

T. E. VAN SPANJE

# Inconsistency in Paul?

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen  
zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe*

110

---

Mohr Siebeck

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen  
zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Herausgegeben von  
Martin Hengel und Otfried Hofius

110



T. E. van Spanje

# Inconsistency in Paul?

A Critique of the Work of Heikki Räisänen

Mohr Siebeck

T. E. VAN SPANJE, born 1961; 1987 Master of Theology; 1987–88 vicar; 1988–93 fully ordained minister in the Reformed congregation of Herkingen; 1993–95 ordained minister in the Reformed congregation of Ridderkerk-Slikkerveer; since 1995 mission-worker on behalf of the Reformed Mission League in the Netherlands Reformed Church; 1996 Doctor of Theology; since 1996 Lecturer in New Testament and Greek at St. Paul's United Theological College, Limuru, Kenya; since 1997 Head of Biblical Studies Department SPUTC.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek – CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

*Spanje, Teunis Erik van:*

Inconsistency in Paul? : a critique of the work of Heikki Räisänen /

T. E. van Spanje. – Tübingen : Mohr Siebeck, 1999

(Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament : Reihe 2 ; 110)

## Einheitssach.: Inconsistentie bij Paulus? <engl.>

ISBN 3-16-147188-1 978-3-16-157212-8 Unveränderte eBook-Ausgabe 2019

© 1996 Uitgeversmaatschappij J.H. Kok B.V., Kampen, The Netherlands.

Title of the original edition: T.E. van Spanje: *Inconsistentie bij Paulus? Een confrontatie met het werk van Heikki Räisänen*.

© 1999 by J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), P.O. Box 2040, D-72010 Tübingen.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Druck Partner Rübelmann GmbH in Hemsbach on non-aging paper from Papierfabrik Schleipen and bound by Heinr. Koch in Tübingen.

Printed in Germany.

ISSN 0340-9570

*To the Senate  
of the Reformed Theological University  
of Kampen (Broederweg), the Netherlands,  
as a token of gratitude  
for the degree of Doctor of Theology*



## Preface

On 18 December 1996 I had the privilege of defending the Dutch version, *Inconsistentie bij Paulus? Een confrontatie met het werk van Heikki Räisänen*, of this present volume, as my doctoral thesis. After the publication of the Dutch version in which an English summary had been included, it appeared that some colleagues in the English-speaking world were interested in reading the entire study. I also learned that my thesis dealt with an increasingly important debate in contemporary New Testament studies, especially within international New Testament scholarship. In sum, I felt I might serve many others by an English translation so that more people could read it. At the same time, an English translation might perhaps facilitate the discussion with my Finnish interlocutor, Professor Heikki Räisänen. The fact that I am working within an English-speaking setting further stimulated this project. It was, however, not my intention to offer an English translation which would be as literal as possible. My main aim was, by interacting with Heikki Räisänen, to point out clearly my own view on the question of whether Paul's letters are consistent rather than to give a very literal translation of the Dutch version.

Before starting the project, I had to decide how to deal with the overwhelming amount of literature which had been published on Paul's letters during the years after I finished work on the Dutch version of my thesis (September 1996). For a proper update of my thesis, all those studies on Paul were, of course, not to be ignored. Yet I decided to confine myself only to the most important and relevant publications such as J.D.G. Dunn's extensive and impressive *The Theology of Paul the Apostle*, published in 1998. Since Dunn has embraced E.P. Sanders' view on Judaism, his recent *magnum opus* on Paul undoubtedly represents a very influential mainstream within contemporary Pauline scholarship. I also found some other relevant literature published in 1996 or earlier of which I had been unaware before. Again I followed the same procedure as for the Dutch version: I selected only those publications which were in my view

really relevant to the topic of my research. It also happened that some publications which I did not find it worthwhile to refer to in my Dutch version I now believed should be mentioned due to some minor shifts in contemporary research on Paul's letters.

I also had to decide whether the quotations in German should be translated as well. After due consideration I decided not to translate them since German is so widely used within New Testament scholarship.

After the completion and the defence of my Dutch version I did some further research on Paul's letters, especially on his view of the law, fascinated as I was (and still am) by these earliest documents of the New Testament. During the past few years I did not feel I had to revise my interpretation of Paul. On the contrary, I am still convinced that there appears to be a consistency in Paul's letters to a great extent. Consequently the overall thrust of the English translation is basically *similar* to that of the Dutch edition. Yet there are a few minor modifications to the Dutch version. Firstly, as pointed out above, I tried to update my translation of the Dutch version by incorporating some of the most important recent studies. Secondly, I also added some other material in support of my own interpretation of Paul. Thirdly, at some points I believed that some further clarifications might be useful, mainly in order to avoid possible misunderstandings. Finally, I offer a considerable number of quotations in the first part of this volume, since this is intended to be an accurate description of Heikki Räisänen's view on Paul. To increase the clarity of the presentation I now decided to offer the lengthy quotations in separate paragraphs.

Before the publication of the *Dutch Edition*, Heikki Räisänen was unfortunately not able to comment on my manuscript. After its publication, however, he read my study and commented on my own very different understanding of Paul. We corresponded several times by e-mail, and we both agreed that it would be inappropriate to publish or quote from our private correspondence. I am very grateful for the time he gave to entering into discussion with me.

I would like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Peter W. Ensor, Dr. Charles S. Morrice, Dr. R. Dean Anderson Jr., and especially to Dr. David Marshall. They read some parts of my translation or even the study as a whole, and kindly made suggestions to improve its English style. I am equally grateful for their critical remarks. I am also grateful to Professor Jakob van Bruggen who was my supervisor during my ThD studies. His guidance and directions were very helpful, and I very much enjoyed being one of his students for a couple of years. I would also like to thank the

publisher of the Dutch version, Kok-Kampen (the Netherlands), for giving permission to publish this translation. I am also grateful to the editors Professor Martin Hengel and Professor Otfried Hofius for their willingness to include this present study in their WUNT Series. I would also like to thank the staff of J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck) in Tübingen. It was a pleasure to work with them and to experience their efficiency. The Dutch version was dedicated to my wife. Without her moral support neither the Dutch nor the English Edition would ever have been published. To honour the Reformed University in Kampen (Broederweg) for awarding me the degree of *doctor theologiae* I strongly felt it to be appropriate to dedicate the present volume to the Senate of this University.

T.E. van Spanje  
*St. Paul's United Theological College*  
Limuru (Kenya), July 1999



# Table of Contents

Preface .....	VII
Abbreviations .....	XVII
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction: Heikki Räisänen .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Inconsistency .....	1
1.1.1 Paul's view of the law .....	5
1.1.2 Paul's view of Israel .....	7
1.2 Complexity .....	8
1.3 Tradition, experience, and interpretation .....	11
1.4 Räisänen: A New Testament scholar with a history-of-religions perspective .....	11
1.5 The aim and plan of this study.....	12
 <b>Part A: Analytical Description</b>	
<b>Chapter 2: Inconsistencies in Paul's View of the Law.....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 The Jewish Torah also concerns the Gentiles.....	17
2.2 Reduction of the Torah to the moral law.....	19
2.3 Has the law been abolished? .....	20
2.4 Can the law be fulfilled?.....	25
2.5 Is the law of divine origin? .....	28
2.6 Has sin as a concrete reality existed since Adam's fall or since the introduction of the law at Sinai?.....	29
2.7 Can fulfilment of the law give life? .....	31
<b>Chapter 3: Explanation for the Inconsistencies in Paul's View of         the Law .....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Explanations rejected by Räisänen.....	33
3.1.1 Harmonization .....	34
3.1.2 Dialectic and paradoxes.....	35

3.1.3 A sophisticated version of the dialectical approach .....	36
3.1.4 Interpolations.....	37
3.1.5 Development theory.....	37
3.2 Räisänen's explanation for the inconsistencies .....	39
3.2.1 Theological and historical explanation .....	39
3.2.2 Theological explanation: a soteriological <i>a priori</i> .....	40
3.2.2.1 Why does the Jewish Torah also concern the Gentiles? .....	40
3.2.2.2 Why is the law reduced to a moral law?.....	41
3.2.2.3 Why is it impossible to give an unequivocal answer to the question of whether, for Paul, the law has been abolished? .....	41
3.2.2.4 Why does Paul say at one time that the law can be fulfilled, and at another time that the law cannot be fulfilled?.....	42
3.2.2.5 Why is Paul's view of the origin of the law inconsistent? .....	44
3.2.2.6 Why does Paul say at one time that sin has existed as a concrete reality since Adam's fall, and at another time that it has existed as a concrete reality since the introduction of the law on Sinai?.....	45
3.2.2.7 Why is Paul inconsistent concerning the question of whether fulfilment of the law gives life?.....	47
<b>Chapter 4: The Antithesis between Works of Law and Faith in Christ .....</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1 The antithesis .....	49
4.2 A complexity of questions concerning this antithesis.....	50
4.2.1 Caricature of Judaism .....	52
<i>Excursus: E.P. Sanders</i> .....	53
4.2.2 The caricature cannot justifiably be traced back to Paul .....	59
4.2.3 Paul still gives a caricature of Judaism .....	62
4.2.4 Paul does not argue consistently about the antithesis between works of law and faith in Christ .....	67
<b>Chapter 5: Historical Explanation for the Inconsistencies Relating to the Torah and Paul's Antithesis .....</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1 The historical explanation is a hypothesis .....	70
5.2 Too complex for dialectic. Still a development.....	71
5.3 Development in Paul: contact with the Hellenists; experiences during his missionary work, and his conflict with the Judaizers .....	73
5.3.1 The Hellenists.....	74
5.3.1.1 Location of the Hellenists .....	76
5.3.1.2 The Hellenists' view of the Torah.....	77

5.3.1.3 Paul's joining of the Hellenists .....	80
5.3.2 Conflict with the Judaizers .....	81
5.3.3 Paul's dilemma .....	85
5.4 Seyoon Kim's criticism and Räisänen's response .....	87
5.4.1 Gal 1:11–17 .....	87
5.4.2 Phil 3:2–11 .....	88

## Chapter 6: Inconsistencies in Paul's View of Israel:

Romans 9–11 .....	91
6.1 Inconsistencies again .....	91
6.2 Räisänen's method. Indications of inconsistencies.....	93
6.3 First inconsistency: divine predestination and human responsibility.....	95
6.4 Second inconsistency: rejection and election .....	97
6.5 Inconsistencies with reference to a comparison between Rom 9–11 and Paul's earlier letters .....	99
6.5.1 Who hardens? God or an anti-divine power (2 Cor 4), and is this hardening of a permanent or temporary nature (2 Cor 3)?.....	99
6.5.2 Is the Jews' unbelief a stimulus (Rom 11) or a hindrance (1 Thess 2) to Paul's preaching of the Gospel to the Gentiles? .....	100
6.5.3 The olive tree allegory does not fit in the letter to the Galatians.....	101
6.5.4 Is Paul an apostle to Israel or to the Gentiles (Gal 1–2 and 1 Thess 2)? .....	101
6.5.5 Nowhere in his letters, except in Rom 11, does Paul speak of a miraculous eschatological salvation of Israel.....	101
6.5.6 In Rom 9–11 the thoughts of 'justification by faith' and 'being-in-Christ' are absent .....	102

## Chapter 7: Explanation for the Inconsistencies Concerning Israel.....

103	
7.1 Explanations rejected by Räisänen.....	103
7.1.1 Successive phases in God's sovereign plan.....	103
7.1.2 Rom 11 is of less interest.....	104
7.1.3 Rom 9 is a mere preparation for Rom 11.....	104
7.1.4 A development from Paul's earlier letters to his letter to the Romans .....	104
7.1.5 Paul's insights were changing while he was writing the letter to the Romans.....	105
7.1.6 Rom 9 and Rom 11 are two different strategies to reach the same goal .....	105
7.1.7 Dialectical concept and paradoxes .....	105

7.2 Räisänen's explanation: due to a new experience, Paul is wrestling with a theological problem, namely: how can two different soteriologies be maintained simultaneously? .....	106
7.3 A remarkable parallel between Räisänen's Markan and Pauline studies .....	109
7.4 Tradition, experience and interpretation .....	113
<i>Excursus: 'Symbolic universe' and 'legitimation'</i> .....	114
7.5 Christomonism .....	117
7.6 Summarizing overview .....	118
 Chapter 8: Theological Consequences.....	120
8.1 Paul's theology is not consistent.....	120
8.2 All other early Christian theologians are more consistent than Paul .....	122
8.3 Paul's theology displays no continuity with the Old Testament or Judaism .....	124
8.4 Paul unjustly supports a separation between Judaism and Christianity.....	129
8.5 We have to abandon the Lutheran interpretation of Paul.....	134
8.6 Paul and Räisänen himself.....	134
 Part B: Critical Review	
 Chapter 9: Position-finding: Räisänen's Position within Contemporary New Testament Research .....	139
9.1 W. Wrede's influence on Räisänen (diachronic position-finding) .....	140
9.1.1 Wrede's influence on Räisänen's historical explanation for the origin of the inconsistencies .....	140
9.1.2 Wrede's influence on Räisänen's view of the Theology of the New Testament.....	142
9.1.3 Wrede's influence is more profound than Räisänen is aware of .....	144
9.2 Räisänen's position within contemporary New Testament research (synchronic position-finding) .....	145
9.3 Conclusion with reference to a position-finding of Räisänen .....	149
 Chapter 10: Towards a Critique.....	151
10.1 Räisänen evokes many responses .....	151
10.2 Is a critique of Räisänen justified? The nature of the inconsistencies and the way they function.....	153
10.2.1 The nature of the inconsistencies .....	154
10.2.2 The way Räisänen's inconsistencies function .....	157

10.2.3 A critique is necessary .....	157
10.3 Method for a critique .....	158
10.3.1 Fair play .....	158
10.3.2 A critique by using hermeneutical techniques .....	158
10.4 Summarizing remarks .....	160
 Chapter 11: Paul as a Pastor .....	162
11.1 Description .....	162
11.2 Critique of Räisänen .....	167
11.2.1 General .....	167
11.2.2 Illustrations.....	172
11.2.2.1 Paul as a pastor encouraging the Thessalonians in 1 Thess 2:14–16 .....	173
11.2.2.2 Paul as a pastor seeking unity among the Romans in Rom 11:11–14 .....	176
11.2.2.3 Paul as a pastor exhorting the Corinthians in 1 Cor 7:19.....	179
11.2.2.4 Paul as a pastor warning ‘puffed up’ and self-assured Christians (judgment according to deeds).....	180
11.3 Conclusion: Paul is a consistent pastor .....	189
 Chapter 12: Paul as a Rhetor .....	190
12.1 Description .....	190
12.1.1 Renewed attention to rhetorical aspects in Paul .....	191
12.1.2 Rhetoric in terms of argumentative strategy.....	194
12.2 Critique of Räisänen .....	197
12.2.1 General .....	197
12.2.2 Illustrations.....	200
12.2.2.1 By means of a rhetorical strategy, Paul tries to persuade the Galatians that they should not put themselves under the law (Gal 3:1–14).....	200
<i>Excursus: criticism of J.D.G. Dunn’s exegesis of Gal 3:10–14.....</i>	206
12.2.2.2 In comparison with the promise, the divine law is inferior (Gal 3:15–20) .....	208
12.2.2.2.1 The rhetor Paul persuades by means of an invalid ‘argumentum ad hominem’ (Gal 3:15–18) .....	208
12.2.2.2.2 The rhetor Paul persuades by means of a valid ‘argumentum ad hominem’ (Gal 3:19–20) .....	210
12.3 Conclusion: some ‘inconsistencies’ do not exist .....	214

Chapter 13: Paul as a Theologian .....	215
13.1 Description .....	215
13.2 Critique of Räisänen .....	216
13.2.1 General .....	216
13.2.2 Illustrations .....	218
13.2.2.1 The fragmentary structure in Romans 7 .....	218
13.2.2.1.1 Romans 7:1–6. A link in Paul’s train of thought .....	219
13.2.2.1.2 Romans 7:7–12. A fragment with a characteristic theological context .....	223
<i>Excursus: the relation between law and sin in Räisänen’s work</i> .....	228
13.2.2.2 Romans 2. A fragment within Romans 1:18–3:20 .....	231
<i>Excursus: criticism of Sanders and of Räisänen’s historical                         explanation</i> .....	236
13.2.2.3 νόμος always has a theological context which determines its meaning .....	240
13.3 Conclusions .....	247
Chapter 14: Evaluation and Conclusions .....	249
<i>Bibliography</i> .....	255
<i>Index of Authors</i> .....	267
<i>Index of Passages</i> .....	271
<i>Index of Subjects and Names</i> .....	279

## Abbreviations

<i>ANRW</i>	H. Temporini – W. Haase (Ed.), <i>Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt. Geschichte und Kultur Roms im Spiegel der neueren Forschung</i> . Berlin–New York.
<i>BDR</i>	F. Blass – A. Debrunner – F. Rehkopf, <i>Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griechisch</i> .
<i>Bib</i>	<i>Biblica</i>
<i>BJRL</i>	<i>Bulletin of the John Rylands Library</i>
<i>BZ</i>	<i>Biblische Zeitschrift</i>
<i>CBQ</i>	<i>The Catholic Biblical Quarterly</i>
<i>EvT</i>	<i>Evangelische Theologie</i>
<i>HR</i>	<i>History of Religions</i>
<i>HTR</i>	<i>Harvard Theological Review</i>
<i>JAAR</i>	<i>Journal of the American Academy of Religion</i>
<i>JBL</i>	<i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i>
<i>JPT</i>	H. Räisänen, <i>Jesus, Paul and Torah</i>
<i>JSNT</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the New Testament</i>
<i>NorJ</i>	<i>Nordisk Judaistik</i>
<i>NovT</i>	<i>Novum Testamentum</i>
<i>NTS</i>	<i>New Testament Studies</i>
<i>SEÅ</i>	<i>Svensk Exegetisk Årsbok</i>
<i>SJT</i>	<i>Scottish Journal of Theology</i>
<i>ST</i>	<i>Studia Theologica</i>
<i>TC</i>	H. Räisänen, <i>The Torah and Christ</i>
<i>TD</i>	<i>Theology Digest</i>
<i>TLZ</i>	<i>Theologische Literaturzeitung</i>
<i>TTh</i>	<i>Tijdschrift voor Theologie</i>
<i>TZ</i>	<i>Theologische Zeitschrift</i>
<i>WTJ</i>	<i>Westminster Theological Journal</i>
<i>WZNT</i>	W. Bauer, <i>Griechisch-deutsches Wörterbuch zu den Schriften des Neuen Testaments und der frühchristlichen Literatur</i> .
<i>ZNW</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft</i>
<i>ZTK</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche</i>



# Chapter 1

## Introduction: Heikki Räisänen

### *1.1 Inconsistency*

It has frequently been argued in theological literature and especially in New Testament research that Paul's statements are not consistent or that they display a certain amount of inconsistency. In 1907, W. Wrede wrote about Paul:

Man kann leicht sehen, daß seine Gedankengänge etwas sehr Elastisches haben. Gewisse unverrückbare Hauptlinien sind vorhanden, im übrigen bewegt sich das Denken von Brief zu Brief, ja von Kapitel zu Kapitel recht sorglos und frei, ganz unbekümmert um die logische Übereinstimmung des Einzelnen. Die Gesichtspunkte und Begründungen wechseln, sie durchkreuzen einander, ohne daß Paulus es merkt. Es ist daher gar keine Kunst, Widersprüche bei ihm aufzustöbern, selbst in Hauptgedanken.<sup>1</sup>

With reference to Rom 9–11, J. Parkes stated in 1936:

Difficult as it is to penetrate into the contradictions of Pauline thought, it is better to try to do so than to attempt to make a logical and consistent whole of his teaching, if logic and consistency really lack.<sup>2</sup>

In a completely different context (the dispute between Peter and Paul, Gal 2:11–14), P. Gaechter argued in 1958:

Paulus war nicht der Mann ruhigen, diskursiven Denkens, der zu seinen Schlußfolgerungen über die Stufen logischer Entfaltung der Prämissen gelangte und dabei seinen Gegenstand sorglich nach allen Seiten hin zu beleuchten strebte, wie etwa Thomas von Aquin oder Suarez. Seine Art war intuitiv; er drang zum Wesen seines Gegenstandes vor, ohne sich der logischen Deduktion bewußt zu werden, und das jeweils nur unter dem Gesichtswinkel, der ihn im Moment gerade interessierte. Anderes daran, was unter andern Rücksichten ebenso wichtig war, wurde zwar nicht verneint, aber auch nicht berücksichtigt. Wie er dachte, so schrieb er, daher die Einseitigkeit in seinen Briefen.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *Paulus* 48–9.

<sup>2</sup> *Jesus, Paul and the Jews* 140.

<sup>3</sup> *Petrus und seine Zeit. Neutestamentliche Studien* 217.

In his study on Paul (1976), M. Grant stated:

For Paul's mind, despite its great strength, remained undisciplined, paying scant attention to the niceties of rational coherence. The Letters are vividly varied and lively, but unrounded, unarranged and muddled, making their points not by any orderly procedure but by a series of hammer-blow contrasts and antitheses. Paul is far too impulsive and enthusiastic to standardize his terms or arrange his material. He is often ambiguous – with results that have reverberated down the centuries. And he commits *flagrant self-contradictions*<sup>4</sup>, which caused Augustine<sup>5</sup>, among many others, the deepest anxiety.<sup>6</sup>

Many more quotations could be given to show that a number of interpreters argue that Paul's statements are inconsistent.

What does one mean by the term 'inconsistency'? This term could be defined as the impossibility of harmonizing Paul's statements on the same topic in a *logical* way. Instead of inconsistencies, we can also speak of contradictions.<sup>7</sup>

In the second half of this century, the New Testament scholar *Heikki Räisänen*<sup>8</sup> has intensively studied the question of whether and to what extent Paul is a consistent writer. This Finnish scholar also claims that Paul's statements are far from consistent.<sup>9</sup> Although many interpreters regard Paul's thoughts as inconsistent (see quotations above), there are, as far as I can see, very few interpreters who have pointed out inconsistency in Paul in such a systematic way as Räisänen has done. In comparison with others, the research done by Räisänen is characterized by the fact that he not only concentrates on the inconsistent way Paul writes and thinks, but also labels the different inconsistencies and gives a systematic overview of them. He offers such an overview in, for example, his article 'Paul's Theological Difficulties with the Law', published in the collection *The Torah and Christ*.<sup>10</sup> Almost the same overview, although a much more extensive one along with a lot of comments, is offered in his *Paul and the*

<sup>4</sup> My italics.

<sup>5</sup> Grant refers to Augustine's *Confessions* 7:21.

<sup>6</sup> *Saint Paul* 6. In his *Paul and the Law* 11–2 note 72, H. Räisänen also refers to this study by Grant. Yet he mistakenly refers to the wrong page in *Saint Paul*.

<sup>7</sup> In section 10.2, the term inconsistency in connection with Räisänen will be further defined.

<sup>8</sup> Heikki Räisänen (born in 1941) became Doctor of Theology in 1969 having written his thesis *Die Mutter Jesu im Neuen Testament*. From 1975, he has been Professor in New Testament Exegesis at the University of Helsinki, while he has been Research Professor at the Finnish Academy from 1984 until 1994. In 1990, he received an honorary degree of Doctor of Divinity from the University of Edinburgh.

<sup>9</sup> "... the complexity (for me, inconsistency) is there", 'Experience' 19.

<sup>10</sup> *The Torah and Christ* 3–24. For the overview, see 'Difficulties' 8–9.

*Law*.<sup>11</sup> Räisänen himself admits that his research is characterized by labelling the different inconsistencies and by giving an overview of them.

Few if any of what I have called contradictions in Paul's view were discovered by me for the first time (although in some cases it was only afterwards that I found out that I had predecessors). What makes my book<sup>12</sup> different is, I believe, the *cumulative*<sup>13</sup> effect, along with my refusal to accept a 'dialectical'<sup>14</sup> explanation.<sup>15</sup>

Although he emphatically rejects a dialectical explanation for Paul's inconsistency, such a refusal is not characteristic only of Räisänen's research, since there are other New Testament scholars who have also refused to accept the same dialectical explanation.<sup>16</sup> The most significant characteristic of his research is, however, the 'cumulative' aspect: Räisänen enumerates several inconsistencies in Paul so that one inconsistency intensifies the other. He not only talks about inconsistency as such, but about *inconsistencies* (in plural). These inconsistencies are, in fact, nothing else than contradictions. Therefore, in his publications he refers to these inconsistencies as "anomalies", "tensions" or "(self-)contradictions".

In Räisänen's view, Paul argues inconsistently especially *with reference to two theological themes*, namely (1) in the theme of the law (or Torah); and (2) in the theme of Israel. Here a number of *publications* by Räisänen will be mentioned where his treatment of the inconsistencies can be found. Subsequently, these inconsistencies will be briefly described in sections 1.1.1 and 1.1.2.

### (1) *The theme of the law*

Here we must mention at least two important publications by Räisänen. In the first place there is his article 'Paul's Theological Difficulties with the Law', included in the collection *The Torah and Christ*. Originally, this article was presented as a lecture at the "Sixth International Congress on Biblical Studies" in Oxford in 1978. In this article, Räisänen, as far as the inconsistencies within the theme of the law are concerned, pointed out the main lines for another publication, namely his *Paul and the Law* (1983,

<sup>11</sup> *Paul* 16–161.

<sup>12</sup> Here the reference is to *Paul and the Law*.

<sup>13</sup> My italics. In *The Torah and Christ* 60, in which the same article from *Jesus, Paul and Torah* was published earlier, the same word is italicized by Räisänen himself.

<sup>14</sup> This dialectical explanation will come up for discussion in section 3.1.2.

<sup>15</sup> *Jesus, Paul and Torah* 18. Here it concerns the article 'Paul's Call Experience and his Later View of the Law' published in the collection *Jesus, Paul and Torah* 15–47.

<sup>16</sup> See section 3.1.

second Edition in 1987).<sup>17</sup> In his Preface to *Paul and the Law*, Räisänen writes that in 1974 his study of Hans-Joachim Schoeps' *Paulus* drew his attention to a "... whole set of problems I had been happily unaware of".<sup>18</sup> The problems related to Paul's discussion of the law have not given him rest ever since. In the same Preface, he also writes that the publication by E.P. Sanders, *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*, has profoundly influenced him, and that "... the publication of Sanders's illuminating work was like a gift from heaven for my own quest".<sup>19</sup> After reading this last study, Räisänen had a fruitful correspondence with Sanders, who read a major part of *Paul and the Law* before its publication and made suggestions. In 1982, Räisänen rounded off his further study in Cambridge and Tübingen with his *Paul and the Law* which is definitely his most important publication, and which has been both praised and criticized.

In 'Paul's Theological Difficulties with the Law' and in *Paul and the Law*, it is unequivocally stated that Paul did not succeed in making theological statements on the law in a consistent way. Besides these two publications, Räisänen has written much more on the problem of inconsistency in Paul, mainly with reference to the response to his *Paul and the Law*. Yet, the basic thoughts have already been laid down in the two publications mentioned above. Therefore, when discussing the theme of the law in this study, both the article and the book mentioned above will be our primary sources. All other publications which are important for our discussion of the law will be referred to as necessary, and will be reviewed in a later stage of this study.

## (2) *The theme of Israel*

Two important articles by Räisänen on this theme must be mentioned. In the first place there is 'Römer 9–11: Analyse eines geistigen Ringens', published in the monumental work *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt* in 1987. In the second place, the article 'Paul, God, and Israel: Romans 9–11 in Recent Research', which was published in 1988, must be mentioned. These two articles have caused much less response than, for example, *Paul and the Law*. In this study, therefore, more attention will be paid to the inconsistencies within the theme of the law

<sup>17</sup> Also with reference to 'Difficulties', Räisänen says: "... which summarize some of the main arguments of the book in a preliminary form", *The Torah and Christ* iii. By "the book" is meant *Paul and the Law*.

<sup>18</sup> *Paul* v.

<sup>19</sup> *Paul* v.

than to the inconsistencies within the theme of Israel as detected by Räisänen.

Both themes will now be briefly described.

### *1.1.1 Paul's view of the law*

The inconsistencies which Räisänen detects within Paul's view of the law will be briefly described in a concise overview without details in order to get an impression of the whole issue. During the course of this study these inconsistencies will be further elaborated and described.<sup>20</sup>

Räisänen mentions altogether seven inconsistencies. The first two inconsistencies concern the fact that Paul does not unequivocally define the term *nomos* (as far as Paul offers a definition of *nomos*<sup>21</sup>). Paul's view of the law "oscillates" in two different ways:

1. There is a strange "oscillation" in Paul when it comes to the question: who is under the curse of the law?<sup>22</sup> Is it only the Jews, or also the Gentiles? Although the law is connected first and foremost with the Jews and not with the Gentiles, Paul claims that the Gentiles are also under the curse of the law.

One cannot avoid noticing 'a strange oscillation of the concept of law in Paul' – an oscillation between the notion of a historical and particularist Torah and that of a general universal force.<sup>23</sup>

There is in Paul a so-called 'chronological', 'historical' or 'particularist' use of the law, which means a use of the law merely in connection with the Jews. But there is also a 'universal' use of the law in Paul, which means, in this context, a use of the law in connection with the Gentiles. According to Räisänen, both uses exclude each other.

2. Paul does not make a clear distinction between the ritual and the moral law.<sup>24</sup> He gives the impression of discussing only the moral law, while the law also has certain ritual contents. In Paul, therefore, the meaning of the law oscillates so that there is a "looseness of speech"<sup>25</sup>.

3. There is no clarity in Paul on the question of whether the law has been abrogated.<sup>26</sup> "Thus we find two conflicting lines of thought in Paul's

<sup>20</sup> See chapter 2. In 'Paul's Theological Difficulties with the Law' 8–9, the inconsistencies are concisely mentioned and very briefly discussed. In *Paul and the Law* 16–161, however, the inconsistencies are indicated and discussed in detail.

<sup>21</sup> See *Paul* 16–8.

<sup>22</sup> See *Paul* 18–23. This inconsistency is not mentioned in so many words in 'Difficulties'.

<sup>23</sup> *Paul* 21.

<sup>24</sup> See *Paul* 23–8.

<sup>25</sup> The term is from P. Gardner. See *Paul* 28.

<sup>26</sup> See *Paul* 42–83.

theology of the law. Paul asserts both the abolition of the law and also its permanently normative character".<sup>27</sup>

4. Nobody can fulfil the law since otherwise Christ would have died in vain. Yet, Christians and even non-Christian Gentiles are said to fulfil the law.<sup>28</sup> "Paul's mind is divided".<sup>29</sup>

5. According to Gal 3:15–20, the law is not of divine origin. This is the opposite of what is stated in Rom 7 and 8.<sup>30</sup>

6. On the one hand the power of sin in the world is due to Adam's fall (Rom 5), while on the other hand this power is due to the law (Rom 7). According to Rom 7, sin was dead before the introduction of the law, whereas according to Rom 5, sin was already a power and a concrete reality since Adam.<sup>31</sup>

7. The law has been given in order to give life (Rom 7:10), while the same law has never had life-giving power (2 Cor 3, Rom 8:3, and Gal 3:21).<sup>32</sup> In this connection, one of the problems is that the law was only a temporary addition to God's 'testament' (Gal 3:15ff), while a dramatic act on God's part was still needed to liberate men from its curse (Gal 3:13).<sup>33</sup>

These seven inconsistencies are immanent within Paul's own thoughts. If we pay attention not only to the logic of Paul's statements but also to their premises, then three *other difficulties* can be indicated<sup>34</sup>:

1. In comparison with the Jewish methods of interpreting the Old Testament, Paul distorts the exegesis of some Old Testament passages. This problem, which is not separately discussed in *Paul and the Law*, does not bear on the central concerns of this study and therefore will not receive any further treatment.

2. The statement that the law engenders and increases sin is, at least, problematic.<sup>35</sup> This will be discussed in connection with inconsistency 6 (see above).

3. Why should someone fulfil the entire law and why is the possibility of repentance excluded? These questions are related to another question, namely: how should we interpret the Jewish religion in Paul's time?

<sup>27</sup> *Paul* 69.

<sup>28</sup> *Paul* 94–119.

<sup>29</sup> *Paul* 107.

<sup>30</sup> *Paul* 128–33. Yet, this inconsistency is not mentioned in 'Difficulties'.

<sup>31</sup> *Paul* 140–50.

<sup>32</sup> *Paul* 150–4.

<sup>33</sup> See 'Difficulties' 9. In *Paul* 150–4, this difficulty is labelled as the problem of so-called theodicy.

<sup>34</sup> See 'Difficulties' 9.

<sup>35</sup> *Paul* 140–50.

E.P. Sanders in particular has sparked off this discussion by his *Paul and Palestinian Judaism*. This set of problems will *not* be discussed *now*, because this is only an overview. Besides, questions on the understanding of the Jewish religion in Paul's day do not constitute an inconsistency as such. We shall return to this set of problems in section 4.2.1.

### *1.1.2 Paul's view of Israel*

Räisänen has also done some research on Rom 9–11. In these three chapters, Paul discusses problems related to Israel. Although there are many themes discussed in Rom 9–11, Räisänen writes:

Während alle diese Themen wenigstens in Ansätzen in den betreffenden Kapiteln vorhanden sind, herrscht heute große Einmütigkeit darüber, daß die Behandlung des Problems der *Treue Gottes* in bezug auf seine Verheißenungen an Israel das eigentliche Anliegen des Apostels ist.<sup>36</sup>

The expositions by Paul in Rom 9–11 arouse many questions, such as:

Wie hat sich Paulus die Art der anvisierten Rettung vorgestellt? Hat er gar an einen 'Sonderweg' gedacht, so daß Israel das eschatologische Heil erreichen wird, ohne zum Glauben an Jesus Christus zu kommen? Wie verhält sich die Zusage einer sicheren Rettung ganz Israels zu früheren Aussagen über Israel in 1 Thess oder Gal? Hat sich die Theologie des Paulus etwa nach der Abfassung des Galaterbriefes erheblich entwickelt, oder hat sie gar gewechselt? Wenn aber Röm 11 eine neue Entwicklungsstufe darstellt, was ist dann mit Röm 9? Viele Exegeten, die die Entwicklungshypothese nicht teilen, meinen, daß Röm 9 und Röm 11 sich widersprechen.<sup>37</sup>

With regard to Rom 9–11, Räisänen scrutinizes Paul's view of the salvation of Israel.

Im Brennpunkt wird die Frage nach der Kohärenz bzw. Widersprüchlichkeit seiner Ausführungen stehen, sowohl mit Rücksicht auf das gegenseitige Verhältnis von Röm 9 und 11 als auch auf das Verhältnis von Röm 9–11 zum übrigen Römerbrief und zu anderen Paulusbriefen, wo von Israel die Rede ist.<sup>38</sup>

As in section 1.1.1, again a brief overview of the inconsistencies will be offered first. During the course of this study, the indicated inconsistencies will be further elaborated.<sup>39</sup> With reference to Rom 9–11, Räisänen refers to two kinds of inconsistencies. The first kind consists of two, while the second kind consists of six inconsistencies. The first kind is related to the three chapters *Rom 9–11*:

<sup>36</sup> 'Analyse' 2893.

<sup>37</sup> 'Analyse' 2893.

<sup>38</sup> 'Analyse' 2894.

<sup>39</sup> See chapter 6.

1. Rom 9:6–29 and Rom 9:30–10:21 are two sections which cannot be harmonized with each other in a logical manner; there is a disharmony between divine predestination and human responsibility.<sup>40</sup>

2. On the one hand the empirical Israel is not elected but hardened and damned (Rom 9:6–29), whereas on the other hand the empirical Israel will be saved because of God's loyalty to his own promises and to the election of his people (Rom 11:11–36). This inconsistency is more important than the previous one.<sup>41</sup>

If *Paul's other letters* are compared with Rom 9–11, the following six inconsistencies can also be noted:

1. In Rom 9–11, Israel is being hardened by God, whereas in 2 Cor 4, the hardening is traced back to an anti-divine power, while there is also a difference concerning the period of hardening (2 Cor 3).<sup>42</sup>

2. There is a tension between Rom 11 and 1 Thess 2:14–16. In the latter passage, the unbelief of the Jews is not a stimulus, as in Rom 11, but a hindrance to preaching to the Gentiles.<sup>43</sup>

3. The comparison of Israel to the olive tree in Rom 11 does not fit in the letter to the Galatians.<sup>44</sup>

4. In Rom 11:11–14, Paul gives the impression of presenting himself as an apostle to *Israel*. This conflicts with Gal 1:15–16.<sup>45</sup>

5. Paul talks about a miraculous and eschatological salvation of Israel only in Rom 11.<sup>46</sup>

6. We find neither the well-known thought of 'justification by faith', nor the thought of 'being-in-Christ' in Rom 9–11.<sup>47</sup>

## 1.2 Complexity

Already on the first page of the Introduction to *Paul and the Law*, Räisänen writes that he wants to shed some small light upon Paul's view of the law. He does not only, therefore, merely sum up the several

<sup>40</sup> 'Israel' 181–6; 'Analyse' 2909–11.

<sup>41</sup> "For the topic of this essay, the relationship between divine hardening and man's disobedience is of less interest ... The crucial question is the treatment of Israel ...", 'Israel' 192; compare 'Analyse' 2930ff.

<sup>42</sup> 'Analyse' 2923–4.

<sup>43</sup> 'Analyse' 2924–5.

<sup>44</sup> 'Analyse' 2925–6.

<sup>45</sup> 'Israel' 187–8; 'Analyse' 2913–4.

<sup>46</sup> 'Analyse' 2926–7.

<sup>47</sup> 'Analyse' 2927–9

inconsistencies relating to Paul's view of the law as such, but he also tries to explain them, at the same time rejecting many explanations, including a number of common ones. A striking characteristic of his research is the fact<sup>48</sup> that he also tries to fit his explanation of Paul's inconsistencies into a *historical framework*. Here the illustration of *two concentric circles* can be helpful. The *interior* circle stands for Räisänen's *theological explanation* for Paul's inconsistencies, and the *exterior* circle stands for his *historical explanation*. Räisänen tries to give the *theological explanation* on the basis of the text itself, and this explanation can be briefly described by saying that since, for Paul, *Christ is the only way to salvation*, all other ways, such as, for example, the law, cannot, *a priori*, be a way to salvation. The *historical explanation*, into which the *theological explanation* has to fit (in concentric circles), has to do with Paul's missionary activities and his contact with the *Hellenistic congregation in Antioch*. Paul gave up the requirement of circumcision for the Gentiles, an attitude which he would have adopted from the Hellenistic congregation in Antioch. Räisänen does not claim that his historical explanation is the only right one; it remains just a hypothesis. Although already W. Wrede alluded to such a historical explanation, the hypothesis offered by Räisänen is original in the sense that he has elaborated the explanation proposed by Wrede in much greater detail.<sup>49</sup>

There is still another fact which makes the whole issue complex, namely the new perspective on Jewish religion in Paul's day. E.P. Sanders thinks he has proved by his *Paul and Palestinian Judaism* that, according to Judaism, the law was never a way to salvation. In this volume, Sanders introduces the term 'covenantal nomism' as a description of the function of the law within Judaism. In short, Sanders believes that, by obeying the law, the Jew expressed the desire to *remain* within the covenant; to be obedient to the law would, therefore, not be a means to *earning* salvation. If Sanders is right, his view would imply that Paul ascribes a function to the law which the law never possessed, namely providing a way to salvation. In other words, Paul's antithesis between works of law (as a way to salvation) and faith in Christ is based on a caricature of Judaism. In *Paul and the Law*, Räisänen devotes an entire chapter to this antithesis (chapter 5). But basically, this chapter deals with a separate kind of problem, not directly related to the inconsistencies as indicated by Räisänen (chapters 1–4). Räisänen himself also admits this:

The chapter on 'the antithesis between works of law and faith in Christ' in my *Paul and the Law* was by far the most difficult one to write. It is, moreover, of less

---

<sup>48</sup> Besides the cumulative aspect of his *Paul and the Law* (see above).

<sup>49</sup> See chapters 5 and 9.

significance for my understanding of Paul's 'theological difficulties' with the law than are chapters 1–4. These chapters deal with problems *immanent* in Paul's view. Chapter 5 tries to isolate a different kind of problem: a problematic presupposition in Paul's argument.<sup>50</sup>

Briefly, the relation between Paul's inconsistencies and Sanders' view can be described as follows<sup>51</sup>: since Paul assumes that Christ is the only way to salvation – for Paul a basic premise which is important within the inconsistencies (see chapter 3) – he has made a caricature of the Judaism of his day by making the law a way to salvation. Because of the fact that there *is* a relation, although merely in the sense of a "problematic presupposition", between Paul's inconsistencies and the above set of problems concerning Judaism, some attention must be paid to this set of problems in this study.<sup>52</sup>

This results in a complex picture. For the sake of clarity, therefore, we continuously have to concentrate on and to distinguish between four different issues:

1. The *inconsistencies* in Paul as detected by Räisänen;
2. Räisänen's *theological explanation* for these inconsistencies;
3. The *new perspective on the Judaism* of Paul's day as introduced by Sanders in connection with Paul's antithesis between works of law and faith in Christ;
4. Räisänen's proposed *hypothesis as a possible historical explanation*.

During this study attention must be paid to each of these issues.<sup>53</sup> We must also have a close look at the different relations between these four issues. Further, it is quite obvious that the new perspective on Judaism will have far-reaching implications for our interpretation of Paul, since many exegetes still assume that Judaism displays a certain kind of 'legalism' (the law is a way to salvation). Räisänen does not refrain from drawing far-reaching conclusions from that new perspective on Judaism for his interpretation of Paul.<sup>54</sup>

When we try to survey the inconsistencies concerning the law, we are confronted, as already mentioned, with a complexity. Whoever studies Räisänen will also find that it is not easy to follow this Finnish scholar. Often in his articles so many aspects of his research come up for discussion that it is sometimes hard to understand how things are related

<sup>50</sup> 'Experience' 33. See also *Paul* xxviii note 70.

<sup>51</sup> See also sections 4.2 (beginning) and 4.2.3.

<sup>52</sup> See chapter 4.

<sup>53</sup> The inconsistencies will be discussed in chapter 2, the theological explanation in chapter 3, the antithesis between works of law and faith in Christ in chapter 4, and the historical explanation in chapter 5.

<sup>54</sup> See, e.g., chapter 4 below.

## Index of Authors

- Anderson Jr., R.D., 163, 192, 193, 194,  
195  
Aune, D.E., 163
- Baarda, T., 175  
Badenas, R., 145  
Bammel, E., 145  
Barr, J., 217  
Barrett, C.K., 145, 183, 184, 185, 219,  
221, 244  
Bassler, J.M., 153  
Bauer, W., 225  
Becker, J., 80, 154  
Beker, J.C., 153  
Bell, R.H., 175, 176, 237, 238  
Berger, K., 194, 196, 198, 199, 246  
Berger, P.L., 11, 114, 115  
Betz, H.D., 145, 191, 193, 195, 197,  
198, 199, 205  
Billerbeck, P., 54  
Bonneau, N., 200  
Bornkamm, G., 26, 27  
Bousset, W., 52, 146  
Bouwman, G., 159, 197  
Bruce, F.F., 187, 188, 200, 202, 205,  
207, 210, 211, 243, 246  
Bruggen, J. van, 164, 165, 218
- Bultmann, R., 26, 27, 31, 34, 35, 42,  
52, 58, 59, 60, 61, 131, 134, 146,  
147, 149, 224, 228, 236
- Campbell, D.A., 149, 153, 170, 191  
Campbell, W.S., 166  
Carson, D.A., 215  
Cerfaux, L., 33  
Classen, C.J., 191, 195, 196, 198  
Conzelmann, H., 31, 35, 36  
Cosgrove, C.H., 181, 208, 209, 210,  
213  
Crafton, J.A., 166, 193  
Cranfield, C.E.B., 33, 34, 35, 146, 147,  
148, 152, 168, 169, 218, 220, 222,  
233, 241  
Cullmann, O., 31, 111
- Davies, W.D., 145, 170  
Deissmann, A., 162, 163, 167, 190  
Dobbeler, A. von, 246  
Dodd, C.H., 25, 145, 244  
Donaldson, T.L., 200, 205  
Donfried, K.P., 163, 166  
Drane, J.W., 38, 145, 155  
Dülmen, A. van, 147, 240  
Dunn, J.D.G., 126, 127, 139, 145, 146,  
147, 148, 149, 152, 153, 170, 184,

- 188, 206, 207, 208, 211, 213, 223,  
227, 232, 241, 242, 243, 244, 246
- Earnshaw, J.D., 220, 222
- Eckstein, H.-J., 201, 202, 205, 209,  
210, 213, 225, 227, 236
- Elliott, N., 191
- Esler, P.F., 115
- Fascher, E., 180, 183
- Fasholé-Luke, E.W., 33
- Friedrich, G., 22, 23
- Fuchs, E., 22
- Fung, R.Y.K., 178
- Gabler, J.P., 142, 143
- Gaechter, P., 1
- Gager, J.G., 93
- Gardner, P., 5
- Garlington, D., 200
- Garrison, R., 185
- Gaston, L., 93
- Gese, H., 125
- Getty, M.A., 152
- Glasswell, M.E., 33
- Grant, M., 2
- Grässer, E., 104
- Green, W.S., 55
- Gressmann, H., 146
- Gundry Volf, J.M., 238
- Guthrie, D., 170
- Hahn, F., 22, 146, 147, 154
- Harnack, A. von, 132
- Hauser, A.J., 191
- Hays, R.B., 152, 166, 170, 172, 185,  
188, 238
- Heil, C., 153
- Hengel, M., 75, 76, 80, 154, 155, 196,  
239
- Heyer, C.J. den, 171, 172
- Hidal, S., 152
- Hodges, W., 157, 227
- Hofius, O., 177, 213, 227, 230, 240,  
243, 245
- Holtz, T., 176
- Holtzmann, H.J., 113
- Horn, F.W., 151
- Hübner, H., 19, 22, 25, 37, 38, 47, 72,  
73, 104, 120, 121, 145, 147, 155,  
158, 169, 210, 236, 245
- Jervell, J., 77
- Jewett, R., 187
- Joest, W., 181
- Johnson, L.T., 114
- Karris, R.J., 166
- Käsemann, E., 22, 27, 33, 34, 35, 104,  
105
- Kennedy, G.A., 192, 193, 194, 200
- Kertelge, K., 145, 155, 164, 169, 218,  
239
- Kim, S., 36, 55, 58, 71, 72, 86, 87, 88,  
90, 121, 152, 158, 238, 239, 249
- Klein, G., 104
- Klein, W.W., 163
- Klijn, A.F.J., 164, 165
- Kopperschmidt, J., 198
- Kruse, C.G., 154, 170, 175, 205, 211,  
218, 238, 239
- Kümmel, W.G., 94, 164, 165, 167
- Küng, H., 133
- Kuss, O., 218
- Ladd, G.E., 170, 239
- Lambrecht, J., 110, 111, 112
- Lausberg, H., 192
- Lietzmann, D.H., 184, 186
- Lightfoot, J.B., 191

- Limbeck, M., 52, 53, 57  
Lohse, E., 22, 23  
Luckmann, T., 11, 114  
Lüdemann, G., 155  
Lyonnet, S., 26
- Marshall, I.H., 154  
Martin, R.P., 215  
Matlock, R.B., 149, 238  
Mauser, U., 26  
Michel, O., 178, 221, 225, 233, 241, 242  
Montefiore, C.G., 65  
Moo, D.J., 218  
Moore, G.F., 26, 52, 57  
Moores, J.D., 193, 216, 217, 222  
Moule, C.F.D., 33  
Müller, U.B., 105
- Neusner, J., 55, 56, 238  
Noack, B., 105  
Norden, E., 191
- O'Brien, P.T., 182, 215  
Okeke, G.E., 175  
Olbrechts-Tyteca, L., 193  
Olbricht, T.H., 191  
O'Neill, J.C., 25, 37, 151  
Osten-Sacken, P. von der, 22
- Pannenberg, W., 153  
Parkes, J., 1  
Perelman, Ch., 193  
Piper, J., 103  
Porter, S.E., 191
- Räisänen, H., *passim*  
Reicke, B., 217  
Reiling, J., 183  
Richardson, P., 239
- Ridderbos, H., 187  
Riddle, D.W., 126  
Ritschl, A., 31
- Sanders, E.P., 4, 7, 9, 10, 12, 31, 38, 44, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 64, 67, 106, 116, 118, 119, 127, 128, 129, 133, 135, 139, 140, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 180, 181, 202, 227, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240
- Saussure, F. de, 220  
Schade, H.-H., 140  
Schlier, H., 22, 187, 188, 210, 211, 241, 243  
Schlueter, C.J., 173, 175, 190  
Schmidt, H.W., 22  
Schnabel, E.J., 246  
Schoeps, H.-J., 4, 65  
Schreiner, T.R., 153, 202, 206, 207, 222, 223, 235, 236, 238  
Schulz, S., 140  
Schweitzer, A., 70, 140, 239  
Schwemer, A.M., 75, 76, 80, 154, 155, 196, 239  
Sellin, G., 78  
Siebert, F., 193  
Sloan, R.B., 152  
Smit, J., 193  
Snodgrass, K.R., 217, 218, 233, 234, 240, 242  
Söding, T., 151  
Stanley, C.D., 200, 203, 204, 205, 206  
Starcke, C., 191  
Stendahl, K., 140  
Stowers, S.K., 163  
Straatman, J.W., 151  
Strauss, D.F., 143  
Strecker, G., 140  
Stuhlmacher, P., 94, 125, 147

- Synofzik, E., 181
- Taylor, V., 111
- Teeple, H.M., 143
- Thiselton, A.C., 216, 217, 220, 226
- Thompson, R.W., 241
- Thurén, J., 152
- Vanhoye, A., 211
- Versnel, H.S., 159
- Vouga, F., 194
- Watson, D.F., 191
- Watson, F., 80, 105, 157
- Watson, N.M., 181, 182, 188, 237
- Weber, F., 52, 146
- Wedderburn, A.J.M., 152, 166
- Weder, H., 58, 145, 228, 238
- Weima, J.A.D., 152, 224, 225, 227,  
229, 230
- Weiβ, J., 21, 191
- Wenham, D., 154
- Westerholm, S., 38, 68, 102, 152, 206,  
207, 213, 217, 226, 229, 230, 237,  
241, 242, 246, 247
- Wilckens, U., 24, 35, 104, 145, 148,  
155, 165, 166, 171, 178, 180, 186,  
187, 211, 220, 221, 222, 224, 225,  
230, 232, 233, 234, 235, 240, 241,  
242, 243, 245, 246
- Wilke, C.G., 191
- Williams, S.K., 80, 203, 212
- Wilson, S.G., 77
- Wolff, C., 244
- Wrede, W., 1, 9, 72, 93, 109, 139, 140,  
141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 149, 169,  
198
- Wright, N.T., 152, 153, 211
- Wuellner, W., 192, 193
- Zeller, D., 171
- Ziesler, J., 148, 219

# Index of Passages

## I. Old Testament

<i>Genesis</i>		<i>Isaiah</i>	
3	26	49:6	175
<i>Leviticus</i>		<i>Jeremiah</i>	
18:5	32, 201, 204, 237	31	65, 71
26:46 LXX	211	31:31ff	147
<i>Deuteronomy</i>		<i>Ezekiel</i>	
21:23	71	11	65, 71
27:26	201, 202	36	65
33:2 LXX	210		
<i>Psalms</i>		<i>Amos</i>	
61:13 LXX	186	3:1–2	230
61:13b LXX	232		
		<i>Habakkuk</i>	
		2:4	201, 204

## II. New Testament

<i>Matthew</i>		<i>Acts</i>	
5:17	25	18	56
		18:9–14	56
<i>Mark</i>			
4	110, 111, 112	6–8	76
4:10–12	109, 112	7:38	210
4:11ff	112	7:53	210
4:33–34	110	9:1–9	215
4:33	112	9:15	162, 178
4:34	112, 113	9:20–22	167
7:15	71, 74, 75, 85, 92	9:20	178
		10–11	77
<i>Luke</i>			
1:6	89	10	77, 85
		10:44ff	77

<i>Acts (continued)</i>			
11:19ff	76	1–3	44, 98, 112
11:19–20	76	1–2	98
13:5	178	1:1–16:23	167
13:14	178	1:16–11:36	166
13:16–41	167	1:16	166, 178, 179
13:38–39	239	1:18–3:20	25, 26, 27, 28, 43, 86, 117, 231, 233, 241
13:45–47	175	1:19ff	234
13:46–47	162, 178	1:19–32	43
13:50	166	1:24	26
14:1	178	2:1ff	232
14:2	166	2	112, 231, 233, 234, 235, 236, 247, 252
14:19	166	2:1–16	67, 186, 236, 248
15	65, 73	2:1–2	232
15:1	65	2:1	186
15:5	81	2:6–7	181
15:7–12	77	2:6	182, 186, 232, 236
15:36	81	2:9–11	178
16:9–10	215	2:10	181
17:1–9	174	2:11	186, 234
17:1	178	2:12–16	19, 20, 235, 252
17:3	167	2:12–13	182, 186
17:5–9	175	2:12	18, 186, 232, 234
17:5	166	2:13–15	236
17:10	178	2:13	181, 186, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235
17:16ff	175	2:14–15	27, 28, 43, 80, 231, 232, 233, 235
17:16–31	167	2:14	112, 232, 233, 234
17:17	178	2:14a	19
18:4	178	2:15ff	235
18:5	167	2:15	233, 234
18:6	166	2:16	182, 186
18:9–10	215	2:17–24	43
18:12	166	2:21–24	235
18:19	178	2:25–29	80, 86, 98
19:9	166	2:26ff	112
20:3	166	2:26–27	27, 28, 43, 231, 235
20:21	167	2:27	166
21:27	166	3:1ff	118
26:12–18	162, 178	3–4	61
26:22–23	167	3	98, 117
28	165, 166	3:1–8	92, 98, 117, 129
28:17–28	165	3:1	98, 117
28:17	178	3:3–8	98
28:23	167	3:3	98, 129
28:24–28	165	3:4	98
		3:5	129, 209
<i>Romans</i>			
1–8	166, 193		

<i>Romans (continued)</i>			
3:8	117		222, 223, 224, 227,
3:9	26, 98, 117	7:1–6	240
3:20	26, 181, 228, 231, 233, 234, 235, 236		21, 35, 42, 218, 219, 221, 222, 223, 248,
3:20b	29	7:1–4	251
3:21–31	241	7:1	220
3:21–26	191		216, 219, 220, 221,
3:21	23, 241	7:2–3	222
3:23ff	31	7:2	219
3:25	80	7:3	220
3:27–28	50	7:3b	222
3:27	22, 23, 24, 41, 59, 125, 146, 240, 241, 242, 243, 247, 252	7:4ff	245
3:28	23, 236	7:4	219, 220, 222
3:31	22, 86, 245	7:4a	219
4	131	7:4b	220
4:2ff	59	7:5	29, 224, 225, 228, 245
4:2–5	50	7:6	219
4:4–5	52, 60, 61, 64	7:7ff	30, 59, 171, 179, 224, 225
4:4	61	7:7–8:4	65
4:11	65	7:7–14	29
4:14	50	7:7–13	29
4:15	29, 212, 228, 229, 230	7:7–12	218, 219, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228
5	6, 30, 45, 228	7:7–11	29, 30, 46, 228
5:1–10	237	7:7–8	27
5:1	183	7:7	216, 219, 224, 225
5:12–14	227	7:8–11	225
5:12–13	29	7:8–9	225
5:13ff	30	7:8	30, 224, 225
5:13	29, 228, 229, 230	7:10	6, 31, 179, 218, 226, 227, 228
5:13b	229		
5:20	29, 228, 230	7:11	224, 225
6–7	221	7:12	218, 224, 225
6	219, 221, 222	7:13ff	219
6:1–14	219, 221, 222	7:13	216, 218, 219
6:1	216, 219	7:14–25	26, 27, 42, 43, 218
6:8a	221	7:14	29, 30, 46
6:8b	222	7:21–25	241
6:12	26	7:22	29, 44
6:14ff	245	7:23	46, 228
6:14–15	223	8	6, 93
6:14	21, 46, 221, 228, 252	8:1	237, 243
6:15–23	219, 221	8:2–4	245
6:15	216, 219	8:2	22, 23, 24, 41, 125, 146, 240, 242, 243,
6:19ff	245		247, 252
7:1ff	71		6, 31
7	6, 26, 27, 30, 41, 44, 45, 187, 218, 219,	8:3	22, 28, 86, 242, 245
		8:4	

<i>Romans (continued)</i>		11	7, 8, 93, 94, 95, 97,
8:7	29, 44, 218		99, 100, 101, 102,
8:30–34	237		104, 105, 107, 112,
8:33	181	175	
9–11	1, 4, 7, 8, 11, 86, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 96, 98, 99, 100, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 116, 117, 118, 120, 127, 129, 143, 165, 166, 173, 175, 176, 193	11:1–36 11:1–10 11:1–2 11:1 11:3–10 11:5b 11:8–10 11:10	94, 97 97 107, 177 176, 216 107 105, 176 105, 176
9–10	61, 89	11:11ff	100, 173, 174, 175
9	7, 94, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 107, 112	11:11–36 11:11–15 11:11–14	8, 98, 99, 107 97 8, 101, 176, 177
9:3	165, 166	11:11–12	176, 177
9:4	89	11:11	97, 105, 176, 216
9:6ff	97, 98, 112	11:12	94, 97, 176, 177
9:6–11:10	97	11:13–14	177
9:6–29	8, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 107	11:13 11:13a	177 178
9:6–23	99	11:14ff	94
9:6–13	95	11:14	97, 177
9:6	89, 96	11:15	97, 166, 177
9:11–12	102	11:17ff	59, 98, 101, 102
9:13	95	11:17–24	178
9:14–18	95	11:17–21	176
9:14	216	11:17	164, 176
9:15	102	11:25ff	94, 100, 118, 173, 177
9:18	102	11:25–32	165
9:19–23	95	11:25–27	93
9:30–10:21	8, 94, 95, 96, 107	11:25–26	108
9:30–10:13	102	11:25	97, 98, 108, 177
9:30	216	11:25a	97
9:32ff	96	11:25c	94
9:32	60, 176	11:26	94, 98
9:32b–33	96	11:26a	94, 97, 98
10–11	101	11:26b–27	98
10	104, 105, 112	11:26b	94
10:2–3	59	12:1–2	21
10:2	60	12:1	80
10:3	60, 89	13:8–10	20, 22, 28, 80, 245
10:4	42, 141, 145	13:8	245
10:5–11	198	13:9	26, 75, 246
10:5–6	50	13:10	245
10:5	32, 237	13:10b	22
10:11	96	13:13	30, 47
10:12	176, 178	13:14	26
10:16	96	14	164

<i>Romans (continued)</i>				
14:1–6	165	5:2	183	
14:1	166	5:3	163	
14:3–4	187	5:5	184	
14:3	166	5:6–8	80	
14:5	164	5:9	162	
14:10–12	182, 186, 187	6:1ff	184	
14:10	187	6:1	184	
14:12	187	6:4–5	184	
14:14	21, 75, 82	6:5	184	
14:20	21, 82	6:8	184	
15:7	165, 166	6:9–11	179, 180, 182, 184	
15:10	165	6:9–10	179	
15:19–24	164	6:9	184	
15:24	109	6:11	184, 239	
15:28	109	6:12	21	
16	164, 165, 167	6:18–19	179	
16:3ff	164	6:19	80	
16:7	165	7:1	162	
16:11	165	7:17	179	
16:17	165	7:19	22, 80, 171, 179, 180, 245, 251	
16:21	165	7:20	179	
		7:21ff	179	
		7:24	179	
<i>1 Corinthians</i>				
1:10ff	164	9:8	209	
1:11–12	183	9:19–23	239	
1:11	162	9:20	82	
1:29	59	9:21	18, 244, 247, 252	
2:1–2	167	9:24–27	182, 185	
3:1–8	164	9:24	185	
3:3–4	183	9:25	185	
3:10ff	182	9:26	185	
3:10	182	9:27	185	
3:11	182	10:6–10	26	
3:12	182	10:23	21	
3:13–15	183	11:18	162	
3:13	182, 183	11:34	163	
3:14	183	12:13	164	
3:15	183	15	101, 166	
3:16	80	15:12ff	163	
3:21–22	183	15:56	29, 151, 228, 230	
3:21	183			
4:1–5	182, 183			
4:1	183	<i>2 Corinthians</i>		
4:2	183	2:4	162	
4:3–5	181, 183	3–4	100	
4:4	183	3	6, 8, 35, 99, 100, 102, 105	
4:5	181, 183	3:6	31	
5:1–5	182, 183	3:13	42	
5:1	162, 179, 183, 184	3:14	105	

<i>2 Corinthians (continued)</i>		3:2–5	61, 201
3:16	105	3:2	65, 77, 78, 201, 236
4	8, 99, 100, 102	3:5	236
4:4	99	3:6–14	201
5:10	67, 181, 182, 185	3:6–12	49
5:12	186	3:6–9	201
7:7	162	3:6	201
10:11	163	3:7	65, 201
13:10	163	3:10–14	17, 149, 200, 205, 206, 207
<i>Galatians</i>		3:10–13	200, 202, 210
1–2	101	3:10–12	25, 61, 201, 205, 227, 251
1	87, 88, 90, 239	3:10	25, 134, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 207, 236, 245
1:6	162, 204	3:10a	202, 203
1:8	163	3:10b	202, 203, 205
1:11–17	87, 88	3:11–12	201, 203, 204, 212, 236
1:12	215	3:11	203, 207
1:15ff	178	3:11a	203, 204
1:15–16	8, 97	3:11b	201, 203, 204
1:16	76, 178	3:12	32, 203, 204, 207, 236
2:1ff	71	3:12b	201
2–3	61	3:13–14	200, 201, 205
2	101, 179	3:13	6, 17, 18, 42, 71, 200, 205
2:1–14	207	3:14	17, 18, 200, 201, 203, 205
2:1–2	215	3:15ff	6, 71
2:7–9	178	3:15–25	21
2:8ff	73	3:15–20	6, 19, 28, 44, 45, 208, 251
2:8	77, 78	3:15–18	208, 210
2:11ff	75, 81, 83, 84	3:15	208, 209
2:11–14	1, 239	3:16	210
2:12	207	3:17–18	31
2:14	246	3:17	29, 208, 210, 213
2:15ff	61	3:18	211
2:15–21	21	3:18a	208, 209, 211
2:16–3:10	207	3:19ff	44
2:16	49, 125, 126, 181, 201, 207, 227, 236	3:19–21	213
2:17	132	3:19–20	171, 208, 210, 212
2:18–19	82	3:19	21, 28, 29, 111, 123, 210, 211, 212, 213, 228, 230
2:18	21	3:19a	29
2:19ff	201	3:19b	210, 213
2:19a	21	3:19d–20	210
2:21	43, 44, 46, 48, 49, 60, 118, 119		
3	35, 41, 44, 45, 179, 193, 199, 200, 203, 205, 206, 212, 223, 251		
3:1–20	200, 214		
3:1–14	200, 201, 206		

<i>Galatians (continued)</i>			
3:19d	210, 211, 212	6:2	146, 147, 243, 244, 245, 247, 252
3:20	210, 211, 212, 213	6:4	188
3:20a	210, 212	6:7–10	182, 186, 187
3:20b	210, 212	6:7	188
3:21–25	210	6:9	188
3:21	6, 31, 212, 226, 228, 236		
3:21b	213	<i>Ephesians</i>	1:15
3:23ff	19, 40		162
3:23–26	18		2:10
3:23–25	18	<i>Philippians</i>	
3:23	245	1:3–5	162
3:25–26	18	1:6	163
3:26	18	1:10	21
3:28	80, 82	1:27	162
4	104, 199	2:25	162
4:1–11	18	3	87, 89, 90, 239
4:3	18	3:2–11	87, 88
4:4ff	42, 245	3:2	88
4:5–6	18	3:3	80
4:5	18, 245	3:4ff	59
4:6	18	3:4–8	89
4:9	18	3:4–6	90, 112, 240
4:10	18, 201, 206, 246	3:5	89, 90
4:12	82	3:6	27
4:21	245	3:6b	89
5	28	3:7	88
5:1–12	187	3:8	89, 240
5:1	18, 245	3:9	57, 88, 89, 90, 239,
5:3	25, 200, 246		240
5:4	25, 207	4:2	163
5:6	243	4:8	21
5:12	82		
5:13ff	246, 247	<i>Colossians</i>	
5:13–14	80	1:3–4	162
5:13	187	2:5	163
5:14ff	28, 245	2:11	80
5:14	20, 22, 38, 243, 245, 246, 247, 248, 252	4:16	162
5:16–26	187		
5:16	26, 187	<i>1 Thessalonians</i>	1:6
5:19–21	182, 186, 187		173, 174
5:19	187	2	100, 101, 173, 175,
5:21	187		178
5:21b	185	2:13–16	175
5:22	187	2:14–16	8, 100, 166, 173, 175,
5:26	187		250
6:1–6	187	2:14	173, 174
6:1	188	2:15	174
		2:16	173, 174, 175

*1 Thessalonians (continued)*

2:16a	100
2:16c	175
3:6	162
4	101
4:1–12	163
4:5	26
4:13–18	163

*Philemon*

17	166
2:2	210
1:20	226
4:12	174

*2 Thessalonians*

2:5	163
2:15	163
3:11	162

## III. Apocryphal, Pseudepigraphic, and Early Christian Literature

*1 Clement*

16:17	135
-------	-----

*Gospel of Thomas*

logion 53	80
-----------	----

## Augustine

*Confessions*

7:21	2
------	---

*Jesus Sirach*

29:1	179
32:24	179

## Justin Martyr

*Dialogue with Trypho*

47:1	237
------	-----

*4 Maccabees*

3:9–12	176
--------	-----

*Psalms of Solomon*

3:9–12	176
--------	-----

## IV. Other Ancient Writings

## Demosthenes

*ΠΕΡΙ ΤΗΣ ΕΙΦΗΝΗΣ* 194

## Suetonius

*Vita Claudi*

25	165
----	-----

# Index of Subjects and Names

- Angels, 28, 210, 211, 213  
Antinomianism, 26, 188  
Antithesis between works of law and faith in Christ, 49, 50, 51, 52, 64, 67, 69, 70, 83, 88, 102, 180, 181, 182, 186, 239  
Apostolic Council, 73  
Aquinas, Th., 1  
*Argumentum ad hominem*, 208, 209, 211, 212  
*Argumentum ex concessis*, 198  
Augustine, 2, 27, 191, 225  
  
Biblical Theology, 125, 128, 147  
  
Christocentrism/-monism, 118, 119, 127, 128, 129  
Church
  - incorporation of the Gentiles, 60, 61, 63, 77, 81, 85, 90, 146, 148, 207, 211
  - unity of, 82, 83, 84, 105, 164, 165, 166, 176, 177, 183, 187, 250  
Circumcision, 63, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 87, 88, 207  
Claudius' decree, 165  
Clement of Rome, 131, 132, 135  
Continuity between Old Testament and Paul, 86, 101, 106, 117, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 148, 149  
Covenant, 53, 54  
Covenantal nomism, 9, 53, 55, 56, 57, 89, 148  
  
Dead Sea Scrolls, 35  
Demosthenes, 191, 194  
Development theory (see also development in Paul), 37, 38, 72, 104, 155, 156, 245  
Dialectic, 3, 35, 36, 71, 72, 105, 106  
Dialectical theology, 113, 114  
*Dissimulatio artis*, 195  
Dogmatics, 142, 143, 167, 168, 169, 170, 172, 215  
  
Enthymeme, 193  
*Eritis sicut deus*, 26  
Ethics, 21, 179, 182, 184, 185, 187, 188
  - indicative and imperative, 63, 65, 132, 238  
IV Ezra, 56  
  
Harmonization, 34, 35  
Hellenists, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81  
Hermeneutics, 159, 160, 168, 171, 173, 179, 180, 189, 196, 198, 220, 221, 222, 247, 249, 252, 253  
History-of-Religions, 12, 143

- Inconsistency, *passim***
  - definition of, 2, 154, 157
  - diachronic, 156
  - logical, 157, 199
  - overview of, 5, 6, 7, 8
  - theological, 154
- Interpolation, 37, 151, 199**
- Islam (see also Koran), 12**
- Israel**
  - election of, 95, 98, 99
  - hardening of, 92, 95, 99, 100, 102, 103, 105
  - human responsibility of, 96
  - a special way to salvation?, 93, 94
- Jesus**
  - death of, 42, 43, 44, 46, 48, 74, 118, 201, 205, 208, 219, 220
- Judaism, 4, 7, 13, 55**
  - caricature of, 9, 10, 50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 59, 61, 62, 64, 66, 84, 130, 133, 144, 237, 239, 240
- Justification**
  - by faith, 141
  - (judgment) according to deeds, 67, 180, 181, 182, 185, 186, 187, 188, 231, 232, 234, 251
- Kerygmata Petrou, 123**
- Koran (see also Islam), 36, 96, 109, 110**
- Law, *passim***
  - abrogation of, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 37, 41, 42, 146, 179, 222, 243, 245, 248, 251
  - apology for, 218, 224, 225, 226
  - of Christ, 243, 244
  - concentration on, 246, 247
  - curse of, 18, 200, 202, 203, 204, 205
  - of faith, 22, 23, 240, 241, 242
- fulfilment of, 19, 25, 26, 27, 28, 42, 43, 44, 145, 202, 203, 204, 231, 246, 247
- functions of, 223, 224, 225, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 248
- and Gentiles, 17, 18, 19, 40, 41, 200, 205, 206, 207, 210, 214, 233, 234, 251
- inferior to the promise, 212, 213, 214
- and life, 31, 32, 47, 203, 204, 226, 227, 228, 252
- origin of, 28, 29, 44, 45, 122, 123, 208, 209, 210, 212, 213, 214
- oscillation of, 17
- reduction of, 19, 20, 41, 235, 236, 245
- and sin, 29, 30, 38, 45, 46, 47, 145, 152, 223, 224, 225, 228, 229, 230, 248, 252
- soteriological function of, 50, 62, 65, 66, 145, 237
- of Spirit, 22, 23, 242
- works of, 61, 83, 129, 130, 206, 236, 237, 238
- Legalism, 10, 34, 52, 53, 57, 58, 60, 131, 132, 134, 146, 149, 236, 238**
- Legitimation, 115, 116, 117**
- Logic(al), 198, 199**
- Love commandment, 20, 246**
- Luther (see also Lutheran interpretation of Paul), 70, 206**
- Lutheran interpretation of Paul (see also Luther), 27, 134, 135, 144, 147, 149**
- Marcion, 36, 122**
- Melanchthon, Ph., 166, 191, 196**

- Messianic Secret, 109, 110, 111, 112
- Natural (general) revelation, 234
- New Testament Theology, 12, 14, 142, 143, 144, 153
- Parable theory in Mark, 110, 111, 112, 113
- Pastoral nature of Paul's letters, 162, 163, 164, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 190
- Paul, *passim*
  - apostle to the Gentiles, 80, 82, 90, 97, 101, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178
  - apostle to Israel, 101, 162, 176, 177, 178, 250
  - authority of, 33, 34, 120, 121, 124, 157, 158
  - continuity with Jesus?, 74, 75
  - Damascus Road experience, 71, 76, 87
  - development in Paul (see also development theory), 68, 71, 72, 73, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 140, 141, 142, 154, 155, 156, 239, 240
  - dispute between Paul and Peter, 1
  - can a theology of Paul be written?, 39, 169, 170, 201, 215
- Philo of Alexandria, 78, 79, 196
- Predestination (see election of Israel)
  - doctrine of predestination?, 93, 99, 100, 107, 112
- Ptolemy, 123
- Purification, 183
- Q-tradition, 109
- Qumran, 55, 96, 134
- Reason for Romans, 164, 165, 166, 167
- Reformation, 49, 141
- Rhetorical genres, 192, 193, 194, 197
- Sanctification, 222, 223
- Semantics, 216, 217, 220, 232, 233, 241, 242
- Soteriological *a priori*, 40, 46, 47, 48, 66, 67, 106, 107, 118, 229
- Spirit
  - and Gentiles, 201, 202
- Stephen, 75, 76
- Stoicheia*, 18
- 'Symbolic universe', 114, 115, 116, 117
- Theodicy, 6, 31, 32
- Torah (see law)
- Tradition, experience, and interpretation, 11, 107, 108, 113, 114, 115, 116, 119, 120, 122, 124
- Uniqueness of Christianity, 133, 135, 136
- 'Zion Torah', 125, 147



# Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

## *Alphabetical Index of the First and Second Series*

- Anderson, Paul N.:* The Christology of the Fourth Gospel. 1996. *Volume II/78.*
- Appold, Mark L.:* The Oneness Motif in the Fourth Gospel. 1976. *Volume II/1.*
- Arnold, Clinton E.:* The Colossian Syncretism. 1995. *Volume II/77.*
- Avermarie, Friedrich and Hermann Lichtenberger* (Ed.): *Bund und Tora.* 1996. *Volume 92.*
- Bachmann, Michael:* Sünden oder Übertreter. 1992. *Volume 59.*
- Baker, William R.:* Personal Speech-Ethics in the Epistle of James. 1995. *Volume II/68.*
- Balla, Peter:* Challenges to New Testament Theology. 1997. *Volume II/95.*
- Bammel, Ernst:* *Judaica.* Band I 1986. *Volume 37 – Band II 1997.* *Volume 91.*
- Bash, Anthony:* Ambassadors for Christ. 1997. *Volume II/92.*
- Bauernfeind, Otto:* Kommentar und Studien zur Apostelgeschichte. 1980. *Volume 22.*
- Bayer, Hans Friedrich:* Jesus' Predictions of Vindication and Resurrection. 1986. *Volume II/20.*
- Bell, Richard H.:* Provoked to Jealousy. 1994. *Volume II/63.*
- No One Seeks for God. 1998. *Volume 106.*
- Bergman, Jan:* see *Kieffer, René*
- Betz, Otto:* Jesus, der Messias Israels. 1987. *Volume 42.*
- Jesus, der Herr der Kirche. 1990. *Volume 52.*
- Beyschlag, Karlmann:* Simon Magus und die christliche Gnosis. 1974. *Volume 16.*
- Bittner, Wolfgang J.:* Jesu Zeichen im Johannesevangelium. 1987. *Volume II/26.*
- Bjerkelund, Carl J.:* Tauta Egeneto. 1987. *Volume 40.*
- Blackburn, Barry Lee:* Theios Anēr and the Maran Miracle Traditions. 1991. *Volume II/40.*
- Bock, Darrell L.:* Blasphemy and Exaltation in Judaism and the Final Examination of Jesus. 1998. *Volume II/106.*
- Bockmuehl, Markus N.A.:* Revelation and Mystery in Ancient Judaism and Pauline Christianity. 1990. *Volume II/36.*
- Böhlig, Alexander:* Gnosis und Synkretismus. Teil 1 1989. *Volume 47* – Teil 2 1989. *Volume 48.*
- Bötttrich, Christfried:* Weltweisheit – Menschheitsethik – Urkult. 1992. *Volume II/50.*
- Bolyki, János:* Jesu Tischgemeinschaften. 1997. *Volume II/96.*
- Büchli, Jörg:* Der Poimandres – ein pagani-siertes Evangelium. 1987. *Volume II/27.*
- Bühner, Jan A.:* Der Gesandte und sein Weg im 4. Evangelium. 1977. *Volume II/2.*
- Burchard, Christoph:* Untersuchungen zu Joseph und Aseneth. 1965. *Volume 8.*
- Studien zur Theologie, Sprache und Umwelt des Neuen Testaments. Ed. von D. Sänger. 1998. *Volume 107.*
- Cancik, Hubert* (Ed.): *Markus-Philologie.* 1984. *Volume 33.*
- Capes, David B.:* Old Testament Yaweh Texts in Paul's Christology. 1992. *Volume II/47.*
- Caragounis, Chrys C.:* The Son of Man. 1986. *Volume 38.*
- see *Fridrichsen, Anton.*
- Carleton Paget, James:* The Epistle of Barnabas. 1994. *Volume II/64.*
- Ciampa, Roy E.:* The Presence and Function of Scripture in Galatians 1 and 2. 1998. *Volume II/102.*
- Crump, David:* Jesus the Intercessor. 1992. *Volume II/49.*
- Deines, Roland:* Jüdische Steingefäße und pharisäische Frömmigkeit. 1993. *Volume II/52.*
- Die Pharisäer. 1997. *Volume 101.*
- Dietzelbinger, Christian:* Der Abschied des Kommenden. 1997. *Volume 95.*
- Dobbeler, Axel von:* Glaube als Teilhabe. 1987. *Volume II/22.*
- Du Toit, David S.:* *Theios Anthropos.* 1997. *Volume II/91.*
- Dunn, James D.G.* (Ed.): Jews and Christians. 1992. *Volume 66.*
- Paul and the Mosaic Law. 1996. *Volume 89.*
- Ebertz, Michael N.:* Das Charisma des Gekreuzigten. 1987. *Volume 45.*
- Eckstein, Hans-Joachim:* Der Begriff Syneidese bei Paulus. 1983. *Volume II/10.*
- Verheibung und Gesetz. 1996. *Volume 86.*
- Ego, Beate:* Im Himmel wie auf Erden. 1989. *Volume II/34.*
- Ego, Beate; Lange, Armin and Pilhofer, Peter* (Ed.): Gemeinde ohne Tempel - Community without Temple. 1999. *Volume 118.*
- Eisen, Ute E.:* see *Paulsen, Henning.*
- Ellis, E. Earle:* Prophecy and Hermeneutic in Early Christianity. 1978. *Volume 18.*
- The Old Testament in Early Christianity. 1991. *Volume 54.*
- Ennulat, Andreas:* Die 'Minor Agreements'. 1994. *Volume II/62.*
- Ensor, Peter W.:* Jesus and His 'Works'. 1996. *Volume II/85.*
- Eskola, Timo:* Theodicy and Predestination in Pauline Soteriology. 1998. *Volume II/100.*
- Feldmeier, Reinhard:* Die Krisis des Gottessohnes. 1987. *Volume II/21.*
- Die Christen als Fremde. 1992. *Volume 64.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Feldmeier, Reinhard and Ulrich Heckel* (Ed.):  
Die Heiden. 1994. *Volume 70.*
- Fletcher-Louis, Crispin H.T.*: Luke-Acts:  
Angels, Christology and Soteriology. 1997.  
*Volume II/94.*
- Förster, Niclas*: Marcus Magus. 1999. *Volume 114.*
- Forbes, Christopher Brian*: Prophecy and  
Inspired Speech in Early Christianity and  
its Hellenistic Environment. 1995. *Volume II/75.*
- Fornberg, Tord*: see *Fridrichsen, Anton.*
- Fossum, Jarl E.*: The Name of God and the  
Angel of the Lord. 1985. *Volume 36.*
- Frenschkowski, Marco*: Offenbarung und  
Epiphanie. Volume 1 1995. *Volume II/79 –*  
*Volume 2 1997. Volume II/80.*
- Frey, Jörg*: Eugen Drewermann und die bibli-  
sche Exegese. 1995. *Volume II/71.*  
– Die johanneische Eschatologie. Volume I.  
1997. *Volume 96.* – Volume II. 1998.  
*Volume 110.*
- Fridrichsen, Anton*: Exegetical Writings. Ed.  
by C.C. Caragounis and T. Fornberg. 1994.  
*Volume 76.*
- Garlington, Don B.*: ‘The Obedience of Faith’.  
1991. *Volume II/38.*  
– Faith, Obedience, and Perseverance. 1994.  
*Volume 79.*
- Garnet, Paul*: Salvation and Atonement in the  
Qumran Scrolls. 1977. *Volume II/3.*
- Gese, Michael*: Das Vermächtnis des Apostels.  
1997. *Volume II/99.*
- Gräßer, Erich*: Der Alte Bund im Neuen.  
1985. *Volume 35.*
- Green, Joel B.*: The Death of Jesus. 1988.  
*Volume II/33.*
- Gundry Volf, Judith M.*: Paul and  
Perseverance. 1990. *Volume II/37.*
- Hafemann, Scott J.*: Suffering and the Spirit.  
1986. *Volume II/19.*  
– Paul, Moses, and the History of Israel.  
1995. *Volume 81.*
- Hannah, Darrel D.*: Michael and Christ. 1999.  
*Volume II/109.*
- Hartman, Lars*: Text-Centered New Testament  
Studies. Ed. by D. Hellholm. 1997. *Volume*  
*102.*
- Heckel, Theo K.*: Der Innere Mensch. 1993.  
*Volume II/53.*
- Heckel, Ulrich*: Kraft in Schwachheit. 1993.  
*Volume II/56.*  
– see *Feldmeier, Reinhard.*  
– see *Hengel, Martin.*
- Heilenthal, Roman*: Werke als Zeichen.  
1983. *Volume II/9.*
- Hellholm, D.*: see *Hartman, Lars.*
- Hemer, Colin J.*: The Book of Acts in the  
Setting of Hellenistic History. 1989.  
*Volume 49.*
- Hengel, Martin*: Judentum und Hellenismus.  
1969, <sup>1</sup>1988. *Volume 10.*  
– Die johanneische Frage. 1993. *Volume 67.*  
– *Judaica et Hellenistica*. Band 1. 1996.  
*Volume 90.* – Band 2. 1999. *Volume 109.*
- Hengel, Martin and Ulrich Heckel* (Ed.):  
Paulus und das antike Judentum. 1991.  
*Volume 58.*
- Hengel, Martin and Hermut Löhr* (Ed.):  
Schriftauslegung im antiken Judentum und  
im Urchristentum. 1994. *Volume 73.*
- Hengel, Martin and Anna Maria Schwemer*:  
Paulus zwischen Damaskus und  
Antiochen. 1998. *Volume 108.*
- Hengel, Martin and Anna Maria Schwemer*  
(Ed.): Königsherrschaft Gottes und himm-  
licher Kult. 1991. *Volume 55.*  
– Die Septuaginta. 1994. *Volume 72.*
- Herrenbrück, Fritz*: Jesus und die Zöllner.  
1990. *Volume II/41.*
- Herzer, Jens*: Paulus oder Petrus? 1998.  
*Volume 103.*
- Hoegen-Rohls, Christina*: Der nachösterliche  
Johannes. 1996. *Volume II/84.*
- Hofius, Otfried*: Katapausis. 1970. *Volume 11.*  
– Der Vorhang vor dem Thron Gottes. 1972.  
*Volume 14.*  
– Der Christushymnus Philipper 2,6-11.  
1976, <sup>1</sup>1991. *Volume 17.*  
– Paulusstudien. 1989, <sup>2</sup>1994. *Volume 51.*
- Hofius, Otfried and Hans-Christian Kammler*:  
Johannesstudien. 1996. *Volume 88.*
- Holtz, Traugott*: Geschichte und Theologie des  
Urchristentums. 1991. *Volume 57.*
- Hommel, Hildebrecht*: Sebasmata. Band 1 1983.  
*Volume 31 – Band 2 1984. Volume 32.*
- Hvalvik, Reidar*: The Struggle for Scripture  
and Covenant. 1996. *Volume II/82.*
- Kähler, Christoph*: Jesu Gleichenisse als Poesie  
und Therapie. 1995. *Volume 78.*
- Kammler, Hans-Christian*: see *Hofius, Otfried.*
- Kamlah, Ehrhard*: Die Form der katalogischen  
Paränese im Neuen Testament. 1964.  
*Volume 7.*
- Kieffer, René und Jan Bergman* (Ed.): La Main  
de Dieu / Die Hand Gottes. 1997. *Volume 94.*
- Kim, Seyoon*: The Origin of Paul’s Gospel. 1981,  
<sup>2</sup>1984. *Volume II/4.*  
– „The ‘Son of Man’“ as the Son of God.  
1983. *Volume 30.*
- Kleinknecht, Karl Th.*: Der leidende Gerecht-  
fertigte. 1984, <sup>2</sup>1988. *Volume II/13.*
- Klinghardt, Matthias*: Gesetz und Volk Gottes.  
1988. *Volume II/32.*
- Köhler, Wolf-Dietrich*: Rezeption des  
Matthäusevangeliums in der Zeit vor  
Irenäus. 1987. *Volume II/24.*
- Korn, Manfred*: Die Geschichte Jesu in verän-  
derter Zeit. 1993. *Volume II/51.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Koskenniemi, Erkki:* Apollonios von Tyana in der neutestamentlichen Exegese. 1994.  
*Volume II/61.*
- Kraus, Wolfgang:* Das Volk Gottes. 1996.  
*Volume 85.*  
– see *Walter, Nikolaus.*
- Kuhn, Karl G.:* Achtzehngebet und Vaterunser und der Reim. 1950. *Volume I.*
- Laansma, Jon:* I Will Give You Rest. 1997.  
*Volume II/98.*
- Lange, Armin:* see *Ego, Beate.*
- Lampe, Peter:* Die stadtömischen Christen in den ersten beiden Jahrhunderten. 1987,  
<sup>2</sup>1989. *Volume II/18.*
- Landmesser, Christof:* Wahrheit als Grundbegriff neutestamentlicher Wissenschaft. 1999. *Volume 113.*
- Lau, Andrew:* Manifest in Flesh. 1996. *Volume II/86.*
- Lichtenberger, Hermann:* see *Avermarie, Friedrich.*
- Lieu, Samuel N.C.:* Manichaeism in the Later Roman Empire and Medieval China. <sup>2</sup>1992. *Volume 63.*
- Loader, William R.G.:* Jesus' Attitude Towards the Law. 1997. *Volume II/97.*
- Löhr, Gebhard:* Verherrlichung Gottes durch Philosophie. 1997. *Volume 97.*
- Löhr, Hermut:* see *Hengel, Martin.*
- Löhr, Winrich Alfried:* Basilides und seine Schule. 1995. *Volume 83.*
- Luomanen, Petri:* Entering the Kingdom of Heaven. 1998. *Volume II/101.*
- Maier, Gerhard:* Mensch und freier Wille. 1971. *Volume 12.*  
– Die Johannesoffenbarung und die Kirche. 1981. *Volume 25.*
- Marksches, Christoph:* Valentinus Gnosticus? 1992. *Volume 65.*
- Marshall, Peter:* Enmity in Corinth: Social Conventions in Paul's Relations with the Corinthians. 1987. *Volume II/23.*
- McDonough, Sean M.:* YHWH at Patmos: Rev. 1:4 in its Hellenistic and Early Jewish Setting. 1999. *Volume II/107.*
- Meade, David G.:* Pseudonymity and Canon. 1986. *Volume 39.*
- Meadors, Edward P.:* Jesus the Messianic Herald of Salvation. 1995. *Volume II/72.*
- Meißner, Stefan:* Die Heimholung des Ketzers. 1996. *Volume II/87.*
- Mell, Ulrich:* Die „anderen“ Winzer. 1994. Vol. 77.
- Mengel, Berthold:* Studien zum Philipperbrief. 1982. *Volume II/8.*
- Merkel, Helmut:* Die Widersprüche zwischen den Evangelien. 1971. *Volume 13.*
- Merklein, Helmut:* Studien zu Jesus und Paulus. Volume I 1987. *Volume 43.* – Volume 2 1998. *Volume 105.*
- Metzler, Karin:* Der griechische Begriff des Verzeihens. 1991. *Volume II/44.*
- Metzner, Rainer:* Die Rezeption des Matthäusevangeliums im 1. Petrusbrief. 1995.  
*Volume II/74.*
- Mittmann-Richert, Ulrike:* Magnifikat und Benediktus. 1996. *Volume II/90.*
- Mußner, Franz:* Jesus von Nazareth im Umfeld Israels und der Urkirche. Ed. von M. Theobald. 1998. *Volume III.*
- Niebuhr, Karl-Wilhelm:* Gesetz und Paränesis. 1987. *Volume II/28.*  
– Heidenapostel aus Israel. 1992. *Volume 62.*
- Nissen, Andreas:* Gott und der Nächste im antiken Judentum. 1974. *Volume 15.*
- Noormann, Rolf:* Irenäus als Paulusinterpret. 1994. *Volume II/66.*
- Obermann, Andreas:* Die christologische Erfüllung der Schrift im Johannes-evangelium. 1996. *Volume II/83.*
- Okure, Teresa:* The Johannine Approach to Mission. 1988. *Volume II/31.*
- Paulsen, Henning:* Studien zur Literatur und Geschichte des frühen Christentums. Ed. by Ute E. Eisen. 1997. *Volume 99.*
- Park, Eung Chun:* The Mission Discourse in Matthew's Interpretation. 1995. *Volume II/81.*
- Philonenko, Marc (Ed.):* Le Trône de Dieu. 1993. *Volume 69.*
- Pilhofer, Peter:* Presbyteron Kreitton. 1990. *Volume II/39.*  
– Philippi. Band 1 1995. *Volume 87.*  
– see *Ego, Beate.*
- Pöhlmann, Wolfgang:* Der Verlorene Sohn und das Haus. 1993. *Volume 68.*
- Pokorný, Petr und Josef B. Souček:* Bibelauslegung als Theologie. 1997. *Volume 100.*
- Porter, Stanley E.:* The Paul of Acts. 1999. *Volume 115.*
- Prieur, Alexander:* Die Verkündigung der Gottesherrschaft. 1996. *Volume II/89.*
- Probst, Hermann:* Paulus und der Brief. 1991. *Volume II/45.*
- Räisänen, Heikki:* Paul and the Law. 1983,  
<sup>2</sup>1987. *Volume 29.*
- Rehkopf, Friedrich:* Die lukanische Sonderquelle. 1959. *Volume 5.*
- Rein, Matthias:* Die Heilung des Blindgeborenen (Joh 9). 1995. *Volume II/73.*
- Reinmuth, Eckart:* Pseudo-Philo und Lukas. 1994. *Volume 74.*
- Reiser, Marius:* Syntax und Stil des Markus-evangeliums. 1984. *Volume II/11.*
- Richards, E. Randolph:* The Secretary in the Letters of Paul. 1991. *Volume II/42.*
- Riesner, Rainer:* Jesus als Lehrer. 1981, <sup>3</sup>1988. *Volume II/7.*  
– Die Frühzeit des Apostels Paulus. 1994. *Volume 71.*
- Rissi, Mathias:* Die Theologie des Hebräerbrevs. 1987. *Volume 41.*
- Röhser, Günter:* Metaphorik und Personifikation der Sünde. 1987. *Volume II/25.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Rose, Christian:* Die Wolke der Zeugen. 1994. *Volume II/60.*
- Rüger, Hans Peter:* Die Weisheitsschrift aus der Kairoer Geniza. 1991. *Volume 53.*
- Sänger, Dieter:* Antikes Judentum und die Mysterien. 1980. *Volume II/5.*
- Die Verkündigung des Gekreuzigten und Israel. 1994. *Volume 75.*
  - see *Burchard, Chr.*
- Salzmann, Jörg Christian:* Lehren und Ermahnungen. 1994. *Volume II/59.*
- Sandnes, Karl Olav:* Paul – One of the Prophets? 1991. *Volume II/43.*
- Sato, Migaku:* Q und Prophetie. 1988. *Vol. II/29.*
- Schapera, Joachim:* Eschatology in the Greek Psalter. 1995. *Volume II/76.*
- Schimanowski, Gottfried:* Weisheit und Messias. 1985. *Volume II/17.*
- Schluchting, Günter:* Ein jüdisches Leben Jesu. 1982. *Volume 24.*
- Schnabel, Eckhard J.:* Law and Wisdom from Ben Sira to Paul. 1985. *Volume II/16.*
- Schutter, William L.:* Hermeneutic and Composition in I Peter. 1989. *Volume II/30.*
- Schwartz, Daniel R.:* Studies in the Jewish Background of Christianity. 1992. *Volume 60.*
- Schwemer, Anna Maria:* see *Hengel, Martin*
- Scott, James M.:* Adoption as Sons of God. 1992. *Volume II/48.*
- Paul and the Nations. 1995. *Volume 84.*
- Siegert, Folker:* Drei hellenistisch-jüdische Predigten, Teil I 1980. *Volume 20 – Teil II 1992. Volume 61.*
- Nag-Hammadi-Register. 1982. *Volume 26.*
  - Argumentation bei Paulus. 1985. *Volume 34.*
  - Philon von Alexandrien. 1988. *Volume 46.*
- Simon, Marcel:* Le christianisme antique et son contexte religieux I/II. 1981. *Volume 23.*
- Snodgrass, Klyne:* The Parable of the Wicked Tenants. 1983. *Volume 27.*
- Söding, Thomas:* Das Wort vom Kreuz. 1997. *Volume 93.*
- see *Thüsing, Wilhelm.*
- Sommer, Urs:* Die Passionsgeschichte des Markusevangeliums. 1993. *Volume II/58.*
- Souček, Josef B.:* see *Pokorný, Petr.*
- Spangenberg, Volker:* Herrlichkeit des Neuen Bundes. 1993. *Volume II/55.*
- Spanje, T.E. van:* Inconsistency in Paul?. 1999. *Volume II/10.*
- Speyer, Wolfgang:* Frühes Christentum im antiken Strahlungsfeld. Volume I: 1989. *Volume 50.* – Volume II: 1999. *Volume 116.*
- Stadelmann, Helge:* Ben Sira als Schriftlehrer. 1980. *Volume II/6.*
- Stenschke, Christoph W.:* Luke's Portrait of Gentiles Prior to Their Coming to Faith. *Volume II/108.*
- Stettler, Hanna:* Die Christologie der Pastoralbriefe. 1998. *Volume II/105.*
- Strobel, August:* Die Stunde der Wahrheit. 1980. *Volume 21.*
- Stroumsa, Guy G.:* Barbarian Philosophy. 1999. *Volume 112.*
- Stuckenbrück, Loren T.:* Angel Veneration and Christology. 1995. *Volume II/70.*
- Stuhlmacher, Peter (Ed.):* Das Evangelium und die Evangelien. 1983. *Volume 28.*
- Sung, Chong-Hyon:* Vergebung der Sünden. 1993. *Volume II/57.*
- Tajra, Harry W.:* The Trial of St. Paul. 1989. *Volume II/35.*
- The Martyrdom of St. Paul. 1994. *Volume II/67.*
- Theißßen, Gerd:* Studien zur Soziologie des Urchristentums. 1979, <sup>1</sup>1989. *Volume 19.*
- Theobald, Michael:* see *Mußner, Franz.*
- Thornton, Claus-Jürgen:* Der Zeuge des Zeugen. 1991. *Volume 56.*
- Thüsing, Wilhelm:* Studien zur neutestamentlichen Theologie. Ed. by Thomas Söding. 1995. *Volume 82.*
- Treloar, Geoffrey R.:* Lightfoot the Historian. 1998. *Volume II/103.*
- Tsuji, Manabu:* Glaube zwischen Vollkommenheit und Verweltlichung. 1997. *Volume II/93.*
- Twelftree, Graham H.:* Jesus the Exorcist. 1993. *Volume II/54.*
- Visotcky, Burton L.:* Fathers of the World. 1995. *Volume 80.*
- Wagener, Ulrike:* Die Ordnung des „Hauses Gottes“. 1994. *Volume II/65.*
- Walter, Nikolaus:* Praeparatio Evangelica. Ed. by Wolfgang Kraus und Florian Wilk. 1997. *Volume 98.*
- Wander, Bernd:* Gottesfürchtige und Sympathisanten. 1998. *Volume 104.*
- Watts, Rikki:* Isaiah's New Exodus and Mark. 1997. *Volume II/88.*
- Wedderburn, A.J.M.:* Baptism and Resurrection. 1987. *Volume 44.*
- Wegner, Uwe:* Der Hauptmann von Kafarnaum. 1985. *Volume II/14.*
- Welck, Christian:* Erzählte ‚Zeichen‘. 1994. *Volume II/69.*
- Wilk, Florian:* see *Walter, Nikolaus.*
- Wilson, Walter T.:* Love without Pretense. 1991. *Volume II/46.*
- Zimmermann, Alfred E.:* Die urchristlichen Lehrer. 1984, <sup>1</sup>1988. *Volume II/12.*
- Zimmermann, Johannes:* Messianische Texte aus Qumran. 1998. *Volume II/104.*

*For a complete catalogue please write to the publisher  
Mohr Siebeck Verlag, Postfach 2040, D-72010 Tübingen.  
Up-to-date information on the internet at <http://www.mohr.de>*