CAROL A. NEWSOM

Rhetoric and Hermeneutics

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 130

Mohr Siebeck

Forschungen zum Alten Testament

Herausgegeben von

Konrad Schmid (Zürich) · Mark S. Smith (Princeton) Hermann Spieckermann (Göttingen) · Andrew Teeter (Harvard)

130



Carol A. Newsom

Rhetoric and Hermeneutics

Approaches to Text, Tradition and Social Construction in Biblical and Second Temple Literature

Carol A. Newsom, born 1950; 1982 PhD from Harvard University (Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations); since 1980 at Emory University; currently Charles Howard Candler Professor of Old Testament.

orcid.org/0000-0002-0024-5475

ISBN 978-3-16-157723-9 / eISBN 978-3-16-157724-6 DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-157724-6

ISSN 0940-4155 / eISSN 2568-8359 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2019 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was typeset by Martin Fischer in Tübingen using Minion typeface, printed on non-aging paper by Gulde-Druck in Tübingen, and bound by Großbuchbinderei Spinner in Ottersweier.

Printed in Germany.

To the memory of Gene M. Tucker (1935–2018) Colleague, Mentor, Friend

And to the memory of Charlyne (Charky) Williams Tucker (1937–2018) Kind and Generous Friend

Preface

The orientation that has guided my work throughout my career is a fascination with rhetoric, defined in the socially active way that Kenneth Burke understood it. Every text, he observed, is "a strategy for encompassing a situation." What I have found so satisfying about attending to the rhetoric of texts is that it provides an ideal way of combining the interests of traditional historical criticism, which is frequently oriented to the situation that lies behind the text, with a concern for what is sometimes called the literary dimension of the text. At about the time that I entered the field of biblical studies literary approaches were becoming fashionable. Too often, however, such studies divorced themselves from the historical dimensions of the text, resulting in an intellectual thinness. Attention to rhetoric, however, allows one to see how situations and discourse are inextricably intermingled within texts. Burke's further observation, that every text is "the answer or rejoinder to assertions current in the situation in which it arose," makes his approach to understanding texts highly compatible with that of Mikhail Bakhtin, whose work on the dialogical aspects of language was becoming influential in the humanities and even the social sciences in the 1980s and 1990s. These two figures have been my intellectual lodestars.

If rhetoric is about persuasion, then it is also fundamentally connected with hermeneutics, which, as Gerald Bruns described it, is about "what happens in the understanding of anything, not just of texts but of how things are." All texts make claims about the nature of reality. They do this not only through their explicit arguments but also by means of their genres, their metaphors, their strategically chosen vocabulary, and much more. Some texts model new ways of being in the world and even attempt to restructure our very sense of self. Rhetoric thus has a socially constructive force that we can uncover by attending to the hermeneutical dimensions of the text.

Rhetoric, dialogics, and hermeneutics all come together, of course, in the way that texts continually engage one another, explicitly or implicitly, in the complex process of "recycling" that constitutes textual and cultural tradition. Indeed, one of the most significant changes in the field of biblical studies during the past generation has been the embrace of what is often called the history of reception.

¹ Kenneth Burke, *The Philosophy of Literary Form: Studies in Symbolic Action* (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1973), 109.

² Burke, *The Philosophy of Literary Form*, 109 (emphasis in the original).

³ Gerald L. Bruns, "On the Tragedy of Hermeneutical Experience," in *Hermeneutics Ancient and Modern* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1992), 179.

VIII Preface

Increasingly, however, it is recognized that this is no separate post-canonical phenomenon but rather a process that is integral to the production of all texts.

The essays that follow are a selection from my work that engages these issues. The earliest comes from 1989; the most recent is a previously unpublished essay from my current research. They are not presented in chronological order, however, but are grouped thematically. I have attempted to give the essays a consistent style and have corrected minor errors, but I have resisted the temptation to engage in substantive revisions, with one exception. The essay entitled "Sectually Explicit' Literature from Qumran" required updating in its discussion of the number and distribution of texts from the Qumran caves. Also, I have changed my mind about the sectarian status of the Sabbath Songs, and it is important to indicate that change of position. For the most part, however, it seems best to let the essays represent my thought as it was at the time each was written. Two of the essays (nos. 2 and 10) were substantially incorporated into monographs I later wrote. I have included them here, however, because they illustrate key methodological themes.

I. Essays in Method

- 1. "Bakhtin, the Bible, and Dialogic Truth." *JR* 76 (1996): 290–306. Bakhtin's understanding of the social dynamics of language as discourse provides a particularly useful way to read the diverse texts of the Hebrew Bible. In particular, it facilitates a strategy for reading the multi-vocality of the Bible that has shaped both individual books and the collection as a whole. This essay attempts to make the case for a dialogical biblical theology.
- 2. "The Book of Job as Polyphonic Text." *JSOT* 97 (2002): 87–108. However the book of Job was composed, it has a unique affinity for being read as a polyphonic text. This essay, which anticipates the arguments of my book, *The Book of Job: A Contest of Moral Imaginations* (New York: Oxford, 2003), illustrates the productivity of a Bakhtinian reading.
- 3. "Woman and the Discourse of Patriarchal Wisdom: A Study of Proverbs 1–9." Pages 142–60 in *Gender and Difference in Ancient Israel*. Edited by Peggy Day. Philadelphia: Augsburg Fortress Press, 1989.

 Although this essay was written some years before the essay on "Bakhtin, the Bible, and Dialogic Truth," it forms a good complement to it in that this essay attempts to describe what I consider to be a highly monologic form of speech within the wisdom corpus. Moreover, it also highlights the social dynamics of rhetoric.

Preface IX

- 4. "Spying Out the Land: A Report from Genology." Pages 437–50 in Seeking out the Wisdom of the Ancients: Essays Offered to Honor Michael V. Fox on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday. Edited by Ronald L. Troxel, Kelvin G. Friebel, and Dennis R. Magery. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2005. Although the development of form criticism within biblical studies contributed to theories of literary genre in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the subsequent conversation between biblical studies and genology has been sporadic at best. This essay presents and evaluates developments in genre theory that may be useful to biblical studies.
- 5. "The Rhetoric of Jewish Apocalyptic Literature." Pages 218–34 in *The Oxford Handbook of Apocalyptic Literature*. Edited by John J. Collins. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014.

 This essay and the following one attempt to supply a lacuna in the study of apocalyptic literature and the Dead Sea scrolls. Although the analysis of the genre of apocalypse is well developed, the study of the rhetoric of apocalyptic literature more broadly has received less attention. This essay makes a case for apocalyptic literature as a kind of "epiphanic" rhetoric and suggests some
- 6. "Rhetorical Criticism and the Reading of the Qumran Scrolls." Pages 683–708 in *The Oxford Handbook of the Dead Sea Scrolls*. Edited by John J. Collins and Timothy H. Lim. New York: Oxford University Press, 2010. This essay also attempts to supplement a largely untheorized approach to reading the rhetoric of the Dead Sea Scrolls and by suggesting some methodological directions for further work, as well as providing case studies.

II. Language and the Shaping of Community at Qumran

methodological ways forward.

- 7. "Sectually Explicit' Literature from Qumran." Pages 167–87 in *The Hebrew Bible and Its Interpreters*. Edited by William Henry Propp, Baruch Halpern, and David Noel Freedman. BJSUCSD 1. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990. This essay raises methodological question about what one means by the term "sectarian text" and how one identifies rhetorical markers of sectarian discourse. The case study on the Songs of the Sabbath Sacrifice suggests how difficult such issues can be. As my final note indicates, I have now reverted to my previous judgment that the Sabbath Songs are most likely a sectarian composition.
- 8. "'He Has Established for Himself Priests': Human and Angelic Priesthood in the Qumran Sabbath *Shirot*." Pages 101–20 in *Archaeology and History in the*

X Preface

Dead Sea Scrolls. Edited by Lawrence H. Schiffman. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1990.

Although this essay does not self-consciously foreground rhetorical analysis, it is guided by the fundamental question of how a set of liturgical and mystical songs serves to construct an experiential reality that underwrites the identification of members of the Qumran community as elect by giving them privileged access to the worship of the priestly angels. It is thus an example of the epiphanic rhetoric of apocalyptic literature.

9. "Constructing 'We, You, and the Others' Through Non-Polemical Discourse." Pages 13–21 in *Defining Identities: We, You, and the Others in the Dead Sea Scrolls.* Edited by Florentino García Martínez and Mladen Popović. STDJ 70. Leiden: Brill, 2008.

The sectarian literature of Qumran offers an ideal venue for rhetorical criticism, since rhetoric, as Kenneth Burke often noted, is directed both to identification and division. Although polemical speech often draws the most attention in describing sectarian rhetoric, this essay makes the case for the significance of non-polemical speech in the construction of sectarian identity. Examples are drawn from the Serek ha-Yaḥad and the Hodayot.

10. "Apocalyptic Subjects: Social Construction of the Self in the Qumran Hodayot." *JSP* 12 (2001): 3–35.

Rhetoric is deeply connected with forming identity, and in the Qumran Hodayot one finds a type of literature that is tailor made for identifying formation. This essay examines the strategies used in the Qumran Hodayot both for forming positive sectarian identity and for establishing a sense of separation from other identities.

III. Fashioning and Refashioning Self and Agency

11. "Models of the Moral Self: Hebrew Bible and Second Temple Judaism." *JBL* 131 (2012): 5–25.

This essay examines a variety of rhetorical constructions that model forms of self and agency in order to address the problem of sin and obedience. Sometimes, too, these models served as means of separating Jews from gentiles or "the righteous" Jews from the "wicked." The variety of alternative models, especially in the late Second Temple period, indicates that the self can indeed be a "symbolic space" serving a number of social functions.

12. "Flesh, Spirit, and the Indigenous Psychology of the Hodayot." Pages 339–54 in Prayer and Poetry in the Dead Sea Scrolls and Related Literature: Essays in Honor of Eileen Schuller on the Occasion of Her 65th Birthday. Edited by

Preface XI

Jeremy Penner, Ken M. Penner, and Cecilia Wassen. STDJ 98. Leiden: Brill, 2011

Novel interpretations of Genesis 2–3 and 6, as well as of Ezekiel 36–37, allowed the authors of the Hodayot to make radical new claims about the nature of humanity and the sectarian elect. Their focus was the status of the fleshly/dusty human body and the breath/spirit that was infused into it. Through their interpretive work these authors constructed a powerful rhetorical appeal for identification with the sectarians claims of the Yaḥad and a sense of fearful repugnance toward their former selves.

13. "Sin Consciousness, Self-Alienation, and the Origins of the Introspective Self." Previously unpublished.

This essay traces a gradual refashioning of the symbolic structures of the self and inner self-relation (the "I-me" relation) in a significant strand of late Judean and Second Temple sources. The creation of new rhetorics of the self is dependent on hermeneutical re-workings of key texts from Genesis and Ezekiel but also through the transposition of some of these central tropes from narrative and prophetic genres into the genres of prayer and psalmody.

IV. Recycling: The Hermeneutics of Memory and Reception

Part A: Job

- 14. "Plural Versions and the Challenge of Narrative Coherence in the Story of Job." Pages 236–44 in *The Oxford Handbook of Biblical Narrative*. Edited by Danna Nolan Fewell. New York: Oxford University Press, 2016.
 - The book of Job contains within itself multiple ways of telling "the same" story. Each version makes a different claim about the nature of reality and how meaning may be constructed. Indeed, even as various receptions of the book of Job in later tradition attempted to rewrite the book in new ways, they tend to finalize its meaning in a manner that the canonical book resists.
- 15. "Dramaturgy and the Book of Job." Pages 375–93 in *Das Buch Hiob und seine Interpretationen*. Edited by Thomas Krüger, Manfred Oeming, Konrad Schmid, and Christoph Uehlinger. Zürich: Theologischer Verlag Zürich, 2007.

This essay, though written earlier than the previous one, actually functions as something of an illustration of the claims made there about the ways in which Job lends itself to rhetorical reinvention and a kind of polyphonic debate across time concerning claims about the nature of God, humanity, and the world. Particular attention is given to the intense contestation over the

XII Preface

significance of Job in post-World War I and post-World War II Germany and America.

16. "The Book of Job and Terrence Malick's *The Tree of Life.*" Pages 228–42 in *A Wild Ox Knows: Biblical Essays in Honor of Norman C. Habel.* Edited by Allen H. Cadwallader. Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix Press, 2013.

Terrence Malick's film explicitly and implicitly positions itself as an engagement with the book of Job, especially the prose tale and the divine speeches. The philosophy of Martin Heidegger is an important influence on Malick's films and provides a hermeneutical key to his engagement. Malick's nuanced "reading" of the divine speeches also contrasts in intriguing ways with the largely post-religious interpretations of the mid-twentieth century plays examined in "Dramaturgy and the Book of Job."

Part B: History and Politics

17. "Rhyme and Reason: The Historical Résumé in Israelite and Early Jewish Thought." Pages 215–33 in *Congress Volume: Leiden, 2004*. Edited by André Lemaire. VTSup 109. Leiden: Brill, 2006.

Little is more rhetorically contested than the "shared" history of a people. This essay looks at a broad range of short historical résumés, comparing their different rhetorical strategies for conveying the significance of a purportedly shared history. The examples demonstrate how malleable the traditions are and how they can be persuasively shaped to argue for highly divergent perspectives, even when they are placed side by side in canonical ordering.

- 18. "God's Other: The Intractable Problem of the Gentile King in Judean and Early Jewish Literature." Pages 31–48 in *The "Other" in Second Temple Judaism: Essays in Honor of John J. Collins*. Edited by Daniel C. Harlow, Karina Martin Hogan, Matthew Goff, and Joel S. Kaminski. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2011.
 - Fredric Jameson astutely reframed Kenneth Burke's dictum that "language is symbolic action" by observing that a symbolic act is paradoxical in that it is both the accomplishment of an action but also a substitute for action, a compensation for the impossibility of direct action. Such was the problem ancient Israel faced in its attempt to frame the problem of aggressive foreign kings who represented threats to Yahweh's power. This essay examines the various ways Judean literature enacted symbolic defeat upon these kings.
- 19. "Why Nabonidus? Excavating Traditions from Qumran, the Hebrew Bible, and Neo-Babylonian Sources." Pages 57–79 in *The Dead Sea Scrolls*:

Preface XIII

Transmission of Traditions and Production of Texts. Edited by Sariano Metso, Hindy Najman, and Eileen Schuller. STDJ 92. Leiden: Brill, 2010.

This essay is a case study of two forms of symbolic action, stemming from the recognition of strong traces of Nabonidus traditions within the stories about Nebuchadnezzar in the book of Daniel and the recovered Prayer of Nabonidus from Qumran. It attempts first to recover evidence of intense rhetorical contests among Jews of the late exilic period and then to trace the later hermeneutical need to recast the Nabonidus traditions into ones concerning Nebuchadnezzar in order to address the wounds of memory created by the defeat of Judah, the exile, and the diaspora.

Preparing a collection of essays seems like a simple enough task, but the technical aspects of scanning, converting digital formats, regularizing styles, and so forth make it a somewhat daunting task. I would not have been able to do this without the help of some key people. As always, my husband, tech guru, and resident editorial consultant, Rex Matthews, advised me and facilitated many steps in the process. My amazing research assistant, Evan Bassett, was a life-saver. I might have given up several times were it not for his ability to untangle seemingly intractable knots of digital information and to present me not only with beautifully prepared files but also carefully organized supplementary materials and check-lists for trouble-shooting.

But there is another effect of preparing a selection of essays written across one's career. It provides an occasion for reflecting on the course of that career and the factors that shaped it. My career as a scholar was strongly supported from the beginning by my colleague Gene Tucker, who encouraged me to take risks I might not otherwise have taken, gave me sage advice when I needed it, and smoothed many paths for me. He was a wise and generous colleague and friend, and his beloved wife Charky was always a welcoming and warm friend. It is with gratitude that I dedicate this book to their memory.

January 6, 2019

Carol A. Newsom

Table of Contents

Preface	VII
Abbreviations	KVII
I. Essays in Method	
•	2
1. Bakhtin, the Bible, and Dialogic Truth	3 19
2. The Book of Job as Polyphonic Text3. Woman and the Discourse of Patriarchal Wisdom:	19
	39
A Study of Proverbs 1–9	55
4. Spying Out the Land: A Report from Genology	
5. The Rhetoric of Jewish Apocalyptic Literature	67
6. Rhetorical Criticism and the Reading of the Qumran Scrolls	83
II. Language and the Shaping of Community at Qumran	
7. "Sectually Explicit" Literature from Qumran	111
8. "He Has Established for Himself Priests": Human and Angelic	
Priesthood in the Qumran Sabbath <i>Shirot</i>	131
9. Constructing "We, You, and the Others" Through Non-Polemic	
Discourse	149
10. Apocalyptic Subjects: Social Construction of the Self	
in the Qumran Hodayot	157
,	
III. Fashioning and Refashioning Self and Agency	
11. Models of the Moral Self: Hebrew Bible and Second Temple	
Judaism	189
12. Flesh, Spirit, and the Indigenous Psychology of the Hodayot	211
13. Sin Consciousness, Self-Alienation,	
and the Origins of the Introspective Self	225
IV. Recycling: The Hermeneutics of Memory and Reception	
Part A: The Book of Job	
14. Plural Versions and the Challenge of Narrative Coherence	
in the Story of Job	241
15. Dramaturgy and the Book of Job	
16. The Book of Job and Terrence Malick's <i>The Tree of Life</i>	

XVI Preface

Part B: History and Politics	
17. Rhyme and Reason: The Historical Résumé in Israelite	
and Early Jewish Thought	289
18. God's Other: The Intractable Problem of the Gentile King	
in Judean and Early Jewish Literature	305
19. Why Nabonidus?	
Excavating Traditions from Qumran, the Hebrew Bible,	
and Neo-Babylonian Sources	321
Bibliography	339
Index of Sources	363
Index of Modern Authors	377
Index of Subjects	380

Abbreviations

AB Anchor Bible

ABD Anchor Bible Dictionary. Edited by David Noel Freedman. 6 vols. New

York: Doubleday, 1992

AGJU Arbeiten zur Geschichte des antiken Judentums und des Urchristentums ANEP The Ancient Near East in Pictures Relating to the Old Testament. 2nd ed.

Edited by James B. Pritchard. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994

ANET Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament. Edited by James

B. Pritchard. 3rd ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1969

AOAT Alter Orient und Altes Testament

ATANT Abhandlungen zur Theologie des Alten und Neuen Testaments

ATDan Acta Theologica Danica BA Biblical Archaeologist

BASOR Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research
BETL Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium

BibInt Biblical Interpretation

BibInt Biblical Interpretation Series

BIOSCS Bulletin of the International Organization for Septuagint and Cognate Studies

BIS Brown Judaic Studies

BJSUCSD Biblical and Judaic Studies from the University of California, San Diego

BKAT Biblischer Kommentar, Altes Testament

BR Biblical Research

CANE Civilizations of the Ancient Near East. Edited by Jack M. Sasson. 4 vols.

New York, 1995. Repr. in 2 vols. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2006

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly

CBQMS Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series CHANE Culture and History of the Ancient Near East

CP Classical Philology

DDD² Dictionary of Deities and Demons in the Bible. Edited by Karel van der

Toorn, Bob Becking and Pieter W. van der Horst. 2nd rev. ed. Grand

Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999

DJD Discoveries in the Judean Desert

DSD Dead Sea Discoveries

DSSR The Dead Sea Scrolls Reader. 2 vols. 2nd ed. Edited by Donald W. Parry

and Emanuel Tov. Leiden: Brill, 2014

EdF Erträge der Forschung

EDSS Encyclopedia of the Dead Sea Scrolls. Edited by Lawrence H. Schiffman and

James C. VanderKam. 2 vols. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000

EJL Early Judaism and Its Literature

EPRO Etudes préliminaires aux religions orientales dans l'empire romain

ErIsr Eretz-Israel FM Field Methods XVIII Abbreviations

FRLANT Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments

HAR Hebrew Annual Review

HDR Harvard Dissertations in Religion
HSM Harvard Semitic Monographs
HSS Harvard Semitic Studies
HTR Harvard Theological Review
HUCA Hebrew Union College Annual

IBC Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and Preaching

ICC International Critical Commentary

IDBSup Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible: Supplementary Volume. Edited by

Keith Crim. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 1976

IEJ Israel Exploration Journal IOS Israel Oriental Studies ITQ Irish Theological Quarterly

JAJSup Journal of Ancient Judaism Supplements JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature
JJS Journal of Jewish Studies
JNES Journal of Near Eastern Studies

JSJ Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Periods

JSJSup Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism

JR Journal of Religion

JSNTSup Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplement Series

JSOT Journal for the Study of the Old Testament

JSOTSup Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series

JSP Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha

JSPSup Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha Supplement Series

NIB The New Interpreter's Bible. Edited by Leander E. Keck. 12 vols. Nashville:

Abingdon, 1994-2004

NICOT New International Commentary on the Old Testament

NIDB New Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible. Edited by Katharine Doob

Sakenfield. 5 vols. Nashville: Abingdon, 2006-2009

NLH New Literary History NovT Novum Testamentum NTS New Testament Studies

NTTS New Testament Tools and Studies
OBO Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis
OBT Overtures to Biblical Theology
OLA Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta

OTL Old Testament Library

OTP Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Edited by James H. Charlesworth.

2 vols. New York: Doubleday, 1983, 1985

PRSt Perspectives in Religious Studies

QD Quaestiones Disputatae
QR Quarterly Review
R&T Religion & Theology
RB Revue biblique
RevQ Revue de Qumran

Abbreviations XIX

RHR Revue de l'histoire des religions

SBLDS Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation Series
SBLSBS Society of Biblical Literature Sources for Biblical Study

SBS Stuttgarter Bibelstudien
SBT Studies in Biblical Theology
ScrHier Scripta Hierosolymitana

SHR Studies in the History of Religions (supplements to Numen)

ST Studia Theologica

StABH Studies in American Biblical Hermeneutics STDJ Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah

STTCL Studies in Twentieth & Twenty-First Century Literature

SUNT Studien zur Umwelt des Neuen Testaments SVTP Studia in Veteris Testamenti Pseudepigraphica

SymS Symposium Series

TDOT Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament. Edited by G. Johannes

Botterweck and Helmer Ringgren. Translated by John T. Willis, Geoffrey W. Bromiley, and David E. Green. 16 vols. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans,

1974-2006

TLZ Theologische Literaturzeitung

VT Vetus Testamentum

VTSup Supplements to Vetus Testamentum

VWGTh Veröffentlichungen der Wissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft für Theologie

WBC Word Biblical Commentary

WMANT Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament

WO Die Welt des Orients

WUNT Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

WUNT 2 Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe

YNER Yale Near Eastern Researches

ZAW Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft

DJD Volumes Referred to in the Essays

DJD VII Maurice Baillet, *Qumrân grotte 4.III (4Q482–4Q520)*, DJD VII (Oxford: Clarendon, 1982).

DJD XI Esther Eshel, et al., *Qumran Cave 4.VI: Poetical and Liturgical Texts, Part 1*, DJD XI (Oxford: Clarendon, 1998).

DJD XXII George J. Brooke, et al., *Qumran Cave 4.XVII: Parabiblical Texts, Part 3*, DJD XXII (Oxford: Clarendon, 1996).

DJD XXIII Florentino García Martínez, Eibert J. C. Tigchelaar, and Adam S. van der Woude, *Manuscripts from Qumran Cave 11.II (11Q2–18, 11Q20–30)*, DJD XXIII (Oxford: Clarendon, 1998).

DJD XXVI Philip Alexander and Géza Vermes, Qumran Cave 4.XIX: 4Qserekh Ha-Yaḥad, DJD XXVI (Oxford: Clarendon, 1998).

DJD XXX Devorah Dimant, Qumran Cave 4.XXI: Parabiblical Texts, Part 4: Pseudo-Prophetic Texts, DJD XXX (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2001). XX Abbreviations

DJD XL Hartmut Stegemann with Eileen Schuller, 1QHodayot^a: With Incorporation of 1QHodayot^b and 4QHodayot^{a-f}, with translation of texts by Carol Newsom, in consultation with James VanderKam and Monica Brady, DJD XL (Oxford: Clarendon, 2009).

I. Essays in Method

1. Bakhtin, the Bible, and Dialogic Truth

An interview for a candidate in Old Testament at Emory University School of Theology provided an all-too-familiar example of the impasse at which conversations between biblical scholars and theologians tend to arrive. The theologian asked the biblical scholar what he considered the theological center of the Old Testament to be. The biblical scholar demurred at the notion of a center, insisting instead on the Bible's diversity. "Yes, of course," said the theologian, "but surely there must be some primary theme or themes that run through the diversity - covenant? creation?" "There may be some prominent themes among certain large blocks of material," conceded the biblical scholar, "but identifying any one or two of those as the unifying themes of the Old Testament betrays its extraordinary variety and distorts its historical particularity." "Well," said the theologian, "what about taking all its varied and diverse statements as claims about a single referent - God?" "Even that," the biblical scholar argued, "runs aground when one considers the nature of biblical religions and the various local manifestations of deity. The modern conception of 'God' is problematic for these texts." "I'm not trying to do violence to the historical particularity of the Bible or its cultural context," said the increasingly frustrated theologian. "I'm just trying to find something that theology can work with."

My sympathies in this conversation were largely with the biblical scholar, defending the "pied beauty" of the variegated biblical text against the reductionist quest for a center. Nevertheless, I was troubled by the fact that the biblical scholar's stance was essentially one of resistance only. The theologian's expectation that biblical studies produce "something that theology can work with" struck me as an entirely legitimate expectation. But how can this be done in a way that respects the radical particularity of biblical texts? Traditional biblical theologies have attempted to negotiate the tensions between "the one and the many," but in a way that has taken the philosophical assumptions of theological discourse for granted. One can hear this in the way the language of unity, center, or system appears in biblical theologians' definitions of what they do: "The theology of the Old Testament may be defined as the systematic account of the specific religious ideas which can be found throughout the Old Testament and which form its profound unity" (Edmund Jacob); "Any 'Old Testament theology' has

¹ This and the following quotations are collected by Ben C. Ollenburger, "Old Testament Theology: A Discourse on Method," in *Biblical Theology: Problems and Perspectives*, ed. Steven J. Kraftchick, Charles D. Myers, Jr., and Ben C. Ollenburger (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1995).

the task of presenting what the Old Testament says about God as a coherent whole" (Walther Zimmerli); "A theology of the Old Testament has the task of summarizing and viewing together what the Old Testament as a whole, in all its sections, says about God" (Claus Westermann).

Despite the expressed commitments of the totality of the biblical text, the quest for system and unity often results in practice in a sharp distinction between center and periphery, if not in the outright disqualification of those texts which resist the biblical theologian's systematization. The implications of such thinking are illustrated in a comment by Diethelm Michel. At the end of a very learned book on Ecclesiastes, he cannot help putting the book in its place theologically: "[Qoheleth's] 'God who is in Heaven' is not the God of Abraham, not the God of Isaac, not the God of Jacob, not the God in Jesus Christ. That, for all the fascination which comes from this thinker, one may not overlook." Here, a salvation-historical theology is implicitly treated as the center of biblical religion, and what does not cohere is marginalized or excluded. Concerning the impasse that often develops between theologians and biblical scholars, I want to suggest that part of the problem is that the type of discourse which is natural to the theologian and which has often been imported into biblical theology is not adequate for engaging the biblical text. Another model of discourse exists, however, which I think is adequate for engaging the biblical text and which does give theology something to work with. This alternative model I find in Mikhail Bakhtin's distinction between a monologic sense of truth and a dialogic sense of truth as he works these out in his book *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics*³ and in his essay "Discourse in the Novel."4

The monologic conception of truth is fairly easy to grasp, because it is the conception of truth that has dominated modern thought for some time, characteristic not only of philosophy and theology but also of literature. There are three important features of the monologic sense of truth. First, the basic building block of monologic truth is the "separate thought," a statement which does not finally depend on the one who says it for its truth. We customarily call this sort of "separate thought" a proposition. Pragmatically, of course, who says it may matter a great deal, but the content of the thought is not determined by the one who says it. It is repeatable by others and just as true (or untrue) when spoken by them. Bakhtin calls these "no-man's thoughts." The second feature of the monologic sense of truth is that it tends to gravitate toward a system. It seeks unity. These may be larger or smaller systems, but monologic statements

² Diethelm Michel, *Untersuchungen zur Eigenart des Buches Qohelet* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1989), 289.

³ Mikhail M. Bakhtin, *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics*, ed. and trans. Caryl Emerson (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984).

⁴ Mikhail M. Bakhtin, *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays*, ed. Michael Holquist, trans. Caryl Emerson and Michael Holquist (Austin, TX: University of Texas Press, 1981), 259–422.

are congenial to being ordered in a systemic way. The third feature characteristic of the monologic sense of truth is that in principle it can be comprehended by a single consciousness. No matter how much complexity or nuance in a proposition or system, a person of sufficient intellectual ability can think it. Perhaps it would be more apt to say that the proposition or system is structured in such a way that even if it is the product of many minds, it is represented as capable of being spoken by a single voice.

Although the paradigmatic examples of the monologic sense of truth would be the great philosophical systems of the nineteenth century, Bakhtin insists that this sort of conception of truth is actually quite pervasive. It is the sense of truth that undergirds all sorts of critical activity, but it is also the sense of truth embedded in most literature. Bakhtin identifies the lyric poem as a particularly clear example of monologic discourse, since it works resolutely through the construction of a single voice or consciousness through which all perceptions and statements are organized. But most novels are also monologic. Despite the fact that they may have many different characters, drawn quite differently and acting as spokespersons for different ideologies, it is finally the author's ideology and perspective which coordinates all the parts of the novel and gives it unity.

One can see how the operation of these assumptions have created problems for the understanding of the Bible and for the conversation between theology and biblical studies. Critical biblical scholarship was founded on the perception that the Bible was not monologic. It lacked precisely those features that characterize monologic discourse. Biblical criticism used the evidence of contradiction, disjunction, multiple perspectives, and so forth, to make the case for the Bible's heterogeneity. This was not a book that could be understood as the product of a single consciousness. Moses did not write the Pentateuch.

Driven by the "self-evident" claims of monologic truth, however, biblical criticism attempted to disentangle the various voices, so that one could identify the different individual monologic voices. That seemed to be the only way to deal with the phenomenon of a text whose multivoicedness contradicted the reigning notions of authorship. In so doing, however, biblical scholarship found itself notably lacking in a theoretical framework for understanding the whole. Neither redaction criticism, which examined the latest stages of composition and editing, nor tradition criticism, which investigated the deepest layers of tradition and their reworking, provided an adequate mode of understanding the whole. Literary readings of the new critical persuasion offered to deal with the final form of the text but did so by reading the text "as though" it had been the product of a single author. Reader response approaches located the unifying consciousness increasingly in the reader. With the exception of certain deconstructive approaches, all of these attempts have begun with an unchallenged assumption of a monologic sense of truth.

But what if a monologic conception of truth is not the only possibility? Bakhtin developed his notions of dialogical truth and polyphony to account for what he perceived to be a radical distinctiveness in the novels of Fyodor Dostoevsky. He saw Dostoevsky as the precursor of a new way of writing and a new way of representing ideas. There is also a strong ethical component in Bakhtin's work. Dialogism is not only descriptive of certain kinds of literature; it is a prescriptive model for understanding persons and communities and for the conduct of discourse. This double orientation of his thinking makes it particularly fitting to bring to a problem of how to understand the Bible in relation to theological discourse.

Compared with monologism, it is less easy to describe what Bakhtin means by a dialogic sense of truth, in large part because we are unaccustomed to thinking in these terms. The first and most important characteristic of a dialogic sense of truth is that, in contrast to monologic discourse, it "requires a plurality of consciousness ... [which] in principle cannot be fitted within the bounds of a single consciousness." A dialogic truth exists at the point of intersection of several unmerged voices. The paradigm, of course, is that of the conversation. One cannot have a genuine conversation with oneself. It requires at least two unmerged voices for a conversation to exist.

A second important feature is the embodied, almost personal quality of dialogic truth in contrast to the abstraction characteristic of monologism. Again, the paradigm of the conversation is illustrative. The participants in a conversation are not propositions or assertions but the persons who utter them. In contrast to monologic discourse, Bakhtin says, "the ultimate indivisible unit is not the assertion, but rather the integral point of view, the integral position of a personality." Consequently, this is an emphatically nonabstract understanding of discourse and of truth. In a conversation statements are not "no-man's thoughts." It is of the essence who says them.

Third, there is no drift toward the systematic in dialogic truth. What emerges is not system but "a concrete event made up of organized human orientations and voices." "Event" rather than "system" is what gives dialogic truth its unity. It is a dynamic, not a propositional, unity. One of the things that drew Bakhtin to Dostoevsky's ability to represent the "image of an idea" in the interactions of his characters was the way he captured the dialogic nature of ideas themselves. An idea does not live in a person's isolated individual consciousness but only insofar as it enters into dialogical relations with other ideas and with the ideas of others.⁸ It may attempt to displace other ideas, seek to enlist other ideas, be qualified by other ideas, develop new possibilities in the encounter with alien

⁵ Bakhtin, *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics*, 81.

⁶ Bakhtin, Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics, 93.

⁷ Bakhtin, Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics, 93.

⁸ Bakhtin, Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics, 87-88.

ideas. That is how it lives. All of an idea's interactions are a part of its identity. The truth about the idea cannot be comprehended by a single consciousness. It requires the plurality of consciousness that can enter into relationship with it from a variety of noninterchangeable perspectives.

The fourth aspect of dialogic truth is that it is always open. Bakhtin's term for this may be more elegant in Russian, but in English it has been translated as "unfinalizability." As Bakhtin puts it, "Nothing conclusive has yet taken place in the world, the ultimate word of the world and about the world has not yet been spoken, the world is open and free, everything is still in the future, and will always be in the future." There is an ethical component to this observation. Since the idea and the person who utters it are not separable, it is *persons* who are not finalizable. Whereas monologic conceptions make it possible to "sum up" a person, a dialogic orientation is aware that persons have never spoken their final word and so remain open and free.

These notions are easier to grasp with a concrete example. In discussing *Crime* and Punishment, Bakhtin notes that prior to the action of the novel the character Raskolnikov has published a newspaper article (a monologic form), giving the theoretical bases of the idea which so preoccupies him. But Dostoevsky never puts that article before the reader. Rather, the content of that article is introduced by another character, Porphiry, who gives a provocatively exaggerated account of it in a conversation with Raskolnikov, who replies at various points. Raskolnikov's comments, however, themselves contain a number of possible objections to his own perspective. They internalize a kind of dialogue about his own ideas. A third character joins the conversation with additional perspectives. Later in the book others with quite different life positions engage Raskolnikov's idea, disclosing new aspects and possibilities inherent in it, taking it up as their own or repudiating it. As Bakhtin says, "In the course of this dialogue Raskolnikov's idea reveals its various facets, nuances, possibilities, it enters into various relationships with other life-positions. As it loses its monologic, abstractly theoretical finalized quality, a quality sufficient to a single consciousness, it acquires the contradictory complexity and living multi-facedness of an idea-force, being born, living and acting in the great dialogue of the epoch and calling back and forth to kindred ideas of other epochs. Before us rises up an image of the idea."10

Texts, of course, are not conversations. Even novels, although they may contain staged conversations between characters, are usually not true conversations in the sense that Bakhtin meant. Most literary works are monologic in that the voices appearing therein are controlled by the author's perspective. They cannot address the reader directly, since they are approached only through the author's evaluating perspective. Bakhtin, however, believed that it was possible to

⁹ Bakhtin, Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics, 106.

¹⁰ Bakhtin, Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics, 89.

produce in a literary work something that approximated a genuine dialogue, a mode of writing he called polyphonic. This is the type of writing that he believed Dostoevsky embodied. Polyphonic writing makes several changes in the position of the author, the role of the reader, the status of the plot, and the nature of a work's conclusion. The relationship between the author and the characters of the book is changed in that the author must give up the type of control exercised in monologic works and attempt to create several consciousnesses which will be truly independent of the author's and interact with genuine freedom. This is not to say that the author gives up a presence in the work, but that the author's perspective becomes only one among others, without privilege. Bakhtin himself makes a religious analogy. The author's relation to these free characters is like that of God who creates human beings as morally free agents. 11 The reader's position in a polyphonic work is changed in part because the function of the plot is also changed. In a monologic novel the reader is asked primarily to analyze characters, plot, circumstances. 12 But in a polyphonic text the dialogic play of ideas is not merely a function of plot and character but is the motive of the entire work. As Gary Saul Morson and Caryl Emerson, the foremost interpreters of Bakhtin, put it, "One must read not for the plot, but for the dialogues, and to read for the dialogues is to participate in them."13 Finally, the shape of a polyphonic work is different. It would be contradictory to expect closure in such a work, because dialogue by its nature is open, "unfinalizable." In Bakhtin's view, Dostoevsky did not solve this creative problem well, with the exception of *The* Brothers Karamazov. There the novel ends "polyphonically and openly," inviting the reader "to draw dotted lines to a future, unresolved continuation." ¹⁴ The final word, as Bakhtin insists, cannot be spoken.

What Bakhtin has to say about polyphony in Dostoevsky and about dialogic truth as an alternative to monologic modes of thought may be interesting in their own right, but the pertinent issue here is what use these observations might be to biblical studies and particularly to the conversation between Bible and theology. ¹⁵ As a descriptive category, polyphony is a useful model for understanding the nature of the biblical text, one that can avoid some of the distortions of the various attempts to grasp its unity in terms of center, system, and abstract summary. Since polyphonic texts by their nature draw the reader into engagement with the content of their ideas, this way of reading the Bible might also lead to nonmonological forms of biblical theology that could provide a

¹¹ Gary Saul Morson and Caryl Emerson, *Mikhail Bakhtin: Creation of a Prosaics* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1990), 240.

¹² Morson and Emerson, Mikhail Bakhtin, 249.

¹³ Morson and Emerson, Mikhail Bakhtin, 249.

¹⁴ Morson and Emerson, Mikhail Bakhtin, 253.

¹⁵ A different sort of appropriation of Bakhtin for literary study of the Bible has been proposed by Walter Reed, *Dialogues of the Word: The Bible as Literature according to Bakhtin* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1993).

way around the impasse that frequently develops between biblical studies and theology.

First, let us consider the descriptive issues. The Bible certainly is not a monologic text. There is no single "author" who coordinates and controls meaning across the whole. One can easily identify a plurality of unmerged voices in the Bible. That is not to say that it is a polyphonic text in the same way that Bakhtin claims The Brothers Karamazov is, however. For Bakhtin, the polyphonic text is an intentional artistic representation of the dialogic nature of an idea. Whatever the Bible is, it is not that. Unless one wants to claim that the Holy Spirit is the polyphonic author of the Bible in the same way that Dostoevsky is the polyphonic author of Karamazov, then one has to admit that Bakhtin's categories must be used only in a heuristic way. Although there are some things that one can say about the whole Bible in light of Bakhtin's categories, it is easier to evaluate the potential of Bakhtin by beginning with the largest possible compositional units. These would include the Primary History (Genesis through 2 Kings) and the Secondary History (1-2 Chronicles, plus Ezra-Nehemiah). Beyond that, the compositional units would primarily be the individual biblical books. In what follows, it is possible to make only the sketchiest suggestions for how an approach based on Bakhtin's dialogism might proceed. There are, however, four different examples which give some idea of the possibilities.

The first example is a book that actually comes very close to Bakhtin's model of a polyphonic text – the book of Job. If one makes the heuristic assumption (as I do) that a single author wrote the book, then it reads very much like a polyphonic text. The author has created a series of free and unmerged voices (the narrator, the three friends, Job, Elihu, God). The book is an intensely ideological and explicitly dialogical work. Ideas which are first presented monologically are soon subjected to a great deal of dialogical refraction as they are answered, echoed, nuanced, parodied, and placed in new relationships with other ideas.¹⁶

The way the characters use language in Job is a textbook example of the dialogical characteristic of speech Bakhtin calls "double-voicing." The friends populate their speech with schematic renderings in the diction, accents, and style of traditional moral and liturgical discourse. The voice of the other can be heard sounding within their own speech in a mutually reinforcing dialogic agreement. Job double-voices words in a different way. For example, he uses the worlds of psalmic discourse, yet overlays them with his own intentions, so that

¹⁶ Bakhtin himself identified the book of Job as one of the influences on Dostoevsky's dialogic style: "The influence on Dostoevsky of Job's dialogue and several evangelical dialogues is indisputable, while Platonic dialogues simply lay outside the sphere of his interest. In its structure Job's dialogue is internally endless, for the opposition of the soul to God – whether the opposition be hostile or humble – is conceived in it as something irrevocable and eternal. However, Biblical dialogue will also not lead us to the most fundamental artistic features of Dostoevsky's dialogue." See Bakhtin, "Three Fragments from the 1929 Edition *Problems of Dostoevsky's Art*," in *Problems of Dostoevsky's Poetics* (n. 3 above), 272–82 (280).

assumptions and ideological commitments which were obscure when those words were voiced monologically suddenly become evident. It is no accident either that the climactic moment of the book is a dialogical one. Everything in the book comes down to Job's answer, a voice that must affirm, reject, or demur.

The book of Job even succeeds better than Dostoevsky in dealing with the artistic problem of devising a polyphonic conclusion. The ending of the book undermines any monologizing tendencies by thwarting all attempts to harmonize the various elements of the book. One is faced with the elusiveness of the divine speeches, the semantic ambiguity of Job's reply, the disconcerting segue to the prose in which God explicitly repudiates what the friends have said and affirms what Job has said; and yet in the narrative conclusion God acts, and events unfold, just as the friends had promised. The apparent monologic resolution is an illusion, and the conversation is projected beyond the bounds of the book. The shape of the book grants a measure of truth to each of the perspectives and so directs one's attention to the point of intersection of these unmerged voices.

What difference does it make to read Job through a Bakhtinian model? It deals more adequately with the literary shape of the book and the way in which it makes dialogue thematic. It resists the attempt to reduce Job to an assertion, to encapsulate its "meaning" in a statement, which is still the tendency in much scholarship. It suggests a model in which the "truth" about a difficult issue can only be established by a community of unmerged perspectives, not by a single voice, not even that of God. It honors the book's own insight about the nonabstract character of statements, the intimate relationship between a statement and the person who makes it. The congenial fit between Bakhtin and Job is itself an indication of the fruitfulness of the relationship, but other parts of the Bible are not so self-consciously committed to dialogue. Nevertheless, a Bakhtinian approach offers useful options.

The Primary History, Genesis–2 Kings, provides a second example, although it is possible to look at only a fraction of it. Here even the heuristic fiction of a single author is not appropriate. This is not polyphonic writing in Bakhtin's sense. Many things are known about scribal compositional practices, both inductively from the Bible itself and from empirical evidence from the Dead Sea Scrolls and from non-Israelite ancient Near Eastern sources. It was the practice for scribes to incorporate earlier source material into their own compositions in ways that often (but not always) left the voices of those source materials unmerged. Thus, whereas a narrative like the Primary History is not a self-consciously polyphonic text, there is a kind of incipient polyphony in the cultural and intellectual practices which made use of a variety of distinctive and unmerged voices in the production of a complex narrative.

Another objection to the applicability of Bakhtin's approach might be the lack of explicit dialogical engagement among the various voices that one can identify in the Primary History. Instead of the intense dialogical engagement of a

Index of Sources

Hebrew Bible	/Old Testament	11:10	195, 310
		14:4	195, 310–11
Genesis		14:8	195, 310
1	206-8	14:17	195
1:27	206	14:17-18	311
1-3	199	15	310
2	206-7, 216	28:3	219
2-3	17, 47, 196, 206, 228,	31:1	222
	229	35:31	219
2:7	222	35:34	219
5:22	77		
6	205	Leviticus	
6–9	12-13	4:2	228
6:1-3	206	4:13-14	228
6:1-4	12, 202	4:22	228
6:3	216, 218	4:27	228
6:5	197, 199, 229, 235	27:18	222
7:7	243		
8:21	229, 235	Numbers	
8:22	266	11:17	217, 218
12:10-20	274	11:25	218
17:11-14	230	11:26	217
20:1-18	274	15:22-36	228
22:12	248	24:2	195, 217, 218
24:67	286	27:18	218
26:6-11	274		
37:28	248	Deuteronomy	
38:12-18	286	*	163, 195–96, 229
39:17-18	248	4:1-9	198
41	323, 336	10:16	230
41:38	218	11:21	274
50:20	228	29:17	234
30.20	220	30:6	230
Exodus		30:6-10	198
4:21	195, 310	32	314
5:2	311	32:8	203
9:12	195, 310		
9:34	227	Joshua	
10:1	310	24	293-94, 295
10:1	195, 310		
10:27	195, 310		

- 1			
Judges		2 Kings	
1:5-7	306	2:16	218
3:10	195, 217, 218	19:3	180
6:34	217, 218	19:4	181
7	336	19:7	195, 218, 228
13:25	218	19:34	315
14:6	195, 217, 218	24:13	307
14:19	195, 218	25:5-7	306
15:14	218	25:13–17	307
1 Samuel		1 Chronicles	
4-6	307	7:22–23	286
4:19	180	17:4	315
12	293–94	17:7	315
12:12a	293	21	202
12:12a 12:19b	293	21	202
16:13	218	2 Chronicles	
16:14	217, 218	*	317-18
27:1	226	33	336
27.1	220	33:11	306
2 Samuel			335
	215	33:12-13	307
3:18	315	36:7	
7:5	315	36:13	315
7:8	315	36:18	307
7:14	234	36:23a	317
10:2	286	Г	
12	336	Ezra *	146 217 10
12:20	286		146, 317–18
12:21	286	1:2	317
12:24	286	9:5	286
22:1	181		
22:5	177, 180–2	Nehemiah	
22:6	180-2	*	146
23:2	195, 218	_	
		Esther	
1 Kings		*	269
6	140		
11:13	315	Job	
11:32	315	*	9–10, 19–37, 76, 241–51,
11:34	315		253-71
11:36	315	1-2	19, 33, 270, 285
11:38	315	1	19, 36, 269
14:8	315	1:1	242, 247
17:21	222	1:1-11	242
22:22	217	1:1-22	246
22:23	195, 218	1:1-2:13	242, 246
		1:8	247
		1:9-11	247

1 11	250	2.1	250
1:11	250	31	250
1:13-19	247	31:2-4	250
1:20	285	31:6	250
1:20-22	247	31:13-15	250
2:1-10	246	31:23	250
2:3	247	31:28	250
2:4-5	247	31:35-37	250
2:5	242, 250	32:8	218
2:7-8	247	38	279, 282
2:9	247	38-41	250
2:10	247, 281	38:1-42:6	25, 32, 34
2:10-13	242	38:4	277
2:11	242, 285	38:7	277
2:11-13	247	39–41	277
3	32, 33, 249, 267	40	34
3–27	249	40:1-5	242
3:1-42:6	242	40:7	34
4:17	30, 249	42	19-20
4:17-21	197	42:1-6	34, 242
4:19	222	42:2-6	250-1
5:9-16	30	42:5	250
7:17-19	30	42:6	267, 285, 286
9:2-4	30, 249	42:7	36
10:9	222	42:7a	37
12	249	42:7b	37
13:13-27	249	42:7-8	242, 268
13:20-27	34	42:7-9	267
15	249	42:7-10	247
15:16	197	42:7-17	242, 246
16:18-21	249	42:8-9	36-37
18	249	42:10-17	36
19	268	42:11	286
19:23-27	249	42:11-12	286
20	249	42:11-17	247
21	249		
22	31	Psalms	
22:1-20	249	*	167-69, 172-74, 196
23:3-7	34, 249	2	307
24:18-25	31	2:7	305
25	31	4:5-7	178
25:4-6	197	8	30
26:5-14	31	19:3	222
27	246	22:27-31	168
27:13-23	31	26:12	170
28	25, 31–32, 250	28:3	226
29-31	25, 31–32, 34, 250–1,	30:3	167
27 31	267	42:6	227
29:16	178	42:8	180
27.10	1/0	12.0	100

F.1	222 22	1.12.	41
51	232–33	1:12a	41
51:3-4	233	1:12b	41
51:9-11	233	1:14b	42
51:12	218	1:15	41
51:12-13	233	1:17	41
51:12-14	232	1:18	41
51:19	233	1:19	42
72:2	222	1:20	42
78:1-4	96	1:20-33	42-43
88:8	180	1:22	42-43
89:3	315	1:23	223
89:20	315	1:26-33	42
89:20-21	305	2	43-46, 47, 48,
89:23	306		49
89:33	234	2:1-2	43
93:4	180	2:1-11	43
94:4	222	2:3-4	43
103:14	222	2:5	43
104:29	216	2:8-20	44
105	294-96	2:9	44
105:8-11	295	2:12	44
105:42-45	295	2:12-15	43, 45
105:44-45	295	2:14a	44
106	294–96	2:15	44
106:6	295	2:16-20	43
106:8	296	2:17	45
106:44-46	296	2:18	45
106:47	296	2:19	45
110:1	305	2:21	44
119	155-56	3	46-47
119:21-22	172	3:1-4	47
119:171	222	3:2	46
139:19-24	172	3:4	46
142	172	3:12	47
145:7	222	3:13	47
143.7	222	3:13-21	47
Proverbs		3:19-21 3:19-20	47
*	106 07		
	196-97	4	47–48
1-9	39–54, 183	4:2	49
1	45, 46	4:3	40
1:1-4	100-1	4:3-4	47
1:2-9	39-41	4:5-9	48
1:4	40	4:6-9	51
1:5	40	4:10-19	48
1:8	40	4:20-27	48
1:10	41	5–6	48, 49–51
1:10-19	41–42	5	52, 54
1:11	41	5:1-2	96

5:3	49	19:28	222
5:4	49	26:24	226
5:7-14	50	20.24	220
5:8	50	Ooheleth	
5:9	50	*	16
5:9–10	50	12:7	216
5:9-11	50	12.7	210
5:11	50	Isaiah	
5:12–13	51	6:10a	195
5:15-20	50, 51	9:5	180, 182
5:15-20 6-8	236	10	312
6:12–15	48	10:5	313
6:13	48	10:5–14	311
6:16–19	48	10:5-14	313
6:20	40	10:7	313
	198	10:15	311
6:20-24			
6:20–35	50	10:16	313
6:24	49	11:2	218
6:29	50	13:7–8a	179
6:30	50	21:3	179
6:30-31	50	29:16	222
6:33	51	30:6	178
6:35	50	37	312
7–9	51-53	37:3	177, 180–1
7	45, 51–53	37:21-29	311
7:1-5	198	37:24	312
7:4	52	37:25	312
7:5	49	37:26	312
7:9	52	37:35	315
7:10	52	38	335
7:11	52	38:9	335
7:12	52	40-66	300
7:21	49, 52	41:6-7	329
7:22	53	41:25b	329
7:22-23	52	42:1	218
7:24-27	52	42:14	178
8	42, 46, 51–53	42:17	329
8:1-11	198	44:3	218
8:15-16	52	44:9-20	329
8:17	52	44:24–26a	326
8:30-31	52	44:24-45:8	326
8:34	52	44:24-45:13	316
10:11	222	44:26-28	326
9	51, 53	45:1-8	329
15:2	222	45:3b	317, 329
15:28	222	45:4b	329
18:4	222	45:4-6a	317
18:17	46	45:5b-6a	329

45:9	222	Ezekiel	
45:9b	326	*	146, 196–97, 208, 220,
45:9-13	326		229, 298
46:1	305	1	74, 139
46:1-7	329	6:9	232
50:5	178	10	139
51:1	300	11:5	218
53:8	234	11:19	220, 231
59:7	41	14:14-20	242
66:7	180	14:14	243
		14:16	243
Jeremiah		16	297
*	196-97, 229, 298,	17:11-21	315
	316–17	20	297, 301
4:4	230	23	297
4:31	180	20:8	207
5:4-5	229	20:13	297
5:13	229	20:43	232
5:21	229	32:2-8	308
6:10	229	34:23	315
6:23-24	179	34:24	315
7:4	314	36	232
8:4-9	229	36:25	220
8:23	222	36:26	231
9:3	229	36:26-27	197, 208, 220
9:24-25	230	36:27	220
13:21	180	36:31	232
16:7	286	36:32	232
17:1	230	37:1	218
25	314	37:6	220
25:9	315	37:14	220
27-29	314	37:24	315
27:5-6	314	37:25	315
27:7	315	39:29	218
31:7	97	40-48	133, 140
31:33-34	197, 230	46	142
33:21	315		
33:22	315	Daniel	
33:26	315	*	17
37-38	314	1	323
49:24	179	1-4	318
50-51	313-14	1-6	298, 318, 323
50:2-3	305	1:2	307
50:31-32	313	1:4	219
51:7	314	1:17	218
51:34-39	313	2	80, 298-300, 319-20,
51:44	305		322-23, 325
51:59-64	313	2-4	327

2-5	332	10	76
2-6	323	10:4–19	75
2:35	320	10:7	73
2:36-44	323	10:8-10	73
2:47	325	10:20	82
3	319, 322, 325, 327	10:21	79
3:15	248	11	77, 78, 80, 301–2,
3:28	248, 319		310
3:28-29	325	11:9-10	302
3:31-33	328	11:26	302
4	319, 322, 325, 327,	11:29	302
_	329–34, 336–38	11:30b-39	302
4-6	321	11:36	76, 310
4:5-6	218	11:40	302
4:14	326	12:1-3	75
4:37	319	12:3	79
5		12:4	74, 79
	322, 328		•
5:11	218	12:5–12	77
5:30	328	12:10	74, 78
6:4	219	**	
6:5	248	Hosea *	106
6:22	248		196
6:26	319	4:16	98
6:27-28	328	13:3	180
7–12	82, 298		
7	17, 73, 77, 80,	Joel	
	310	3:1	218
7:7-9	74		
7:8	76	Jonah	
7:8b	310	2:4	180
7:10	79		
7:13	75	Micah	
7:15	77	2:10	180
7:18	75	2:12	97
7:20b	310	3:8	219
7:21	76	6	96
7:25a	310		
7:27	75	Zephaniah	
7:28	73	3:13	97
8	73, 77, 301	5.15	<i>31</i>
8:5-7	301	Zechariah	
	301	*	146
8:8a			
8:25b	301	3:8-10	318
8:10	76	4:6-7	318
8:16-18	75	12:1	222
8:25b	310	361 1:	
8:27	73	Malachi	
9	76, 77, 80	*	146

Ancient Near Eastern Texts		Texts	Sirach	
			*	156, 189, 199
Egyptian			3:1	96
C, 1			3:28	234
"Dialogue of a M	lan with h	is Ba"	7:4	128
*		31	15	189
			15:11-12	189
Mesopotamian			15:11-16	155
_			15:14-16	155
Atrahasis		242	15:15-17	189
Babylonian Theo	dicy	29, 31, 33–34,	38:24-34	79
		249-50		
The Date Palm a	nd the Tar	narisk	1 Maccabees	
		29	6	311
Babylon Stele VI		323	6:12	311
Behistun Inscrip	tion	324	6:13	311
Cyrus Cylinder		325-26	0.120	011
"Dialogue Betwe	en a Man	248	2 Maccabees	
and His God"			9:5	311
Dialogue of Pess	imism	250	9:12	311
Ehulhul Cylinde		322	9:17	311
Gilgamesh Epic		242	9:18	311
Harran Inscription	on		<i>7.</i> 10	311
÷		327, 329, 332,	Prayer of Manasseh	
		334-38	*	335
I.11		322		333
III.1-2		322		
Ludlul Bēl Nēmegi		248		
"Man and His G		248	Pseudepigrapha	
Neo-Assyrian Aı				
Esarhaddon		306	Apocalypse of Al	braham
Sennacherib		315	10	76
Verse Account of Nabonid			10:1-2	73
*		325–26	11	75
V.10-11		323	18	74
V.10 11		323	19-32	77, 78
Ugaritic			Apocalypse of Ze	ephaniah
Aqhat	243		*	73
11411111	- 10		3:6	77
			6:16	77
D	. 10	1	9:13	79
Deuterocanon	ncal Boo	oks	J.13	,,
			Aramaic Levi Do	cument
Tobit			*	202
*	115			_
2:10	248		Ascension of Isai	ah
3:16-17	48		*	76
				, 0

2 Baruch		1	75
*	199, 303	3-22	73
36-42	77	17	75
36	73	19:5	79
51:10	75	21	75
53-74	80, 82	22	74, 75
53-76	77	35	79
53 - 70	73	70-71	75 75
54:19	199	/0-/1	73
		4 E	
77–87	74	4 Ezra *	50 57 100 007 000
a.DI.			72, 76, 189, 236, 303
3 Baruch		3:1	73
*	73, 76	3:21-22	236
		3:22	236
1 Enoch		3:25-26	236
*	113, 133	4:30	236
1:2-3	74	7:48	236
2-5	72	7:63-72	236
6-16	76, 80, 82	8:62-69	236
9-10	76	9:38-10:59	76
14	74	10:27-28	73
14:13-14	73	11:1-12:39	76
14:12	73	13	73, 77
15:8–16:1	202	13:1-58	76
17–19	73, 76	13.1 30	70
17–19	78	Jubilees	
19:1	203	*	112 110 120 122 202
			113, 119, 128, 133, 203 79
20–36	73, 76	1:5	
40	75 75	5:13-14	79
48-49	75	10:1-14	203
71	74, 75	10:12-14	79
71-82	80	12:20-21	234
72-82	78	15:31-32	203
83-90	76, 78, 80	17:15-18:19	203
83:1	74	19:28-29	203
85-88	76	30:20	79
85-90 ("Animal .	Apocalypse")	30:22	79
	302-3		
89:62-64	79	Psalms of Solome	on
91:3	96	*	310
92:1	79	2:25	310
93:1-2	77	2:29	310
	7 ("Apocalypse of Weeks")	2:29-30	310
75.1 10,71.11 1	62, 80, 303	2.25 00	
108:1	79	Testament of Lev	r i
100.1	17	2–5	62
2 Enoch		3	
2 Enoch	76	3	75, 76
•	76		

Testament of Jo	b	1QS (1QSerek h	a-Yahad)
*	244	*	95, 99–102, 112–14,
			120, 123, 124–25, 129,
			133, 149–56, 160–61
Dead Sea Scr	alls	I–II	99
Deua Sea Sci	ous	I, 1-7	101
CD (D	.	I, 1–11	151-52
CD (Damascus		I, 1–17	99
*	95, 96–102, 115, 123,	I, 7–11	101
	126, 199–201, 203,	I, 11	199
T 1 0	235–36	I, 18-III, 12	99
I, 1–2	96	II, 4–10	203
I, 1–II, 1	97	III–IV	78
I, 2	96	III, 2	128
I, 3–4	97	III, 12	128
I, 3–II, 1	97	III, 13-15	127
I, 4–5	97	III, 13-IV, 26	81, 99, 204-5, 223-24
I, 5	98	III, 15-16	78, 81
I, 9–10	98	III, 17–19	204
I, 11	96, 98	III, 18	78
I, 14	102	III, 21–24	204
I, 21–II, 1	98	III, 23	223
II, 2–IV, 6	97	III, 24–25	204
II, 2–3	201, 235	IV, 4-6	120
II, 15	199, 201	IV, 18-23	174
II, 16	235	IV, 20	204, 205
II, 17	200	V-IX	99
II, 20–21	200	V, 1	199
III, 13–14	147	V, 8	199
IV, 8	96	V, 20-21	152
V, 15–VI, 11	97	V, 24	152
VI, 2–3	96	V, 25-VI, 1	105
VI, 7	96	VI, 13-16	152
VI, 11	96	VI, 15	153
XVI, 4	203	VI, 17	153
1On Hab (Dacha	" Habaldrule)	VI, 18	153
1QpHab (Peshe		VIII, 14	127
II, 1–3	102	IX, 6	128
V, 9–12	102	IX, 12-26	99
VII, 5	78 102	IX, 12-XI, 22	99
XI, 4–8	102	IX, 26-X, 5	124
1021 (10TI;)		X, 1-XI, 22	99, 155
1Q21 (1QTLevi *		XI, 3	78
	114	XI, 8	128
1027 (10Mrss4)		XI, 9-10	208
1Q27 (1QMyst) 1 I, 3	78	XI, 20-22	208
1 1, J	70	XI, 21–22	222

1QSa (1QSerek ha-Edah) VII, 16 155			
*		VII, 16 VII, 26–27	155 215
I 26	113 128		216
I, 26	126	VII, 34	
100k (100kula ad	Donadiations)	VIII, 18	207, 215, 216
1QSb (1QRule of		VIII, 20	208, 215, 220, 223
11/ 25	113, 124–25	VIII, 21	208
IV, 25	127, 128	VIII, 25	208
IV, 25–26	147	VIII, 29	208, 215, 220
IV, 26	128	VIII, 30	219
10) ((10) (:11	1.)	IX	174, 184
1QM (1QMilḥan *		IX, 7–20	154
*	81, 95, 113, 114, 117,	IX, 21–23	155
-	129, 136	IX, 23	78, 216, 222
I	82, 127	IX, 23–25	208
I, 4–9	122	IX, 24	207, 215
II, 1	138	X–XI	169
VII, 6	75	X, 1–19	161, 169
X, 4	127	X, 20–22	169
X, 7	127	X, 20-30	169-74, 176, 178-79,
XV-XIX	82		182, 184
XVII, 7–8	75	X, 28	173
		X, 31-39	175
1Q34bis (1QLitP	r)	XI	175-85
II, 6	121	XI, 1–18	169, 175-85
		XI, 7	175
1QHa (1QHodayota)		XI, 7b-8	180
*	102-6, 113-15, 117,	XI, 22	215
	125, 149–56, 161–85,	XI, 22-24	146
	205-8, 211-24	XI, 24	216
III, 29	216	XII–XVI	169
IV, 17–25	155	XII, 5–XIII, 4	161
IV, 29	208, 215, 220	XII, 8	172
IV, 33	155	XII, 23	172
IV, 37	206–8, 215	XII, 30	216
IV, 38	219	XII, 30–31	208
V, 9–19	154	XIII	221
V, 17	168	XIII, 5–19	161
V, 17 V, 19	78	XIII, 9–11	184
V, 19–22		XIII, 13	221
	155, 168		
V, 30	207, 215	XIII, 15	172
V, 30–32	208	XIII, 20–XV, 5	161
V, 30–33	208	XIII, 22–26	103
V, 32	207, 215, 222	XIII, 24–XV, 5	103
V, 33	216	XIII, 25–26	104
V, 36	215, 220	XIII, 26–32	104
VI, 8	184	XIII, 27	221
VI, 24	208, 219	XIII, 35–XIV, 6	104
VII, 12–20	168	XIII, 38	78

XIV, 3–4	104	4Q242 (4QPrNab	o)
XIV, 8–10	104	*	321, 325, 327, 329–338
XIV, 12–19	105		
XIV, 19	105	4Q243-244 (4Qp	osDan ^{a–b})
XIV, 19-36	105	*	321
XV, 6-25	161		
XV, 10	208, 219	4Q245 (4QpsDar	n ^c)
XV, 20-22	175	*	321
XVI, 4-XVII, 36	161		
XVI, 34	216	4Q246 (4Qapocr	Dan)
XVII, 32	208	*	321
XVIII, 4	215		
XVIII, 7–9	208	4Q256 (4QSb)	
XIX, 6	216	*	99
XIX, 15	207		
XX, 11-13	184	4Q259 (4QSe)	
XX, 14-15	208	*	114
XX, 14-16	220		
XX, 15	208, 215, 220	4Q260 (4QSf)	
XX, 27-31	208	*	100
XX, 28	222		
XX, 29	216	4Q264 (4QS ^{j)}	
XX, 35	216	*	100
XX, 35–36	215		100
XXI, 7	216	4Q266 (4QDa)	
XXI, 17	216	*	96
XXI, 23	216		70
XXI, 25	216	4Q286 (4QBer ^a)	
XXI, 34	208, 215, 216, 220	*	125, 126, 134
XXI, 34 XXI, 38	216	7 II, 1–12	203
XXII, 19	216	•	125
		10 II, 1	123
XXIII, 13	216	10200 (10) Arroth)
XXIII, 28	216	4Q300 (4QMyst ^b	
XXIII, 33	219	1a II−b, 1−3	78
XXIV, 6	216	40210 (400)	
XXVI	75	4Q319 (4QOtot)	114
40151 (40 D a)		*	114
4Q171 (4QpPs ^a)		10000/10 T	
I, 26–II, 1	102	4Q383(4QapocrJ	
		*	80
4Q180–181 (4QA			
*	80	4Q385a, 389–390	
A 1, 3–4	79	390 (4QapocJerC	
		*	80, 115
4Q213, 213a, 213			
214b (4QLevi ^{a-f})		4Q 385, 386, 388	, 391 (4QPsEzek ^{a-e})
*	80, 114, 128	*	115

40204 200 (40	MMTa-f)	22 II 7 12	1.42	
4Q394–399 (4QMMT ^{a-t})		23 II, 7–12	143	
	93, 113	23 II, 12	146	
4Q400 (4QShirShabb ^a)		40415 419a (401matmustian====================================		
*		4Q415–418a (4QInstruction ^{a–e)}		
	73, 75, 76, 117, 123–29,	40416 1 12	205–7	
1 T	131–47	4Q416 1 12	206	
1 I	127, 134	4Q416 2 I, 5	78	
1 I, 3-4	135	4Q417 1 I, 17	206	
1 I, 5	135		-6	
1 I, 14	135	4Q496 (4QpapM		
1 I, 14–17	146	*	122	
1 I, 15	135			
1 I, 16	135	4Q502 (4QpapR	itMar)	
1 I, 17	135	*	120	
1 I, 19-20	135			
2	135	4Q503 (4QpapP	rQuot)	
2 1	135	*	120	
2 2-3	135			
2 3	146	4Q505 (4QpapD	ibHam ^b)	
2, 5–7	135	*	122	
2, 6-8	124, 146			
2, 0 0 121, 110		4Q507-509 (4QPrFêtes ^{a-c})		
4Q401(4QShirShabb ^b)		*	121	
11	136			
14	135	4Q510-511 (Shi	ra-b)	
22	136	*	124–25, 127–28	
22	130	4Q510 1 4	124–23, 127–28	
4Q402 (4QShir	Shabbe)	•	128	
	,	4Q510 1 4-5		
4	124	4Q510 1 6-7	127	
4 11–15	136	4Q510 2 1	127	
10.100 (10.01.1	21 114	4Q511 2 I, 5	127	
4Q403 (4QShir		4Q511 2 I, 8	127	
*	138	4Q511 2 I, 9–10		
1 I, 1–29	78	4Q511 35	127	
1 I, 4–7	137	4Q511 44	127	
1 I, 13–16	138	4Q511 63 III, 2-	3127	
1 I, 41	139			
1 II, 15-16	139	4Q543-548 (4Q)	Visions of Amram ^{a-f})	
		*	81	
4Q405 (4QShirs	Shabb ^f)			
*	138	4Q552-553 (4Q)	FourKingdoms ^{a-b})	
14-15 I, 3	140	*	321	
14-15 I, 5	140			
15 II-16 2-6	141	11Q5 (11QPs ^{a)}		
19	141	XIX	234	
20	74, 141	XIX, 15-16	202	
23 I, 1–5	142	XXIV	233–34, 236	
23 I, 6–10	142			
,	-			

Ancient Jewish Writers

Josephus *

160

Index of Modern Authors

Aaronovitch, David 332 Ackerman, Susan 325 Albertz, Rainer 323, 327, 331 Althusser, Louis 40, 44 Anderson, Gary A. 285

Baillet, Maurice 120, 128, 134 Bakhtin, Mikhail M. 4-17, 19-26, 29-30, 32, 35–37, 64–66, 149–50, 159 Bal, Mieke 45, 49 Barthes, Roland 45 Baumgarten, Joseph M. 181 Beaulieu, Alain 322, 333 Benveniste, Émile 39-40, 162-63 Bergsma, John Seitze 297 Bernard, H. Russell 71 de Beza, Theodore 254 Bickerman, Elias J. 317 Biskind, Peter 274 Bitzer, Lloyd 68, 85, 91 Black, Edwin 69-70, 90 Block, Daniel I. 297 Borchert, Wolfgang 245, 264, 269 Bourdieu, Pierre 94 Brand, Miryam T. 234 Brettler, Marc Zvi 230 Brooke, George 216 Brown, Schuyler 175, 182 Brummett, Barry 67-68 Buccellati, Giorgio 28-30, 249

Carey, Greg 71–72 Carmignac, Jean 118, 144 Cavell, Stanley 72–73 Chamberlain, John V. 175 Chapman, Cynthia 306–7 Clifford, Richard J. 86, 302

Burke, Kenneth 68-69, 80, 88, 92, 94,

Burgmann, Hans 118

107, 165, 172, 309 Butler, Judith 307 Clines, David J. A. 20, 26 Collingwood, R. G. 297–98 Collins, John J. 56, 61, 206, 320, 321, 331 Conley, Thomas M. 83–84, 106 Crenshaw, James L. 43 Culler, Jonathan 59

D'Andrade, Roy G. 211
Delcor, Mathias 175
Demos, Raphael 73
Derrida, Jacques 46, 57, 307
Di Vito, Robert A. 225–26
Dostoevsky, Fyodor 6–11, 13, 16–17, 23–24
Dozeman, Thomas 107
Dreyfus, Hubert 276, 286
Drijvers, Han J. W. 333
Dupont-Sommer, André 116–17, 121, 175

Eggers, Kurt 261–63 Emerson, Caryl 8, 12–13 Eriugena, Johannes Scotus 274 Ewald, Heinrich 256

Farrell, Thomas 72, 92
Fauconnier, Gilles 65, 334–36
Fiore, Benjamin 107
Fisch, Harold 165, 168
Fishelov, David 63
Fowler, Alastair 56, 58–59, 64
Fox, Michael V. 86, 196
Fraade, Steven D. 106
Frevel, Christian 225, 227
Frey, Jörg 205, 216
Fried, Lisbeth 316
Frost, Robert 268–69
Fulford, Robert 296

Geertz, Clifford 157, 165 Gelber, S. Michael 260 Gitay, Yehoshua 86 Golb, Norman 111–13, 115 Good, Edwin M. 20 Grabbe, Lester L. 317 Grelot, Pierre 331 Gunkel, Hermann 55

Habel, Norman C. 20 Hadas, Moses 257, 260 Haerten, Theodor 263 Hahn, Scott Walker 297 Hanson, Paul 71 Hauser, Alan J. 87 Heatherton, Todd F. 236 Heelas, Paul 191-94, 204, 212-15, 217 Heidegger, Martin 273-74, 277 Henze, Matthias 330 Hinson, Glenn 175 Holland, Dorothy C. 153 Holm-Nielsen, Svend 165, 175, 178 Høgenhaven, Jesper 106 Humphreys, W. Lee 324 Huntington, Samuel 291 Huppenbauer, Hans W. 174 Hyde, Michael J. 68

Jacob, Edmund 3 Jacobsen, Thorkild 167 Jameson, Fredric 309, 319 Janowski, Bernd 226 Jauss, Hans Robert 55 Jeremias, Gert 176 Johnson, Mark 211, 214 Jones, Kent 274 Jost, Walter 68, 107

Kallen, Horace Meyer 256–57, 260 Kennedy, George A. 70, 90, 92, 107 Kimelman, Reuven 106 Koch, Klaus 299, 303 Koselleck, Reinhart 290, 300–1 Kratz, Reinhard 320 Kristeva, Julia 45, 52 Krüger, Thomas 194 Kuhn, Heinz-Wolfgang 176 Kuhrt, Amelie 326

Lakoff, George 211, 214 Lambert, David A. 227, 231 Lapsley, Jacqueline E. 232 Lemke, Werner E. 232 Levinas, Emmanuel 308 Levison, John R. 194, 217, 219 Lewalski, Barbara K. 253 Lichtenberger, Hermann 160, 204 Lincoln, Bruce 149 Lock, Andrew 191–94, 204, 212–15 Lowth, Robert 255–56

Machinist, Peter 326 MacLeish, Archibald 245, 266-67 Maier, Johann 144, 175 MacLaury, Robert E. 194, 214-15 Malick, Terrence 273-86 McGee, Michael Calvin 94 McKerrow, Raymie E. 69–70 McNamara, Patrick 190, 205 Medvedev, Pavel N. 25, 65-66 Megill, Allen 290 Michel, Diethelm 4 Milik, J. T. 119, 122, 134 Mink, Louis 290 Moi, Toril 52–53, 183 Mönnich, Horst 265 Morrow, William 35 Morson, Gary Saul 8, 12-13 Mowinckel, Sigmund 175 Muilenberg, James 86 Müller, Hans-Peter 26-27

Nickelsburg, George W. E. 203

O'Leary, Stephen D. 67–68, 76 Olbrechts-Tyteca, Lucie 93, 107 von der Osten Saken, Peter 121, 127

Patrick, Dale 35 Perelman, Chaim 93, 107 Pope, Marvin H. 19 Porter, Frank Chamberlain 200 Prince, Camilo Salazar 276, 286 Puech, Emile 161

Reed, Walter 8 Reike, Bo 153, 164–65 Rey, Jean-Sébastian 206 Richards, I. A. 267–68 Ricoeur, Paul 290–92, 303 Riessler, Paul 321 Robbins, Vernon K. 70, 88, 90, 107 Rosemarin, Adena 57 Rosen-Zvi, Ishay 234 Rost, Leonhard 121 Ryan, Gery W. 71 Ryan, Marie-Laure 62

Satran, David 331 Schaudig, Hanspeter 321 Schmidt, Nathaniel 256 Schofer, Jonathan Wyn 196 Schofield, Alison 223 Schroer, Silvia 226 Schuller, Eileen M. 121 Sekki, Arthur E. 204 Sharp, Carolyn 93, 106, 314 Silberman, Lou H. 175, 181 Silverman, Kaja 162-63, 277 Sinding, Michael 60-62 Smith, Morton 316 Snell, Bruno 226 von Soden, Wolfram 323, 327 Spengler, Oswald 291 Staubli, Thomas 226 Stegemann, Hartmut 115, 116, 120, 127, 131-32, 144, 161-62 Strugnell, John 115, 131 Stuart, G. H. Cohen 200 Suleiman, Susan Rubin 27-28, 247 Swain, Joseph Ward 298

Tadmor, Hayim 326 Taylor, Charles 225–26, 231

Swales, John 59

van der Toorn, Karel 28, 79 Toynbee, Arnold 291 Trible, Phyllis 70 Turner, Mark 65, 290, 334–36 Twain, Mark 289

Vermes, Geza 116 Vickers, Brian 106 Volf, Miroslav 308 Voloshinov, V. N. 166

Weedon, Chris 157 Wernberg-Møller, Preben 184, 204 Westermann, Claus 4 Whedbee, J. William 257 White, Hayden 290 White, James Boyd 92, 107 Wiechert, Ernst 261-63 Wiesel, Elie 245-46, 269-70 Williams, Bernard 226 Williamson, H. G. M. 317 Wills, Lawrence 331 Wittgenstein, Ludwig 58 Woessner, Martin 273 van Wolde, Ellen I. 211 Wolff, Hans Walter 226 van der Woude, Adam S. 131

Yadin, Yigael 115, 131 Yarbro Collins, Adela 81

Zenger, Erich 232–33 Zimmerli, Walther 4 Zuckerman, Bruce 25, 31, 242, 250

Index of Subjects

Agency 191–194, 212–14 Apocalyptic literature

- see also Genre, apocalypse
- characters 74-79
- definition 71
- dualism 81-82
- history 80-81, 298-303
- mystery and knowledge 77-79
- order 79-81
- rhetoric 67-68, 71-73, 76
- space in 75-6
- time in 80

Bakhtin, Mikhail

- chronotope 66
- dialogic/monologic truth 4-8, 10, 14, 16-17, 21-24, 29-30, 32-37
- double-voicing 9, 30
- genre 65-66
- polyphony 8-9, 19, 22-24, 26, 29, 33-34, 36-37, 251
- on Job 19
- social dialect 150-151

Biblical theology 3-4

Body and anthropology 48–49, 194, 221–223, 230; *see also* Flesh and spirit

Cognitive theory 65, 211, 214, 221–222, 289–290, 299–300, 334–335

Community Rule 99–102, 151–153, 204–205

Conceptual blending, *see* cognitive theory Content analysis 70–71

Damascus Document 96–99, 200–201 Doestoevsky, Fyodor 6–9, 13, 16, 21, 23–4

Fatal Attraction 53–54 Flesh and spirit 205–208, 215–221 Four kingdoms schema 298–99 Genre 24–28, 30–34, 55, 91–92, 253

- apocalypse 56, 58, 61-66, 71
- didactic narrative 26-28, 246-248
- Job and 253-258
- prayer 165-169, 233-234
- theory 56-65
- wisdom dialogue 28-32, 248-250

Heidegger, Martin,, 273, 275, 277 Historiography

- and narrative 291–92, 298, 303–4
- historical résumé 289, 293–296, 298–304
- master/grand narrative 290-292, 296
- pattern and prognosis 300-302
- theory 290, 297

Hodayot

- authorship and Sitz im Leben 153–154, 161, 164–165
- intertextuality 179-183
- moral agency 207-208
- Niedrigkeitsdoxologie 154
- rhetoric 102–106, 154–155, 165–168, 171–174, 179
- self and agency 215–219
- subjectivity and identity 153, 162, 165–169, 171–176, 179, 183–185

Identity 14–16, 149, 152–153; see also Subjectivity and self-formation Ideology 40–43, 54, 309

- kingship 305–306, 312–313, 315–316
- patriarchal family 40-42, 45-47, 49, 52-54

Indigenous psychology 190–194, 211–215

Interiority, see Self, introspective

Job

- and drama theory 253-257
- as polyphonic text 9-10, 251

- didactic tale (prose tale) 26–28, 33, 246–248, 286
- divine speeches 34-36, 250
- dramatization of 258-60
 - in film 273, 278–286
 - in Germany 245, 261-266
 - in Great Britain 267-268
 - in post-Holocaust literature 245–246, 269–270
 - in the United States 245, 266-268
- in cultural memory 241-246
- structure and composition 19, 243, 246–247, 251
- unity 19-21, 24-25, 242
- wisdom dialogue 28-33, 248-250

Malick, Terrence

- Days of Heaven 274-275
- The New World 275
- The Thin Red Line 276-277
- The Tree of Life 277-286

Maskil 99-101, 125-129, 133

Moral agency

- and demonic spirits 202-204
- and the evil inclination (yēṣer hā-ra')
 200-201, 235-236
- in Hebrew Bible 194-199, 228-232
- in Second Temple Judaism 190, 198–208

Nabonidus 326-29, 333

- in Babylonian literature 325, 329–332, 336–338
- in Jewish literature 321–325, 327–333, 335–338
- religious reforms 322

Other, The

- definition and theory 307-309
- in group identity formation 149–151, 174–175
- in sectarian prayer 174–176, 184–185
- foreign king as 305, 309, 310
 - Antiochus IV 311
 - Cyrus 316-317
 - Nebuchadnezzar 314-319
 - Pharaoh 310-311

- Pompey 310
- Sennacherib 311-313
- strategies for resolution 308–320
- woman as 44-45, 49-52

Patriarchal family 40–42, 45–47, 49, 52–53

Patriarchal narratives 14-15

Priestly source 11-12

Primary history 10–13

Primeval history 11–12

Qumran library, profile 111-115

Rhetoric

- see also Apocalyptic literature, Sectarianism
- and symbolic acts 309, 319-320
- definition and scope 68–69
- history of 83-85, 106-107
- method 69-70, 90-95
- rhetorical criticism (biblical) 86–88, 107
- rhetorical criticism (Dead Sea Scrolls) 88–90, 95–106
- royal 312-313, 315

Scribal practices 10, 329 Sectarianism

- and identity 149-156, 159
- literature, criteria 116-123
- rhetoric 88–89, 122–123, 128–129, 150 Self
- see also Subjectivity and self-formation
- ancient Israelite 225-226
- and cognitive metaphor 214, 221-23
- history of 191, 225
- introspective 198, 227, 231-33, 236-37
- neuroscience of 190
- self-alienation 229, 231, 233–36

Semeia 14, see Society of Biblical

Literature, Apocalypse Group

Sin 227, 232-35

Society of Biblical Literature

- Genres Project 55
- Apocalypse Group 56, 58, 60–62, 71

Songs of the Sabbath Sacrifice

- angelic praise 137-139, 142

- angelic priesthood 134-139, 143, 146
- chariot throne 139, 141-42
- heavenly temple 140-41
- provenance 123-129, 133-134
- reconstruction 131-32
- structure and function 132, 139–140, 143–147

Spirit 194–195, 217–221

- see also Flesh and spirit

Subjectivity and self-formation 39–44, 48, 157–166, 169–174

- see also Hodayot and subjectivity

Wisdom

- personified 42, 47, 51-54
- "strange" woman and 44-45, 49, 52-54

Yahwistic source 11-12