become great and glorious (*herrlich*).”⁴ Through this Babel–Bible Affair, the Prometheus of philology had thieved the source of that light and conferred it not only on a still more ancient time but also on the very foe of Israel, namely, Babylonia.

In fact, a couple decades prior to the *Babel-Bibel-Streit*, another set of scholarly conclusions had already provoked a controversy in the public square. The challenge then, though, had originated not from outside but within – in two separate

² Ibid., 496.

³ Suzanne L. Marchand, *Down From Olympus: Archaeology and Philhellenism in Germany, 1750–1970* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1996), 225. On this dispute, see further Reinhard G. Lehmann, *Friedrich Delitzsch und der Babel-Bibel-Streit* (Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 133; Freiburg: Universitätsverlag/Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1994); cf. Yaacov Shavit and Mordechai Eran, *The Hebrew Bible Reborn: From Holy Scripture to the Book of Books, A History of Biblical Culture and the Battles over the Bible in Modern Judaism*, trans. Chaya Naor (Studia Judaica 38; Berlin: de Gruyter, 2007), 193–352.

⁴ Johannes Penzler, ed., *Die Reden Kaiser Wilhelms II. in den Jahren 1896–1900* (Leipzig: Reclam, n.d.), 122.

senses: through a scrutiny of the biblical texts themselves, instead of writings recently deciphered from other ancient "oriental" peoples, which had predated sacred scripture, and, secondly, through scholars of the Bible and theology, rather than experts of cognate fields. Uniting a long tradition of Christian humanism with a new historicist philology, a circle of savants had separated the Old Testament into constituent sources and proceeded to rearrange them, chronologically. These interpreters reevaluated the composition history of the Hebrew Bible and used this reassessment to reappraise the history of Israel.⁵ A revision of the literature prompted a rewriting of the past. The story these scholars told of ancient Israel radically contradicted the one recounted in the Bible.

To elucidate just how revolutionary, and indeed sensational, this retelling truly was – a retelling that would become established as a cornerstone of biblical scholarship by the final decades of the nineteenth century – a brief review of that original, ancient narrative itself is first in order. As presented by the Hebrew Bible, God created the cosmos by an act of speech alone. He placed the first humans in a garden and, once they disobeyed, damned them to a life of labor and toil. When their descendants continued the trend, he resolved to start again, with a flood and Noah's family. God made a promise to Abram (soon to be Abraham) that he would found a nation and his nation would inherit a land. Thus, Abraham sired Isaac, Isaac generated Jacob, whose name was changed to Israel, and Jacob had twelve sons, who all ended up in Egypt and whose own offspring wound up slaves. Then, God called Moses to usher them across desert, sea, and mountains and into the land of Canaan, promised to their forefather. Along the way, he gave Moses a code of law for the people to uphold, which included monolatry. (Positioned at this point in the narrative, the book of Deuteronomy claims to be that code and stresses cultic centralization, subordination of the king to God's election and the law as preserved by priests, and God's promise of blessing for obedience and curses for disobedience.) They invaded Canaan, sc., Syria-Palestine, and exterminated indigenous populations. After generations of judges – heroes like Deborah, who saved the people from their foes – God granted the nation a king. Although God chose Saul at first, he swapped horses midstream and anointed David as his chosen. Once David had united a kingdom and made Jerusalem his capital, his son Solomon built a temple. Upon the death of Solomon, the kingdom then divided, Israel in the north and Judah in the south. The kings of Israel and Judah, varied in their righteousness, cycled in and out while

⁵ As a note on nomenclature, Hebrew Bible constitutes a more neutral, scholarly designation for an ancient literature roughly corresponding to that of the Old Testament, a denomination standard within the Christian tradition; in general, this book refers to the Old Testament when the discussion proceeds from the perspective of Christian thought in nineteenth-century Germany and to the Hebrew Bible when the analysis offers consideration from a contemporary angle – although these two terms may well appear interchangeably at times: see further Jon D. Levenson, *The Hebrew Bible, the Old Testament, and Historical Criticism: Jews and Christians in Biblical Studies* (Louisville: Westminster/John Knox Press, 1993), 1–32.

prophets also came and went, sometimes for the monarch, other times against. One king in particular, Josiah, discovered the long forgotten law of Moses and sought to reenact it. Ultimately, Assyria annihilated Israel, and Babylonia massacred Judah, reducing the temple to ruins, but once Persia overtook Babylonia, it permitted the people since deported to return from exile. Nehemiah and Ezra aimed to rebuild the temple, restore the code, and renew the Jewish people (i. e., those from Judah) after exile. Other prophets foretold of a coming savior who would restore the kingdom again.

As Christianity – Jewish offshoot it was – added to that tale, these texts became an Old Testament. The New one continued the narrative with Jesus, an itinerant Jewish preacher portrayed as the savior promised to his people. With a life full of righteousness and miracles in the Roman province of Judea, Jesus impressed the masses with his teaching but not the powers that be, so religious authorities convinced the Roman government to crucify him as a revolutionary. Convinced he rose from the dead, his disciples expanded the following in quantity, geography, and quality, to encompass non-Jews in addition. They expected Jesus to return and establish a kingdom for those who followed him. Thus, the New Testament ends with Jesus assuring his imminent return.

Based on highly technical analysis, the finds of “historical criticism” had wrought a new composition history for the Old Testament texts and, in consequence, occasioned a new history of Israel. The basic reconstruction asserted the so-called law of Moses had not arisen at the start of ancient Israel but at its very end – that is, at the dawn of Judaism. This division between Israel and Judaism was critical in such investigations. If the Mosaic law had, in fact, emerged only after Israel’s demise – once the northern and southern kingdoms had collapsed – the story as recounted in the Old Testament then also fell to ruins. The law, in this account, had come not from God on Sinai, where it constituted a keystone in the relationship of Yahweh to his people, traveled with the Israelites into the land of Canaan, and lay at the very foundation of their political organization, but rather from Jewish priests long after the days of Moses. As opposed to the chronicle of the Old Testament, the people of Israel had morphed into a nation, formed a sense of monotheism, and developed the divine law in full only over time. Moreover, the biblical narrative, so the argument came to run, represented an idealized portrait of history conceived by later Jews, one they had projected onto the still more ancient past. Biblical history no longer corresponded to the ancient past.

The Bible therefore took a beating in but a couple decades. This hypothesis on composition history, which had already circulated among the upper echelons of international learning as early as the 1860s, gained forceful traction by the 1880s.⁶ As the critical analysis of texts gave way to more synthetic histories of

⁶ See John Rogerson, *Old Testament Criticism in the Nineteenth Century: England and Germany* ([Philadelphia]: Fortress Press, 1984).

Israel, this retelling then disseminated from the ivory to the bell tower, which, to many churchgoers and biblereaders, seemed to sound the knell for the Old Testament's credibility on the history of Israel and even divine revelation. The lectures that Delitzsch delivered added insult to injury. Whereas internal investigations of the biblical texts themselves had called into question their claims upon the past, external explorations among other ancient peoples then raised further doubts about pretensions to uniqueness.

Amidst enthralling decipherment of long forgotten tongues, enticing correspondences of newly published texts, and thrilling excavations from across the Middle East – conveyed physically by steam and visually by photograph to a rapidly growing number of middle-class consumers – sure discoveries brought with them certain difficulties. The challenges presented by these historical inquiries converged with obstacles erected by finds in other fields of study, whether biology or psychology, be it philosophy or geology. Of no small significance, however, the line between “faith” and “science” was anything but straight and bold. An array of reconcilers and rationalizers, a multitude of defenders and mediators believed in the promises of both. Craftsmen of historiography could thus deploy such findings as a crowbar or a clamp, either to open or to close that gap which increasingly yawned between the ancient past as it had essentially happened and the story as recounted by the biblical tradition. In fact, many who had drawn such problematic conclusions numbered not among the godless but the faithful. Students of the Bible were also teachers of its history. For those who did not, on principle, object to scrutiny of the Old Testament as any other literature, *Wissenschaft* – or science – often seemed a neutral arbiter. Dissecting the biblical texts and juxtaposing them with other sources would, through the fires of historical science, purify the knowledge of God's workings in the past, and even present, of a chosen people.

Kaiser, Christ, and Canaan examines the historiography of ancient Israel in the German Empire through the prism of religion, as a structuring framework not only for the writings on the past but also for the writers of that past themselves. The investigation focuses on these two crucial moments in the history of historical writing, as internal analysis and then external comparison of the Hebrew Bible called for a new account of the past. Indeed, the history of Israel was truly contested territory in the nineteenth century, for any number of reasons: the stakes for self-understanding amongst both Jews and Christians as pretenders to its legacy, the prominent sense of such a genealogy in the dominant cultural and intellectual forces of Protestantism within the *Kaiserreich*, the sacred institutions whose authority ostensibly rested on that inheritance, the traditional ascendancy of the biblical narrative for rendering the past, and the potentially destabilizing promises of scientific inquiry into the Old Testament texts. (Notably, the concern was almost exclusively ancient Israel, as opposed to modern Palestine.⁷) As Wil-

⁷ On the ironies of scholars who devoted themselves to ancient Israel yet showed little inter-

liam Faulkner knew, "The past is never dead. It's not even past."⁸ Furthermore, the study of this particular antique past stood at the crossroads of theology, philology, and history – polyvalent words suggesting institutional structures, disciplinary boundaries, methodological commitments, as well as interpretive guides. Scholarship on the past of ancient Israel thus converged with the shifting trends in theory and method across the human sciences; however, the nature of the topic, the sites of its exploration, and the identify of its scholars meant this realm of erudition carried implications far beyond the world of academia. Therefore, sustained examination of this specific research tradition, in all of its complexities, contradictions, and continuities, provides leverage not only on the history of scholarship, historiography, or epistemology circa 1900 but also on the wider cultural and intellectual life of modern Germany.

By exploiting the polysemy of the word "religion," this book gains purchase, then, on the study of antique Israel as it consolidated in the German-speaking lands of the long nineteenth century. The category strengthens such analysis by drawing together several different aspects constitutive of that enterprise, on both the level of reconstruction and that of reconstructors. Sacred scriptures long supplied the primary source for accessing the past. The biblical narrative told a story of the human and divine. Scholars pinned the composition history of the Old Testament literature to changes in the ancients' thinking and doing as regards a god. Academics collapsed the history of Israel as a nation with developments in that people's practical, institutional, and theoretical life with respect to the supernatural. Ritual and cult, feasts and sacrifice, astrology and cosmogony, divine law and human priests all underwent critical inquiry. Protestant frames of reference structured historical science itself. Intellectual genealogies had long connected modern Christians in Europe to ancient Semites in Asia. The lettered agents, intellectual settings, learned societies, educational contexts, cultural institutions, and political formations across the German Empire most defining for this foundational research were themselves of Protestant character. In consequence, religion, with its productive polyvalence, serves as a lens to embrace and to inspect a complex that did so much to shape the study of a past, one with stakes especially high: the material scholars inspected, the values they upheld, the conceptual space they occupied, the institutions they served, and the society they inhabited. The history of religion in modern Germany thus exerted deep effects on histories of (religion in) ancient Israel. This analysis ultimately places at center questions of the Bible and confession to understand a world where the Bible and confession were central.

est in the goings-on of modern Palestine and, more broadly, on the historiography of Israel as an instance of colonial knowledge, see Paul Michael Kurtz, "The Silence on the Land: Ancient Israel Versus Modern Palestine in Scientific Theology," in *Negotiating the Secular and the Religious in the German Empire: Transnational Approaches*, edited by Rebekka Habermas (New York: Berghahn Books, forthcoming 2019).

⁸ William Faulkner, *Requiem for a Nun*, Act 1, Scene 3.

Interrogating this historiography of Israel in the Second Empire, *Kaiser, Christ, and Canaan* investigates to what extent, in an age of allegedly disinterested “historical science,” the very enterprise of reconstructing the ancient past in general and past religion in particular – from object to approach – was shaped by liberal Protestant structures shared by dominant historians, in Prussia, from the late nineteenth to early twentieth centuries. Specifically, this inquiry scrutinizes what, exactly, biblical scholars, theologians, orientalists, philologists, and ancient historians considered “religion” and “history” to be, how they sought to access these conceptual categories, and why they pursued them the way that they did. To do so, the book evaluates two representatives of two distinct approaches to understanding religion in ancient Israel: Julius Wellhausen (1844–1918), with a source criticism largely orientated towards the history of nations, and Hermann Gunkel (1862–1932), with a comparative procedure ultimately aimed at the world behind the literature. Their corpora thus embodied those aforementioned trends in accessing the past through the Old Testament, namely, the internal dissection of the biblical literature and the juxtaposition of those same texts with external materials. Wellhausen and Gunkel also played roles in still a larger story – one long told by intellectual historians – between those who had come of age at the foundation of the German Empire and those who had established themselves circa 1890. The history of these historians, then, sheds further light on perhaps the more intricate dimensions of that story while that same story, in turn, illuminates the dynamics of them and their work.

Though little known among historians of the modern period, Wellhausen and Gunkel each made lasting contributions to the fields in which he labored – from the study of antique Israel through early Judaism and primitive Christianity to formative Islam, whether the Old or New Testament literature, be it the history of religion or of the ancient Near East. They and their ideas have been cemented into the very foundation of several modern disciplines. If translations serve as any indication, these founding figures and their foundational works have, even more, achieved a high visibility in disciplinary architecture – not forgotten but remembered, even memorialized. Wellhausen has been translated into Arabic, English, Hebrew, Hungarian, Norwegian, Russian, and Turkish, with much attention granted to his ventures in the history of Islam and Judaism.⁹ In the sphere of biblical scholarship, and especially among anglophones, Gunkel has exerted a special allure, with a goodly number of translations into English: his 1888 monograph on the spirit in 1979 (reprinted in 2008), his 1895 exploration of cosmogony across diffuse ancient literatures in 2006 (a thesis reconsidered in a dedicated 2013 volume), a series of essays first published between 1900 and 1928 in 2001, his third edition of the 1901 Genesis commentary in 1997, his introduction to that third edition in 1994, his 1903 foray into the *Babel-Bibel-Streit*

⁹ See the entries under Wellhausen in the appended bibliography.

in 2009 (printed anew in 2011), his 1906 *Elias, Jahve und Baal* in 2014, his 1917 study of folklore in 1987 (printed again in 2015), his 1929 introduction to the Psalter in 1998, and his 1930 revision of earlier articles on the Psalms in 1967 (reissued in 1969), not to mention the numerous renderings of various books and essays already in his own time.¹⁰ In this way, Wellhausen and Gunkel not only occupied an important place in the pasts of their own disciplines but also bear on the contemporary pursuits of their successors in the same.

Even a century later, both continue to serve as major nodes when those disciplines map their own histories. In fact, for contemporary scholarship on the Hebrew Bible, this academic duo feature frequently as archetypes, not only for specific analytical modes but also for antithetical endeavors. One biblical scholar has employed them, typically, to chart interpretive poles:

The parameters for questions of interpretation were largely set by Wellhausen and Gunkel. Wellhausen's approach is scientific, Gunkel's artistic. Wellhausen is consistently analytical,

¹⁰ Hermann Gunkel, *The Influence of the Holy Spirit: The Popular View of the Apostolic Age and the Teaching of the Apostle Paul*, trans. Roy A. Harrisville and Philip A. Quanbeck, II (Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1979); idem, *Creation and Chaos in the Primeval Era and the Eschaton: A Religio-Historical Study of Genesis 1 and Revelation 12*, trans. K. William Whitney, Jr., with a foreword by Peter Machinist (Biblical Resource Series; Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2006), cf. JoAnn Scurlock and Richard H. Beal, ed., *Creation and Chaos: A Reconsideration of Hermann Gunkel's Chaoskampf Hypothesis* (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2013); idem, *Water for a Thirsty Land: Israelite Literature and Religion*, ed. and trans. K. C. Hanson (Fortress Classics in Biblical Studies; Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2011); idem, *Genesis*, trans. Mark E. Biddle (Mercer Library of Biblical Studies; Macon: Mercer University Press, 1997); idem, *The Stories of Genesis*, ed. William R. Scott, trans. John J. Scullion (Vallejo: BIBAL Press, 1994); idem, *Israel and Babylon: The Babylonian Influence on Israelite Religion*, trans. E. S. B., ed. K. C. Hanson (Cambridge: James Clarke & Co, 2009); idem, *Elijah, Yahweh, and Baal*, trans. K. C. Hanson (Eugene: Cascade Books, 2014); idem, *The Folktale in the Old Testament*, trans. Michael D. Rutter, with an introduction by John W. Rogerson (Sheffield: Almond Press, 1987) [repr. Bloomsbury Academic Collections/Biblical Studies: Historic Texts; London: Bloomsbury, 2015]; idem, *Introduction to Psalms: The Genres of the Religious Lyric of Israel*, trans. J. D. Nogalski (Mercer Library of Biblical Studies; Macon: Mercer University Press, 1998) [the front cover reads *An Introduction to the Psalms*]; idem, *The Psalms: A Form-Critical Introduction*, trans. Thomas M. Horner, with a foreword by James Muilenburg (Facet Books Biblical Series 19; Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1967) [mistakenly listed as the translation of an essay in Vol. 1, rather than Vol. 4, of *Die Religion in Geschichte und Gegenwart's* second edition]. Contemporary translations include, inter alia, idem, *The Legends of Genesis*, trans. W. H. Carruth (Chicago: Open Court Publishing, 1901) [repr. as *The Legends of Genesis: The Biblical Saga & History*, with an introduction by William Foxwell Albright (New York: Schocken: 1964) and (Eugene: Wipf & Stock, 2003), with this introduction to the first edition of his Genesis commentary also being published separately in German, as *Die Sagen der Genesis*]; idem, "The Religio-Historical Interpretation of the New Testament"; idem, *Israel and Babylon: The Influence of Babylon on the Religion of Israel*, trans. E. S. B. (Philadelphia: McVey, 1904); idem, "The 'Historical Movement' in the Study of Religion"; idem, *What Remains of the Old Testament and Other Essays*, trans. A. K. Dallas (New York: Macmillan, 1928); cf. also Martin J. Buss, "Gunkel, Hermann," in *Historical Handbook of Major Biblical Interpreters*, ed. Donald M. McKim (Downers Grove: InterVarsity Press, 1998), 487–91, at 490–91. Many of these publications have streamed from publication channels oriented towards a more conservative interpretation of the Bible, which merits an inquiry all its own.

Gunkel synthetic. Wellhausen aims for precision, Gunkel for suggestive nuance. Wellhausen speaks of documents whereas Gunkel treats of tradition. Wellhausen values discipline, Gunkel stresses imagination.¹¹

Overly schematic or not, such an antipodal presentation reflects the way these nineteenth-century savants have functioned for their disciplinary descendants. Wellhausen and Gunkel, their personas and their publications, remain prominent fixtures in the study of Hebrew Bible and ancient Israel, where they act as benchmarks for tracking developments in the field. The legacy of Wellhausen and Gunkel has, indeed, transcended a single discipline, any one language, and even the long nineteenth century – despite their near invisibility in studies of modern history on intellectual life in the period.

This record of wide reception and function for interpretive parameters suggest dissimilarity between the place of Wellhausen and Gunkel in disciplinary memory and their standing in studies of intellectual and cultural history. Beyond the point of prominence, however, a greater inconsistency emerges in the actual assessments of these two scholars and their contributions by practitioners of those disciplines in which they themselves had toiled, on the one hand, and by modern historians, on the other – that is, those relative few who have delved into the history of biblical and theological scholarship and, rarer still, considered these men and their letters. Evaluations of Wellhausen document this discrepancy well. His confrères past and present have long celebrated, even idolized, his endeavors, specifically as an historian. While the biblical scholar Rudolf Smend (Jr.) ranks his *Israelitische und jüdische Geschichte* and *Prolegomena zur Geschichte Israels* among “the classic historical works of the 19th century,” historian of religion Kurt Rudolph hails him “a true historian, a historian indeed of the highest caliber.”¹² Wellhausen’s contemporaries were equally impressed. Not only did the orientalist Enno Littmann (1875–1958) eulogize him as “one of the greatest historians of all time,” but arabist Carl Heinrich Becker (1876–1933) even waxed poetic:

The writing of history is as impossible to learn as the making of it. Statesmen and historians are born. Though historical sense is a gift from God, he does not create the historian. The

¹¹ Walter Brueggemann and Hans Walter Wolff, *The Vitality of Old Testament Traditions*, 2nd ed. (Atlanta: John Knox Press, 1982), 20.

¹² Rudolf Smend, “Julius Wellhausen, 1844–1918,” in idem, *From Astruc to Zimmerli: Old Testament Scholarship in three Centuries*, trans. Margaret Kohl (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2007), 91–102, at 91; Kurt Rudolph, “Wellhausen as an Arabist,” in *Julius Wellhausen and His Prolegomena to the History of Israel*, ed. Douglas A. Knight (Semeia 25; Chico: Scholars Press, 1983), 111–55, at 117; cf. also Lothar Peritt, “Julius Wellhausen,” in *Göttinger Gelehrte. Die Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen in Bildnissen und Würdigungen*, 2 vols., ed. Karl Arndt, Gerhard Gottschalk, and Rudolf Smend (Göttingen: Wallstein, 2001), 1:268; Reinhard G. Kratz, “Julius Wellhausen (1844–1918) und die Geschichte,” in *Stiftsgeschichte(n). 250 Jahre Theologisches Stift der Universität Göttingen (1765–2015)*, ed. Bernd Schröder and Heiko Wojtkowiak (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2015), 105–16, at 108.

true historian must unite the painstaking work of the carter with the art of a master builder. Historical sense must master the hard-won mass, and artistic creative power ennobles it. In this respect, Wellhausen was a genuine historian.¹³

Such sentiment still echoes throughout the hallways of departments that have a share in his scholarly heritage, reverberating with particular strength in the corridors of theological faculties and in the rooms of Old Testament scholars across German-speaking Europe. While experts in his own fields of specialism have routinely lionized Wellhausen's distinction as an historian, beyond a solitary discipline, and for an entire epoch, modern historians themselves have proved far more restrained, or maybe less invested. Suzanne Marchand hence positions Wellhausen in her "galaxy of minor figures" while Thomas Albert Howard sets him in the "hatchery of 'important lesser' theologians and biblical critics" for the nineteenth century.¹⁴ So, too, Sabine Mangold(-Will) has contended that for all the historical questions figures like Theodor Nöldeke (1836–1930) or Wellhausen may have posed in, or even introduced to, their areas of study, such orientalists considered themselves first and foremost philologists or linguists and only then historians.¹⁵ These incongruous estimations evince a divide in conceiving the history of scholarship between those inside and those outside the scholar's sectors of specialization.

Said dissimilitude between the portraits of Wellhausen – as an historian or philologist, as a major or minor figure – has no little to do, indeed, with the landscape drawn behind him: i. e., whether his interpreters cast the researcher within smaller spheres of specialism or against the larger intellectual background of the nineteenth century. Similar contrasts would emerge for Gunkel, and others, as well. Tellingly, one monograph on histories of Israel has opened with the striking observation:

¹³ Enno Littmann, "Erinnerung an Julius Wellhausen," *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft* 106 (1956): 18–22, at 20; C. H. Becker, "Julius Wellhausen," *Der Islam* 9 (1919): 95–99, at 95.

¹⁴ Suzanne L. Marchand, *German Orientalism in the Age of Empire: Religion, Race, and Scholarship* (Publications of the German Historical Institute; Washington, D. C.: German Historical Institute/Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009), xxx–xxxii, cf. 167 as well as idem, "From Liberalism to Neoromanticism: Albrecht Dieterich, Richard Reitzenstein, and the Religious Turn in *Fin-de-Siècle* German Classical Studies," in *Out of Arcadia: Classics and Politics in Germany in the Age of Burckhardt, Nietzsche and Wilamowitz*, ed. Martin Ruehl and Ingo Gildenhard (Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies Supplement 79; London: Institute of Classical Studies, School of Advanced Studies, University of London, 2003), 129–60, at 140; Thomas Albert Howard, *Religion and the Rise of Historicism: W. M. L. de Wette, Jacob Burckhardt, and the Theological Origins of Nineteenth-Century Historical Consciousness* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000), 4–5; also worth mentioning here is Howard's *Protestant Theology and the Making of the Modern German University* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006).

¹⁵ Sabine Mangold, *Eine "weltbürgerliche Wissenschaft" – Die deutsche Orientalistik im 19. Jahrhundert* (Pallas Athene 11; Stuttgart: Franz Steiner, 2004), 108.

Index of Names and Subjects

- Abbasids 77, 119
Academy of the Sciences
– *see also* societies/associations
– in Berlin 58, 162
– in Denmark 58
– in Göttingen 33, 58, 59, 62, 127–28, 181, 198 n. 109
Albright, William Foxwell 143 n. 81, 151 n. 113
Althoff, Friedrich 35, 40, 43–44, 52, 62, 210–11
Amos, biblical figure 103, 161, 245, 291 n. 22, 303–06
anthropology
– *see also* comparison, *Geist*, Semites
– in social development 144–45, 156–61, 258–60
– of Semites 86–87, 110–12, 268–72, 309–11
antisemitism/anti-Judaism
– *see also* Catholicism, Judaism
– in Gunkel 242–43, 261, 263–64, 266
– in Imperial Germany 119–120
– in other scholars 48 n. 112, 63 n. 184, 203–04
– in Wellhausen 60, 85 n. 72, 104–09, 291–92, 304–06
apocalyptic 186, 210, 212, 225–31, 241 n. 160, 246, 300
apocrypha 216, 227–28
Arabic
– institutional place 42, 201
– sources 53, 72–73, 76, 106 n. 166, 111–12, 136
Arabs, pre-Islamic
– *see also* Hebrews, Israel/Israelites, Semites
– customs 86–87, 95, 109–19, 269, 294–95, 309, 311
– tribal system 67, 90
Assyria/ Assyrians
– *see also* Babylonia/Babylonians, Israel/Israelites, Semites
– as enemy of Israel 4, 94, 101, 103, 153, 294
– cultural influence 102 n. 145, 104, 111–12, 260
assyriology 111, 152, 172, 198–200, 205, 248–49
Babel-Bibel-Streit/Babel-Bible Affair 1–2, 148, 199 n. 110, 288
Babylonia/Babylonians
– *see also* Assyria/Assyrians, Israel/Israelites, Semites
– as enemy of Israel 1–2, 4
– cultural influence 102 n. 145, 104, 111–12, 234, 269
– origin of Jewish material 236–44, 260, 271–72, 289, 298, 299
Baethgen, Friedrich 159 n. 144, 211, 279 n. 141
Barton, George Aaron 199, 236 n. 134
Baudissin, Wolf Wilhelm Graf 41, 42
Baur, Ferdinand Christian 29, 75, 160 n. 146, 190
Becker, Carl Heinrich 9, 72, 294
belief, *see* faith
biblical criticism, *see* criticism
Bildung 55, 115
Bildungsbürgertum/middle classes
– as consumers 5, 15, 23, 169, 173, 198, 251, 285
– interconnection 26–27, 67, 285–86
Bleek, Friedrich 80, 178 n. 31
book series
– *see also* journals, reference works
– *Bibliothek for de tusen hjem* 84 n. 69
– *Die Schriften des Alten Testaments* 251
– *Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments* 168, 251
– *Religionsgeschichtliche Volksbücher für die deutsche christliche Gegenwart* 251, 293
– *Texte und Untersuchungen zur Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur* 176
– *The Student's Series* 68
borrowing, *see* diffusion
bourgeois/bourgeoisie, *see* *Bildungsbürgertum*

- Budde, Karl 238 n. 146, 285
 Bultmann, Rudolf 253, 280
 Burckhardt, Jacob 134–35, 159 n. 143
- canonicity
 – *see also* scripture
 – authority 15, 138
 – canonical texts 20, 213–14, 294
 – non-canonical texts 198, 212–13, 213–14, 216, 225–31, 233, 246–47
- Catholicism
 – anti-Catholicism 14–15, 77, 97 n. 127, 112 n. 192, 119–20, 144 n. 84, 308
 – scholars 193, 203
 – university structure 42
 – *vis-à-vis* politics 66 n. 5, 106, 119, 120
- chairs
 – *see also* faculty, universities
 – appointments to 25–45, 45–46, 47–48, 210–13
 – reassignments of 40 n. 77, 70 n. 14, 194
- Chaoskampf* 236–38, 240
 – *see also* creation
- Cheyne, Thomas Kelly 178, 236 n. 134, 248
- Christ, *see* Jesus
- Christianity
 – *see also* Catholicism, church, Israel/
 Israelites, Jesus, Judaism, Protestantism,
 theocracy
 – alleged truth of 46, 299, 301
 – as religion 195, 287–88, 299, 312
 – as syncretistic 203–05, 261
 – essence of 56, 177, 205, 301
 – *vis-à-vis* culture 66 n. 5
 – *vis-à-vis* Israel 2, 108, 119 n. 229, 143–44, 213–25, 277, 293
 – *vis-à-vis* Judaism 4, 75, 109, 144 n. 83, 156, 175, 186, 213–25, 308–09
 – *vis-à-vis* prophecy 15, 102
- chronology
 – of Arabic sources 128
 – of biblical texts 3, 95, 102 n. 144, 135, 244, 265
 – for historical study 144, 152, 155, 205, 232
- church
 – *see also* Catholicism, Judaism, Pro-
 testantism, theocracy
 – in Imperial Germany 1, 119–21, 173–74, 251
 – Gunkel's stance towards 167, 248, 249, 251, 281–82
 – relationship to Judaism 95–109, 237, 292, 304, 308–09
 – *vis-à-vis* the state 2, 13–14, 35, 36
 – Wellhausen's stance towards 38, 45–56, 161, 163–64
- class, *see* *Bildungsbürgertum*
- classics/classical scholarship
 – as discipline 129, 199, 200–201
 – as trendsetter 26, 45, 76–77, 180, 192, 193 n. 92, 197
 – *vis-à-vis* biblical scholarship 139, 184, 189, 249, 261, 282, 295 n. 31
- Clemen, Carl 194, 237
- Cohen, Hermann 123, 133, 139, 162
- comparison
 – *see also* anthropology, Semites, typology
 – language, grammar 42, 296
 – method 109–13, 146–47, 157–59, 180, 182, 232–50, 268–72
 – religion 181, 184–92, 192–96, 197–204, 218, 295–96, 298–99
- Comte, August/Comteanism 125–26, 131, 144
- creation, divine
 – of church 120, 161, 308
 – of individual 182, 301
 – of nation 120, 161, 308
 – of world 1, 3, 188, 232–50, 258, 280
- credentials, honorary 29–33, 38, 45–46, 47–48, 234 n. 127
- criticism
 – *see also* history, historicism, interpretation,
 Pentateuch, positivism
 – historical 4, 14, 133–39, 148, 174, 186, 292
 – source/literary 3, 135–39, 153–56, 226–27, 238–39, 247–48, 252, 253, 265, 277
 – tendency 20, 137, 141 n. 74, 157 n. 139, 179 n. 31
 – textual 124, 131, 156, 181–82, 252, 253, 262–63, 265–66, 277
 – *vis-à-vis* synthesis 4–5, 79–82, 127 n. 14, 129, 140 n. 73, 141 n. 74, 153–56, 178 n. 31
- Cross, Frank Moore 140 n. 73
- cult/cultus
 – *see also* anti-semitism/anti-Judaism,
 Catholicism, exile, law
 – centralization 3, 101 n. 142
 – in Judaism 104–05, 199 n. 113
 – pre-Mosaic 102, 114–15, 303–04, 305
 – *vis-à-vis* religion 186, 290, 294, 297, 309–10
- Curtiss, Samuel Ives 37 n. 56, 112 n. 191, 157 n. 139

- de Lagarde, Paul 47–49, 127, 180–84, 203, 210–11
- de la Saussaye, Pierre Daniel Chantepie 193–94
- de Wette, Wilhelm Martin Leberecht 97, 138, 141 n. 74, 142 n. 79, 144 n. 84, 148 n. 98
- Darwin, Charles/Darwinism 23, 84 n. 69, 125–26, 144–45, 147
- David, biblical figure
– as psalmist 214 n. 17
– as ruler 3, 66, 92–93, 100, 112, 119 n. 229, 291 n. 22
- degrees, *see* credentials
- Delitzsch, Friedrich 1, 142, 188, 199 n. 110
- Deussen, Paul 203–04
- Deuteronomy, biblical book 3, 103 n. 152, 154
- dictionaries, *see* reference works
- Die Wirkungen des heiligen Geistes*, by Gunkel 209–10, 216–25
- Diels, Hermann 62, 162–64, 290
- Dieterich, Albrecht 189, 201, 249
- diffusion
– *see also* *Babel-Bibel-Streit*/Babel–Bible Affair, monogenesis, polygenesis
– in Israel 153, 171, 271–72, 303
– in scholarship 195–96, 197–204, 268–70
– vs. parallels 146, 234, 260–63, 298
- Dillmann, August
– as advocate 33–34, 36, 81
– as scholar 80, 234
– as theologian 45–47, 50–51
- documentary hypothesis, *see* criticism, history, Pentateuch
- Driver, Samuel Rolles 71, 77, 80 n. 50
- du Bois-Reymond, Emil 162–63
- Duhm, Bernhard
– as scholar 81, 90 n. 98, 153, 177–78, 300
– as teacher 179, 183–84, 222
- Egypt/Egyptians
– circumcision 111, 269
– in biblical narrative 3
– in Hebrew migrations 87–88, 91 n. 99, 92
– origin of Jewish material 260, 298, 299
- egyptology 152 n. 114
- Eichhorn, Albert 186–87, 214–15
- Eißfeldt, Otto 168, 184, 294, 312
- encycloped(a)edias, *see* reference works
- eschatology
– as research topic 179, 186, 210, 211
– in Judaism 225, 242, 308
– in New Testament 222–23, 229, 230, 236, 308
- ethics, *see* morals/morality, prophets/prophesy
- exegetis, *see* interpretation
- exile/exilic period
– in biblical narrative 4
– in historiography 97–98, 99, 108 n. 174, 117, 229, 242
– *vis-à-vis* cult 102 n. 148, 105
- Ewald, Heinrich
– as author 53, 144 n. 84, 175 n. 20
– as chairholder 43, 47, 181
– as teacher 28–29, 33, 65–66, 67, 130, 142, 191, 198
- Ezekiel, biblical figure 79 n. 46, 105, 107, 305
- Ezra
– biblical figure 4, 107, 305
– book of 4 Ezra, 2 Esdras 212, 226, 227
- faculty, philosophy and theology
– *see also* chairs, credentials, universities
– borders 31–32, 40 n. 77, 45
– remit 37–39, 41–42, 45–51, 176, 187, 192–94
- faith
– in God 54, 56, 106 n. 166, 156, 224, 308–09
– in history 132, 271, 277, 290
– in Israel 90, 161, 244, 305–06
– in science 24, 123, 292, 314
– Protestant 15, 222, 287–89, 309, 314
– vs. science 5, 203
- Falk, Adalbert 34–35, 37
- Fleischer, Heinrich Leberecht 187
- Formgeschichte*, *see* history, of form
- Frazer, James George 234
- Gattungsgeschichte*, *see* history, of genre
- Genesis, biblical book 1, 188, 232–50, 258, 261, 269, 274, 280, 301
- Geiger, Abraham 189
- Geist* 143 n. 81, 150, 151–53, 160 n. 146, 257, 277, 299, 314
- Goethe, Johann Wolfgang 1, 23, 27, 75, 85, 173, 227, 272
- gospel, *see* Jesus
- Graecophilia, *see* Greece/Greeks
- Graf, Karl Heinrich/Graffianism 71, 78 n. 43, 81, 83 n. 64, 98, 178
- Greece/Greeks
– *see also* Rome/Romans

- as classical antiquity 11, 68, 106, 190, 199, 202
- *vis-à-vis* Christian thought 220
- *vis-à-vis* Germans 200, 220, 222, 290
- *vis-à-vis* Semites 124, 261, 295 n. 31
- Greek, language 55, 98 n. 130, 128, 136, 233, 255 n. 11
- "Jewish Greek" 76 n. 40
- Greifmann, Hugo 189, 253
- Gunkel, Hermann 167-283, 285-315

- handbooks, *see* reference works
- Hardy, Edmund 193
- Harnack, Adolf 58, 74, 163, 176-77, 212, 214 n. 17, 224
- Haug, Martin 202
- heathen/heathenism, *see* pagan/paganism
- Hebrew, language 41-42, 76, 175 n. 20, 184, 201, 235
- Hebrews
 - *see also* Arabs, Israel/Israelites, Semites
 - as nation 86-94, 115 n. 204
 - *vis-à-vis* Arabs 109-13, 269
 - *vis-à-vis* Babylonians 237
 - *vis-à-vis* Israelites/Jews 87, 97, 100, 101 n. 142, 104, 108, 115 n. 204, 266
- Hegel, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich/Hegelianism 47, 125-26, 140-47, 48, 151 n. 113, 163 n. 155, 175, 282
- Herder, Johann Gottfried 144, 184, 273-81
- Herrmann, Wilhelm 139, 162
- hermeneutics, *see* interpretation
- historical criticism, *see* criticism
- historicism
 - *see also* criticism, history, Protestantism, positivism
 - as epistemology 3, 41, 124-25, 144-47, 149, 175, 194, 292-93, 315
 - principles/values 127, 132, 138 n. 65, 140, 205, 263, 287
 - dominance/crisis 15-16, 67, 131, 139, 169, 202, 209, 235-36, 285, 298
- history
 - *see also* criticism, historicism, Israel, law, orality, *Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*
 - concept of 139-65, 270-71, 287-92
 - of composition 3-4, 6, 88, 95-96, 120, 154, 244, 248
 - of form 186, 229, 238-44, 253-55, 257-68, 274
 - of genre 186, 228, 240-41, 253-55, 257-68, 270, 274, 275, 279 n. 141
 - of literature 86, 154-56, 214, 255, 265-67, 273-74
 - of tradition 88, 100 n. 142, 110, 117-18, 136, 153-54, 238-50, 254, 261-64, 306
 - of transmission 117, 127, 218, 238-43, 248, 254, 262-64
 - of religion 81, 88, 196, 255, 267, 274, 286-87, 294-95, 297-99, 302
- History of Religion(s) School, *see Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*

- idealism
 - in Baur 190 n. 84
 - in Gunkel 263, 277, 282, 298
 - in Wellhausen 132, 144, 147, 149, 151-52, 263, 277
- Indo-European 42, 201, 295 n. 31
- indology 42, 124, 197-98, 201-04
- interpretation, biblical
 - *see also* criticism, faculty, historicism, history, piety, theology
 - competing modalities 8-9, 50, 74, 171-72, 247-48
 - rationalism 146, 158 n. 142, 174, 190 n. 84, 218 n. 37, 224-25, 248
 - *vis-à-vis* experience 227, 232, 245, 246-47, 255, 272-82, 301-02
- Isaiah, biblical figure 103-04, 179, 245, 291 n. 22, 303-04
- Islam
 - *see also* Arabs, Israel/Israelites, pagan/paganism
 - encyclopedia 128, 130
 - formative period 75, 109-19, 311
 - institutionalization 75, 294-95, 312
 - sources 73, 136, 189
 - *vis-à-vis* culture 163-64, 294-95, 310 n. 103
 - *vis-à-vis* state 19, 113, 308
- Israel/Israelites
 - *see also* Arabs, Christianity, criticism, Hebrews, Judaism, moral/morality, Moses, pagan/paganism, revelation, Semites
 - as ancient nation 68, 86-94, 95-109, 134-36
 - distinctiveness 15, 88, 150, 199, 238, 243, 249, 272, 286-87, 289-90
 - entanglement with present 2, 15, 112 n. 192, 119, 143 n. 81, 154 n. 125, 158 n. 142, 314-15
 - in biblical narrative 3-4
 - *vis-à-vis* Moab 87-88, 89, 91-92, 99, 116 n. 204, 158-59, 269

- Israelitische und jüdische Geschichte*, by Wellhausen
- difference in editions 52 n. 131, 102, 108
 - making of 51, 77–85, 97, 126–27, 135–36, 143, 157
 - reception 9, 162–64
- Jahn, Otto 187
- Jastrow, Morris, Jr. 192–93
- Jeremiah, biblical figure 97, 304, 307
- Jeremias, Alfred 187, 198
- Jerusalem 1, 2, 67, 97, 101 n. 142, 102, 104
- Jesus
- as historiographic link 229, 266 n. 67, 289–90, 301, 306–08
 - as Jewish 74
 - as prophet 161–62
 - as reconciler 141 n. 74
 - as revelation 1, 289–90
 - historicity of 74–75, 214, 248, 296 n. 39
 - in biblical narrative 4
 - in new religion 156 n. 133, 294
 - teaching 121, 230, 306–08, 311–12
 - veneration of 15, 204
 - *vis-à-vis* law 98 n. 132
 - *vis-à-vis* Judaism 108–09, 230, 306–08
 - *vis-à-vis* the church 56
- Jordan, Louis Henry 192–93, 194 n. 98
- Josephus 96–97, 106 n. 166
- Josiah, biblical figure 4, 104
- journals
- *see also* book series, reference works
 - *Archiv für Religionswissenschaft* 95, 193
 - *Cosmopolis* 62
 - *Deutsch-Evangelisch. Monatsblätter für den gesamten deutschen Protestantismus* 251
 - *Deutsche Literaturzeitung für Kritik der Internationalen Wissenschaft* 251
 - *Deutsche Rundschau* 251
 - *Die Christliche Welt* 251, 252
 - *Die Zukunft* 38
 - *Frankfurter Zeitung* 251
 - *Internationale Monatsschrift für Wissenschaft, Kunst und Technik* 62, 162, 251
 - *Jahrbücher für Deutsche Theologie* 53 n. 137, 80, 81, 179 n. 32
 - *Kirchliche Gegenwart* 251
 - *Literarisches Centralblatt für Deutschland* 83
 - *Preußische Jahrbücher* 50, 231, 251
 - *Skizzen und Vorarbeiten* 54, 56 n. 147, 72, 79, 83, 110, 113, 126
 - *Tägliche Rundschau* 251
 - *The New World* 53 n. 137
 - *Theologische Literaturzeitung* 53 n. 137, 176, 212, 251
- Judaism
- *see also* antisemitism/anti-Judaism, Israel, Priestly source, theocracy
 - divisions 186, 218 n. 39, 220, 228, 294
 - historiographic exclusion 203 n. 127, 204 n. 131, 216
 - significance 52, 216, 230
 - *vis-à-vis* Babylonia 238, 239 n. 148
 - *vis-à-vis* Christianity 75, 77, 144 n. 83, 156, 169, 216, 230, 246, 301, 306–09
 - *vis-à-vis* Islam 117
 - *vis-à-vis* Israel 4, 75, 97–109, 272, 289, 293–94, 301, 304–05
- Justi, Ferdinand 44, 123
- Kabisch, Richard 226–27
- Kaiser (Wilhelm II) 1–2, 35 n. 48, 47, 58, 59 n. 163, 95, 197, 295
- Kautzsch, Emil 211–12, 231, 234 n. 127
- Kayser, August 71, 80
- Kittel, Rudolf 71 n. 19, 196, 279 n. 141
- Kuenen, Abraham 53, 70, 71, 79, 85, 178
- Kulturkampf* 35, 119
- Kulturvolk* 159 n. 143, 237
- *see also* anthropology, *Naturvolk*
- Kultusministerium*, also Ministry of Culture 34–36, 37–41, 43, 51, 58, 85, 127, 210–11
- Latin, language 30, 98 n. 130, 128, 189, 233
- law
- *see also* morals/morality, Moses, Muhammad, psychology, Torah
 - among Semites 110 n. 184, 111
 - in biblical criticism 4, 67–68, 95–96, 97–98, 101, 135, 141 n. 74, 148, 155, 267
 - in biblical narrative 3–4
 - in human history 68 n. 11, 132, 146, 162–64, 239–40, 243, 255, 266–67, 277–78, 280
 - in Islam 113, 116–17
 - in Judaism 105–09, 291–92, 304–07
 - in New Testament 214 n. 17
 - of Moses 75, 89, 292, 293
 - *vis-à-vis* order 66, 90
 - *vis-à-vis* prophecy 101–05, 143, 303–04
 - *vis-à-vis* religion 91, 95, 151 n. 113
- Littmann, Enno 9, 61 n. 171, 128 n. 16, 269, 273 n. 103

- Maccabean Revolt 107, 118 n. 224, 292, 305
 Marduk 237, 240, 242, 244
 Marti, Karl 238 n. 146
 Mecca 115, 116, 117
 Medina 19, 72, 75, 95, 109, 116, 117
 Mesopotamia, *see* Assyria/Assyrians,
 Babylonia/Babylonians
 Meyer, Eduard 232, 234, 239, 241, 268
 Ministry of Culture, *see* Kultusministerium
 Moab, Moabites 99, 116 n. 204, 158–59, 269
 Mommsen, Theodor
 – as relative 26, 180
 – as scholar 95, 134–35, 162
 – in academic politics 31, 36, 38 n. 62
 – stance towards theology 53
 monogenesis 146, 234, 237, 260, 270–71,
 298
 – *see also* diffusion, polygenesis
 monotheism, also monolatry
 – *see also* Islam, Israel/Israelites, pagan/
 paganism, polytheism, prophets/prophecy
 – as command 3
 – as development 4, 114–15, 143 n. 81, 159,
 160, 272
 – in Zoroastrianism 202–03
 – *vis-à-vis* politics 19
 morals/morality
 – *see also* law, monotheism, prophets/pro-
 phesy
 – as duty/imperative 52, 57–58, 123, 138,
 175 n. 16
 – in history 149, 159–61, 261
 – in society 95, 113, 115, 163–64, 290
 – *vis-à-vis* politics 66, 101 n. 142
 – *vis-à-vis* prophecy 103, 109, 112, 141
 n. 74, 161, 224–25, 272, 301, 303–07
 – *vis-à-vis* spirit 220–21
 – *vis-à-vis* truth 14, 56, 91, 149
 morphology 146, 156, 219–20, 242, 263, 265,
 271, 298
 – *see also* typology
 Moses
 – *see also* law, Torah
 – as founder of nation 88–92, 96 n. 125,
 150, 296 n. 39
 – historicity of 88, 98 n. 132, 100 n. 142
 – in biblical narrative 3
 – like Muhammad 86 n. 79
 – *vis-à-vis* religion 159 n. 144, 266, 304
 – *vis-à-vis* revelation 1–2
 Mowinckel, Sigmund 253
 Muhammad
 – as founder of religion 294, 311, 312
 – as statesman 67, 75, 95, 115–18
 – like Moses 86 n. 79
 Müller, Karl Otfried 180
 Müller, Max 62
 myth
 – *see also* Panbabylonianism
 – Babylonian 198–99, 232–50, 258, 269,
 298
 – in Bible 138
 – in classics 200
 – Germanic 188, 204
 – Israelite 91 n. 101, 232–50, 262–63
 – *vis-à-vis* cult 310
 – *vis-à-vis* religion 195, 295–96, 297
Naturvolk 204 n. 131, 237
 – *see also* anthropology, *Kulturvolk*
 Nietzsche, Friedrich 200, 203
 Nöldeke, Theodor
 – as Ewald student 67
 – as scholar 10, 44, 72, 89, 128 n. 16, 175
 n. 20, 296
 Nowack, Wilhelm 212

 Oldenberg, Hermann 202
 Olshausen, Justus 35–36, 38, 40, 46, 53, 82,
 175 n. 20
 orality 227, 240, 241, 256 n. 15, 259, 262,
 263–67, 274
 Overbeck, Franz 47–49

 pagan/paganism, also heathen/heathenism
 – *see also* monotheism, morals/morality,
 polytheism
 – as ethnic/folk religion 86 n. 79, 111, 311
 – as non-rational 151, 158–61
 – *vis-à-vis* Christianity 106 n. 165, 224,
 292
 – *vis-à-vis* Islam 75, 113–16, 118
 – *vis-à-vis* Judaism 199–200
 – *vis-à-vis* morality 158–61
 – *vis-à-vis* prophecy 103, 143
 Panbabylonianism 198–200, 269
 Paul, biblical figure 97, 98 n. 130, 98 n. 132,
 179, 214 n. 17, 216–25
 Pentateuch 75, 88, 100, 136 n. 55, 154–56,
 245, 297
 – *see also* criticism, history, Torah
 periodicals, *see* journals
 Persia/Persians
 – as empire 4, 67, 102 n. 145, 106, 109
 – influence on Jews 203, 260, 298
 Pfeleiderer, Otto 35, 53, 202, 287–88

- Pharisees 107–08, 118–19, 156, 230, 266
n. 67, 305, 307
- philhellenism, *see* Greece/Greeks
- piety
– *see also* interpretation, morals/morality, Protestantism
– in Bible 154, 277, 283, 302
– in Christianity 221, 277
– in Islam 118, 311
– in Judaism 102, 228, 231, 266, 305, 307
– in scholarship 224, 244, 255, 277, 282–83, 302
– of ancient authors 255, 276–78, 282–83, 301–02, 307–08
– *vis-à-vis* community 90, 304, 310 n. 103
– *vis-à-vis* cult 305
– *vis-à-vis* religion 75, 186, 268, 277, 280, 302, 310
- polygenesis 146, 237, 270–71
– *see also* comparison, diffusion, monogenesis
- polytheism 114–15, 203 n. 127, 240
– *see also* monotheism, pagan/paganism
- positivism 126–33, 139, 140 n. 73, 144–46, 150, 235–36, 285, 313–14
- priests
– *see also* antisemitism/anti-Judaism, Catholicism, Judaism
– anti-clericalism 112 n. 192, 144 n. 84
– as dominators 99, 119, 266, 304
– *vis-à-vis* prophets 102, 104–09
- Priestly source, P
– dating 76, 297
– historiography 81, 96 n. 125, 101 n. 142, 102
– identification as Jewish 109 n. 180, 245, 266 n. 67
- professorship, *see* chairs
- Prolegomena to the History of Israel*, also *Geschichte Israels* (Vol. 1), by Wellhausen
– difference in editions 81 n. 55, 98 n. 132, 127 n. 14, 141 n. 74, 147 n. 95, 155 n. 128, 159 n. 143, 294 n. 26, 295 n. 31
– making of 71, 77–85, 95–98, 101, 126–27, 130, 135–36, 293–94
– reception 9, 54, 71–72, 75, 77
- prophets/prophesy
– *see also* Ezra, Jesus, law, monotheism, morals/morality, Moses, Muhammad, Zoroaster
– as distinct period 81, 102, 160, 203 n. 127, 238, 245
– concept of history 161–62, 291, 306
– of the people 245, 266
– type of religion 90 n. 98, 113, 158 n. 142, 294, 303–04
– *vis-à-vis* divine revelation 289–90
– *vis-à-vis* ecstasy 222, 277, 300–01
– *vis-à-vis* law 101–09
– *vis-à-vis* monotheism 159–61
– *vis-à-vis* morality 100 n. 142, 112, 224–25, 263, 272, 312
– *vis-à-vis* national character 150–51
- Protestantism
– *see also* Catholicism, church, faculty, interpretation, Judaism, piety, secular/secularization
– divisions 34, 53, 74, 151 n. 113, 174, 177, 288
– in culture 5, 27, 174 n. 15, 251, 272–73, 285
– in scholarship 6, 7, 63, 251, 286–87, 292–93, 313–14
– in Prussia 5, 41, 49–50, 119–20, 313–14
– Protestantization 13–15, 286–87, 292–93
– *vis-à-vis* Jesus 98 n. 132
– *vis-à-vis* Paul 224
- Prussia, Prussian 1, 31, 40, 41
- psalms
– dating 203 n. 127, 214 n. 17
– genre 264, 279 n. 141
– linking to Christianity 1, 251, 266 n. 67, 283, 289, 300, 301
– textual difficulty 127 n. 15, 243 n. 172
- pseudepigrapha 212, 227–28
- psychology
– in biblical interpretation 224–25, 247, 274–81, 300
– laws 146, 255, 271, 288
– *vis-à-vis* ethnology 187, 194, 259
- publishers
– Mohr 293
– Reimer 82, 126
– Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht 211, 279 n. 141
- Quellenkritik*, *see* criticism
- Quran 44, 75, 76, 77, 116–18, 189
- rabbis 97 n. 127, 112 n. 192, 291–92, 307
- Rade, Martin 177, 182 n. 48
- Rahlf's, Alfred 127, 181 n. 42, 183 n. 50, 184, 211
- reference works
– *see also* book series, journals
– *Die Apokryphen und Pseudepigraphen des Alten Testaments* 212, 231

- *Die Kultur der Gegenwart* 180 n. 40
- *Die Religion in Geschichte und Gegenwart* 182 n. 48, 253, 293
- *Encyclopædia Britannica* 61, 127 n. 14
- *Handbuch der theologischen Wissenschaften* 187
- *Handkommentar zum Alten Testament* 212, 264 n. 55, 279 n. 141
- *Kommentar zum Alten Testament* 279 n. 141
- *Kurzgefasstes exegetisches Handbuch zu den Apokryphen des Alten Testaments* 228
- *Monumenta Germaniae Historica* 181
- *Pauly(-Wissowa)/Real-Encyclopädie der classischen Alterthumswissenschaft* 191
- *Real-Encyclopädie für protestantische Theologie und Kirche* 30
- *Quellen der Religionsgeschichte* 62
- *Sacred Books of the East* 62
- *Theologische Realenzyklopädie* 30
- Reitzenstein, Richard 201
- religion, *see* comparison, cult, history, law, morals/morality, prophets/prophecy, piety, theology, *Wissenschaft*
- Religionsgeschichtliche Schule*, of Göttingen 177, 184–92, 192–96, 197–204, 214, 245, 296
- revelation, divine
 - in Bible 1–2, 5, 245
 - in experience 219, 224–25
 - in history 1–2, 138–39, 149 n. 104, 161–62, 208, 244, 287–92
- Reuß, Eduard 71, 79 n. 46, 83, 184
- Ritschl, Albrecht
 - as advocate 36
 - as teacher 29, 174–75, 178 n. 30, 183, 196, 215
 - as theologian 174, 182, 183, 185–86, 229, 278 n. 138
- ritual 15, 102 n. 148, 143, 203 n. 127
- Rome/Romans
 - *see also* Greece/Greek, Latin
 - as classical antiquity 11, 68, 102 n. 145, 190, 199, 202, 203, 290
 - as empire 4, 106, 108–09, 295, 308
- romantic/romanticism 44, 57, 124, 144, 192, 197, 224–25, 256 n. 15, 257, 263
 - anti-romantic 57, 285, 298
 - neo-romantic 16, 277 n. 128, 298
 - post-romantic 57, 132, 236
- Royal Society of the Sciences, *see* Academy of the Sciences
- sacrifice 6, 114, 156, 295, 310, 311
- Schlegel, August Wilhelm 190
- Schleiermacher, Friedrich 174 n. 15, 175 n. 16, 280–82, 292, 302
- scholarship, *see* *Wissenschaft*
- Schöpfung und Chaos in Urzeit und Endzeit*, by Gunkel 188, 212, 232–50, 257, 260, 299–300
- Schrader, Eberhard 34, 198, 233 n. 124
- Schwartz, Eduard
 - as biographer 19, 25–27, 38, 59 n. 163, 65–67, 312
 - as scholar 139, 140, 295 n. 31
- science, *see* *Wissenschaft*
- scripture
 - *see also* canonicity, criticism
 - as object of study 21, 32, 41–42, 52, 139, 167, 285
 - as source 2–3, 6, 101 n. 142, 293
 - non-biblical 191
 - veneration of 251–52
 - vs. experience 174, 221–22, 246–47
- secular/secularization
 - in scholarship 194–95, 303
 - *vis-à-vis* the religious 13–15, 55–56, 96–97, 103 n. 150, 116–17
- Semites
 - *see also* Arabs, comparison, Hebrews, Israel/Israelites
 - as a class 72, 91, 109–15, 129, 156–58, 202, 218, 269, 298, 309–11
 - as realist 124, 204
 - *vis-à-vis* the Greeks 202, 203, 295 n. 31
- series, *see* book series
- Seydel, Rudolf 187, 202
- Sitz im Leben* 240–41, 254, 260
- Smend, Rudolf (Sr.) 27, 42, 43–44, 184, 232, 235
- Smith, William Robertson
 - as friend of Wellhausen 52, 53, 55, 83 n. 63
 - as Old Testament scholar 77 n. 42, 292
 - as semitist 61, 72, 79 n. 47, 86, 129, 134, 295, 309–11
- societies/associations
 - *see also* Academy of the Sciences
 - Academic-Theological Association 179, 215
 - American Oriental Society 23 n. 2, 31 n. 28, 61
 - Church History Society 176
 - *Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft* (German Oriental Society) 39, 62

- *Deutsche Orient-Gesellschaft* (German Oriental Society) 1
- German Protestant Association 187
- International Congress of Orientalists 60–61
- *Pour le Mérite für Wissenschaften und Künste* 58 n. 159, 59, 133
- Protestant-Lutheran Association in the Province of Hanover 174
- Prussian Royal Order of the Crown 59
- Society of Biblical Literature 23 n. 2, 61, 207, 254
- spirit
 - *see also Geist*
 - of epochs 153–54, 229, 265, 267
 - of individuals 163, 207–08, 226, 227, 229, 255
 - of peoples 150–54, 159, 267, 274, 313
 - in Judaism/Christianity 217–25
 - objectification of 131–32, 153
- Stade, Bernhard
 - as theologian 32, 45–47, 49, 50–51
 - as teacher 176, 179 n. 34, 253
 - as scholar 62 n. 182, 71, 81 n. 55, 175–76, 253, 295, 298
- Stift*
 - in Göttingen 28, 34, 65, 174, 175, 177
 - in Tübingen 46, 191
- Strauß, David Friedrich 75, 142, 190

- theocracy, also hierarchy, hierocracy 56, 75, 77, 90 n. 96, 95–109, 117–19, 143, 308
- theology
 - *see also* chairs, faculty, Protestantism, *Stift*, *Wissenschaft*
 - as subject/discipline 26, 28–29, 37–39, 42, 45–54, 102 n. 145, 174, 185–86, 210–11, 249
 - *vis-à-vis* religious studies 192–96
- Torah
 - *see also* cult, law, Moses, Pentateuch, theocracy
 - as constitution 99, 105
 - in Judaism 105 n. 158, 107, 109, 292
 - *vis-à-vis* Moses 88, 91 n. 99, 102 n. 148
- Toy, Crawford Howell 199
- Traditionsgeschichte*, *see* history, of tradition
- translation 7–8, 77 n. 41, 190 n. 79, 229 n. 104, 272, 273 n. 103
- Troeltsch, Ernst 33 n. 36, 182, 185, 214
- Tylor, Edward Burnett 259
- typology 218, 263, 264–67, 269, 271
 - *see also* morphology
- Umayyads 73, 118
- Überlieferungsgeschichte*, *see* history, of transmission
- universities
 - *see also* chairs, credentials, faculty, *Stift*
 - relative standing 37, 39–40
 - Berlin 31, 40, 47, 63, 134, 193, 201
 - Bonn 188–90, 194, 201
 - Cambridge 33, 58, 61
 - Edinburgh 33, 58, 95
 - Giessen 32 n. 33, 46, 51 n. 124, 174–79
 - Göttingen 28–30, 31, 42, 43, 47, 59–60, 174, 179–80, 183–84, 185–86
 - Greifswald 29–30, 32, 33, 35–36, 38, 58, 179, 211
 - Halle 31, 37–38, 43, 47, 62, 187, 211–13
 - Heidelberg 43
 - Leipzig 44, 46, 47, 187–90
 - Oxford 61
 - St. Andrews 48
 - Tübingen 29, 45, 175, 190–91
- Usener, Hermann 52, 139, 179, 189, 200–01

- Vatke, Wilhelm
 - as biblical critic 71, 76 n. 40
 - as hero of Wellhausen 79, 96, 98, 134 n. 44
 - as influence on Wellhausen 86 n. 79, 140, 141–43, 144 n. 84
- von Bismarck, Otto 34–35, 66–67, 68 n. 11, 93 n. 110, 119 n. 229
- von Helmholtz, Hermann 162
- von Roth, Rudolf 191
- von Wilamowitz-Moellendorf, Ulrich
 - as biographer 26, 27–28, 34, 37–38, 54
 - as friend of Wellhausen 43–44, 52
 - as influenced by Wellhausen 76 n. 40, 139
 - as teacher of Gunkel 180
 - in upper echelons 26, 35, 63, 200–01

- war
 - in antiquity 86–87, 92–93, 99, 117, 128, 242
 - in modernity 65–66, 121 n. 232, 173
- Weber, Max 151 n. 113, 199
- Weiß, Johannes 210, 214, 230
- Welcker, Friedrich Gottlieb 188–89
- Wellhausen, Julius 19–165, 285–315
- Wilhelm II, *see* Kaiser
- Winckler, Hugo 38, 198
- Wissenschaft*
 - *see also* criticism, historicism, history, positivism, Protestantism

- as imperative/duty 57, 307
- confidence in 5, 24
- construction of 14–15, 124–25, 132, 195
- types of 164
- vs. religion 2, 280
- *vis-à-vis* theology 45–51, 288–89
- Wrede, William 179, 214, 215, 232, 299–300
- Wundt, Wilhelm 187, 259
- Zeller, Eduard 190
- Zimmern, Heinrich 188, 234, 248
- Zöckler, Otto 34, 187 n. 67, 227–29
- Zoroaster 202–03