Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe 49

David Crump

Jesus the Intercessor



Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Begründet von Joachim Jeremias und Otto Michel Herausgegeben von Martin Hengel und Otfried Hofius

49

Jesus the Intercessor

Prayer and Christology in Luke-Acts

by

David Michael Crump



J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck) Tübingen

 $Die\ Deutsche\ Bibliothek-CIP\text{-}Einheitsaufnahme$

Crump, David Michael:

Jesus the intercessor: prayer and Christology in Luke-acts / by

David Michael Crump. - Tübingen: Mohr, 1992

(Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament : Reihe 2 ;

49)

ISBN 3-16-145821-4 978-3-16-157108-4 Unveränderte eBook-Ausgabe 2019

NE: Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament / 02

© 1992 by J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), P.O. Box 2040, D-7400 Tübingen.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was typeset by Typobauer in Scharnhausen using Bembo typeface, printed by Gulde-Druck in Tübingen on acid-free paper from Papierfabrik Niefern and bound by Heinr. Koch in Tübingen.

ISSN 0340-9570

This work is dedicated to my parents, who raised me so as to know the Fear of the Lord.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude to those who have taken a particular interest in seeing this work completed. Special mention must be made of the friends who have supported me and my family, sometimes quite sacrificially, during this time of study: thank-you to Ken, Marv, Lynn, Glenn and Marla, Eric and Penny, Heather and Dave, Anthony and Anne, Garth and Fiona, and the people of Immanuel Christian Reformed Church.

Thank you as well to the Hattie M. Strong Foundation, the Tyndale House Fellowship, and the Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals of the Universities of the United Kingdom for their financial support.

I am particularly grateful for the discerning criticism and kind guidance of my supervisor, Prof. I. H. Marshall, who has been an example to me of how Christian scholarship can benefit from Christian character.

I am also indebted to the thorough criticisms of Dr. John Nolland, who read the manuscript in its entirety. Dr. Max Turner also offered helpful advice on an early draft of chapter five. The library staff at The Queen Mother Library, Aberdeen also offered many hours of valuable service.

My friends Martin Rodi, Kim Meichle and Chuck Guth have also provided invaluable technical assistance at a critical stage in converting the manuscript from one computer system to another. My thanks must also go to Ilse König of Mohr-Siebeck for her patience during this laborious period of reworking.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife and children for the patience they have shown in enduring the trials of student life throughout the years.

Salt Lake City, Spring, 1992

David Michael Crump

Table of Contents

List	of Journals Consulted	XI
Ch	pter 1: Introduction to the Study of Prayer in Luke-Acts	1
Intr	oduction	1
1.1	A History of the Study of Prayer in Luke-Acts	2
	1.1.1 Wilhelm Ott: Prayer and Eschatology	2 5 7 8 10
1.2	The Proposal and Method of the Present Work	11
1.3	Christ as Heavenly Intercessor in the New Testament	14
	1.3.1 Romans 8:34 1.3.2 Hebrews 7:25 1.3.3 I John 2:1	15 16 18
1.4	Conclusions	19
Ch	apter 2: Prayer and Jesus' Self-Revelation, Part I	21
Intr	oduction	21
2.1	Jesus' Prayer and Peter's Confession (Lk. 9:18-27)	21
	2.1.1 The Significance of the Prayer: For Whom does Jesus Pray? 2.1.1.1 The Feeding Miracle 2.1.2 Peter and Herod: The Confession as "Seeing" 2.1.3 In What Sense is This a "Revelation?" 2.1.4 Luke 9:18–27: Conclusion	22 25 27 31 34
2.2	Revelation and the Language of Seeing and Hearing in Luke-Acts	34
	2.2.1 "Seeing" as Spiritual Insight	35 36 39

	2.2.4	Seeing, Hearing and the Prayers of Jesus
2.3	Jesus'	Prayer and the Transfiguration (Lk. 9:28–36)
	2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3	Jesus, the Disciples and Prayer
	-	3: Jesus Rejoices and Thanks God for Hearing His Prayers 21–24)
Intr	oducti	on
3.1	What	Does Jesus Thank His Father For?
	3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3	Does Jesus Thank God for <i>Both</i> His Hiding and Revealing?
	3.1.4	3.1.3.2 The Meaning of ᾿Αγαλλιάομαι and ᾽Εξομολογέω
3.2		se Eyes See and Whose Ears Hear? Luke's Use of Verses 23–24.
	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3	The Location of Verses 23 and 24
3.3	Conc	clusions: Jesus' Thanksgiving for Answered Prayer
	-	4: Prayer and Jesus' Self-Revelation, Part II
4.1	The l	Passion Narrative: Jesus' Prayers from the Cross (Lk. 23:32–49) . 70
	4.1.1 4.1.2 4.1.3	A Comparison of Luke 23:32–49 and Mark 15:21–41 7 The Structure of Luke's Crucifixion Scene 7 "Father, forgive them" (Lk. 23:32–43) 7 4.1.3.1 The Textual Problem of Verse 34a 7 4.1.3.2 Forgive Whom? 8
	4.1.4	4.1.3.3 "Save yourself" 8 "And Jesus cried out" (Lk. 23:44–49) 8 4.1.4.1 "Seeing what had happened" 8 4.1.4.2 "Surely this was a righteous man." 9

		Table of Contents	IX
	4.1.5 4.1.6	"You will not see me again"	94 96
4.2		's "Passion Secret": Is it Due to Human Misunderstanding wine Concealment?	96
4.3		Meal at Emmaus and The Unveiling of the Secret 24:13–35)	98
	4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	"We Recognised Him in the 'Breaking of the Bread'" The Jewish Sense of "Breaking" and Luke 24 "He was made known to us as he prayed"	101 104 106
4.4	Conc	clusion	108
	-	5: The Phenomenon of Prayer and the ce of Jesus	109
Intr	oducti	on	109
5.1	Praye	er and the Experience of Spiritual Communication	109
	5.1.1	Jesus' "Baptism" (Lk. 3:21–22)	109 111
	5.1.2	 5.1.1.2 Is Jesus' Prayer "Answered?" Prayer and Divine Communication in Luke-Acts 5.1.2.1 Prayer and Spiritual Manifestations in the Experience of Jesus 	113 116
		(Lk. 3:21f; 9:28f; 22:43f)	116 117 121
		of Others	123 126
	5.1.3	5.1.2.4 Prayer and Spiritual Illumination	127 128
		(Mk. 9:28f; 11:22–24)	129 131
		5.1.3.3 "Exceptions" and Conclusions: Prayer and Divine Sovereignty	134
5.2	Praye	er and Jesus' Messianic Mission	136
	5.2.1	Jesus' Baptism: The Inauguration of His Messianic Task	136 137 137
	5.2.2	5.2.1.1.2 Messianic Authority and the Praying Jesus Luke 5:16: Jesus Also Fulfills His Commission Through Prayer	141 142

	5.2.3	Lk. 6:12-20: The Messiah Assembles His Witnesses	4.4.4
		and Teaches Them	144 145
		5.2.3.2 More Teaching with Authority (Lk. 6:17–20)	146
	5.2.4	Lk. 11:1: The Disciples Ask to be Taught	147
	5.2.5	Jesus Enters Jerusalem	148
		5.2.5.1 Jesus Teaches in Jerusalem	148
		5.2.5.2 Jesus is Followed by Large Crowds	150
		5.2.5.3 "By Whose Authority?" (Lk. 20:1–8)	151
5.3	Conc	clusion: The Prayer Experience of the Messianic Deliverer	152
Ch	apter (6: Jesus, the Heavenly Intercessor on Earth	154
Intr	oducti	on	154
6.1	Satan	a's Demand (Lk. 22:31–32)	154
6.2	For V	Whom Does Jesus Pray?	158
6.3	The I	Possession of Judas	162
	6.3.1	Why Does Judas Betray Jesus?	162
6.4	Is Jest	us Still Our Advocate?	166
	6.4.1 6.4.2	"Pray that you will not enter into temptation" (Lk. 22:39–46) The Disciples' Prayer and Jesus' Prayer: The Continuing Relevance	167
	6.4.3	of Both	170
		of the Church	172
6.5	Conc	clusions: Jesus, the Earthly and Heavenly Intercessor	175
Ch	apter '	7: The Heavenly Intercessor in the Book of Acts	176
Intr	oducti	on	176
7.1	Acts	7:55-56: Stephen's Vision and Its Various Interpretations	178
7.2	An E	evaluation of the Interpretations Concerning Acts 7:55-56	185
7.3		Judicial Significance of "the Son of Man Standing e Right Hand"	190
	7.3.1	Standing at the Right Hand	191
	732	Acts 7:5556 and Pealm 110:12	103

	Table of Contents	X
7.4	The Son of Man as Prophetic Intercessor	19
7.5	Why Does Stephen see "the Son of Man"?	19
7.6	Conclusions: The Heavenly and the Earthly Jesus	20
Cha	apter 8: Exalted, Human Intercessors in Ancient Judaism	20
Intro	oduction	20
8.1	Human Intercessors in the OT	20
8.2	The Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha	210
	8.2.1 The Development of Earthly Intercession 8.2.2 Intercession in This Life and in Heaven 8.2.2.1 Abraham and Other Patriarchs 8.2.2.2 The Prophets 8.2.2.3 Moses 8.2.2.4 Enoch 8.2.2.5 Conflicting Opinions 8.2.2.6 Is Heavenly Intercession Always Effective?	210 211 211 211 211 211 211
3.3	The Targums	22
8.4	Qumran	22
8.5	Philo	22
8.6	The Rabbinic Literature	22
	8.6.1 The Patriarchs and Other Powerful Pray-ers 8.6.2 Enoch 8.6.3 Moses 8.6.4 Conflicting Opinions	225 225 229 230
8.7	Conclusions for Luke-Acts	23
Cha	apter 9: Luke's Understanding of Prayer and Christology	23
Bibl	iography	24
Inde	vec	27

List of Journals Consulted

ABR Australian Biblical Review

AGB W. Bauer, W. F. Arndt, and F. W. Gingrich, Greek-English Lexicon of the

New Testament

AJT American Journal of Theology

ALUOS Annual of Leeds University Oriental Society

ANRW W. Haase (ed.), Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt

AshTJ Ashland Theological Journal ATR Anglican Theological Review

AUSS Andrews University Seminary Studies

BDF F. Blass, A. Debrunner, and R. W. Funk, A Greek Grammar of the New

Testament

Bib Biblica

Bibl Biblebhashyam
BibT Bible Today
Bij Bijdragen

BJRL Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester

BK Bibel und Kirche
BL Bibel und Leben
BLit Bibel und Liturgie
BR Biblical Research
BT The Bible Translator
BTB Biblical Theology Bulletin
BTF Bangalore Theological Forum

BW Biblical World
BZ Biblische Zeitschrift

Cath Catholica

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly

Chr Christus

CJT Canadian Journal of Theology

ClassRev Classical Review ClRev Clergy Review

CMCSE Collectanea Mechliniensia Commentarius Scientiarum Ecclesiasticarum

CTM Concordia Theological Monthly

CW Die christliche Welt

ETL Ephemerides theologicae lovanienses

EvQ Evangelical Quarterly
EvT Evangelische Theologie
ExpT Expository Times
GL Geist und Leben

GTJ Grace Theological Journal

HeyJ Heythrop Journal HibJ Hibbert Journal

HTR Harvard Theological Review HUCA Hebrew Union College Annual

IBS Irish Biblical Studies
IndTS Indian Theological Studies

Int Interpretation

ITQ Irish Theological Quarterly

JAAR Journal of the American Academy of Religion

JANESCU Journal of the Ancient Near Eastern Society of Columbia University

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature
JBR Journal of Bible and Religion
JEH Journal of Ecclesiastical History

JETS Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society

JJS Journal of Jewish Studies
JR Journal of Religion

JRelS Journal of Religious Studies

JSJ Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic and Roman

Period

JSNT Journal for the Study of the New Testament

JSS Journal of Semitic Studies
JTS Journal of Theological Studies

LCL Loeb Classical Library

LTP Laval Théologique et Philosophique

LumV Lumière et Vie LV Lumen Vitae

MGWJ Monatsschrift für Geschichte und Wissenschaft des Judentums

NIKA Neue Jahrbücher für das Klassische Altertum

NovT Novum Testamentum

NRT La nouvelle revue théologique NSN Nuntius Sodalicii Neotestamentici

NTS New Testament Studies

Numen Numen: International Review for the History of Religions

OS Oudtestamentische Studiën

Per Perspective

PRS Perspectives in Religious Studies

RB Revue biblique
RechBib Recherches bibliques
RS Religious Studies
RevExp Review and Expositor
RevRel Review for Religious

RevScRel Revue des sciences religieuses

RGG Religion in Geschichte und Gegenwart RHPR Revue d'histoire et de philosophie religieuses

RQ Restoration Quarterly RR Review of Religion

RSPT Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques

RSR Recherches de science religieuse RThPh Revue de théologie et de philosophie

SB H. Strack and P. Billerbeck, Kommentar zum Neuen Testament aus Talmud

und Midrasch

ScEccl Sciences Ecclesiastiques

Scr Scripture

SD Studies and Documents

SE Studia Evangelica I, II, III (= TU 73['59], 87['64], 88['65], etc.)

Sem Semeia

SJT Scottish Journal of Theology

SO Symbolae Osloenses SP Studia Patristica

SPAW Sitzungsberichte der preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften

SR Studies in Religion/Sciences religieuses

ST Spirituality Today

SwJT Southwestern Journal of Theology

TB Tyndale Bulletin

TDNT G. Kittel and G. Friedrich (eds.), Theological Dictionary of the New

Testament

TG Theologie und Glaube

Th Theology

TijT Tijdschrift voor Theologie

TJ Trinity Journal

TLZ Theologische Literaturzeitung
TP Theologie und Philosophie

TS Theological Studies

TSK Theologische Studien und Kritiken

TToday Theology Today

TU Texte und Untersuchungen
TV Theologia Viatorum
TZ Theologische Zeitschrift

VE Vox Evangelica VT Vetus Testamentum

WTJ Westminster Theological Journal

ZAW Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft ZNW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft ZRG Zeitschrift für Religions und Geistesgeschichte

ZTK Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche ZWT Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie

Chapter 1

Introduction to the Study of Prayer in Luke-Acts

Introduction

It is difficult to find a book on prayer which does not at some point lament "the crisis of piety" in the modern church, a crisis often associated with the lack of attention given this subject by biblical scholarship. While such a crisis may well exist, it cannot be attributed to any absence of literature on prayer. The popular bookshelves are filled to overflowing with books on prayer, and the inquisitive buyer never lacks for new titles promising to reveal previously hidden insights into the why, how and wherefore of practical piety. Neither have modern biblical scholars been totally remiss in giving attention to this area. Many important works on prayer have been written this century (to deal with only the last of those nineteen which have followed the writing of the final NT documents), providing valuable insights into any and every aspect of prayer, both historical and theological.2 The first conclusion to be derived from these observations is rather obvious: if the modern church is peculiarly impious it simply demonstrates that access to literature about prayer does not ensure the practice of prayer. In any event, it is more likely that, except in extraordinary circumstances, what these writers call a crisis in piety is actually the church's normal condition!

However, there is also an important question arising from these observations which is more immediately relevant to the present study: why should anyone feel the need to undertake yet another investigation of prayer in the NT? In response (or defense), it must be said that studying prayer in Luke-Acts is quite a different matter from writing on the theology of prayer in general. In the first place, for as many warnings as one can find about the dangers of modern impiety, there are at least as many observers who have pointed out that Luke has more to say about the place of prayer in the ministry of Jesus and the early church than any other NT

¹ For example see G. P. Wiles, Paul's Intercessory Prayers: The Significance of the Intercessory Prayer Passages in the Letters of St. Paul, (Cambridge, 1974), p. ix; and W. Bingham Hunter, The Prayers of Jesus in the Gospel of John, unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, (Aberdeen, 1979), pp. 1–14, with extensive additional notation.

² See the bibliography. H. Schmidt, Wie betet der heutige Mensch? Dokumente und Analysen, (Freiburg, 1972), pp. 225–284 lists 1221 works written on prayer between 1960 and 1970 alone.J. Carmignac, Researches sur le Notre Père", (Paris, 1969), provides an 84 page (pp. 469–553) bibliography of works dealing with the Lord's Prayer.

author. This observation has become a commonplace in biblical studies.³ Yet it is only within the last twenty-five years that any detailed attention has been given to understanding the significance of this theme within Luke's own writings. To elucidate this important point will require a brief look at the history of the study of prayer in Luke-Acts.

1.1 A History of the Study of Prayer in Luke-Acts

The study of both Jesus' prayer-life and the gospel of Luke had occupied an important position in the 19th century Liberal "quest" for the historical Jesus. According to A. Deissmann, coming to understand "the inner life of Jesus is the chief task of research in early Christianity." And since Jesus' practice of prayer was the main avenue by which one could come to an understanding of his "unique self-consciousness," prayer was a prime topic for those interested in unravelling Jesus' self-understanding. Furthermore, Luke's gospel in particular had occupied a special place in the Liberal reconstruction of the historical Jesus since it was seen to be "in some measure a rationalised and humanitarian rendering of the Gospel." Therefore Luke's Jesus seemed most amenable to undergirding the doctrine of the universal Fatherhood of God and Brotherhood of Man. However, these two interests in prayer and Luke did not coincide so as to produce a study of prayer in Luke specifically. It was not yet time for such literary analysis.

Albert Schweitzer's attack upon the Liberal quest did not eliminate the interest in Jesus' prayer-life; it merely served to redirect scholarly attention on the subject. The main focus was no longer psychological, although this did not wholly disappear,⁷ but historical and descriptive; the question now became, how could

³ For example see A. Plummer, A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Gospel According to St. Luke, (Edinburgh, 1981), p. xlv; J. Fitzmyer, The Gospel According to Luke, vol. I, (New York, 1981), pp. 244–247; J. Schmid, Das Evangelium nach Lukas, (Regensburg, 1960), pp. 251–253; H. J. Cadbury, The Making of Luke-Acts, (London, 1927), p. 269.

⁴ A. Deissmann, The Religion of Jesus and the Faith of Paul, (London, 1923), pp. 43f. Also see his seminal elaboration of this approach in "Der Beter Jesus," CW. 13 (1899) 701–707; J. S. Banks, "Professor Deissmann on Jesus at Prayer," Exp T. 11 (1899) 270–273 provides an English synopsis of this article. J. D. G. Dunn commends Deissmann as the examplar for the method which he pursues in his own investigation of Jesus' experience of the Spirit; see Jesus and the Spirit: A Study of the Religious and Charismatic Experience of Jesus and the First Christians as Reflected in the New Testament, (London, 1975), pp. 11–26, especially p. 15.

⁵ Cf. Deissmann, *Religion*, pp. 43–68. "The central question for the history of religion, and for religion in general, is, 'What communion had Jesus with God?' It is to a large extent the same with the question, 'How did Jesus pray?'" (p. 69).

⁶ C. H. Dodd, *The Apostolic Preaching and its Developments*, (London, 1972, 2nd ed.), p. 65 (originally published in 1936).

⁷ However, it did become more common for such interests not simply to be minimised, but thoroughly expunged; see R. Bultmann, *Jesus and the Word*, (New York, 1958), pp. 8f (orginally published in 1934); G. Bornkamm, *Jesus of Nazareth*, (London, 1960), p. 13 (orginally published in 1956).

Jesus' prayer-life be explained in terms of his historical surroundings?8 For each of these approaches – that of 19th century psychologising and 20th century historicising – the prayer materials of Luke had provided the largest proportion of grist for the mill. Everyone recognised that his gospel offered more examples of Jesus at prayer than any of the other gospels; and, of course, the book of Acts provided the only NT narrative account of the church's early prayer habits. Thus his prayer materials were indispensible for anyone wanting to study Jesus and prayer, whatever their particular agenda might be. But the result, in each case, was the same: while Luke was readily acknowledged to say more about Jesus and prayer than anyone else, Luke's own purpose in having so much to say was never investigated on its own terms. It took yet another development in NT studies before this could happen.

Of course, this new development was the advent of redaction criticism, and the crucial document for Lukan studies was the work of H. Conzelmann, *Die Mitte Der Zeit* (1953). Conzelmann's work opened the door for the proper investigation of Luke's prayer materials in their own right. However, with Conzelmann now acting as chief-guide in this new Lukan wilderness, the first to follow him along his paths of redaction quite predictably imbibed not only of his new methodology but also some of his idiosyncratic understanding of Luke's theology. This was no less true of the earliest studies in Lukan prayer than it was of other post-Conzelmann investigations into the theology of Luke-Acts, as will be seen.

1.1.1 Wilhelm Ott: Prayer and Eschatology

The first study of the Lukan prayer theme was published in 1965 by Wilhelm Ott and was entitled Gebet und Heil: Die Bedeutung der Gebetsparänese in der lukanischen Theologie. Ott's work focuses primarily upon Luke's didactic material, particularly the parables found in Lk. 11:5–8, 9–13; 18:1–8, to which he devotes lengthy tradition-critical and redactional attention. His primary conclusion is that the centre of Luke's teaching on prayer is neither a concern for true piety, nor the goodness of God in hearing the believer's requests, as is the case in Matthew (p. 137f), but the exhortation to be persistent in prayer. The motivation for this restructuring of the tradition is Luke's concern over the parousia delay. Whereas the prayer teaching in both Mark and Matthew was guided by their near expectation of the parousia, Luke has surrendered this hope. The pressing issue for him is not an imminent return of the Lord, but the survival of the church in an indeterminably long period of temptation in this world. The solution to this problem is

⁸ A good example of this approach would be J. Jeremias' article "Das Gebetsleben Jesu," ZNW. 25 ('26) 123-140.

⁹ Originally published by J. C. B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), Tübingen; first English translation by Faber and Faber and Harper & Row in 1961, entitled *The Theology of St. Luke*.

¹⁰ According to E. Bezzel it was originally a Würzburger dissertation entitled *Die Mahnung zu unablässigen Beten bei Lukas*; see his review in *ZRG*. 18 ('66) 377 f. This title succintly expresses Ott's conclusions regarding Luke's teaching on prayer.

persistent prayer; it is the chief means of persevering in Christian discipleship. In fact, Ott is so emphatic on this point that prayer actually becomes a means of salvation; at least it becomes *the* means of ensuring that one will eventually be able to stand before the coming Son of Man (pp. 64f, and pp. 73–75 on Lk. 21:34–36). This is most clearly illustrated in his comparison of Luke with Paul. According to Ott, Paul also emphasises the importance of persistent prayer. But he prays to express his gratitude to God for salvation, whereas in Luke one prays to receive salvation (pp. 139–143).

There are several important results of this Lukan focal point. First of all, Luke presents Jesus as the paradigm of Christian perseverance through prayer. Luke is not interested, like Matthew, in the "How" of prayer (pp. 92–94), but simply in the "That" of prayer, especially as this can be illustrated through the life of Jesus (particularly Lk. 11:1–13 and 22:39–46). Consequently, Luke's special interest in Jesus' prayer-life does not stem from any biographical or even a christological concern; rather it is only the "Vorbildcharacter" of Jesus' prayers which interest Luke (p. 97). Jesus is the prime example of how to survive temptation by means of prayer. This also explains why Ott's brief treatment of prayer in Acts (pp. 124–136) focuses primarily on how the early church followed Jesus' example.

Secondly, because the church's chief danger lies in the possibility of being distracted by worldly cares, Luke eliminates any suggestion that one should pray for material things (pp. 111 f, 139). In Lk. 11:11–13 Luke reinterprets the tradition to show that the only good gift is the Holy Spirit, all other requests are for harmful things. Thus, in Luke's view, the delay in the parousia means that God intends prayer to be made only for spiritual requests, and the true answer to such prayer is always the Holy Spirit.

Obviously, Ott begins his study by accepting Conzelmann's convictions concerning Lukan eschatology, ie. Luke's guiding concern is the parousia delay, which causes him to recast salvation-history into a three-fold scheme which sees Jesus' ministry as a Satan-free period (p. 85) and the era of the church as the time of temptation (pp. 65f, 119, 138f). However, this means that the fortunes of Conzelmann's eschatology are also those of Ott's main thesis. There is no need to rehearse here the many legitimate critiques which have been launched against Conzelmann's views over the years;¹¹ suffice it to say that they are sufficient to call for a major revaluation of the significance which Ott attaches to the paranetic prayer materials in Luke-Acts. Even granted that Ott has shed important light upon Luke's emphatic exhortation "to pray" – and this is an important, beneficial part

¹¹ See W. C. Robinson, The Way of the Lord: A Study of History and Eschatology in the Gospel of Luke, Ph.D. dissertation, (University of Basel, 1962); Der Weg des Herm: Studien zur Geschichte und Eschatology im Lukasevangelium, ein Gesprach mit Hans Conzelmann, (Hamburg, 1964); H. H. Oliver, "The Lucan Birth Stories and the Purpose of Luke-Acts," NTS. 10 ('63–4) 202–226; F. O. Francis, "Eschatology and History in Luke-Acts," JAAR. 37 ('69) 49–63; S. G. Wilson, "Lukan Eschatology," NTS. 16 ('70) 330–347; I. H. Marshall, Luke: Historian and Theologian, (Grand Rapids, 1970), pp. 144–147; P. Minear, "Luke's Use of the Birth Stories" in Studies in Luke-Acts, (Philadelphia, 1980); R. Maddox, The Purpose of Luke-Acts, (Edinburgh, 1982), pp. 100–157.

of his study – it is far from clear that in following Conzelmann he has provided the proper explanation for that emphasis. While looking at Luke's paranetic materials will not be a major part of the task undertaken by the present study, it will have a role to play in what follows.

Also, one must question Ott's restriction of Jesus' role to that of a simple paradigm. Jesus certainly is presented as the great model of prayer in Luke. But does this necessarily exhaust the significance of Luke's portrayal? How can Ott exclude a priori any christological import from Luke's descriptions of Jesus at prayer when he makes no effort (at least none is revealed) to discover what possible christological interests might be found in Luke's prayer materials if one were to look for them?

It is also difficult to see how Jesus can be an example to the church of how to survive demonic temptation through prayer if the largest part of his ministry was itself a temptation-free era. This leaves only the prayers offered on the Mount of Olives and Golgotha as having any relevance to what Ott describes as the sole significance of Jesus' prayer-life in Luke. What, then, is to be made of all those prayer notices found between Lk. 4:13 and 22:3? Ott seems not to have thought through the compatibility of his thesis and his presuppositions. Clearly one (Jesus prays only as paradigm) or the other (Jesus' life is free of Satanic temptation) or even both of them must go.

It also seems likely that Ott's preoccupation with Conzelmann's eschatology has caused him to misread the significance of Lk. 11:9–13. Is it self-evident that this passage describes all prayer for material things, in other words, prayer for anything other than the Spirit, as being harmful? To anticipate only slightly, it would appear that Luke's beliefs concerning the sovereignty of God – a readily recognised and important theme in Luke-Acts – are more immediately relevant than artificial eschatological constructions.¹²

1.1.2 O. G. Harris: Prayer and Heilsgeschichte

In 1967 O. G. Harris produced an unpublished Ph.D. dissertation at Vanderbilt University entitled *Prayer in Luke-Acts: A Study in the Theology of Luke.* Harris distinguished himself by being the first to attempt a comprehensive analysis of the prayer theme in Luke-Acts, as compared to Ott's more restrictive discussion of the parabolic material in the gospel. Harris' main thesis is that "Luke conceives of prayer as an important means by which God guides the course of redemptive history. This is his controlling and distinctive idea of prayer" (p. 3). Secondarily, Jesus is presented as a paradigmatic example of piety which the church is to emulate. But this is a decidely minor point.

Harris thoroughly endorses Conzelmann's view of redemptive-history and Luke's "historicising" tendencies in the face of a delayed parousia (pp. 209ff, 222f,

¹² The influence of Ott's main thesis has been widely felt; see for example A. Wurzinger, "Es komme Dein Königreich': zum Gebetsanliegen nach Lukas," *BLit.* 38 ('64–65) 94; J. Schmid, *Das Evangelium nach Lukas*, (Regensburg, 1960), Excursus on "Jesus und das Gebet," pp. 200–202.

239f). Therefore, even though he gives no indication of being familiar with Ott's work (perhaps due to the nearly simultaneous completion of their theses), Harris also views prayer as the chief means by which the church is to "endure" until the parousia. Consequently, Harris relates Luke's prayer theme to both his eschatology and his paradigmatic portrayal of Jesus in much the same way as does Ott.

Harris' primary thesis concerning prayer and decisive turning points in salvation-history has been largely accepted by NT scholarship. ¹³ There is no doubt that his was a groundbreaking work in the field of Lukan studies and he has demonstrated an important connection between the activity of prayer and the way in which God guides salvation-history. But the present study will also indicate the need for significant readjustment in the details of Harris' thesis. In the first place, it is not obvious that Luke considers every mention of prayer in Luke-Acts as marking a critical turning-point in *Heilsgeschichte*, a fundamental assumption in the course of Harris' argument. For example, what is "pivotal" about the situation in Lk. 5:16? Without the establishment of some prior, evaluative criteria it is too easy to describe virtually anything associated with prayer as comprising a turning point.

Secondly, is it quite correct to say that prayer is the means by which God guides salvation-history? Could there be other ways of describing this relationship? For example, in view of Luke's concern with the sovereignty of God and (as this study hopes to show) with the way in which prayer serves to attune the will of the individual to the will of God, would it not be more correct to say that Luke reveals various ways in which God is already guiding salvation-history, and prayer is a means of human perception of, and thus participation in, what God is doing? Harris posits a cause and effect relationship between prayer and the progress of salvation-history; prayer is one of the tools through which God is able to act historically. However, it may be more correct to say that prayer is one of the tools through which an individual becomes properly aligned with God's predetermined action and so is able to obediently participate within God's appointed framework.

Harris also suffers from major methodological flaws which severely limit the value of his work. In keeping with the earliest work in redaction-criticism, he tries to separate tradition from redaction in order to base his exegetical conclusions

¹³ For example, see S. Smalley, "The Spirit, Kingdom and Prayer in Luke-Acts," NT. 15 ('73) 60f; P. T. O'Brien, "Prayer in Luke-Acts," TB. 24 ('73) 112, 123–127; A. Trites, "The Prayer Motif in Luke-Acts" in Perspectives on Luke-Acts, (Danville, 1978), pp. 181, 185f; Fitzmyer, The Gospel, vol. I, p. 772; I. H. Marshall, The Gospel of Luke: A Commentary on the Greek Text, (Grand Rapids, 1978), p. 366; L. Feldkämper, Der betende Jesus als Heilsmittler nach Lukas, (Bonn, 1978), pp. 22f. Harris' influence upon Trites seems to have been mediated through Smalley and O'Brien.

Others had observed a connection between prayer and important events in Luke-Acts before Harris; see A. Hastings, Prophet and Witness in Jerusalem: A Study of the Teaching of St. Luke, (London, 1958), pp. 89–91; Jeremias, "Gebetsleben," p. 131; J. Margreth, Gebetsleben Jesu Christi, des Sohnes Gottes, (Münster, 1902), pp. 42f, 199. But it was left to Harris to nuance the position by defining "important events" as turning points in salvation-history.

only upon those prayer notices which derive from Luke's own hand (pp. 3f, 23 n. 1). Consequently, significant portions of Luke-Acts are excluded from consideration because they are "traditional" and thus irrelevant (Lk. 20:46f; 22:31–34; Acts 6:1–6; 16:25; 20:36; 21:5; 27:35; 28:8, 15; see p. 196). In addition, other material is omitted (Acts 9:40; 14:23) because even though it is redactional it makes little or no contribution to the proposed thesis as this "develops" from the material remaining (pp. 172, 192f). Aside from the obvious prejudice which Harris' thesis has exercised over the assembly and exegesis of Luke's materials, it should be plain that Luke's inclusion of traditional material is itself an editorial decision which merits every bit as much attention as do his alterations.¹⁴

This methodological fault calls for a renovation of the main thesis itself since one of its prime supports is the apparent "absence" of any Lukan prayer notices after Acts 13:1–3 (p. 198), where the mission to the Gentiles – according to Harris, the last major turning point in salvation–history – is inaugurated (pp. 242f). Harris indicates his own dissatisfaction with his method when he concedes that the prayer notices in the conversion of Cornelius (Acts 10:2–4, 9, 30f; 11:5) are traditional, yet deals with them nonetheless because they are amenable to his argument!

Finally, Harris like Ott sees no need to explore any christological import in Jesus' prayer-life. Jesus is simply the church's paradigm. However, the criticisms of Ott on this point apply to Harris as well. Is this simple conclusion really as self-evident as these scholars seem to believe?

1.1.3 Louis Monloubou: Prayer and Jesus' Model

La Prière selon Saint Luc: Recherche d'une structure by L. Monloubou appeared in 1976. This is a wide ranging study which investigates the various prayer practices of 1st century society, both Jewish and Gentile (pp. 25–34), as well as the general interest in prayer found in Luke-Acts. The largest sections of this work are taken up with a study of the different sorts of prayer language used by Luke (pp. 101–170), giving significant attention to how this vocabulary reveals Luke's general outlook on prayer, and a detailed analysis of Mary's Magnificat (pp. 219–237). Jesus' own practice of (pp. 57–72) and teaching on (pp. 73–92) prayer receive less attention, although Jesus serves as the key to unravelling the rest of Luke's material. This is so because the whole of Luke's treatment of Jesus is intended to present him to the church as their only model of true discipleship: the One who perseveres through prayer.

According to Monloubou, Luke presents Jesus-as-Paradigm in two ways, through the two types of prayer texts found in the gospel. First, there are seven texts which simply mention that Jesus prayed, without conveying any content (3:21; 5:16; 6:12; 9:18; 9:28f; 11:1; 22:41) (p. 57). He then points to the number

¹⁴ For an excellent critique of this assumption which so often accompanies redaction-criticism, together with a detailed defence of the claim that traditional material may be as reflective of an author's theology as his own redaction see I. H. Marshall, "Tradition and Theology in Luke (Luke 8:5–15)," *TB*. 20 ('69) 56–75.

seven saying it is unlikely that it be "merely fortuitous" (p. 58). Monloubou does not elaborate upon this suggestive remark, but he seems to imply that Luke is playing at numerology, using the number of perfection to draw the reader's attention to Jesus as the perfect pray-er.

Secondly, Monloubou notes those texts where the content of Jesus' prayer is recorded (10:21 f; 22:41–44; 24:34, 46). Through studying Luke's redaction of Mark, especially of the scene in Gethsemane, he believes that Luke's alterations may consistently be explained by the same motivation: to present Jesus as the model of Christian discipleship (pp. 59–61). In fact, Luke's only real interest in Jesus' prayer-life is how it may instruct the church. "La prière de Jesus, telle que la présente saint Luc, est donc une catéchese sur la prière que pratiquent les chrétiens" (p. 61). This also explains why the whole of Monloubou's discussion of prayer in Acts concentrates upon the parallels between Jesus' prayer habits and those of the early church.

Consequently, Monloubou's treatment of Luke's paranetic material, especially Lk. 11:5–8 and 18:1–8, is not unlike Ott's. There is a slight difference in that Monloubou makes the element of persistance an implication of the primary point which has to do with God's goodness in hearing prayer (pp. 77, 79). But, with Ott, this didactic emphasis upon ceaseless prayer serves to suppliment Jesus' model of faithful prayer. However, it should also be noticed that Monloubou appears to have reached this formulation independently, without a single-minded dependence upon Conzelmann's eschatology.

Monloubou has probably most thoroughly explored the exact manner in which Luke-Acts presents Jesus as the church's model pray-er. And there can be no doubt that this is an important element in Luke's overall presentation. However, it hardly needs to be said that Monloubou's justifications for describing this as the only reason for Luke's interest in Jesus' prayer-life are less than convincing. His allusion to the number seven is hard to believe. Even if one were to assume that Luke could have supposed his readers to pick up on such a subtle idea, why should he have counted only those references which lack content, especially since there are only six of them anyway (22:41 is not contentless!)?

Finally, there remains the perennial question: Why is it automatically assumed that Luke had no christological interests in his prayer motif? Is it really defensible to claim that Luke had nothing but a paradigmatic view of Jesus in mind?

1.1.4 Ludger Feldkämper: Prayer and Christology

A major treatment of prayer in Luke-Acts appeared in 1978 from Ludger Feldkämper, originally submitted as a doctoral dissertation at the Pontifical Biblical Institute in Rome, and entitled *Der betende Jesus als Heilsmittler nach Lukas*. The title immediately reveals the primary interest of the work. For as Feldkämper says, the distribution of the Lukan prayer texts throughout the ministry of Jesus, the fact that it is so often Jesus who is at prayer, as well as the content of the prayers

themselves, all indicate that Luke's interest is not simply in prayer in general but in Jesus' prayers in particular (pp. 17f). At last, someone had stepped forward to investigate the christological significance of Luke's prayer materials beyond their simple paradigmatic function. In doing this Feldkämper asks two questions (pp. 18f). First, what significance does prayer have for Jesus' person; "was bedeutet die Betonung des Betens für die Darstellung des Gesamtmysteriums Jesu?" (p. 18). Secondly, what is the importance of prayer for Jesus' relationship to God and the church?

Jesus' prayers reveal his unique relationship as the Son. This is discovered in the dialogical nature of prayer which is seen in Luke's overall organisation of prayer texts. In the first half of the gospel Jesus is addressed by God as "Son" while in prayer (3:22; 9:35). In the second half Jesus responds by praying to God as "Father" (10:21 f; 11:2; 22:42; 23:34, 46) (pp. 333f). This also reveals the general theological truth that true prayer is always a human response to the personal initiative of God (p. 334).

Secondly, Jesus' prayers always occur in one of two contexts: either that of Jesus' powerful words and deeds, or the discussion of his future suffering (p. 335). These various contextual associations make prayer the meeting ground for Lukan christology and soteriology, for at just these points Jesus is revealed as the Son sent by the Father to bring the Kingdom of God and to suffer in Jerusalem. From this use of the prayer theme Luke demonstrates that it is the entire life of Christ, not only his sufferings, that are important for our salvation (p. 336).

Thirdly, Feldkämper discovers extensive parallels between Jesus' prayers and those of the early church (pp. 306–333, 337). This is true not only of the frequency of prayer but also of their context: namely, that of wonder-working deeds and suffering. But the parallels are not perfect; Jesus is not simply a paradigm for Christian piety. Jesus' prayers are also unique, for: a) the prayers of the community are indebted to the prior prayers of Jesus (Lk. 6:12; 22:32); b) the community is only able to praise God through the Spirit given by the exalted Lord (Acts 2:4,11; 10:46; 19:6); and, c) the community prays to and worships Jesus (Acts 7:59f; Lk. 24:52). Consequently, the prayers of the church are mediated through the "true Pray-er" Jesus (p. 337f). Christian prayer is possible only insofar as Jesus has revealed the Father, and then mediated our salvation by the strength of his own prayer-life.

Feldkämper has broken important new ground in his study of Lukan prayer. He is a keen observer of the text, and even though he tends to run rather far afield in order to make his points, the present study has benefitted a great deal from his exegetical insights; certainly no one before him had discussed Lukan prayer and christology as he has. However, "breaking new ground" also describes the limitations of his study. There is a great deal which can yet be said in this area. The first major flaw in his work once again results from the influence of Conzelmann: he has ignored the Lukan birth narratives; in particular, he has overlooked Jesus' confession of God as his Father in Lk. 2:49. This omission seriously undercuts his dialogical thesis on prayer, which is crucial to so much of what follows in his

work, concerning not only the nature of prayer itself but also the organisation of prayer texts in Luke's gospel.

But the most serious problem in Feldkämper's work is the absence of any definite relationship drawn between the fact of Jesus prayers and the theological themes which are regularly found in association with those prayers. Feldkämper waits until the last two pages of his book to make a few suggestive remarks about Jesus' prayers "mediating" certain benefits to the disciples, but at no point has he worked out exactly how or where that process has occurred. This omission becomes most noticeable as he discusses those characteristics of the gospel prayer texts which indicate various (and variable) parallel relationships (pp. 285-305). Here Feldkämper produces many interesting observations (not all of which are entirely convincing), but he is unable to offer any sort of explanation as to why these themes, ie. the power of Jesus' ministry and his suffering, are repeatedly associated with prayer. What is the exact nature of this relationship? The material cries out for some sort of inference to be drawn, but Feldkämper is silent. He does say that "Luke has developed the motif of Jesus' prayers into an extensive 'interlocking' network" (p. 304), but this observation also points to the limitations of Feldkämper's analysis. For, in the absence of any causal/relational explanation, Luke's prayer texts simply become sign-posts pointing toward other important salvific themes; they serve as "pegs" which anchor the interrelated themes of suffering, etc., but there is no inherent reason why these points of contact need to be prayers. Some other theme might be just as suitable, for there is no causal explanation which might give prayer per se a generative, or even a necessary role in these connections. Feldkämper has begun to explain the literary significance of Jesus' prayers in Luke, but he has not offered any account of Luke's convictions regarding the actual significance of prayer in the life of Jesus. Consequently, Feldkämper has made a start in demonstrating that Luke's prayer theme has an important place in the development of his christology, but the precise nature of this connection has yet to be uncovered.

1.1.5 More Unpublished Dissertations: Wrestling with Ott and Harris

Several other Ph.D. dissertations¹⁵ have been written on Lukan prayer, all of which make the work of Ott and Harris their point of departure. C. M. Fuhrman (1981) submitted A Redactional Study of Prayer in the Gospel of Luke, omitting any examination of the book of Acts. He unquestioningly endorses Conzelmann's eschatological programme and accepts Ott's view of prayer and the parousia delay. Although he correctly criticises Harris' heavy-handed pursuit of prayer and Heilsgeschichte, his own alternative suggestion that this theme was already present in Mark (1:35; 6:46; 15:34) and Q (Lk. 10:2, 21 f) hardly carries conviction. Lk. 18:1 is made the organising principle of all Luke has to say about prayer, and the

¹⁵ Fuhrman's work was submitted to Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, Louisville, Kentucky; Mobley's to Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Fort Worth, Texas; and Plymale's to Northwest University, Evanston, Illinois.

Index of Biblical References

Old Testament

Genesis		8:21	206
5:21-24	216, 228	12:19	206
16:1	64	12:23	206
18:9-15	64		
18:16-33	207, 208, 228	II Samuel	
20:7, 17f	207, 208		
21:1-7	64	24:17	205
25:21	207		
48:14, 17f	191	I Kings	
Exodus		2:19	192
	•••	3:16	192
3:1-4:17	208	8	205
15:6, 12	191	13:6	207
15:25	208		
20:19	208	II Kings	
28:29	17	80	
32	223, 225, 229	4:33	207
32:11-14	208, 227	6:17	207
32:30-35	208	19:1-4	205 f
32:32	229		
33:12f	208	II Chronicles	
34:28	230		• • •
Numbers		6	205
9:20, 26	208	10:18f	205
11:2	208	30:18	205
12:13	208	30:27	205
21:7	208	32:20	206
21.7	200		
Deuteronomy		Job	
5:27	208	1:8-12	154
5:31	226	1:12	155
9:13-29	208	2:3-7	154
18:15	47	2:6	155
19:17	192	9:33	155
34:5	226	16:19-22	209
		19:25	209
I Samuel		31:25	157
1:2ff	64	33:23-28	209
2:25	207, 219	40:14	191
7:5-9	206	42:8, 10	207

Psalms		105:1, 43	61
		106:23	227
3:7	188	106:47	60
5:11	60	107	62
7:6	188	108:3	60
7:17	60	109:6, 31	192
9:1, 2, 14	61	109:30	60
9:19	188	110:1	16, 180, 182, 183, 184,
12:5	188	110.1	186, 189, 191, 193, 194,
16:8	192		195, 196, 197, 233, 237,
16:11	191		238
18	65	110:4	198
18:3	61	110:4	192
18:35	191	118	62
18:49	60		
20:5	60	119:7, 62, 162	61
20:6	191	138:1	60
21:8	191	140:13	60
22:22, 23, 26	61	142:7	60
28:7	60	145	62
30:4f	62	v · 1	
31:7	60	Isaiah	
33:1f	61	2:19, 21	188
34:1	61	3:13	181
35:9, 18, 27	62	6:9f	67
40:16	60	11:2	138
42:5, 11	62	14:22	188
43:4f	60	22:4	206
50:15	61	37:1-4	206
54:6	60	41:13	192
56:10	61	42:1-3	138
57:9	60	45:1	192
59:16	60	45:23	64
69:30, 34	61	49:1-13	138
70:4	60	49:18	64
71:22f	62	52:15	73
73:23	192	53	236
74:21	61	53:12	80, 84, 206, 229
75:1,9	61	55:1f	147
79:13	62, 65	56:7	149
80:17	191	60:3	73
86:9	61	61:1f	138, 147
86:12	60	63:12	192
88:10	60	63:16	209
89:5, 12, 16	61		
92:1, 4	61	Jeremiah	
94:2	188	•	
94:16	230	6	149
96:13	188	7:11	149
97:1, 8, 12	61	7:16	207
98:9	188	10:23-25	207
99:6	209	11:14	207
100:2, 4	61	14:11	207
102:18	61	15:1	207, 209, 218
	~ -	21:2	206

22:24	191	Habakkuk	
26 26:19	149 205	2:16	191
27:18	206		
37:3	207	Zechariah	
42:2f	207	1:12	209
Ezekiel		3:1	155, 183, 192, 195, 196
13:5 22:30	206 206	3:1-5 3:1-10 3:3-5	183 195, 209 195
Daniel			
2:19-23	63	Malachi	
2:23 7 7:13 7:10, 22, 26 9:3 ff	60, 61 186, 187 182, 183, 194 183 227	3:1	149

New Testament

Matthew		27:1-5	174
3:6	64	27:54	89
3:13f	70, 72		
7:9f	132	Mark	
7:11	133	1:9	70, 72
10:32f	15, 190, 191	1:10	111
10:321	220	1:14f	139
10:13 10:32f	173	1:16-20	146
10:321	68	1:35	10
11:2	72	1:38	141
11:20-24	67	1:45	142
11:25-30	49, 52, 63, 65, 67, 68	3:6	84, 144
12:14	84	3:7-10	146
12:43ff	164	3:11-12	146
13:13-23	67	3:13-19	146
13:16f	66	4:13	97
14:2	29	5:13	164
16:17f	23, 32	5:31	159
17:17-20	129, 130	6:14	73
18:21 f	129	6:15	28
20:28	165	6:16	29
21:9	94	6:17 -2 9	27
21:21	130	6:31	142
21:22	130	6:45-8:26	22, 97
22:44	186	6:46	10, 22, 26, 129
23:37-39	94	6:52	97
24:44f	159	7:17	97 97
25:31 -4 6	193	8:17-21	97 97
26:39	117	8:28	28
26:59 26:63f	95	8:32f	28 97
26:64	95 186	8:38	15, 191
20:0 4	100	0:30	15, 191

9:9	99	2:26	87
9:23, 29	130	2:28-32	113
9:28f, 23f	129	2:37	113
9:32	97	2:39	156
10:33f	97	2:46f	36
11:8	84, 144, 149	2:49	9, 84
11:9f	94	2:50	97
11:12-14, 20-23	130	3:1	73
11:12-14, 20-25	149	3:18	72
11:22-24	129, 130	3:19f	27, 34, 70-73
11:24-25	130	3:21 f	7, 9, 13, 45, 46, 70–72,
11:27-33	151		109–116, 120f, 136, 138,
12:36	186		141, 142
13:18	129	3:21-4:44	137–140, 143
14:13	159	4:1	143
14:27, 50	158	4:3-12	87, 164
14:32	150	4:5-7	163, 173
14:32, 35f, 38f	129	4:13	5, 87, 162
14:32-41	167	4:14f	91, 141, 143
14:33	121	4:18f	144, 147, 150
14:50	159f	4:18-27	40, 198
14:60f	95	4:22	144
14:62	182, 184, 186, 198	4:24	95
15:21-41	77	4:28-30	144
15:24	85	4:31f	40
15:29	85	4:34	33
15:34	10, 129	4:36	40, 141, 144
15:37	89	4:41	33, 87, 146
15:39	89	4:42f	26, 142, 144, 146, 150
		4:43f	40
Luke		5:1	40
1:1-4	237	5:1 -1 1	33, 146, 150
1:10	114	5:15	37, 40
1:10-13	113, 123	5:16	6, 7, 13, 26, 113 f, 142
1:11	46	5:17	40
1:14	63f	5:21	143
1:17	141	5:24	191
1:20	120	5:25f	91
1:22	46	5:29	150
1:25-33	128	5:32	187
1:26	120	6:5	191
1:30-32	138	6:11	84, 143, 144
1:32f	33	6:12	7, 9, 13, 26, 113, 146
1:35	141	6:12-16	146
1:37f	128	6:17	144
1:44, 47	63 f	6:17-20	146 f, 150
1:56	36	6:18	37, 40
1:66	36	6:27	37
2:11	33, 87, 92	6:28	80, 84
2:14	91, 94	6:41 f	36
2:15	120	6:47f	37
2:18	36	7:1, 9, 11 f, 24	150
2:20	37, 39, 40, 91	7:16f	91, 144, 150
2:21-40	65	7:18ff	72

7:19	187	10:18-20	195
7:21	35	10:21-24	8f, 10, 33, 35, 37, 40,
7:22	37, 39		49-75, 84, 88, 95, 108,
7:26f	70, 72		113f, 123, 146, 165, 173,
7:27	37		200, 234
7:29	40	10:39	37
7:30	152	10:42	145
7:34	187, 191	11:1f	113, 147f, 176
7:44	35	11:1-13	3, 4, 5, 7f, 9, 13, 26
7:50	87	11:2	84
8:4, 19, 40, 42	150	11:4	84, 130
8:8	37	11:5-8	131–134
8:10	36	11:9-13	132 f, 134
8:11	97		
		11:14, 29	150
8:16	36	11:20	141
8:21	37	11:28	37
8:25	34	11:30-32	198
8:28	33f	11:33	36
8:30, 32f	164	11:44	120
8:45	150, 159	12:1	97, 150
8:48	87	12:8f	16, 120, 173, 184, 190f,
8:50	36, 87		195 f, 202
8:55	156	12:10-12	191
9:1	141	12:28	160
9:1-6, 10	25 f, 27	12:36f	181
9:7-9	27-31, 73	12:41	159
9:7-27	44	12:54	150
9:10	142	13:13	91
9:10-18	42, 66, 166	13:14, 17	150
9:11f, 37f	150	13:28	72
9:18-29	21-34, 42f, 44, 46, 48, 50,	13:33f	198
	56, 69, 89, 102, 107f, 113,	13:34f	94f, 148
	127	14:7	145
9:20	87	14:25	150
9:22	87, 191, 198	14:25-35	31
9:23	169	14:27	169
9:26	181, 191	14:35	37
9:28f	112f, 145	15:10	120
9:28-36	21, 26, 42–48, 66, 108	15:18, 21	120
9:31	181, 187	16:9	185
9:35	9, 37, 40, 87, 145, 234	16:16	72
9:36	99	16:26	157
9:37 -4 3	129	16:31	37
9:37 -4 3 9:44			
	191, 198	17:4, 31	156
9:45	53, 58, 97	17:6	129, 130
9:46-50	160	17:15, 18	91
9:51	157	17:19	87
9:54	120	17:24f	87, 198
9:57-62	31, 191	17:29	120
9:62	36	18:1-8	3, 8, 10, 131 f, 175, 181
10:2	10	18:7	145
10:16	37, 68	18:13	91
10:17-20	68	18:31-33	198
10:18	120	18:34	53, 58, 97

18:36	150	22:54	159
18:42f	87, 91	22:54-62	161, 169
19:10	187	22:64	95
19:12-27	87	22:66-23:3	95
19:18	13	22:67-69	95
19:23	181	22:69	181-191, 194-196, 200
19:28f	13	23:2f	87, 195
19:37	40, 94f	23:8-12	29, 30, 73, 195
19:38f	87, 94f, 148, 187	23:10	144, 195
19:41-44	94, 97f, 148	23:18, 21, 23	85
19:42	58, 95, 198	23:27-29	91
19:45-48	149, 150f	23:28-31	148, 198
19:48	37, 84, 144	23:32-49	21, 76–96
20:1	149, 150	23:34ff	9, 92, 95, 108, 113, 118f,
20:3-21:36	150		127, 144, 145, 154, 180
20:9, 45	150	23:43	185
20:6, 19	151	23:46	9, 113f, 118f, 127
20:42	181, 186	23:47	91
20:42 20:46f	7	23:49	35, 158
21:8	36	24:1-12	99
21:11	120	24:7	87, 198
21:15	199	24:10-12, 33-36	160
21:20-24	148	24:10-12, 33-36	36
			21, 76, 98–108
21:27	181	24:13-35	
21:30	36	24:16	53, 58, 97f
21:36	129, 171, 175f	24:19	33, 144
21:37f	26, 37, 150	24:23	120
22:2, 66	144, 151	24:26	87, 181
22:3	5, 87, 161, 162–166	24:30	114
22:6	65, 151	24:33	158
22:8	159	24:34	8
22:15-37	160	24:46	8, 87
22:19f	119	24:49	141
22:21	165	24:52	9
22:22	163	T. h	
22:24-30	160	John	
22:28	158	1:29-34	70
22:30	144, 165	5:37	45
22:31f	109, 120, 154–162, 165 f,	6:14	27
	169, 170–172, 174f, 195,	6:68f	27
	202	6:70	162
22:31-34	7, 9, 26	13:2, 27, 30	162
22:31-46	154	13:26f	165
22:33	46	14:2f	180, 185
22:39	114, 150		·
22:39-46	4, 8f, 26, 121, 166–176,	Acts	
	202	1:1	84
22:40f	7, 175	1:2	178, 181
22:42	84, 113f, 165	1:4f	123
22:43f	116–123	1:8	123, 141
22:45f	161, 175	1:9	36
22:49f	169	1:11	36, 178, 181
22:52-24:9	159	1:13	135
22:53	87, 162	1:14	113, 123
44.JJ	07, 102	1.17	110, 120

1: 1 6	173	8:13	40, 141
1:21 f	38, 160	8:14ff	124
1:24-26	113, 116, 134, 145	8:15	113
2:1	123	8:20	125
2:2f	120	8:22, 24	127
2:3	36, 46	8:26	120, 126
2:4	9	8:28-33	172
2:7	40	8:29	126
2:11	9, 37	9:3ff	126, 178
2:17	46	9:4-7	37
2:22	40	9:6, 10ff	178
2:26	63f	9:7	182
2:33	36, 38, 178	9:8f	36
2:34	181, 186, 197	9:11f	46, 113f, 116, 125, 127
2:34-41	189	9:18	120
2:37	37	9:19	120
2:38	125, 127	9:28-36	116
2:42	101 f, 113, 128	9:35	156
2:46	63f, 101f	9:40	7, 113f, 116, 120, 134, 156
3:4	36	9:42	172
3:12	40	10:2-4	7, 40, 113f, 120, 125, 127
3:14	93	10:7	120
3:17	80, 83f	10:9f	7, 40, 113 f, 125, 127
3:19	156	10:18	172
3:22f	37, 47, 198	10:22	120
4:4	37	10:30f	7, 40, 113f, 125, 127
4:7	192	10:38	141
4:8	126	10:44	126
4:14	36	10:45	125
4:13	40	10:46	9, 37
4:20	37–39	11:5	7, 113f, 125, 127
4:21	91	11:7	37
4:24-30	113f	11:13	120
4:28	173	11:14-22	172
4:29-31	124, 127	11:15ff	126
4:31-36, 41	172	11:17	125 f
5:3	171	11:18	91 f
5:19	120, 126	11:21	156
5:27	192	12:2-17	135
6:1-6	7, 113	12:5	113f, 116, 120, 125, 127
6:4	128	12:7	40, 120
6:6	127	12:9f	36
6:8	141	12:11f	113f, 116, 120, 125
6:13	192f	12:23	120, 126
6:15	141, 184	13	126
7	154	13:1-3	7, 114, 127, 145
7:26	35	13:5	188
7:33	182	13:10	171
7:37	47, 198	13:11	36
7:42	198	13:12	40
7:52	93, 184	13:12	83, 145
7:55f	178-203	13:27	80, 84
7:59f	9, 80, 84, 113, 120	13:31	38
8:6	36, 38–40	13:43	188
0.0	50, 50 TO	15.15	100

13:48	37	26:14	37
13:52	126	26:16	40
14:1	188	26:18	156, 171–173
14:11	40	26:20	156
14:15	156	26:29	37, 113, 127
14:22	157, 171 f	26:30-32	114
14:23	7, 113, 127	27:23	120, 126 ⁻
15:7	145	27:24	192
15:8	125	27:29	113
15:19	156	27:35	7, 101 f
15:22, 25	145	28:8	7, 113
15:32, 41	157	28:15	7, 113
15:36	156	28:17, 23f	188
16:6	126	28:26f	36f, 67, 95, 156
16:9f	126		,,
16:14	37	Romans	
16:18	156		477
16:25	7, 113, 125, 128	8:32	17
16:27	40	8:34	15f, 17f, 19, 174, 189, 196,
16:34	63f		197, 201, 233
17:1-4, 10-12		14:11	64
17:30	80, 83 f	W O 1 11	
18:4, 19	188	II Corinthians	
18:9f	178	5:7	45
18:18	37		
19:5	37	Ephesians	
19:6	9, 125f	1:20	186
19:10, 17	188	1.20	160
19:18	64	Colossians	
19:26	38		
20:7, 11	101 f	3:1	186
20:21	188		
20:23	126	I Thessalonians	
20:25	35	5:22	45
20:36	7, 113, 120, 127		
21:4	126	Hebrews	
21:5	7, 113, 120, 127	1:3f	16-18, 19, 174, 197
21:20	91 f	1:13	186
21:21	188	2:17f	16
22:7, 9	37, 113	4:14-16	16
22:11	36	5:7-10	18f, 234
22:14f	38-40, 93	7:25	16–18, 19, 174, 197, 201,
22:17	114, 125		233
22:17-21	178	7:25-28	234
22:30	192	7:27	16
22:43f	116	8:1	186, 189, 197
23:9	120	8:2	16
23:11	126, 178	8:24	174
24:20	192	9:12	16
24:21	182	9:24	16
25:10, 18	192	9:25-28	16
25:13-22	114	10:12-14	16, 186, 189, 197
26:6	192	12:2	16, 186, 197
26:7	120	12:24	16, 166, 177
_9.7		12.2.	

James		I John	
1:13-15	163	1:7	18
4:7	163	2:1	18f, 174, 201, 233, 234
5:16	64, 211	2:2	18
		4:10	18
II Peter			
2:6	220	Jude	
		7	220

Index of Extra-Biblical References

Apocrypha and	Pseudepigrapha	IV Baruch	
		1:2	213
Acts of Pilate		2:3	213
		7:23, 24, 32	211, 213
16:6f	235	I Enoch	
Additions to Esthe		13:4, 6	216
ridditions to Estr	V.	14:4	216
13:8-17	211	15:2	216
14:3-19	211	16:3	216
		39:5	212
Apocalypse of Ab	.maham	39:9-11	63
Apocatypse of Ac	ranam	63:1-5	211
22:5-7	192	65:2-5	216
		81:1-3	216
		83:5f, 10	216, 217
Apocalypse of Sec	drach	89:76	184, 216
7:3	211	90:14-17	216
12:2-13:6	211	91-104	187
14:2	211	99:3	184
16:1-7	211	104:1	184
10.1-7	211	106:19	216
		100.17	210
Apocalypse of Set	th	II Enoch	
31:4	211	7:4f	216
		18:7	216
Apocalypse of Ze	mhaniah	21:3	216
Apocatypse of Ze	рпашан	22:6	216
11:1-6	212	24:1	216
		33:10	217
	•	36A:3	216
Ascension of Isaia	h	53:1f	218
2:1	165	64]:5	216, 217
3:11	165	67:2	216
9:35f	183		
	100	III Enoch	
II Baruch		4:2f, 9	217
II Datucii		10:3f	217
2:1	211	11:1f	217
48:1-24	211	12:5	217
48:26-41	219	14:2	217
84:10-85:3, 12	213	15B:2-5	215
85:1ff	211, 218	16	217, 229
85:12	218, 219	28:4-9	192
	•		

44:7	212	I Maccabees	
44:9f	219	4:30-33	211
48:5ff	209, 211, 218	7:41 f	211
48C:7	217	11:71	211
IV Ezra		II Maccabees	
7:46, 102-105,		3:1, 16f, 31-33	211
112-115	220	4:33-38	211
7:102ff	218	7:37f	211
8:17, 19-36,		11:6ff	211
37–40, 41	220	12:36, 43-45	211
8:26f	211	13:10-12	211
12:48	220	15:12-16	212, 214
13:14	220	15:22-24	211
Greek Apocrypho	n of Ezra	III Maccabees	
1:6, 10ff	220	6:1-15	211
2:2-7, 31	220		
7:13	220	IV Maccabees	
Jubilees		4:13f	211
•	210 214 210	5:37	185
1:19-22	210, 214, 219	6:28f	211
4:17-19, 21-24		Ps. Eupolemus	
7:38	215	-	217
9:3-6	210	8	215
10:3	210	Ps. Philo	
11:16-24	213		
12:1-8, 12-14 16:5f	213 220	6:1-18	213
19:24 - 27	215	12:8-10	214
21:10	215	19:3, 8f	214, 218
21.10	215	33:4f	218, 219
Judith		64:2	213, 218, 219
4:11-13	224	75:5	219
5:12ff	224	Questions of Ezra	
6:18f, 21	224	•	220
8:31	211	7	220
9:2-14	211	Sirach	
11:17	211		215
12:8	211	44:16 44:19	215 213
		45:1-5	214, 224
Life of Adam and	Eve	49:14	214, 224
20:3	211	51:1ff	60, 61
21:2	211	31.111	00, 01
40:2-43:3	211, 219	Testament of Abra	aham
		10A:4-15	220
Lives of the Proph	nets	11B:3f	215
1:2f, 8	213	12-13	192
2:3-19	213, 214	12B:1-12	212
3:10	213	14A:5-15	212, 220
4:4, 12	213	14:6	212
21:4f, 7, 9	213	14A:10-15	220
22:9, 11, 15	213	18:9-11	212
, -,		-	

Testament of Ber	njamin	Josephus	
3:3	155	War	
3:6	210		
10:1	210	3.354	63
Testament of Ga	d	Philo	
5:9	210		
		Abr.	
Testament of Isaa	ac	17-26	226
1:3	213	124	193
1.5	213		
Testament of Jac	ob	Deus	
		73	193
1:3	213		
1:9	213	Gaius	
7:11, 21, 27	213, 220 213		
8:3 8:8	220	95	193
0.0	220		
Testament of Job	•	Jos.	
		229	193
33:3	192		
		Migr. Abr.	
Testament of Mo	oses	122	226
1:14	214	122	220
9-10	187	D . C .	
10:3	181, 188	Post. Cain	
11:11, 14	214	143	226
11:17	214, 217		
12:6	214	QE	
		2.68	193
Testament of Na	phtali	2.00	193
6:8f	210	Ouis Day Div H	
		Quis Rer. Div. He	<i>:</i> 1.
Testament of Re	uben	205	226
1:7 4:4	210 210	Sacr. Abel. Cain	
4:4	210	8, 9	226
Tr. 1. (.		0, 7	220
Tobit		Somn.	
12:15	187		
13:1ff	61	I, 141, 142f	226
Vision of Ezra		Spec. Leg.	
18	220	III, 13	226
Wisdom		Vit. Mos.	
4:10-14, 16	228	II, 133 f, 166, 288	
12:12	219	292	- 226
14.14	217	2/2	220

Qumran Writin	ıgs	Onkelos	
1QapGen (Genesi	s Apocryphon)	Gen. 5:24	228
20:12-16	225	18:22 Ex.17 Dt. 5:5	221 222 222
1QH (Hodayot)	005	9:19 10:10	222 222 222
6:13f 7:26f	225 63	Palestinian	
1QS (Manual of I	Discipline)	Ex.14:15	230
2:4-9	225		
		Rabbinic Litera	ture
4QEnGiants(a)		Babylonian Talm	ud
9-10	224	b. Ab. Zar. 3b	229
4QEnGiants(b)		b. Ber.	
2:14, 22	224	32a	228, 229
8:4	224	39a, b	104
40D;h11am		46a	105
4QDibHam.		47a	105
column 2 11QMelchizedek	225 138	b. Hag. 15a	229
		b. Men.	
70		53b	228
Targumic Mate	erials	b. R. H	
Tar. Fragments		29b	105
Ex.14:15	223	b. Sanh.	
17	222	38b	192, 229
		b. Shab.	
Tar. (ps)Jonathan		3a	228
All	209	89b	231
Gen. 5:25	228	b. Sheb.	
9:19	223	30b	192
26:27-31	221 f	b. Sot.	
Ex.14:15 17	223 222	13b	230
Dt. 9:19	222, 228	14a	228, 229, 230
10:10	222	Mekilta	
28:15	223, 228	on 12:1	229
34:5	222	on 14:15	224
34:6	228	on 16:14	228
Is.53	222, 235	on 17:11	224
63:16	231	on 19:20	230
Neofiti		Midrash Rabbah	
Ex.14:15	223	Gen R.	
Dt. 9:19	222	25:1	228
10:10	222	49:1-4	227

Cant. R.I, 2, 3

Pesikta Rabbati

17:5 20:4 228

229 229

Ex. R.		Psalms	
18:3	229	7 (220
21:1-5	230	7:6	229
21:3	229		
25:1	228	Song of Songs	
40:2	229	1:9	192
43	229	1.7	1 /2
43:1	227		
43:4	229	Mishnah	
Lev. R.		m. Ber.	
1:3	229	6:1	104
10:1	227, 228		
Num R.		Palestinian Talmu	d
12:1	229	i Dom	
19:23	227, 229	j. Ber 13a	231
	, <i>,</i>	13a	231
Dt. R.			
2:3	230	Tosephta	
3:15ff	228, 229	t. Ber.	
11:10	229, 230	6:14f	104
		0.141	104
Midrashim			

Index of Modern Authors

Aberbach, M. 228	Harnack, A. 118, 119
Alter, R. 96	Harris, O. G. 5-7, 10f, 22f, 35, 43, 126, 239f
Barrett, C. K. 181, 188	Hawkins, J. C. 174
Bauernfeind, O. 180, 185	Hay, D. 17, 185 f
Betz, O. 225	Higgins, A. J. B. 184
Bieneck, J. 59	Holl, K. 185
Bietenhard, H. 180	Hubbard, B. 114
Bihler, J. 180, 184, 185, 199	Hull, J. 165
Bock, D. 186f, 188, 202	Hunter, A. M. 59
Bovon, F. 178	
Brown, R. 64	I
Brown, S. 27, 160, 163f	Jeremias, J. 51, 52, 58, 101, 208, 214
Brun, L. 117, 120	Johansson, N. 59, 206, 208
Bultmann, R. 18	
	Klein, R. 206
Conzelmann, H. 3-5, 8f, 10f, 40, 41, 43, 97,	Kruse, H. 52
149, 162, 164, 185	
Carlson, D. 187	Lagrange M 119 169
Casey, M. 194	Lagrange, M. 118, 168 Lake, K. 81
	Lampe, G. W. H. 115
Dalman, G. 182	Le Déaut, R. 208
Deissmann, A. 2	Lindars, B. 184, 196
Dodd, C. H. 174, 182, 184	Ellicars, D. 104, 170
Drazin, I. 222	
Dupont, J. 130, 185	Marshall, I. H. 22, 43, 94
	Meeks, W. 225, 230
Ellis, E. 158	Mobley, R. A. 11
	Monloubou, L. 7f, 239
Feldkämper, L. 8–10, 50, 239	Moule, C. F. D. 183, 189, 190. 200
Fitzmyer, J. 25, 27, 33, 88, 117, 137, 174	Nestle, Eb. 180
Fuhrman, C. M. 10f	Neudorfer, H. W. 193
6 1 1 4 4006	Neyrey, J. 93
Goguel, M. 120f	Noack, B. 164f
Gourgues, M. 193	Norden, E. 51, 52, 60, 61
Green, J. 120	
Greeven, H. 206	Ott, W. 3-5, 6, 8, 10f, 157, 239, 240
Gressmann, H. 52	Owen, H. P. 180f, 187, 188
Grimm, W. 53, 63	
Grundmann, W. 179, 180, 185	Damana M. 92
H	Parsons, M. 82
Haenchen, E. 180	Pesch, R. 181, 186, 188, 189, 199
Hamm, D. 41	Plummer, A. 26, 47, 163
Hanson, A. T. 19	Plymale, S. F. 11, 179, 185

Priest, J. 215 Richard, E. 182, 185

Sabbe, M. 182, 185 Sanday, W. 174 Scharbert, J. 205, 206 Scharlemann, M. 184 Schweitzer, A. 2 Schweizer, E. 185 Scrivener, F. H. 80, 119 Strauss, F. D. 60

Taylor, J. 174 Tdt, H. E. 180, 186, 187, 189 Trocmé, E. 181, 188

Wendland, P. 52 Williams, C. S. C. 80 Wrede, W. 99

Subject Index

Abimelech 207	Chrysostom 118
Abraham 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 212f, 220,	Clement of Alexandria 80, 82, 117, 230
221, 223, 225, 226, 227, 228, 231, 234	Cornelius 125
Adam (and Eve) 211	Cosmos 118
Adonijah 192	Crowds, the 32, 33, 47, 71, 78, 85, 90, 91,
Advocate 155, 157, 161, 164–166, 172, 174,	
	106, 109, 112, 123, 139f, 141–144, 146,
184, 189–193, 195–197, 200–203, 215, 217,	148, 150f, 166
219, 237	Cyril 117
Alexandrian text 81, 82, 118, 121	D : 1 242 227
Ambrose 117	Daniel 213, 227
Ammonian sections 80, 119	David 205, 227
Angel/Angelic/Angelology 36, 116–121,	Deborah 219
123, 125, 126, 168, 180, 183, 184, 186, 187,	Devil, see Satan
192, 204, 209, 215–217, 219, 223, 225, 226,	Dialectical Negation 52
229	Diatessaron 118
Anna 65, 128	Didymus 118
Apostasy 156, 157, 159, 160, 163, 165, 171,	Dionysius 118
175	Discipleship 31, 100, 108, 163f, 168–172,
Arians/Arius 118, 120	175, 177, 201, 232, 240, 241
Ascended/Ascension 99, 175, 177, 178, 195,	Demons 139, 152, 172–174
198, 199, 202, 233, 237, 241	
Astrology 215	Elect/Election 52, 53, 55f, 68, 74, 88, 145f,
Athanasius 117, 121	166, 173, 177, 196, 201, 207, 215, 231, 232,
Augustine 118	234, 240
Authority 59, 87, 141 f, 146 f, 151–153, 172,	Eli 207, 219
234, 237	Elijah 45, 46 f, 77, 116, 213
	Elisha 207, 213
Baptism, Jesus' 70f, 109-116, 121, 141, 151	Elizabeth 63, 64, 123, 135
Baruch 210	Emmaus 76, 96, 98–108, 175
Bathsheba 192	Enoch 196, 215-217, 218f, 224f, 226, 228f,
Beatitude 67, 68f	234, 235
Breaking of Bread 101–106	Epiphanius 118, 120
	Epiphany 114
Caesarean text 82	Esther 211
Caesarius-Nazianzus 118	Eucharist 100f, 102-104, 163, 164
Chiasm 58, 78, 117, 119	Eusebian numerals 119
Christ/Christology 8–12, 27, 43 f, 45, 74, 82,	Eusebius 80, 118
87, 92, 98, 101, 102, 108, 157, 174, 177,	Ezekiel 213
178, 180, 183, 186, 196, 197, 199, 200–202,	Ezra 219f
234f, 237–239, 241	
- Angelomorphic 180, 184, 186	Facundus 118
 Exaltation/Exalted 74f, 154, 174, 180, 	Form Criticism 56, 59
184, 191, 196, 199, 233, 237, 241	
- Functionalism 74 f, 154, 241	Gabriel 63
- Subordinationism 180, 186	Great Omission, the 22, 26, 27, 97

Gregory the Great 179 Gregory of Nazianzus 118	Leontius 118 Levi 210
Hannah 64	Literary (Criticism) 12–14, 77–79, 113 Logos 204, 226
Hearing, see Sprirtual Insight	Lord/Lordship 74, 100, 154, 178, 195, 201,
Hegesippus 80, 82	202, 207, 223, 233, 234, 237
Herod 27–31, 43, 44, 70–74, 90, 106, 166	Lot 208
Hezekiah 205	
High priest 16–18, 180, 185f, 197, 198, 199,	Magic 125, 135f
211, 226, 232, 239	Marcion 80, 82, 83, 117
Hillary 118 Hippolytus 118	Martyr/Martyrdom 93, 118, 120, 179, 181,
Historical Criticism 234	185, 187, 188, 211, 214, 232, 233
Hodayot 62, 225	Mary 97f Mediator/Mediation 74, 96, 145, 153, 177,
Holy Spirit 4, 38, 110, 111, 113, 114, 123,	178, 184, 197, 200, 204, 205, 207, 208, 212,
124f, 126, 133, 138, 139, 141–143, 146,	214, 222, 226, 238, 241
150, 152, 172, 176, 178, 204, 240	Merkabah 215, 226, 229
Hypotaxis 50f, 52	Messianic Secret 96–99, 106–108
	Messiah 98, 106–108, 136, 141–154, 160,
Ignorance motif 80, 83, 84	173, 177, 184, 222, 232 f, 235, 236, 239, 240
Inclusio 77, 139, 143, 150, 167	Messianic Self-consciousness, Jesus' 23f, 33,
Intercessor/Intercedes/Intercession/Interces-	42f, 93, 111, 139f
sory 14–20, 74f, 86, 88, 91, 93, 95, 107,	Metatron 215, 217, 228, 229
113, 114, 127, 128, 157, 160–162, 165, 166,	Metonymy 105
168, 172, 174, 175, 177, 178, 184, 187, 191, 192, 195–241	Michael 217, 225
Irenaeus 80, 82, 83, 117, 118, 120	Mordecai 211
Isaac 207, 212, 221, 223	Moses 45, 46f, 116, 184, 205, 207 f, 209, 210,
Isaiah 206, 210, 213, 235	214f, 217f, 219, 222f, 224, 226, 227, 228, 220f, 221, 222, 224, 225, 239, 239
, ,	229 f, 231, 233, 234, 235, 238, 239 Mount of Olives 147, 150, 153, 166–172,
Jacob 209, 210, 212, 223	176
James (the Just) 80, 84	170
Jeremiah 206f, 209, 210, 213, 214	Nazareth 140, 147, 198
Jerome 118	Noah 210
Jerusalem 94, 148–153, 185, 198, 212, 213,	
228 John 207	Onias 211, 214
Job 207 John 124	Origen 80, 117, 230
John of Damascus 117	
John the Baptist 28, 37, 70–74, 106, 112,	Paraclete 18, 238
152, 166	Parallelism 52–55, 57
Jonah 198	Parataxis 51, 53, 54
Joseph 97f	Parousia (delay) 3–5, 11, 94, 171, 176, 180,
Josephus 63	181, 183, 184, 187, 188, 191, 240 Passion narrative 76–96, 169, 182, 198
Joshua 195, 196	Passion Secret 96–98
Judas 150, 158, 162, 164, 165, 166, 171, 173,	Paul 37f, 38, 40, 157, 171, 174, 178, 181,
174, 175, 177	188, 197
Judas Maccabeus 214	Pentecost 38, 123, 142, 172, 173
Judith 211	People, see Crowds
Justin 80, 82, 83, 118, 120	Perseverance 158-162, 163 f, 165, 172,
Kaddish 65	175–177, 201, 202, 240
	Peter 23 f, 26 f, 27 – 34, 42 f, 47, 48, 56, 59,
Last Supper, see Eucharist	124, 127, 155–162, 166, 169, 171, 172, 199,
Law 72, 215, 223	202

295

Pharisees 143 Philo 193, 226

Prayer

- Answered 56f, 60-66, 74f, 113-116, 124f, 126, 133, 134, 154, 185, 240
- Faith 129f
- History of theological study 2ff
- Jesus' model 3, 5, 7f, 134, 136, 141 f, 144, 147 f, 150, 152 f, 167-172, 175, 176 f, 239 f
- Luke's Didactic material 128-134
- Perseverance/Discipleship 31, 121, 158, 239
- Perseverance/Persistance 3f, 7, 131-134, 240
- Revelation, see Spiritual Insight
- Righteousness/Piety 19f, 63, 65, 115, 205 f, 207, 208, 210, 211, 213 f, 216, 218, 231–233, 234, 237, 238
- Salvation History 6f, 11f, 22f, 67,
 70-74, 115f, 126, 130, 145, 151-153, 166,
 177, 181, 207, 239

Predestination, see Election

Priest, see High Priest

Prophet, the eschatological 14, 33, 41, 47f, 95, 106, 111, 136, 138–140, 141, 142, 144, 146, 147, 148f, 152f, 184, 197–200, 225, 232f, 235, 238f, 240

Prophet, Final, see Prophet, the eschatological Prophet, Mosaic, see Prophet, the eschatological Prophets 205-210, 213f, 215, 218, 231, 232, 239

Providence, see Sovereignty Ps-Dionysius 118

Qumran 62, 63, 224f

Redaction/redactional (criticism) 3, 6, 10, 12, 40, 43, 71, 92, 109, 113f, 128, 142, 147 Resurrection 33, 98f, 106, 134 Revelation, see Spiritual Insight Righteous One 93

Salvation/Soteriology 87, 88, 92, 93, 108, 145, 239, 240, 241
Samaritans 124, 214
Samuel 64, 206, 209, 213, 218
Sarah 207

Satan 57, 154-166, 168, 171-173, 195 - Accuser 15f, 157, 177, 195, 196, 202

- Adversary 155, 157, 161, 162, 164, 171, 172, 201

- Tempter 155, 162, 164 Saul 219 Savior, see Salvation Scribe (heavenly) 215-217, 229 Sedrach 211 Seeing, see Spiritual Insight Seth 211 Signs 30 Simeon 65, 128 Simon 124f, 127 Simon Peter, see Peter Solomon 192, 205, 208 Son of Man 15f, 48, 95, 173, 178-191, 193-202, 233, 235 Son/Sonship/Son of God 9, 14, 23, 50-60, 67, 69, 74f, 87, 88, 111, 136, 141, 145, 146, 151, 152f, 173, 174, 177, 199, 200, 234, 240, 241 Sovereign/Sovereignty 55, 58, 67f, 106, 107, 116, 122, 126, 128, 132, 134-136, 164, 176, 238, 240 Spiritual Insight 28-41, 43-48, 50, 55-60, 66-69, 70-75, 86, 88 f, 90-108, 111-113, 119, 124f, 126-128, 152f, 166, 177, 234, 239-241 Stephen 46, 84, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 193, 199,

Tabitha 134
Tatian 80, 82, 83, 118
Teacher of Righteousness 225
Temple 89, 123, 148f, 150, 152, 180, 185, 228
Tephillah 65
Textual criticism 79–85, 117–121
Theodoret 118

Suffering/Oppression/Persecution 10f, 16,

24, 26, 48, 58, 87, 95, 98, 106, 111, 116, 118, 131, 144, 187, 198, 200, 232

Torah, see Law Transfiguration 42–48, 56, 112, 116, 187 Twelve, the 134, 144, 145, 160, 165

Travel Narrative 31 Typology 70

200, 201, 202

Western text 81-83, 117, 118

Zechariah 63, 64, 123, 135

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

Alphabetisches Verzeichnis der ersten und zweiten Reihe

APPOLD, MARK L.: The Oneness Motif in the Fourth Gospel. 1976. Band II/1.

BACHMANN, MICHAEL: Sünder oder Übertreter. 1991. Band 59.

BAMMEL, ERNST: Judaica. 1986. Band 37.

BAUERNFEIND, OTTO: Kommentar und Studien zur Apostelgeschichte. 1980. Band 22.

BAYER, HANS FRIEDRICH: Jesus' Predictions of Vindication and Resurrection. 1986. Band II/20.

Betz, Otto: Jesus, der Messias Israels. 1987. Band 42.

- Jesus, der Herr der Kirche. 1990. Band 52.
BEYSCHLAG, KARLMANN: Simon Magnus und die christliche Gnosis. 1974. Band 16.

BITTNER, WOLFGANG J.: Jesu Zeichen im Johannesevangelium. 1987. Band II/26.

BIERKELUND, CARL J.: Tauta Egeneto. 1987. Band 40.

BLACKBURN, BARRY LEE: 'Theios Anēr' and the Markan Miracle Traditions. 1991. Band II/40.

BOCKMUEHL, MARKUS N. A.: Revelation and Mystery in Ancient Judaism and Pauline Christianity. 1990. Band II/36.

BÖHLIG, ALEXANDER: Gnosis und Synkretismus. Teil 1 1989. Band 47-Teil 2 1989. Band 48.

BÖTTRICH, CHRISTFRIED: Weltweisheit-Menschheitsethik-Urkult. 1992. Band II/50.

BÜCHLI, JÖRG: Der Poimandres - ein paganisiertes Evangelium. 1987. Band II/27.

BÜHNER, JAN A.: Der Gesandte und sein Weg im 4. Evangelium. 1977. Band II/2.

BURCHARD, CHRISTOPH: Untersuchungen zu Joseph und Aseneth. 1965. Band 8.

CANCIK, HUBERT (Hrsg.): Markus-Philologie. 1984. Band 33.

CAPES, DAVID B.: Old Testament Yaweh Texts in Paul's Christology. 1992. Band II/47.

CARAGOUNIS, CHRYS C.: The Son of Man. 1986. Band 38.

CRUMP, DAVID: Jesus the Intercessor. 1992. Band II/49.

DOBBELER, AXEL VON: Glaube als Teilhabe. 1987. Band II/22.

EBERTZ, MICHAEL N.: Das Charisma des Gekreuzigten. 1987. Band 45.

Eckstein, Hans-Joachim: Der Begriff der Syneidesis bei Paulus. 1983. Band II/10.

Ego, Beate: Im Himmel wie auf Erden. 1989. Band II/34.

ELLIS, E. EARLE: Prophecy and Hermeneutic in Early Christianity. 1978. Band 18.

- The Old Testament in Early Christianity. 1991. Band 54.

Feldmeier, Reinhard: Die Krisis des Gottessohnes. 1987. Band II/21.

- Die Christen als Fremde. 1992. Band 64.

FOSSUM, JARL E.: The Name of God and the Angel of the Lord. 1985. Band 36.

GARLINGTON, DON B.: The Obedience of Faith. 1991. Band II/38.

GARNET, PAUL: Salvation and Atonement in the Qumran Scrolls. 1977. Band II/3.

GRÄSSER, ERICH: Der Alte Bund im Neuen. 1985. Band 35.

GREEN, JOEL B.: The Death of Jesus. 1988. Band II/33.

GUNDRY VOLF, JUDITH M.: Paul and Perseverance. 1990. Band II/37.

HAFEMANN, SCOTT J.: Suffering and the Spirit. 1986. Band II/19.

HECKEL, ULRICH: siehe HENGEL.

HEILIGENTHAL, ROMAN: Werke als Zeichen. 1983. Band II/9.

HEMER, COLIN J.: The Book of Acts in the Setting of Hellenistic History. 1989. Band 49.

HENGEL, MARTIN: Judentum und Hellenismus. 1969, 31988. Band 10.

HENGEL, MARTIN und ULRICH HECKEL (Hrsg.): Paulus und das antike Judentum. 1991. Band 58.

HENGEL, MARTIN und Anna Maria Schwemer (Hrsg.): Königsherrschaft Gottes und himmlischer Kult. 1991. Band 55.

HERRENBRÜCK, FRITZ: Jesus und die Zöllner. 1990. Band II/41.

HOFIUS, OTFRIED: Katapausis. 1970. Band 11.

- Der Vorhang vor dem Thron Gottes. 1972. Band 14.
- Der Christushymnus Philipper 2,6-11. 1976, ²1991. Band 17.
- Paulusstudien. 1989. Band 51.

HOLTZ, TRAUGOTT: Geschichte und Theologie des Urchristentums. Hrsg. von Eckart Reinmuth und Christian Wolff. 1991. Band 57.

HOMMEL, HILDEBRECHT: Sebasmata. Band 1. 1983. Band 31. - Band 2. 1984. Band 32.

KAMLAH, EHRHARD: Die Form der katalogischen Paränese im Neuen Testament. 1964. Band 7.

Kim, Seyoon: The Origin of Paul's Gospel. 1981, 21984. Band II/4.

- »The Son of Man« as the Son of God. 1983. Band 30.

Kleinknecht, Karl Th.: Der leidende Gerechtfertigte. 1984, 21988. Band II/13.

KLINGHARDT, MATTHIAS: Gesetz und Volk Gottes. 1988. Band II/32.

Köhler, Wolf-Dietrich: Rezeption des Matthäusevangeliums in der Zeit vor Irenäus. 1987. Band II/24.

Kuhn, Karl G.: Achtzehngebet und Vaterunser und der Reim. 1950. Band 1. LAMPE, PETER: Die stadtrömischen Christen in den ersten beiden Jahrhunderten. 1987, ²1989. Band II/18.

LIEU, SAMUEL N. C.: Manichaeism in the Later Roman Empire and Medieval China. 1992. Band 63.

MAIER, GERHARD: Mensch und freier Wille. 1971. Band 12.

 Die Johannesoffenbarung und die Kirche. 1981. Band 25. MARKSCHIES, CHRISTOPH: Valentinus Gnosticus? 1992. Band 65.

MARSHALL, PETER: Enmity in Corinth: Social Conventions in Paul's Relations with the Corinthians. 1987. Band II/23.

MEADE, DAVID G.: Pseudonymity and Canon. 1986. Band 39.

MENGEL, BERTHOLD: Studien zum Philipperbrief. 1982. Band II/8.

MERKEL, HELMUT: Die Widersprüche zwischen den Evangelien. 1971. Band 13.

MERKLEIN, HELMUT: Studien zu Jesus und Paulus. 1987. Band 43.

METZLER, KARIN: Der griechische Begriff des Verzeihens. 1991. Band II/44. Niebuhr, Karl-Wilhelm: Gesetz und Paränese. 1987. Band II/28.

- Heidenapostel aus Israel. 1992. Band 63.

NISSEN, ANDREAS: Gott und der Nächste im antiken Judentum. 1974. Band 15.

OKURE, TERESA: The Johannine Approach to Mission. 1988. Band II/31.

PILHOFER, PETER: Presbyteron Kreitton. 1990. Band II/39. PROBST, HERMANN: Paulus und der Brief, 1991, Band II/45.

RÄISÄNEN, HEIKKI: Paul and the Law. 1983, 21987. Band 29.

REHKOPF, FRIEDRICH: Die lukanische Sonderquelle. 1959. Band 5.

REINMUTH, ECKHARDT: siehe HOLTZ.

REISER, MARIUS: Syntax und Stil des Markusevangeliums. 1984. Band II/11.

RICHARDS, E. RANDOLPH: The Secretary in the Letters of Paul. 1991. Band II/42. RIESNER, RAINER: Jesus als Lehrer. 1981, 31988. Band II/7.

Rissi, Mathias: Die Theologie des Hebräerbriefs. 1987. Band 41.

RÖHSER, GÜNTER: Metaphorik und Personifikation der Sünde. 1987. Band II/25.

RÜGER, HANS PETER: Die Weisheitsschrift aus der Kairoer Geniza. 1991. Band 53.

SÄNGER, DIETER: Antikes Judentum und die Mysterien. 1980. Band II/5.

SANDNES, KARL OLAV: Paul - One of the Prophets? 1991. Band II/43.

SATO, MIGAKU: Q und Prophetie. 1988. Band II/29.

SCHIMANOWSKI, GOTTFRIED: Weisheit und Messias. 1985. Band II/17.

Schlichting, Günter: Ein jüdisches Leben Jesu. 1982. Band 24.

SCHNABEL, ECKHARD J.: Law and Wisdom from Ben Sira to Paul. 1985. Band II/16.

SCHUTTER, WILLIAM L.: Hermeneutic and Composition in I Peter. 1989. Band II/30.

Schwartz, Daniel R.: Studies in the Jewish Background of Christianity. 1992. Band 60.

SCHWEMER, A. M.: siehe HENGEL.

SCOTT, JAMES M.: Adoption as Sons of God. 1992. Band II/48.

SIEGERT, FOLKER: Drei hellenistisch-jüdische Predigten. Teil 1 1980. Band 20. - Teil 2 1992. Band 61.

- Nag-Hammadi-Register. 1982. Band 26.

Argumentation bei Paulus, 1985, Band 34.

- Philon von Alexandrien. 1988. Band 46.

SIMON, MARCEL: Le christianisme antique et son contexte religieux I/II. 1981. Band 23.

SNODGRASS, KLYNE: The Parable of the Wicked Tenants. 1983. Band 27.

Speyer, Wolfgang: Frühes Christentum im antiken Strahlungsfeld. 1989. Band 50.

STADELMANN, HELGE: Ben Sira als Schriftgelehrter. 1980. Band II/6.

STROBEL, AUGUST: Die Studie der Wahrheit. 1980. Band 21.

STUHLMACHER, PETER (Hrsg.): Das Evangelium und die Evangelien. 1983. Band 28.

TAJRA, HARRY W.: The Trial of St. Paul. 1989. Band II/35.

THEISSEN, GERD: Studien zur Soziologie des Urchristentums. 1979, ³1989. Band 19.

THORNTON, CLAUS-JÜRGEN: Der Zeuge des Zeugen. 1991. Band 56.

WEDDERBURN, A. J. M.: Baptism and Resurrection. 1987. Band 44.

WEGNER, UWE: Der Hauptmann von Kafarnaum. 1985. Band II/14.

WILSON, WALTER T.: Love without Pretense. 1991. Band II/46.

Wolff, Christian: siehe Holtz. ZIMMERMANN, ALFRED E.: Die urchristlichen Lehrer. 1984, ²1988. Band II/12.