

# Authorship and the Hebrew Bible

Edited by  
SONJA AMMANN,  
KATHARINA PYSCHNY,  
and JULIA RHYDER

*Forschungen  
zum Alten Testament  
158*

---

**Mohr Siebeck**

# Forschungen zum Alten Testament

Edited by

Konrad Schmid (Zürich) · Mark S. Smith (Princeton)  
Andrew Teeter (Harvard)

158





# Authorship and the Hebrew Bible

Edited by

Sonja Ammann, Katharina Pyschny,  
and Julia Rhyder

Mohr Siebeck

*Sonja Ammann*, born 1984; 2006 BA (University of Lausanne, Switzerland); 2009 Magister (University of Heidelberg, Germany); 2015 PhD (University of Göttingen, Germany); currently Assistant Professor of Hebrew Bible at University of Basel, Switzerland.

*Katharina Pyschny*, born 1984; 2007 BA (Universities of Cologne, Bonn and Bochum, Germany); 2009 Diplom (University of Bochum, Germany); 2015 PhD (University of Bochum, Germany); currently Professor of Old Testament/Hebrew Bible at the University of Graz, Austria.  
orcid.org/0000-0002-5808-7618

*Julia Rhyder*, born 1987; 2011 BA (University of Melbourne, Australia); 2012 BTheol (Hons) (University of Divinity, Australia); 2018 PhD (University of Lausanne, Switzerland); currently Assistant Professor of Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations at Harvard University, USA.  
orcid.org/0000-0002-3146-0662

ISBN 978-3-16-161490-3 / eISBN 978-3-16-161491-0  
DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-161491-0

ISSN 0940-4155 / eISSN 2568-8359 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2022 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. [www.mohrsiebeck.com](http://www.mohrsiebeck.com)

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was typeset by Martin Fischer in Tübingen using Minion typeface, printed on non-aging paper by Gulde Druck in Tübingen, and bound by Buchbinderei Spinner in Ottersweier.

Printed in Germany.

## Preface

“I would suggest that the question of authorship itself continues to be a site of theoretical disturbance and concern.”<sup>1</sup> With this sentence, Andrew Bennett offers a fitting summary of the ambiguous place of the concept of “authorship” in literary studies today – and indeed, we might say, in Hebrew Bible scholarship as well. Around 50 years since the influential works of Roland Barthes (1968) and Michel Foucault (1969), the “author” remains both an enigmatic as well as a pertinent concept.<sup>2</sup> The notion advanced by Barthes that the meaning of a given text is not controlled by its progenitor but rather created by the reader made a significant contribution to the deconstruction of the category “author,” since it positioned the intentions and the historical context of the person who produced the text as largely irrelevant for its interpretation. Interestingly enough, the proclaimed “death of the author” did not result in the complete desertion of this concept by theorists, but rather – not least due to Foucault’s ideas on authors as founders of discursivity – in the return or rather the (re-)birth of the author in the 1990s. The ongoing and complex debate concerning the function(s) of authorship circles around topics such as “historical contextualization,” authority, ownership, originality, reception etc. Moreover, it shares a number of important overlaps with current questions and problems within biblical scholarship and the study of *Traditionsliteratur*.

There can be no doubt that these debates surrounding the concept of authorship within literary studies have had a significant impact on Hebrew Bible studies. For instance, biblical scholars are generally aware of the “intentional fallacy” and tend nowadays to avoid speculations on authorial intention or on the author’s psychological state. However, the “destruction of every voice, of every point of origin” advocated by Barthes seems not to be a viable option for many (historical-critical) scholars, who rather believe that ancient texts cannot be understood without attending to the cultural repertoire of their historical context of production. Furthermore, the growing awareness of how earlier texts were re-used in the formation of new works has led to an increased appreciation of the creativity of redactors, editors, scribes etc., with this creativity often being treated with little meaningful distinction from how authorial intentions and motivations were conceptualized in earlier scholarship. Hence, scholars working from historical perspectives, even though they may reject the idea of a creative single individual who holds absolute power and ownership over his text(s), do adhere to (implicit) con-

---

<sup>1</sup> Bennett, *Author*, 112.

<sup>2</sup> Barthes, “Death of the Author”; Foucault, “Qu’est-ce qu’un auteur?”

cepts of authorship, which are rarely discussed or subjected to theoretical evaluation.

In light of the various ways in which scholars have approached the concept of “authorship” in biblical scholarship of the past decades, and the lack of clear consensus on how it might be re-configured in biblical scholarship, it is clear that “authorship” remains an unresolved yet critical issue for the study of the Hebrew scriptures. The present volume seeks to re-evaluate the concept of authorship within the historical-critical study of the Hebrew scriptures and explore new avenues by which the discussion might be further progressed. In particular, it aims at bridging the gap between theoretical reflection and exegetical practice by bringing together scholars engaged in both literary-theoretical and literary-historical discussions, while also drawing on comparative evidence of how “authors” and “authorship” operated in other ancient Mediterranean societies.

The majority of the essays in this volume originated in a conference hosted by the University of Basel and the University of Lausanne in September 2018, entitled “What follows *la mort de l’auteur*? Re-Evaluating the Concept of Authorship in Hebrew Bible Studies,” although all the contributions have been updated in the light of peer commentary during and after the conference, and some additional essays have been commissioned. The publication of the volume could not have been achieved without the assistance of several institutions. The conference was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF project number 180378), as well as by the Faculty of Theology at the University of Basel and the Swiss-French Institute of Biblical Studies of the University of Lausanne. We are grateful to these institutions for their support. We would also like to express our thanks to Mr. Jannes Bergmann and Ms. Nora Hurter for their valuable technical assistance with the preparation of the manuscript.

June 2021

Sonja Ammann  
Katharina Pyschny  
Julia Rhyder

## Table of Contents

Preface .....	V
List of Abbreviations .....	IX
 <i>Sonja Ammann</i>	
What Follows the Death of the Author? Introduction .....	1
 <i>Sophus Helle</i>	
Narratives of Authorship and Cuneiform Literature .....	17
 <i>Sylvie Honigman</i>	
The Greek and Judahite Representations of Author, Book, and Event: An Inquiry into Cultural Differences and Their Social Underpinnings .....	37
 <i>George J. Brooke</i>	
Rewriting Authorship in the Dead Sea Scrolls .....	59
 <i>Melanie Köhlmoos</i>	
Authorial Intention(s) in Old Testament Texts .....	75
 <i>Ehud Ben Zvi</i>	
Matters of Authorship, Authority, and Power from the Perspective of a Historian of the World of Yehudite/Judean Literati .....	93
 <i>Konrad Schmid</i>	
From Amos to Ben Sira: Some Questions and Answers on the Intellectual History of Authorship in the Hebrew Bible .....	115
 <i>David Carr</i>	
Rereading Anonymous Oral-written Pentateuchal Prose in a Post-author 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Context: Strategies and Goals for Historically-oriented Interpretation .....	135
 <i>Julia Rhyder</i>	
Divine Authorship: Diverse Images of Yhwh as Legislative Author in the Pentateuch and Beyond .....	155



*Ilse Müllner*

Between Narrator and Author: Observations on the Boundaries  
of the Book(s) of Samuel ..... 175

*Christophe Nihan*

David Superscripts in the Psalms and Concepts of Authorship  
in the Hebrew Bible ..... 193

*Thomas Krüger*

Authors and Narrators in Wisdom Literature:  
Proverbs, Qoheleth, and Job Compared ..... 209

*Christian Frevel*

Jeremiah, the “Paradigmatic” Author: Authorship In, Behind,  
and Beyond Lamentations ..... 219

*Katharina Pyschny*

Author Figuration in the Book of Ezekiel: Interdisciplinary Perspectives  
on Authorship in Prophetic Literature ..... 241

List of Contributors ..... 261

Index of References ..... 263

Index of Authors ..... 270

Index of Subjects ..... 275

## List of Abbreviations

ÄAT	Ägypten und Altes Testament
AB	Anchor Bible
ABRL	Anchor Bible Reference Library
<i>Aen.</i>	Vergil, <i>Aeneid</i>
<i>Agr.</i>	Philo, <i>De agricultura</i>
AIL	Ancient Israel and Its Literature
<i>AION</i>	<i>Annali dell'Istituto Orientale di Napoli</i>
AMD	Ancient Magic and Divination
ANE	Ancient Near East
<i>Ant.</i>	Josephus, <i>Jewish Antiquities</i>
AOAT	Alter Orient und Altes Testament
ArBib	The Aramaic Bible
<i>ASJ</i>	<i>Acta Sumerologica</i>
ATANT	Abhandlungen zur Theologie des Alten und Neuen Testaments
ATD	Das Alte Testament Deutsch
B. Bat.	Baba Batra
BBB	Bonner Biblische Beiträge
BEATAJ	Beiträge zur Erforschung des Alten Testaments und des antiken Judentums
BETL	Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium
<i>BGU</i>	<i>Aegyptische Urkunden aus den Königlichen Staatlichen Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden</i> . Berlin, Vol. I (1895) – Vol. XX (2014).
<i>BHQ</i>	<i>Biblia Hebraica Quinta</i> . Edited by A. Schenker et al. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2004–
BHT	Beiträge zur historischen Theologie
<i>Bib</i>	<i>Biblica</i>
BibInt	Biblical Interpretation Series
BibSem	The Biblical Seminar
BJS	Brown Judaic Studies
<i>BK</i>	<i>Bibel und Kirche</i>
BKAT	Biblischer Kommentar, Altes Testament
<i>BN</i>	<i>Biblische Notizen</i>
<i>BZ</i>	<i>Biblische Zeitschrift</i>
BZABR	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für altorientalische und biblische Rechtsgeschichte
BZAW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft
<i>C. Ap.</i>	Josephus, <i>Contra Apionem</i>
CBET	Contributions to Biblical Exegesis and Theology
<i>CBQ</i>	<i>Catholic Biblical Quarterly</i>
CC	Covenant Code (Exod 20:22–23:33)
CHANE	Culture and History of the Ancient Near East

<i>ClAnt</i>	<i>Classical Antiquity</i>
<i>ClQ</i>	<i>Classical Quarterly</i>
<i>Conf.</i>	Philo, <i>De confusione linguarum</i>
DCLS	Deuterocanonical and Cognate Literature Studies
DJD	Discoveries in the Judaean Desert
<i>DSD</i>	<i>Dead Sea Discoveries</i>
<i>EBR</i>	<i>Encyclopedia of the Bible and Its Reception</i> . Edited by H.-J. Klauck et al. Berlin: de Gruyter, 2009–
EJL	Early Judaism and Its Literature
<i>EvT</i>	<i>Evangelische Theologie</i>
FAT	Forschungen zum Alten Testament
FRLANT	Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments
GAAL	Göttinger Arbeitshefte zur altorientalischen Literatur
GHP	Golden House Publications
HAT	Handbuch zum Alten Testament
<i>HBAI</i>	<i>Hebrew Bible and Ancient Israel</i>
HBCE	The Hebrew Bible: A Critical Edition
<i>HBT</i>	<i>Horizons in Biblical Theology</i>
HCOT	Historical Commentary on the Old Testament
<i>Hell.</i>	Xenophon, <i>Hellenica</i>
<i>HS</i>	<i>Hebrew Studies</i>
HSM	Harvard Semitic Monographs
HThKAT	Herders Theologischer Kommentar zum Alten Testament
<i>HTR</i>	<i>Harvard Theological Review</i>
IBC	Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and Preaching
ICC	International Critical Commentary
IECOT	International Exegetical Commentary on the Old Testament
<i>IEJ</i>	<i>Israel Exploration Journal</i>
<i>JBL</i>	<i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i>
<i>JCS</i>	<i>Journal of Cuneiform Studies</i>
<i>JHS</i>	<i>Journal of Hellenic Studies</i>
<i>JJS</i>	<i>Journal of Jewish Studies</i>
<i>JNES</i>	<i>Journal of Near Eastern Studies</i>
JPS	The Jewish Publication Society
<i>JQR</i>	<i>Jewish Quarterly Review</i>
<i>JSJ</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Periods</i>
JSJSup	Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism
<i>JSOT</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the Old Testament</i>
JSOTSup	Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series
<i>JSS</i>	<i>Journal of Semitic Studies</i>
KAHAL	<i>Konkise und aktualisierte Ausgabe des Hebräischen und Aramäischen Lexikons zum Alten Testament</i> . Edited by W. Dietrich and S. Arnet. Leiden: Brill, 2013.
KD	<i>Kerygma und Dogma</i>
KStTh	Kohlhammer Studienbücher Theologie

KTU	<i>Die keilalphabetischen Texte aus Ugarit</i> . Edited by M. Dietrich, O. Loretz, and J. Sanmartín. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2013. 3rd enl. ed. of KTU: <i>The Cuneiform Alphabetic Texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani, and Other Places</i> . Edited by M. Dietrich, O. Loretz, and J. Sanmartín. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1995.
Lam. Rab.	Lamentations Rabbah
LCL	Loeb Classical Library
LHBOTS	The Library of Hebrew Bible/Old Testament Studies
MC	Mesopotamian Civilizations
MTZ	<i>Münchener Theologische Zeitschrift</i>
NABU	<i>Nouvelles assyriologiques brèves et utilitaires</i>
NAC	New American Commentary
NETS	<i>A New English Translation of the Septuagint</i> . Edited by A. Pietersma and B. G. Wright. New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.
NJB	New Jerusalem Bible
NovTSup	Supplements to Novum Testamentum
NRSV	New Revised Standard Version
NSKAT	Neuer Stuttgarter Kommentar, Altes Testament
OBO	Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis
OG	Old Greek
Or	<i>Orientalia</i> (NS)
OTE	<i>Old Testament Essays</i>
OTL	Old Testament Library
OTS	Old Testament Studies
PAe	Probleme der Ägyptologie
PG	Patrologia Graeca [= Patrologiae Cursus Completus: Series Graeca]. Edited by J.-P. Migne. 162 vols. Paris, 1857–1886.
<i>Plant.</i>	Philo, <i>De plantatione</i>
QD	Quaestiones Disputatae
RB	<i>Revue biblique</i>
RevQ	<i>Revue de Qumran</i>
SAA	State Archives of Assyria
SBAB	Stuttgarter Biblische Aufsatzbände
SBL	Society of Biblical Literature
SBLMS	Society of Biblical Literature Monograph Series
SBLSP	Society of Biblical Literature Seminar Papers
SemeiaSt	Semeia Studies
StBoT	Studien zu den Boğazköy-Texten
STDJ	Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah
StPohl	Studia Pohl
StSem	Studi semitici
<i>StudBib</i>	<i>Studia Biblica</i>
SUNT	Studien zur Umwelt des Neuen Testaments
SVTP	Studia in Veteris Testamenti Pseudepigraphica
TB	Theologische Bücherei: Neudrucke und Berichte aus dem 20. Jahrhundert
TBN	Themes in Biblical Narrative
TCSt	Text-Critical Studies

<i>TRev</i>	<i>Theologische Revue</i>
<i>TRu</i>	<i>Theologische Rundschau</i>
<i>TS</i>	<i>Temple Scroll</i>
<i>TSAJ</i>	Texte und Studien zum antiken Judentum
<i>ThWQ</i>	<i>Theologisches Wörterbuch zu den Qumrantexten</i> . Edited by H.-J. Fabry and U. Dahmen. 3 vols. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 2011–2016.
<i>TU</i>	Texte und Untersuchungen
<i>TVZ</i>	Theologischer Verlag Zürich
<i>VT</i>	<i>Vetus Testamentum</i>
<i>VTSup</i>	Supplements to <i>Vetus Testamentum</i>
<i>WAW</i>	Writings from the Ancient World
<i>WMANT</i>	Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament
<i>WUNT</i>	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
<i>ZAW</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft</i>
<i>ZBK AT</i>	Zürcher Bibelkommentare, Altes Testament
<i>ZTK</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche</i>

# What Follows the Death of the Author?

## Introduction

*Sonja Ammann*

Who wrote the Bible? The quest for the Hebrew scriptures' human authors has arisen on various occasions throughout the history of their transmission, especially in the context of canon debates.<sup>1</sup> From antiquity to Renaissance humanism, the criteria and concepts of authorship employed in the study of the Bible have changed considerably.<sup>2</sup> In the wake of the Enlightenment and Historicism, the issue of authorship became central for historical criticism of the Bible, with the discussion of each book's author(s) and their historical context becoming a fixed item of *Einleitungswissenschaft*.<sup>3</sup> The essays in this volume stand in the tradition of studying the Bible from an historical perspective. They seek to re-evaluate the concept of authorship within the historical-critical study of the Hebrew scriptures and explore new avenues by which the discussion might be further progressed. In this introduction, I will briefly outline why such a re-evaluation is needed in current biblical scholarship and how the present volume will address this task. I will first sketch a general picture of the various challenges the concept of authorship faces in the historical study of the Hebrew Bible at present. I will then argue that, despite claims about the "death of the author," the concept of authorship continues to be widely used in biblical scholarship, often without critical reflection. This, in turn, points to the need to reconsider the concept of authorship in Hebrew Bible studies. After some general remarks on how the present volume will approach the issue of authorship, I will briefly present the essays in this volume, concluding with an outlook on further research perspectives.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Wyrick, *Ascension of Authorship*.

<sup>2</sup> A nice example is Isaac Abarbanel's critical re-examination of B. Bat. 14b–15b in the introduction of his commentary on the Former Prophets; for an English translation of the section discussing authorship, cf. Lawee, "Don Isaac Abarbanel," 65–73.

<sup>3</sup> Since Eichhorn, *Einleitung ins Alte Testament*. On Eichhorn's work in the context of the history of *Einleitungswissenschaft*, see Smend, *Deutsche Alttestamentler*, 29–37.

## 1. The “Death of the Author” in Current Biblical Studies

In current biblical scholarship, the concept of authorship faces several challenges. Attempts to find a single, identifiable author of particular biblical books or works continue to lose ground in current research.<sup>4</sup> Scholars have become aware that the modern idea of the author as a single producer of a unified, unchangeable text, claiming its intellectual property and controlling its meaning, is foreign to the worlds of the biblical texts and does not fit ancient dynamics of text production.<sup>5</sup> To begin with, it is broadly acknowledged that the texts of the Hebrew Bible were not produced by a single person or handed down unchanged. Hebrew Bible texts are considered “tradition literature,” that is, literary texts with a complex history of transmission.<sup>6</sup> Earlier research aimed at reconstructing the most ancient version of a text by removing later additions and changes to it. Recent scholarship, by contrast, has undermined the very idea that an ancient version of the text stands behind these supposedly later materials, and tends instead to assign large portions of the Hebrew Bible to late stages of its literary development.

The challenges to the notion of authorship implied by the complex history of biblical texts arose most prominently in the research on the formation of the Torah and the Former Prophets, on the one hand, and on the literary history of the prophetic books, on the other. Beginning with the farewell to the Documentary Hypothesis in the 1970s, there has been a clear tendency within Pentateuchal research to gradually repudiate the idea of (an) original author(s) and to rather focus on the redactional processes behind the final composition of the Torah. The complex literary growth of the Pentateuch not only challenges the notion of a single author, but also the certainty with which we can assign particular literary works to specific historical contexts.<sup>7</sup> Similar effects have been felt in the study of the Deuteronomistic History. The idea that an individual author determined the shape of this composition – as advanced by Noth – has been abandoned in recent models, with the process by which this work was produced being transformed more and more into a depersonalized procedure of text production and redaction (*Block- and Schichtenmodell*). In research on the prophets, too, scholars have moved well away from the earlier idea of the creative genius of a single individual author, with

---

<sup>4</sup> Such as Noth’s Deuteronomistic Historian (Noth, *Überlieferungsgeschichtliche Studien*) or Van Seters’s Yahwist (Van Seters, *Yahwist*); cf. also Whybray, *Making of the Pentateuch* and Weinberg, “Authorship and Author” as examples of the attempt to identify biblical authors.

<sup>5</sup> For a short discussion of issues related to the term “author” and examples of ancient dynamics of text production, see Ska, “Plea.”

<sup>6</sup> On this complex history, cf., for instance, Carr, *Formation of the Hebrew Bible*; Schmid, *Schriftgelehrte Traditionsliteratur*; Kratz, *Composition*. Several contributions in this volume highlight the particular nature of biblical texts as tradition literature, see, for instance, the essays by George Brooke and Konrad Schmid.

<sup>7</sup> The broad divergence in dating non-Priestly texts may be considered a case in point; cf. Kratz, “Pentateuch,” 46–49.

scholars now attempting to describe the literary growth of these works without attempting to pinpoint human agents (e. g., “a rolling corpus”<sup>8</sup>). In this, a pervasive trend has been to focus on the work of scribes in reusing, amplifying and modifying earlier traditions in the writing of new materials.<sup>9</sup> This has allowed scholars to continue their analyses of historical processes of text formation, although they now increasingly attribute such processes to anonymous “scribes” who redact and update a corpus of Hebrew scriptures, with conscious borrowing from a variety of works within that corpus.

Moreover, as several contributors to this volume point out and discuss, biblical texts are generally anonymous. In this, they correspond to other ancient Near Eastern literature.<sup>10</sup> The scribes who produced these ancient texts – be it by copying from an existing document, by transcribing, editing, modifying, adding to, rewriting a text, by writing down an orally transmitted tradition or even their own composition – do not highlight their own original contribution, but strive to fade into tradition. The quest for the “author” is thus not only foreign to the mode of production of these texts but also alien to the interests of their ancient writers and readers. Both ancient modes of literary production, but also cultural expectations of authorship that may have shaped the Hebrew scriptures cannot be properly assessed without recourse to comparative evidence from other ancient literary cultures. For this reason, this volume includes contributions on concepts of authorship in ancient Akkadian and Greek literature (see further below).

The anachronistic nature of the application of a modern concept of authorship is further accentuated by investigations of the material evidence of textual transmission. The discoveries of the Dead Sea Scrolls have played a crucial role in substantiating the claim that the texts of the Hebrew Bible were written as a collective scribal production rather than by individual authors.<sup>11</sup> Moreover, the manuscript evidence shows that multiple contemporary versions existed of a text. The pluriformity and fluidity of the textual tradition challenges the notion of a single producer controlling the meaning of a text.<sup>12</sup> These insights into the reworking and pluriformity of ancient Hebrew texts have led scholars to question the concept of authorship. Alternative concepts and terminology have become more popular, such as “scribe,” “editor,” “redactor,” “tradents,” “*Verfasserkreis*,” or at the very least the use of the plural “authors.” There is a shift of scholarly interest from “original” texts to textual growth and transmission.

---

<sup>8</sup> This term was coined by William McKane with regard to the book of Jeremiah, see McKane, *Critical and Exegetical Commentary*, I.

<sup>9</sup> Cf., for instance, Steck’s influential idea of “*schriftgelehrte Tradentenprophetie*” (Steck, *Studien zu Tritojesaja*, 18–19, 45, 191, 270–72; Steck, *Die Prophetenbücher*, 167).

<sup>10</sup> In particular, cf. the essays by Ehud Ben Zvi, David Carr, and Konrad Schmid in this volume.

<sup>11</sup> Cf. Ulrich, *Dead Sea Scrolls* and the essay by George Brooke in this volume.

<sup>12</sup> Cf. Mroczek, *Literary Imagination*, 88–109 and the essay by Sylvie Honigman in this volume.



The reference to Roland Barthes's well-known catchphrase of the "death of the author" points to yet another set of questions. Many biblical scholars integrate insights from literary theory in their work. In particular, scholars with a text-centered approach, such as narratological exegetes, emphasize that the "author" is not accessible outside the text and tend to consider the "author" as a role within the text.<sup>13</sup> They insist that interpreters should clearly distinguish between the author and the narrator of a text and not be lured by any lyrical "I" into mistaking it for the actual voice of an historical author.<sup>14</sup> Scholars informed by theories of intertextuality point out that no text is an original creation of a single mind and tend to examine the circulation, entanglements, and re-uses of texts in abstraction of individual human agents.<sup>15</sup> Reader-centered approaches have shifted the focus from the author to the reader as the place where the meaning of a text is produced.<sup>16</sup> In other words, the *intentio operis* and the *intentio lectoris* have moved to the fore, while the *intentio auctoris* is considered both inaccessible and largely irrelevant for the interpretation of biblical texts.<sup>17</sup> Even scholars using a more historical, traditionally author-centered, approach are aware of the "intentional fallacy" and refrain from the romantic and psychological author reconstructions which were dominant in earlier biblical research.<sup>18</sup>

## 2. The Ongoing Use of the Concept of Authorship in Biblical Studies

However, despite a growing awareness of the problematic nature of the concept of "author," biblical scholars have not abandoned the notion of the "author" altogether. In exegetical practice – that is, in their actual interpretations of biblical texts – many scholars still use the term "author" without further clarification. Others replace the term "author" by concepts with little meaningful distinction from how authorial intentions and motivations were conceptualized in earlier scholarship. In particular, in source criticism (*Literarkritik*), in reconstructions of textual growth,

<sup>13</sup> Cf. Eco, *Role of the Reader*, 11: "the 'author' is nothing else but a textual strategy."

<sup>14</sup> On the importance of the distinction between author and narrator, cf. Chatman, *Story and Discourse*, 147–48; Ska, *Our Fathers*, 41–42; Bar-Efrat, *Narrative Art*, 13–14; Margolin, "Narrator" and the contributions by Ilse Müllner and Sylvie Honigman in this volume.

<sup>15</sup> In a similar vein, cf. the processual reception history proposed by Breed, *Nomadic Text*.

<sup>16</sup> Cf. Fish, *Is There a Text in This Class?*; Eco, *Role of the Reader*; on reader-centered approaches in biblical studies cf. Barton, *Reading the Old Testament*, 198–219.

<sup>17</sup> On these concepts cf. Eco, *Interpretation and Overinterpretation*, 64–65; Eco, *Limits of Interpretation*, 50–60.

<sup>18</sup> In particular, regarding prophetic figures, cf., for instance, Duhm, *Die Theologie*; Ewald, *Die Propheten*. For a criticism of the "romantic-historicist paradigm" of the "classical writing prophet," cf. Deist, "Prophets," 14. The concept of "intentional fallacy" has been coined by Wimsatt and Beardsley, "Intentional Fallacy."

and for the historical contextualization of biblical texts in general, scholars still rely on the category of authors and their intentions.<sup>19</sup> Rather than doing away with the “author,” insights into the reworking of ancient Hebrew texts and a growing interest in the process of transmission seem to have led to a broadening of the concept of authorship. Based on the aspects of creativity and intentionality implied in “authorship,” the notion of “author” has been used to valorize the work of redactors or even translators of a text. Emphasizing that the scribes were not mere copyists, scholars describe the process of transmission of texts as comparable to the work of modern authors.<sup>20</sup> Hence, scholars working from historical perspectives, even though they may reject the idea of a creative single individual who holds absolute power and ownership over his or her text(s), do adhere to (implicit) concepts of authorship, which are rarely discussed or subjected to theoretical evaluation.

A similar gap between theory and practice regarding the concept of “authorship” can be observed in literary studies. Simone Winko, in an empirical study, examined the use of author-concepts in contemporary literary studies.<sup>21</sup> She examined almost 400 contemporary text interpretations and concluded that even though some scholars advocated a different stance in theory (e. g., interpreters informed by post-modernism), they still used the concept of authorship in their argument. For instance, they used the concept of authorship a) to place a text in time and space; b) as a basis to assume unity and coherence of a work; and c) to establish which texts and contexts can be referred to in the interpretation of a text. The use of the concept of authorship observed by Winko seems similar to certain functions of the “author” in biblical studies. In literary studies, the insight that we cannot easily dispose of the concept of authorship led to a debate on the return of the author and to a renewed reflection on concepts of authorship. Since the 1990s, several collective volumes have attempted to provide a critical re-evaluation of the concept of authorship in literary studies.<sup>22</sup> Interestingly, a similar debate bridging the gap between theoretical reflection and exegetical practice has not yet taken place in biblical studies.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>19</sup> As an example (among many) of the crucial role of authors and their intentions in redaction criticism, cf. Otto, “Temple Scroll,” 59–74. For a theoretical reflection on the role of authorial intention for Literarkritik, cf. Werlitz, *Studien zur literarkritischen Methode*, 47. Melanie Köhlmoos and Ilse Müllner discuss this issue in their contributions in this volume.

<sup>20</sup> Cf. Schmid, “Authorship”; and the essay by George Brooke in this volume.

<sup>21</sup> Winko, “Autor-Funktionen,” 334–54.

<sup>22</sup> Cf. Biriotti and Miller, *What Is an Author?*; Detering, *Autorschaft*; Donovan, Fjellestad, and Lundén, *Authority Matters*; Dorleijn, Grüttemeier, and Korthals Altes, *Authorship Revisited*; Jan-nidis et al., *Rückkehr des Autors*; Schaffrick and Willand, *Theorien und Praktiken*; Woodmansee and Jaszi, *Construction of Authorship*. Cf. also the monograph by Burke, *Death and Return*. More recent text books also reflect this “return of the author,” cf. Burke, *Authorship*; Bennett, *Author*.

<sup>23</sup> For a similar enterprise, but with a focus on literary theory (rather than historical approaches) and New Testament texts (where the issue of historically graspable authors is a bit different) cf. now Breu, *Biblical Exegesis*.

### 3. Re-evaluating the Concept of Authorship: General Remarks on This Volume's Approach

The present volume seeks to foster this discussion. It is based on a conference held in Augst (Switzerland) from September 11–13, 2018 under the title “What follows *la mort de l’auteur*? Re-Evaluating the Concept of Authorship in Hebrew Bible Studies.” Based on the developments and observations outlined above, the conference was organized around the following guiding questions:

1. Can the category of authorship be justifiably applied to ancient ways of producing texts, even as a heuristic tool? How does it need to be re-fashioned in order to take into account the specifics of *Traditionsliteratur*? And how should it be re-conceptualized in light of the fluidity between composition and transmission in the formation of the Hebrew scriptures?

2. How do alternative concepts which are currently in use (e. g., redactor, scribe, compiler) interact with the concept of “author,” or move beyond it? Are there other, alternative concepts which should come to the fore in the analysis of text production in ancient Israel? Or should scholars adopt altogether different approaches and perspectives, such as focusing on the ancient reader or text community as opposed to the ancient producer of the texts at hand?

3. What are the implications and consequences of questioning the concept of authorship for historical-critical research? For example, if scholars deem the attempt to reconstruct (an) author(s) behind the text to be problematic, where does this leave the socio-historical analysis of texts and text production in ancient Israel?

The essays in the present volume engage in various ways with aspects of these questions and provide a range of possible answers based on the respective approach of the contributors. The volume does not aim at developing a new model, but rather to engage in a critical, multifold dialogue in order to compare and contrast different approaches in an original and fruitful way.

Both for the conference and for the present volume, we decided to leave open the question of a definition of an “author” in order to allow the contributors to bring and discuss diverse notions of authorship. Any discussion of “authorship” faces the problem of changing concepts of the “author” through history.<sup>24</sup> A common and intuitive understanding of the “author” is the author as producer of a text.<sup>25</sup> However, at a closer look, this “author” implies multiple roles: Are we talking about the person who writes a text down? The person who produced (performed) the text orally? The person who should be considered the intellectual originator of a text? The person who takes on responsibility for the contents, or claims of ownership? Are persons who edit, rework, or publish to be considered as “authors,”

<sup>24</sup> For an overview, cf. Burke, *Authorship*.

<sup>25</sup> Cf. Schönert, “Author.”

or were they considered as such in a given historical context?<sup>26</sup> Not all of these roles are similarly applicable to ancient contexts of text productions. The essays in the present volume address the complexity of authorial roles, focusing on their different aspects. I mention only two examples: Thomas Krüger, in his discussion of wisdom sayings, points out that the phrase “words of NN” does not imply a concept of the author as the person who formulated these words for the first time. Rather, the phrase could designate “words that NN heard, found to be good and passed on; i. e., sayings or words that NN did not invent but adopted.”<sup>27</sup> Ilse Müllner, from a more theoretical point of view, proposes that scholars should discuss the “subject position of text-based communication,” that is, who is speaking to us when we read a text?<sup>28</sup> These two examples illustrate two different perspectives on “authorship” discussed in this volume:<sup>29</sup>

1. Concepts of authorship attested in the cultural world of the text and its first recipients: Several contributions describe concepts of authorship in the ancient world and investigate their literary and social functions.<sup>30</sup> They deal with explicit and implicit claims in a text about who “authored” them and address phenomena such as pseudepigraphy and anonymity.

2. Concepts of authorship used by contemporary literary critics or biblical scholars: Some of the contributions discuss the concepts of authorship that scholars create and use.<sup>31</sup> They discuss functions of author-concepts in biblical studies, why and how they matter, and suggest concepts that can be fruitfully applied to the study of Hebrew Bible texts.

The title of our conference – “What follows *la mort de l’auteur*?” – alluded to the French publication of Roland Barthes’s essay *La mort de l’auteur*, 50 years before our conference.<sup>32</sup> In this essay, Barthes questions the idea that texts have a fixed meaning controlled by the Author. While Barthes was certainly not the first to criticize the modern concept of authorship, his “death of the author” formula became widely cited and influential in the subsequent discussion. We rapidly no-

---

<sup>26</sup> Nowadays, the complexity of the notion of authorship and the many roles involved are discussed in particular with regard to media studies (e. g., to what extent can the producer of a movie be considered an “author?”); cf. the essays in Gray and Johnson, *Companion to Media Authorship*.

<sup>27</sup> Krüger, “Authors and Narrators,” 210 (in this volume). Cf. also Millard, “Authors”; Mroczek, *Literary Imagination*.

<sup>28</sup> Müllner, “Between Narrator and Author,” 177 (in this volume).

<sup>29</sup> Sophus Helle and David Carr, in their contributions in this volume, discuss a similar distinction of approaches using the terminology of “emic” and “etic.”

<sup>30</sup> See the contributions by Sophus Helle, Sylvie Honigman, Christophe Nihan, Thomas Krüger, Konrad Schmid, Christian Frevel and Julia Rhyder.

<sup>31</sup> See the contributions by George Brooke, Ilse Müllner, Melanie Köhlmoos, Ehud Ben Zvi, David Carr, and Katharina Pyschny.

<sup>32</sup> Barthes, “*La mort de l’auteur*.” The English publication in *Aspen* 5/6 preceded this French publication.

ticed, however, that Barthes's essay did not address the issues we were concerned with as scholars of ancient literature. As several contributors point out, Barthes's preoccupation has more to do with the displacement of the subject in post-modern philosophy, and the Author he is dealing with does not correspond to concepts of the author in contemporary biblical studies.<sup>33</sup> For our conference, and the present volume, Foucault's essay "What is an author?" in response to Barthes has been found more productive.<sup>34</sup> Foucault proposes that we should regard authorship as a function which serves to organize discourses in a society. To consider authorship as a function has proved a fruitful angle to take for re-conceptualizing authorship in biblical studies.<sup>35</sup> Several contributions in the present volume explore functions of authorship within a text as a key for the construction of its meaning, focusing on explicit and implicit attributions.<sup>36</sup> In manifold ways, the essays in this volume illuminate the purposes of ascribing texts to "authors," for the ancient audience or for biblical scholarship.

#### 4. Outline of the Volume

The volume starts with three essays discussing comparative material and contexts for the study of authorship in Hebrew Bible texts. Because the Hebrew scriptures form part of the larger world of ancient literature, the first two essays investigate concepts of authorship in Mesopotamian and in Greek literature, allowing the reader to appreciate possible backgrounds and specific developments of the concepts of authorship found in the biblical texts.

Sophus Helle's contribution deals with Mesopotamian literary texts and several theoretical issues in scholarship on authorship. Discussing examples such as Lu-Nanna (the author of the *Etana* epic according to the Assyrian *Catalogue of Texts and Authors*), Sîn-leqi-unnenni (alleged author of *Gilgamesh*) or the Sumerian poet Enheduana, Helle proposes that we should use Akkadian narratives of authorship to gain insights into cultural concepts of authorship. Distinguishing between an emic and an etic approach, he argues that the fictional attributions and stories about Akkadian authors provide information on cultural expectations of authorship and on the classification of literature rather than information on the historical

<sup>33</sup> Cf. the contributions by Ehud Ben Zvi, Ilse Müllner, and George Brooke in this volume.

<sup>34</sup> Foucault's essay has been first published in French: Foucault, "Qu'est-ce qu'un auteur?" The English version is slightly modified (Foucault, "What Is an Author?").

<sup>35</sup> Thus, scholars explored biblical figures such as Moses or the prophets in light of Foucault's proposal of the "author" as a founder of discourse. See, in particular, Najman, *Seconding Sinai*; cf. further Berges, "Kollektive Autorschaft," 30; Otto, "Welcher Bund ist ewig?" 161. Cf. also Schmitz, *Prophetie und Königtum*, 81–98 for a methodological proposition regarding functions of authorship in biblical studies.

<sup>36</sup> In particular, the essays by George Brooke, Christophe Nihan, and Julia Rhyder; from a literary perspective without reference to Foucault, see the contribution by Thomas Krüger.

circumstances of a text's production. He advocates a narrative understanding of authorship as a story of origin.

Sylvie Honigman's essay analyzes Greek concepts of authorship. The influence of Greek conceptions of authorship is generally assumed to be the most important factor in the development of authorial attributions in Second Temple literature. Honigman's contribution nuances this picture by showing that the attributions of authorship in Greek and Judahite texts of the Hellenistic period were based on quite different cultural presuppositions. Focusing on the representation of past events, she shows how the Greek concept of authorship was transformed in the transition from lyric poetry to historiographic prose, leading to the correlated notions of author, book, and event as singular entities. In Judahite historiography, in contrast, the narration of the past is organized by theme, allowing for multiple versions of the representation of reality. Honigman's essay ends with a discussion of structural differences between Greek and Judahite societies underlying the diverging approaches to individuality and personal autonomy.

The discovery of the Dead Sea Scrolls, and in particular the study of the manuscripts related to the Hebrew Scriptures, led scholars to reconsider the production and transmission of scriptural texts. In his contribution, George J. Brooke explores what the manuscripts from the Qumran caves might contribute to the discussion of authorship in Second Temple times. He argues that in light of the attested plurality of textual forms – even for presumably legal texts such as the Rule of the Community – conceptions of textual stability and individual authors need to be revised. Brooke discusses possible approaches for further research that takes seriously the issues raised by the study of the Qumran manuscripts. Rather than searching for historical authors of particular literary works, such research might focus on the functions of texts, study parameters of ancient discourse and appreciate the process of rewriting.

The following three essays enter into the subject of authorship and Hebrew Bible studies. They present the main issues from the perspective of history of research (Köhlmoos), from a socio-historical perspective (Ben Zvi), and from a perspective of literary history (Schmid).

Taking into account the pitfalls of traditional concepts of authorship does not necessarily exclude the question of intentionality. As a possible way of approaching this issue, Brooke proposed that rewriting could be seen as a process in which every product reflects multiple editorial decisions. The essay by Melanie Köhlmoos focuses specifically on the issue of authorial intentions and reviews its place in biblical research. In her contribution, Köhlmoos stresses the enduring relevance of authors and their intentions for the study of biblical texts. Interpreters of texts refer to authors and their intentions in various ways in order to close the gap between what is said and what is meant. Following Karl Eibl, Köhlmoos distinguishes three perspectives on authorship (the author as “originator of linguistic artifacts,” “of metaphorical speech,” and “as individual”) and explores their significance through

the history of Christian exegesis. She argues that the author in biblical studies is relevant not as the actual writer of a text, but as part of a model for how texts were produced and gained meaning and coherence.

Ehud Ben Zvi's contribution addresses the concept of authorship in Hebrew Bible studies from a socio-historical perspective informed by memory studies. Using the reference to Barthes in the announcement of our conference as a launch pad for exploring general issues surrounding the concept of authorship, he points out that authorship is a property associated with the text as it is read by a particular community. His observations refer to matters of authorship, anonymity, and authority among the Judean literati of the late Persian and early Hellenistic period. He shows that two complementary approaches to authorship are reflected in Hebrew Bible texts: on the one hand, a preference for the selection of anonymity for the slot of the author, and on the other hand authoritative characters performing "authorship" within anonymous books. Discussing the example of a "Mosaic" Torah and passages of Chronicles, he shows that biblical texts presuppose a complex understanding of authorship, transcending the temporal and situational dimension of a historical character. Rather than concluding the issue, Ben Zvi's contribution outlines possible avenues for further investigations on power, authority, and authorship in Second Temple Judah.

From the perspective of literary history, Konrad Schmid discusses the main issues and stages of a developing idea of authorship in Hebrew Bible texts. While Hebrew Bible texts are generally transmitted anonymously, it is conspicuous that prophetic books are always associated with a specific person. Drawing a line from Amos to Jeremiah to biblical and post-biblical notions of Mosaic and Davidic authorship, Schmid shows how the biblical concept of authorship developed from prophetic literature. Eventually, authorial figures in and of biblical texts were generally construed as prophets. Schmid argues that elaborated ideas on biblical authors are a late phenomenon, using the prophetic model to attribute the "real" authorship behind the human author to the divine.

As Schmid points out, the approaches to authorship in biblical texts seem to vary in relation to their genre. The essays that follow – focusing on specific parts and texts of the Hebrew Bible – are therefore grouped by sections according to genre. Starting with the anonymous narratives of the Pentateuch and the Former Prophets, the volume moves on to various strategies of attribution and implied authorship in Psalms and Wisdom Literature, and ends with Prophetic Literature.

David Carr's essay sets out with an analysis of the "prophetic-sender model for textual production" with regard to the book of Deuteronomy. He investigates the nature and purpose of the elements of authorial figuration in Deuteronomy in light of an oral-primary environment and shows how they function as a form of self-authorization in the book, and in particular serve to secure the authoritative status of its written exemplars. Subsequently, Carr discusses inquiries on the anonymous creators of Pentateuchal texts in contemporary, historically-oriented biblical

# Index of References

## Biblical References

<i>Genesis</i>		22:21–26	158
1:1	145	23:3	216
1:1–2:3	144, 145	23:4	104
1–4	145	24:3	159
1:5	61	24:4	126
2:1	145	24:8	159
2:4	145	24:12	167
2:4a	145	24:12–18	160
2:4b–3:24	144, 145	24:15–18	160
2:4b–4:26	144, 145	25:1	165
2:6	148	25:22	161
5	144	25–31	160, 168
5:29	144	29:45	161
6:5–8	144	31:18	167, 168
6:9–22	144	32:15–16	167
6:11	180	32:16	167
8:20–22	144	32:19	121
9:1–17	144	34	160
9:20–27	144	34:1	138, 167, 168
10:1a	144	34:14–26	168
10:2–7	144	34:27	104
10:15	144	34:27–28	138, 168
10:20	144	34:28	121, 126, 168
10:21	144, 149	40:34	161
10:22–23	144		
10:31–32	144	<i>Leviticus</i>	
11:1–4	148	1:1	161
11:1–9	144	1–7	161
11:10–26	144	4:1–2a	165
26:5	109	6:24–25a	165
		7:22–23a	165
<i>Exodus</i>		7:28–29a	165
17:14	104, 126	10:11	161
19:5	159	11:1–2a	165
19–24	157, 158, 159, 161, 164	11–16	161
20:1–17	158, 165	12:1–2a	165
20:22–23	157	15:1–2a	165
20:22–23:33	157, 158	17:1–2a	165
20:22a	164	17–26	161
20:24–26	157	18:1–2a	165
20–29	75	18–20	161
21:12–22:14	157	19:1–2a	165
22:17–26	157	19:2	161



21:1a	165	31:9-11	136
21:16-17a	165	31:9-13	104, 136
22:1-2a	165	31:9-14	142
22:31-33	161	31:11	141
23:1-2a	165	31:22	104, 126
23:9-10a	165	31:24	136, 168
23:33-34a	165	31:24-26	104, 136
25	161	31:24-29	136
27:1-2a	165	31:24-30	136
		31:25-26	168
<i>Numbers</i>		31:30-32	104
5:5-6a	165	31:44	104
5:11-12a	165	32:1-43	136
6:1-2	165	32:44-47	136
6:22-23a	165	32:45-47	136
8:1-2a	165	32:48-52	136
9:9-10a	165	34:1-12	136
15:1-2a	165	34:5-7	141
15:17-18a	165	34:10	137, 167
15:37-38a	165	34:10-12	127
33:2	104, 126		
		<i>Joshua</i>	
<i>Deuteronomy</i>		1:1	186
1:5	136, 137	8:30-31	126
1-11	159	23:6	126
4	168	24:26	137
4:44-28:68	141		
5:6-21	165	<i>Judges</i>	
5:22-25	137	1:1	186
5:25-27	137	5:4-5	159
6:1-2	165		
6:17	164	<i>1 Samuel</i>	
8:20	165	15	186
10:1-3	168	24	186
10:1-5	168	26	186
12-26	159	28:1	180
13:5	165	31	181, 186, 187
13:18a	165		
18:15	167	<i>2 Samuel</i>	
18:15-18	125, 127	1	181, 186
27:1-4	104	1:1	186, 187
27:8	104, 137	1:1-16	181
27:10	165	1:9	181
28	159	1:10	181
28:1	165	7	179
28:15	165	11:1	179
28:45	164	11:27	179, 180
29:4-5	165	13	179
30:8	165	13:12	179
30:10	165	18:19-32	196
31	168	22	205
31:1-25	136		
31:9	126, 129, 141, 168		

<i>1 Kings</i>		3:25–27	254
11:41	180	24:2	252
14:19	180	24:15–18	256
		24:24	249
<i>2 Kings</i>		24:25–27	253, 254
10:32	180	33:21–22	253, 254
14:6	126	37:15–28	253
22:3–20	138, 139	40:2	162
23:35	126	40–42	161, 163
24:18–25:29	233	40–48	161, 163, 170
		43:1–12	253
<i>Isaiah</i>		43:6	162
1:1	124	43:11	163, 253
5:1–7	158	43:11–12	162
5:21–27	158	43:13	162
6:7	124, 129	43:13–27	162
9:7–9	123	43–46	163
9:12	123	44:1–3	162
40–66	116	44:3	163
58:7	54	44:5	162
		44:6–31	162
<i>Jeremiah</i>		45:1–8	162
1:1	124, 129	45:9–17	162
1:1–2	124	45:18–46:24	162
1:1–3	124	46:1–12	163
1:4–9	129		
1:9	124, 125	<i>Hosea</i>	
1:18	233, 235	1:1	124
7:16	235		
8:11	234	<i>Joel</i>	
8:18	219, 234	1:1	124
8:19	234		
8:21	234	<i>Amos</i>	
8:21–23	234	1:1	121, 122, 124
8:23	219, 234	2:6–8	158
9:17	234	2:13	122
11:14	235	3:1	123
14:17	233	3–4	123
36	75, 125	5:1	123, 124
36:32	125, 225, 227, 228	5–6	123
38:6	232, 233	7:14	122, 123
40:6	232	9:1	123
43:7	232		
51:59–64	233	<i>Obadiah</i>	
51:64	233	1	124
<i>Ezekiel</i>		<i>Jonah</i>	
1:1	124	1:1	124
1:1–3	248, 249, 250		
1:3	249	<i>Micah</i>	
2:8–3:3	250, 251	1:1	124
3:1–3	251, 252	2:1–2	158
3:22–27	253	2:9	158

<i>Nahum</i>		56	196
1:1	124	57	196
		59	196
<i>Habakuk</i>		60	196
1:1	124	63	196
2:2	137	68–70	127, 195
3:1	201	68	195
3:3	159	68:8	159
		69	198
<i>Zephaniah</i>		72:20	127
1:1	124	79:2–3	203
		86	127, 195, 197
<i>Haggai</i>		91–100	197
1:1	124	91	195
		96	198
<i>Zechariah</i>		96:1–13	110
1:1	124	97	198
14:5	123	98	198
		100	198
<i>Malachi</i>		101–4	197
1:1	124	101	127, 195, 197
3:22	126	103	127, 195, 197, 198
		104	198
<i>Psalms</i>		105:1–15	110
3–89	197	106:1	110
3–41	195, 196, 197, 200	106:47–48	110
3–9	127	108–10	127, 195, 197
3	196, 201, 205	109	195
7	196	110	195
8	198	121	197
9	197	122	127, 195, 197, 198
10	195, 197	123	197, 198
11–32	127	124	127, 195, 197
11	198	127:1	201
13	198	131–33	195
15	198	131	127
18	196, 205	133	127, 198
24	195	138–45	127, 195, 197
26	198	138	198
27	198	139	195
33	195, 197, 198	140	198
34–51	127	142	196, 197, 198
34	196	143	198
36	198	144	198
37	198	145	198
40	195	146–50	194
51–65	127, 195, 197	151	197, 198, 202, 203,
51–63	196, 200, 204		204
51	196, 198, 205	151 (LXX)	203, 205
52–59	196		
52	196	<i>Job</i>	
53	196, 198	1	216
54	196	2	216

3	215	2:11	212
4:6	215	2:24	212
4:19–27	215	2:26	212
6:89	215	3–12	212
9	215	3:1–9	212
12	215	3:1–8	212
16:20–21	215	3:9	212
19:25–27	215	3:10–12:8	212
22:4–5	215	3:10	212
29:2	215	3:11–12:8	212
32	215	3:12–13	213
38:2	215	7:11–12	213
38:3b	215	7:19	213
38–41	215, 216	7:27	212, 213
40:7b	215	8:19	213
42	215	9:11–12	213
42:1–6	215	9:13–18	213
42:2	215	10:4–7	213
42:3a	215	10:10–11	213
42:3b	215	10:16–20	213
42:4b	215	12:5	79
42:5	216	12:8	212, 213
42:6	216	12:9–14	213
42:7–8	215	12:9–10	212, 213
		12:9	212
		12:11	213
		12:12–14	214
		12:13–14	214
<i>Proverbs</i>		<i>Lamentations</i>	
1–9	209, 210, 211	1:1	225, 228
1:1	209, 210	1:2	228
1:2–4	211	1:3	228
1:2–6	209	1:9	228, 229, 230
1:6	210, 211	1:11–16	228
1:7	209	1:11	229, 230
1:8	209, 211	1:12	231
10	209	1:16	234
10:1	209	1:18–22	228
10:19	79	1:18	227
22:17	209	1:21	229
24:23	210	1:22	219, 234
25–31	211	2:11–13	228
25	210	2:11	219, 231, 234
25:1	210	2:12	230
30:1	210	2:13–19	228
31:1	210	2:15–16	230
		2:18	231, 233
<i>Qohelet</i>		2:20–22	228, 230
1:1	212, 213	3:1–18	231
1:2	212, 213	3:1	231
1:3	212	3:18	230
1:3–11	212	3:40–47	228
1:4–11	212		
1:12–2:26	212		
1:12	212		
1:13	212		

3:43–44	235	<i>1 Chronicles</i>	
3:48–51	231, 233	16:8–36	110, 205
3:48	219, 234	29:10–20	205
3:51	230		
3:53	233	<i>2 Chronicles</i>	
3:53–55	232	15:1–7	109
3:54	230	15:8–9	109
3:57	230	27:7	180
4:15	230	32:32	105
4:17–20	228	34:14	138
4:17	219	35:4	204
4:20	226, 227, 228,	35:25	227, 228
	230	36:25	227
<i>Esther</i>		<i>Judith</i>	
11:1 (LXX)	51	1:1	46
<i>Daniel</i>		<i>1 Maccabees</i>	
3:23 (LXX)	205	7:16–17	203
9	205	16:23–24	108
9:11	126	2:19–32	50
9:13	126	5:17–20	50
<i>Ezra</i>		<i>Sirach</i>	
6:8–12	50	38:24–39:11	214
7:6	126	47:1–11	202
9	205	47:8–10	201, 202
<i>Nehemiah</i>		48:24–25	116
8:1	126, 138	50:27	39, 40, 65, 117, 118, 129
9	205	<i>John</i>	
		1:1	79

## Epigraphical References

BM 10684	193	KTU 1.16 I 1	127
KAjr[9]:8	165	Lachish letter 3	165
KAjr[9]:9	165	Lachish letter 3:20	166
KTU 1.6 I	127	Lachish letter 6	165
KTU 1.9 I 1	127	Lachish letter 6:4	166
KTU 1.14 I 1	127	SAA 03 047	169

## Qumran References

1QApGen 5:29	203	4Q203 7b II 3	204
1QS	64	4Q258 I 1	64
4Q92	198	4Q317	66, 67
4Q171	66	4Q318	66
4Q186	66, 67	4Q543–47	204

4Q561	66	11Q11	195
4QCata	198	11Q19	69
4QGen <sup>g</sup>	61	11Q19 51:6–7	160
4QPs <sup>a</sup>	198	11Q20	69
4QPs <sup>b</sup>	198	11QPs <sup>a</sup>	127, 195, 198, 201
4QPs <sup>c</sup>	198	11QPs <sup>a</sup> 27	128, 129
4QPs <sup>d</sup>	198	11QPs <sup>a</sup> 27, 2–11	128, 201, 202
4QPs <sup>e</sup>	198	11QPsAp <sup>a</sup>	198
4QPs <sup>f</sup>	198	11QPs <sup>b</sup>	198
4QS	64	5/6 Hev 1b	198
4QTLevi <sup>a</sup>	205	TPL 3:2	205

## Other References

Aristotle		<i>C. Ap.</i>	
<i>Ath. pol.</i> , 26.4	48	1.38–40	201
b. B. Bat. 14–15	1, 82, 100, 104, 106, 115, 129, 141, 227, 247	1.8	120, 220
BGU 8 1782	203	PG 28, 337	203
BGU 10 2006, 9	203	Philo	
Herodotus		<i>Agr.</i> 50	201
<i>Hist.</i>		<i>Conf.</i> 149	201
2.44	44	<i>Plant.</i> 29	201
2.99	44	Polybius	
Hippocrates		<i>Hist.</i>	
<i>De arte</i>	42	1.3.1–2	45
Homer		2.56–63	45
<i>Il.</i> 18.478–608	52	31.23–25	45
<i>Jubilees</i>		P. Oxy. 2 250, 13	203
1:1–2:1	160	P. Oxy. 2 259	203
1:5	169	Theognis	
1:7	169	19–24	40
2:6	169	1209–10	41
4:16–19	169	Thucydides	
8:11	169	1.1–22	44
39:6	169	1.22.2–4	44
Josephus		2.47–54	44
<i>Ant.</i>		4.104–5	44
7.305	201	5.26.5	44
9.225	123	Xenophon	
10.78	227	<i>Hell.</i> 1.1.1	45

## Index of Authors

- Abusch, T. 17  
Aitken, J.K. 196  
Albertz, R. 156, 158  
Alexander, P.S. 63–64, 225, 227  
Alter, R. 101, 175  
Ambraseys, N. 123  
Arnet, S. 210, 213, 216  
Askin, L.A. 65  
Assmann, J. 117, 140, 176
- Baden, J.S. 145  
Bakhtin, M. 182  
Bakker, E.J. 200  
Bal, M. 175  
Bar-Efrat, S. 4, 175, 180–81  
Barthélemy, D. 226  
Barthes, R. v, 4, 7–8, 10, 61, 65, 70–71,  
93–98, 116, 146–47, 149, 175, 187–89, 222,  
224, 241, 245  
Barton, J. 4  
Beardsley, M.C. 4, 89  
Beaulieu, P.-A. 18  
Becker, E.-M. 76  
Becker, M. 121  
Becker, U. 123  
Beckett, S. 155  
Beckman, G.M. 168  
Beecroft, A. 20–21, 26, 29, 107  
Beltz, W. 183  
Ben Zvi, E. 53, 105–8, 110  
Bennett, A. v, 5, 25–26, 31, 146, 149  
Berges, U. 8, 221, 244  
Bergler, S. 121  
Beriger, A. 219  
Bernstein, M.J. 69  
Bezzel, H. 186  
Bickerman, E. 118  
Biriotti, M. 5  
Birnbaum, E. 78  
Black, J.A. 24  
Blum, E. 81, 84, 88–89, 102–4, 117, 125, 137,  
145, 176, 179  
Blum, R. 38  
Bolin, T.M. 212  
Booth, W.C. 223  
Boozer, J. 147
- Borger, R. 17, 19  
Bossard-Nepustil, E. 121, 167  
Bossard, E. 121  
Brandt, P. 219, 226  
Breed, B.W. 4, 215  
Breu, C. 5, 140, 238  
Brodersen, A. 194–96, 202  
Brooke, G.J. 67  
Burke, S. 5, 6  
Butt, J. 150
- Cagni, L. 32  
Cancik-Kirschbaum, E. 19  
Cancik, H. 180  
Carr, D.M. 2, 61–62, 88, 125, 139, 144–45,  
147, 149, 184  
Cazelles, H. 200  
Charlesworth, J.H. 66  
Charpin, D. 22, 168  
Chatman, S.B. 4, 135, 143, 146  
Childs, B.S. 205–6  
Civil, M. 24–25  
Claassens, L.J.M. 182  
Clarke, K. 45, 48  
Clines, D.J.A. 176, 210, 213, 216  
Coleridge, S.T. 30  
Collins, J.J. 67  
Compagno, D. 244  
Conway, C.M. 149  
Cooke, G.A. 249, 252  
Corley, J. 118  
Corrigan, T. 147  
Crawford, S.W. 60  
Cross, F.M. 60
- Davies, P.R. 139  
Davila, J.R. 61  
Davis, E.F. 252, 255  
Deist, F.E. 4  
Delitzsch, F. 85  
Dell, K.J. 209, 215  
Delnero, P. 27  
Derchain, P. 193  
Derrida, J. 26, 222  
Detering, H. 5  
Dewald, C. 43

- Di Lella, A.A. 118  
 Dieleman, J. 46  
 Dietrich, W. 182, 184, 210, 213, 216  
 Dinkler, M.B. 149–50  
 DiTommaso, L. 69  
 Dobbs-Allsopp, F.W. 222  
 Doering, L. 59  
 Donner, H. 210, 213, 216  
 Donovan, S. 5, 242  
 Dorival, G. 195, 198  
 Dorleijn, G.J. 5  
 Dozeman, T.B. 159  
 Duhm, B. 4, 85, 86
- Ebeling, E. 32  
 Eco, U. 4, 83, 84, 151  
 Edelman, D. 159, 168  
 Edenburg, C. 177  
 Ehlers, W.-W. 219  
 Eibl, K. 9, 75–77, 80, 83–84, 86  
 Eichhorn, J.G. 1  
 Engel, H. 50  
 Esler, P.F. 60
- Feldman, A. 62  
 Felski, R. 26  
 Fernández Marcos, N. 226  
 Fewell, D.N. 176  
 Fewster, G.P. 135  
 Fieger, M. 219  
 Fischer, G. 232  
 Fish, S.E. 4, 62  
 Fishbane, M. 206  
 Fjellestad, D. 5, 242  
 Flint, P.W. 194–95, 198  
 Focken, F.-E. 251  
 Fohrer, G. 246–47  
 Foster, B.R. 17, 20, 22, 24, 103  
 Foucault, M. v, 8, 11, 26, 28–29, 32, 70–71, 96, 135, 137, 146, 155–56, 170, 175, 187–89, 193, 199, 206, 222–24, 241, 243, 245  
 Fowler, R.L. 41–42  
 Fox, M.V. 210, 213  
 Frevel, C. 184, 220–22, 228–29, 231, 234, 238
- García Martínez, F. 65, 128, 169  
 Garfinkel, S. 212  
 Garrison, P. 140  
 Genette, G. 180  
 Gentry, P.J. 229  
 Germany, S. 158–59, 167
- George, A. 21–22, 25  
 Gertz, J.C. 123, 125, 145  
 Gese, H. 163  
 Gilmour, R. 177  
 Glassner, J.-J. 18, 24  
 Gozzoli, R.B. 46  
 Gray, J. 7  
 Graziosi, B. 20–21, 28  
 Green, B. 182  
 Greenstein, E.L. 215  
 Grethlein, J. 43  
 Griffin, R.J. 245  
 Grund-Wittenberg, A. 117  
 Grüttemeier, R. 5
- Hallo, W. 22  
 Halperin, D.J. 254  
 Hankins, C.D. 215  
 Hansen, M.H. 48  
 Haul, M. 23  
 Hayes, C. 166  
 Heeßel, N.P. 21  
 Heinen, S. 137, 151, 222  
 Helle, S. 17, 21–22, 24, 27, 30  
 Hempel, C. 54, 62–64  
 Hendel, R. 61–62  
 Hengel, M. 118  
 Henze, M. 67  
 Heym, S. 183–84  
 Hirsch, E.D. 96  
 Hoffmann, T. 245  
 Honigman, S. 50  
 Horsley, R.A. 52  
 Hossfeld, F.-L. 238, 247, 249  
 Houtman, C. 168  
 Huey, F.B. 221  
 Hughes, A. 99  
 Hunger, H. 117  
 Hunter, M. 150  
 Hurowitz, V.A. 156  
 Hurwit, J.M. 52
- Jacob, B. 149  
 Jaillard, D. 37  
 Jannidis, F. 5, 116, 186, 222, 241, 243, 245–46  
 Janowski, B. 176, 252  
 Janßen, M. 88  
 Jaszi, P. 5  
 Jenni, E. 127, 199  
 Jeremias, G. 65  
 Jeremias, J. 122–23  
 Jiménez, E. 17, 20, 22



- Johnson, D. 15  
 Johnson, B.J.M. 191  
 Johnson, S.R. 46  
 Johnson, V.L. 205  
 Jong, I.J.F. de 175, 170  
 Jonker, L.C. 227
- Kafka, F. 25  
 Kerkeslager, A. 47  
 Kilchör, B. 253  
 Kindt, T. 87, 143  
 Kleer, M. 127, 195–96, 197, 199–200, 202, 204  
 Klein, A. 251  
 Klein, C. 179  
 Klostermann, A. 253  
 Knauf, E.A. 125, 244  
 Koch, C. 159  
 Koch, K. 125, 149  
 Köckert, M. 125  
 Koenen, K. 219–20, 226–28, 230  
 Köhlmoos, M. 80, 84, 88, 213, 215  
 Konkel, M. 12, 162, 249–50, 253, 255–57  
 Konstantopoulos, G. 30  
 Korthals Altes, L. 5  
 Kotzé, G. 226  
 Kraft, R.A. 132  
 Kratz, R.G. 2, 121, 130, 164  
 Kraus, H.-J. 221–22  
 Kristeva, J. 222  
 Krüger, T. 7, 212–16  
 Krul, J. 18  
 Kugel, J.L. 156, 194
- Lamarque, P. 135, 155  
 Lambert, W.G. 17, 20, 24, 193, 200  
 Lange, A. 194–95  
 Langer, D. 245  
 Larsson, G. 110  
 Lawee, E. 1  
 Leguay, T. 95  
 Leitch, T. 147  
 Leuenerberger, M. 159  
 Levenson, J.D. 68  
 Levin, C. 124, 167  
 Levinson, B.M. 140, 157, 164  
 Lied, L.I. 67  
 Lim, T. 130  
 Linafelt, T. 219  
 Lindenberger, J.M. 165  
 Lion, B. 24  
 Liss, H. 163  
 Livingston, P. 209
- Livingstone, A. 169  
 Lloyd, G.E.R. 51, 54  
 Lohfink, N. 164  
 Lukes, S. 110  
 Lundbom, J.R. 136  
 Lundén, R. 5, 242  
 Luther, M. 79–80
- MacDonald, N. 126  
 Macholz, C. 121  
 Mack, B.L. 142  
 Magness, J. 60  
 Maier, C.M. 232, 246  
 Mann, T. 187  
 Marböck, J. 116  
 Margolin, U. 4, 178  
 Marincola, J. 43, 45, 52  
 Markl, D. 157  
 Martínez, M. 179  
 Mason, S. 120  
 McFarlane, B. 147  
 McKane, W. 3  
 McKenzie, S.L. 182  
 Meier, C. 241–42  
 Menzel, B. 32  
 Metso, S. 63–64  
 Meyer, I. 86  
 Michalowski, P. 17, 24  
 Millard, A.R. 7, 209  
 Miller, N. 5  
 Miller, J.E. 248  
 Miller, M.L. 66  
 Miller, S. 62  
 Mitchell, K. 63  
 Monger, M. 69  
 Moore, S.D. 135, 139, 146, 150  
 Morenz, L.D. 139  
 Moyer, I.S. 46  
 Mroczek, E. 3, 7, 37–40, 47, 51, 69, 118–19, 140, 184, 189, 194, 199, 201–3  
 Mühlenberg, E. 77  
 Müller, H.H. 87, 143  
 Munnich, O. 198
- Nagy, G. 40–41, 48, 140  
 Naiden, F.S. 167  
 Najman, H. 8, 37, 39–40, 52, 68–69, 124, 126, 135–38, 140–41, 160, 169, 232  
 Nelson, R.D. 136–37  
 Newman, J. 205  
 Newsom, C.A. 53–54, 65, 182  
 Niditch, S. 163  
 Nieberle, S. 175

- Nielsen, E. 136  
 Nietzsche, F. 29  
 Nihan, C. 140, 161  
 Noam, V. 127  
 Nogalski, J.D. 107, 196, 205  
 Noth, M. 2  
 Nünning, A. 175, 223  
 Nünning, V. 175  
  
 O'Day, G.R. 146  
 Odell, M.S. 251  
 Oorschot, J. van 75–76  
 Ossandón Widow, J.C. 120–21  
 Osumi, Y. 157  
 Otto, E. 5, 8, 125, 127, 136–37, 141–42, 156–58  
  
 Pajunen, M.S. 194  
 Pakkala, J. 139  
 Pardee, D. 166  
 Pearce, L. 117  
 Perlitt, L. 159, 167  
 Perrin, A.B. 204  
 Pessin, S. 98–99  
 Petersen, A.K. 69  
 Pfeiffer, R. 49  
 Pietersma, A. 128, 197, 202–3  
 Pike, K.L. 20  
 Plath, S. 30, 187  
 Pohlmann, K.-F. 254  
 Pongratz-Leisten, B. 169  
 Popović, M. 66–68  
 Puech, E. 195  
 Puskar, J. 31  
  
 Qimron, E. 66  
 Quesada, J.J. 182  
  
 Rainbow, J. 100  
 Ramantswana, H. 195  
 Reed, A.Y. 169  
 Reiner, E. 17, 19  
 Rendtorff, R. 107  
 Renz, J. 165  
 Rhyder, J. 136, 140, 157, 161  
 Riede, P. 123  
 Rimmon-Kennan, S. 175  
 Robson, E. 19  
 Rohls, J. 81  
 Römer, T.C. 82  
 Rooy, H.F. van 202, 226  
 Rosenmeyer, P.A. 140, 199  
 Roth, M.T. 156  
  
 Rotstein, A. 42, 43  
 Ryan, M.-L. 177  
 Ryholt, K. 46  
  
 Saebo, M. 77, 81  
 Salters, R.B. 226  
 Samuel, H. 167  
 Schaffrick, M. 5  
 Schellenberg, A. 212–13  
 Schiesser, G. 245  
 Schipper, B.U. 209  
 Schlegel, F. 68  
 Schmid, K. 2, 5, 73, 81, 88, 116–17, 119, 124, 126–27, 156, 158–59, 193, 232, 240, 247  
 Schmid, W. 184, 209, 223, 236  
 Schmidt, L. 159  
 Schmitz, B. 8, 50, 188  
 Schniedewind, W.M. 61  
 Schnocks, J. 238  
 Scholtz, G. 81  
 Schönert, J. 6, 87, 224  
 Schöpflin, K. 247, 249, 252, 255  
 Schwemer, D. 17  
 Schwermann, C. 30–31  
 Schwiderski, D. 166  
 Schwienhorst-Schönberger, L. 157  
 Screnock, J. 69, 70  
 Seaford, R. 44, 52  
 Sedlmeier, F. 251  
 Seitz, C.R. 85  
 Selden, D. 39, 54–55  
 Seow, C.-L. 213  
 Seybold, K. 127, 200  
 Shapiro, M.B. 100  
 Shelley, M. 30  
 Sherwood, Y. 150  
 Shields, A.M. 28  
 Shively, E.E. 63  
 Ska, J.-L. 2, 4  
 Skehan, P.W. 118  
 Slomovic, E. 206  
 Smend, R. 1  
 Smoak, J.D. 165–66  
 Sonnet, J.-P. 137, 141, 164, 165  
 Spoerhase, C. 222  
 Stackert, J. 164  
 Steck, O.H. 3, 121  
 Stemberger, G. 227  
 Sternberg, M. 175  
 Stewart, A.W. 53  
 Stipp, H.-J. 232  
 Strack, H.L. 227  
 Strawn, B.A. 127

- Strube, W. 83–84, 87  
Strugnell, J. 66
- Talmon, S. 60  
Thenius, O. 220–21  
Thomas, B. 173  
Thomas, R. 48  
Tigay, J.H. 21, 31  
Tigchelaar, E.J.C. 67–68, 128  
Tiller, P. 52  
Tomashevsky, B. 26  
Toorn, K. van der 61, 259  
Trebolle, J. 177  
Tuell, S.S. 162–63  
Türcke, C. 216  
Tyrell, E. 180
- Ueberschaer, F. 226  
Uehlinger, C. 139  
Ulrich, E.C. 3
- Van de Mieroop, M. 19  
Van Seters, J. 2  
Veldhuis, N. 117  
Viezel, E. 100  
Von Rad, G. 180
- Waard, J. de 210  
Wagensonner, K. 19  
Wagner-Egelhaaf, M. 241–42  
Wahl, J. 28  
Wassén, C. 60  
Watts, J.W. 166  
Weber, B. 196–97  
Wees, H van 51  
Weimar, K. 77
- Weimar, P. 121  
Weinberg, J.P. 2, 101, 111  
Weitzman, S. 96–97  
Wellhausen, J. 82–85  
Werlitz, J. 5  
Wernick, A. 140  
Westbrook, R. 119  
Westenholz, A. 24  
White, H.V. 179  
Whitley, J.B. 253  
Whitmarsh, T. 140, 201  
Whybray, R.N. 2  
Wiesmann, H. 221  
Wigand, A.-K. 46  
Willand, M. 5  
Willgren, D. 127–28, 194, 196–97, 198–200, 203  
Williams, T.F. 196  
Wilson, G.H. 197  
Wimsatt, W.K. 4, 89  
Winko, S. 5, 245  
Wischmeyer, O. 151  
Wolf, N.C. 245  
Woodmansee, M. 5  
Wright, B.G. 67, 118–19  
Wright, D.P. 157  
Wyrick, J. 1, 115, 141, 199
- Yadlin, D. 44  
Yeats, W.B. 25–26
- Zahn, M. 69  
Zgoll, A. 24, 27  
Ziegler, J. 221  
Zimmerli, W. 162, 248  
Zsellengér, J. 69

## Index of Subjects

- Agur 210–11  
Ahassu-Sherua 32  
Amos 10, 115, 119, 121–23, 129–30  
Anacreon 140, 199  
ancient Near East *See* Mesopotamia  
anonymity 3, 7, 10–11, 13, 19, 27–28, 37–38, 47, 49–51, 66–67, 75, 77, 81, 85, 87, 97, 100, 102–6, 108, 117, 120, 129, 135–36, 138–39, 142–43, 150, 166, 180, 189, 193, 197, 199, 215–17, 245, 250  
Archaic period 38, 40, 48–49  
ascription 11–12, 38, 49, 98–99, 119–20, 135, 140, 193–94, 199, 201, 204, 209, 226, 231, 236, 238, 247. *See also* attribution, pseudepigraphy, pseudonymy  
attribution 8–13, 17–20, 22–26, 28, 31, 37–39, 43, 47–49, 51–52, 54–55, 68, 75, 82–83, 85–86, 98, 111, 119, 136, 140–42, 156–57, 169, 188–89, 202, 204, 209, 220, 222, 224–31, 236–38, 242, 247. *See also* ascription, pseudepigraphy, pseudonymy  
authenticity 12, 44, 68, 81, 86–87, 118, 129, 138, 141, 236, 244, 246–48, 250, 257. *See also* authority, credibility, reliability  
author, death of V, 1–2, 4, 7, 13, 61, 65, 93–98, 102, 116, 135, 146, 175, 187, 222, 224, 241, 243–45  
authority V, 10–12, 17–19, 40–41, 43, 48–51, 60, 65, 67–68, 76, 83, 96–98, 100, 102–12, 120, 123–25, 127, 129, 137–42, 147, 150, 155–58, 160, 165–66, 169–70, 183, 189, 193, 195, 200, 204, 206, 210–11, 213, 217, 229, 236, 238, 242, 244–45, 247, 250. *See also* authenticity, credibility, reliability  
autonomy 9, 44–45, 47, 49, 52–54  
Avicbron 98–99  
  
Ben Sira, Jesus ben Eleazar 38–40, 43, 55, 60, 65, 115, 117–19, 129  
bilingual 18  
biography 12, 20, 25–26, 28, 40, 43–45, 95, 107, 111, 118–19, 143, 185, 187, 196–97, 201, 204–6, 212, 225, 232–34, 237, 242–43, 247–48, 250, 257  
  
canon 1, 22, 30, 37, 60–61, 79, 119, 121, 130, 139, 143, 195, 198, 203, 219–20, 224, 236–37, 247  
Classical period 48  
classification 8, 29, 62, 138, 140, 156, 196–97, 199, 201, 214, 246  
coherence 5, 10, 25, 28, 76, 78, 80, 82, 86, 106, 176, 187–88, 193, 195, 199, 224  
collaboration *See* collective authorship  
collection *See* compilation  
collective authorship 3, 12, 28, 31, 52, 54, 146, 228, 242, 245–46, 257  
compilation 6, 17–18, 28, 39, 43, 60, 63–65, 82, 100–102, 104–5, 111, 145, 156, 170, 193–98, 200–204, 210, 214, 216, 225, 243–44  
composition 2–3, 6, 17–22, 24–32, 38, 46–47, 50, 60, 62–71, 75–76, 88, 107, 110, 121, 127–28, 136–37, 143, 159–60, 163, 167–68, 183, 193–95, 197–98, 200–203, 214, 219–20, 225, 227–28, 231–33, 235–38, 244, 249, 254–55. *See also* writing  
copying 3, 5, 19–20, 59–61, 64, 70, 117, 210, 227  
copyright 59, 257. *See also* intellectual property, ownership  
creativity V, 2, 5, 29, 61, 89, 117, 167, 236  
credibility 19, 247. *See also* authenticity, authority, reliability  
  
Daniel 55, 69  
David 10–12, 39, 69, 105, 107, 110, 115, 119, 127–29, 141, 177, 179, 182–84, 186–87, 189, 193–206, 238, 247  
Dead Sea Scrolls 3, 9, 11, 59–71, 189, 194–96, 198, 249. *See also* Qumran  
Deuteronomistic History 2, 101, 157, 184  
dictation 121, 125, 144, 169  
discourse, authorial 8–9, 65, 67–69, 71, 96, 111, 137–38, 140–41, 146, 155, 164, 166, 170, 178, 193, 243–44, 257  
divine authorship 10–13, 43, 48, 75, 77–79, 106–7, 116, 119–21, 124, 127, 129, 136–38, 140–42, 155–70, 246, 249–53, 255, 259

- editing V, 3, 6, 9, 21–22, 48, 61–62, 64–67, 69–71, 75, 121, 123, 157, 197, 209–14, 216–17, 221–22, 226–27, 243, 245, 248–49, 257  
 Egypt 46–47, 49, 53, 158, 193, 232  
 emic and etic approaches 7–8, 20–23, 25, 32, 69, 142–43  
 Enheduana 8, 24–25, 27–28, 30  
 Enoch 39, 67, 169  
 Esagil-kin-apli 21  
 Esther 55  
 Ezekiel 12, 69, 105, 116, 163, 235, 246–257  
 Ezra 69, 115, 118, 121, 138, 247  
  
 figuration 10, 12–13, 136, 138–43, 149, 185, 241–57. *See also* persona, function  
 Former Prophets 1, 2, 10, 11  
 function, authorial V, 8, 10–13, 26–27, 29, 31, 37–38, 43, 64–66, 76, 80, 88–89, 96, 100, 118, 139–40, 142, 155–57, 165, 167, 169, 178, 187–89, 193–94, 199–200, 202, 204, 206, 220, 223, 235–37, 242–43, 245, 257  
  
 genre 10, 18–19, 43–44, 46, 49–51, 60, 63, 65, 69, 71, 81, 85, 88, 95, 108, 119, 139–40, 195, 199, 202, 251  
 Greece 3, 8–9, 20, 37–55, 81–82, 117–19, 128, 140, 180, 189, 195–204, 230, 238, 250, 256  
  
 Hammurabi 156, 166–67  
 Hecataeus of Miletus 42, 45  
 Hellenistic period 9–11, 38–39, 46–49, 52, 54, 94, 100–102, 104, 109–11, 142, 149, 170, 201, 204, 228  
 Herodotus 38, 41, 43–45, 47, 49, 180  
 Hezekiah 106, 115, 116, 247  
 Hezekiah, men of 210  
 Homer 17, 20, 28, 45, 49  
  
 Ibn Gabirol, Shlomo 99  
 implied author V, 2, 5, 7–8 10–13, 66, 87–88, 102–4, 111, 116, 119, 142–47, 149–51, 177–78, 183–89, 213, 219–20, 222–25, 236–38  
 individuality 9, 12, 27, 45, 49, 52–54, 59, 77, 86, 97, 103–5, 108, 257  
 inspiration 27, 32, 43, 61, 64, 70, 79, 85, 106, 121, 128, 138, 141, 241, 246, 249–50  
 intellectual property 2, 117, 244. *See also* copyright, ownership  
  
 intention V, 4–5, 9, 27, 62–63, 67, 69, 75–89, 93, 96, 101–2, 116, 143–44, 146, 150–51, 223–24, 236, 241–42, 244–45  
 intentional fallacy V, 4, 89  
 intertextuality 4, 65, 77, 147, 186, 220, 224–25, 227, 232–34  
 Iron Age 11, 165–66, 170  
 Isaiah 85–86, 105–6, 116, 124, 235, 252  
  
 Jeremiah 10, 12, 69, 86, 105–6, 115, 122, 124–25, 129, 219–238, 247, 249, 252, 255  
 Joel 121  
 Jonah 121  
 Joshua 100, 115, 137, 141, 186, 247  
  
 Kabti-ili-Marduk 32  
 Kassite period *See* Middle Babylonian period  
  
 Latter Prophets 2, 8, 10, 85–87, 101, 105–6, 110, 115, 118, 121, 219, 232, 247  
 Lemuel 210–11  
 Lipit-Ishtar 156  
 Lu-Nanna 8, 17–20, 23, 26  
  
 Malachi 121  
 memory, authors as sites of 10, 12, 23, 95–98, 104–6, 110–11, 138–40, 205–6  
 Men of the Great Assembly 106, 115–16  
 Mesopotamia 3, 8, 17–33, 37, 43, 48, 51–53, 68, 103, 108, 117, 119, 135–36, 156, 167, 169, 187, 193, 243–44, 257  
 Middle Babylonian period 21–22, 31  
 Moses 8, 39, 55, 68, 100, 104–7, 109, 115, 118–21, 125–29, 136–42, 145, 159–60, 164–70, 186, 193, 206, 221, 247, 253  
  
 narratology 4, 11, 175–89, 223, 229  
 narrator 4, 11, 82–83, 85–86, 88, 166, 177–79, 181–83, 185, 188–89, 201, 215, 217, 220, 223, 228–29, 235–37  
 Nehemiah 115  
 Neo-Assyrian period 18, 148–49, 159  
 Neo-Babylonian period 148–49, 163, 196  
  
 Old Akkadian period 23–24, 30  
 Old Babylonian period 21, 30–31  
 orality 3, 6, 10, 12, 20, 22, 28, 31, 46, 62, 69, 82, 107, 117, 137–40, 142, 183, 187, 200, 244, 253, 257  
 originality V, 2–4, 6, 12, 22, 38, 47, 59, 61, 63, 66, 70, 77, 80, 96, 99, 107, 117, 122, 126, 137, 144, 146–47, 149–50, 164, 170,

- 201, 211, 217, 225, 227–28, 230, 245, 251, 257
- ownership V, 5, 6, 52, 59. *See also* copyright, intellectual property
- Pentateuch 2, 10–11, 62, 66, 100–101, 106, 115, 119–20, 125–27, 129, 135–45, 148, 155–56, 158–60, 166, 193, 221, 237
- performance 6, 10–11, 26–27, 40–42, 44, 48, 50, 107, 110, 128, 136–38, 149–50, 199–202, 204, 206, 251
- Persian period 10, 45–47, 50, 55, 94, 100–102, 104, 110–11, 149, 163, 196. *See also* Seleucid period
- persona 12, 38, 40, 41, 44, 45, 118, 119, 120, 151, 250, 253, 255, 256, 257
- Phylarchus 45
- pluriformity, textual 3, 64–65, 70
- Polybius 43, 45
- post-modernism 5, 8
- power V, 5, 10, 45, 47, 51–52, 70, 94, 100, 102, 104–5, 110–11, 141, 147, 155, 170, 182, 213, 236
- pseudepigraphy 7, 11, 21, 24, 37–38, 40, 47, 52, 67–68, 71, 119–20, 129, 140, 204, 209, 250. *See also* ascription, attribution, pseudonymy
- pseudonymy 38, 50, 52, 117, 119–20, 129, 189, 245. *See also* ascription, attribution, pseudepigraphy
- Qoheleth 212–14, 217
- Qumran 9, 39, 54, 60–68, 71, 127–28, 194–96, 198–99, 202, 238. *See also* Dead Sea Scrolls
- reader-response theory V, 4, 6, 30, 54, 62–63, 71, 77–78, 84, 88, 94, 97, 99–100, 102–3, 106–9, 151, 178, 184, 187, 223, 245
- reception V, 4, 10, 26–27, 30, 96, 116, 118, 120–21, 129, 220, 223–24, 227, 231–32, 238
- redaction V, 2–3, 5–6, 70, 75, 88, 101, 111, 119–20, 141, 143–46, 177, 185, 188–89, 196, 202, 243
- reliability 20, 24, 26, 43, 82–83, 85, 102–3, 118, 143, 177, 180–83, 185, 233. *See also* authenticity, authority, credibility
- revision 3, 9, 21–23, 25, 28, 30–31, 49–50, 62, 69–71, 117, 140, 160, 205–6, 212, 226, 245
- Roman period 55, 81, 109, 129, 199, 201, 228
- Samuel 100, 115, 247
- scribe V, 3, 5–6, 18–19, 22, 32, 37–39, 46, 49–52, 60–65, 67–69, 71, 77, 104, 116–17, 121–22, 127, 129–30, 155, 159–60, 163, 167–70, 193, 243, 252–53, 257
- Second Temple period 9–10, 62, 64–65, 70–71, 140–42, 155–56, 160, 189, 194–96, 201–3, 205–6
- Seleucid period 17–19, 23. *See also* Persian period
- signature, authorial 40, 50–51
- Šin-leqi-unnenni 8, 20–22, 25–26, 31
- Solomon 39, 78–79, 99, 105, 182–83, 193, 201, 204, 209–12, 219
- stability 9, 28, 31, 40, 49, 51, 53, 59, 62, 64, 71, 80, 86, 111, 158, 183, 193, 195
- status 10, 18, 30, 37, 44, 52–53, 62–63, 65–66, 96, 137–40, 146, 156, 158, 160, 165, 170, 205, 236
- Theognis 40–42
- Thucydides 44–45, 47
- Torah *See* Pentateuch
- tradent 3, 12, 89, 123, 130, 142, 150, 202, 210, 243–46, 257
- transcription 3, 210
- transmission 1–2, 5–6, 9–10, 13, 48, 59, 61–63, 67–69, 71, 93, 104, 117, 120, 128, 130, 138, 141–42, 155–56, 165–67, 169–70, 184, 194–96, 199–200, 202, 219, 236, 243
- voicing V, 4, 11–13, 41, 43–44, 50–51, 65–67, 83, 88, 101, 103–4, 118, 137, 140, 145, 155–58, 160, 164–66, 169–70, 178–82, 185–87, 189, 200, 205–6, 220–21, 227–31, 234–35, 237
- wisdom literature 7, 10–12, 19, 42, 44, 50, 53, 78, 109, 118–20, 148, 193, 209–17
- writing 3–4, 6, 10–13, 17, 20–22, 25–30, 32, 38, 40, 42–43, 46, 49–50, 52, 60–62, 67–68, 70, 75–84, 86–87, 89, 96–97, 99–101, 104, 107–9, 111, 115–18, 120, 122, 126, 128–29, 135–42, 146, 149, 156, 162–63, 167–69, 178, 180, 182–85, 189, 199–204, 206, 210, 212–14, 219, 222–24, 227, 237, 241, 243–44, 250, 252–53. *See also* composition
- Xenophon 37, 45