

OLEGS ANDREJEVS

Apocalypticism in the Synoptic Sayings Source

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen
zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe
499*

Mohr Siebeck

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen
zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Herausgeber / Editor

Jörg Frey (Zürich)

Mitherausgeber/Associate Editors

Markus Bockmuehl (Oxford) · James A. Kelhoffer (Uppsala)

Tobias Nicklas (Regensburg) · Janet Spittler (Charlottesville, VA)

J. Ross Wagner (Durham, NC)

499



Olegs Andrejevs

Apocalypticism in the Synoptic Sayings Source

A Reassessment of Q's Stratigraphy

Mohr Siebeck

Olegs Andrejevs, born 1982; 2013 PhD, Loyola University Chicago; currently Instructor in the Religion Department at Carthage College and the Department of Theology at Loyola University Chicago.

ISBN 978-3-16-157639-3/eISBN 978-3-16-157640-9

DOI 10.1628/978-3-16-157640-9

ISSN 0340-9570/eISSN 2568-7484

(Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament, 2. Reihe)

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.dnb.de>.

© 2019 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany. www.mohrsiebeck.com

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Laupp & Göbel in Gomaringen on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Nädele in Nehren.

Printed in Germany.

Preface

Writing this book has been an immensely satisfying and joyful journey. It represents the hopefully more mature fruit of my thinking about Q and its composition several years following the completion of my dissertation in 2013. It is not a rewrite or revision of the dissertation but a totally new composition that develops some of the ideas first articulated in that work. A good portion of the time following the dissertation was spent elaborating the stratigraphic analysis that underlies the current project. My concern has been to advance and sharpen the diachronic study of Q, pointing up its advantages over the synchronic approach and its contribution to the study of formative Jewish Christianity. As the book's title indicates, the principal objective of the study is to highlight the presence of the apocalyptic worldview in all of Q's compositional stages.

Easily the most challenging aspect of this process was the inevitable necessity to take some time away from my family – Stella, Josie, Natasha, Mary, and Steve. To them this work is therefore dedicated first and foremost. Without their love and support I simply could not have done it! I am also grateful to my past teachers and present colleagues, especially Professors Wendy J. Cotter, Robert A. Di Vito, Jim Lochtefeld, and Rom Mazcka. Toward the end of this journey, at Mohr Siebeck I have had the pleasure of having the transition of my manuscript from its acceptance to its print-ready form overseen by a phenomenal team who have expertly guided me through every step of the process: Katharina Gutekunst, Elena Müller, Tobias Stäbler, and Rebekka Zech. Mr. Wesley Dingman has kindly rectified some nagging issues in preparing the final PDF file. Of course, I take full responsibility for any errors that remain.

Finally, I would like to thank Professor Jörg Frey and the board of editors for accepting this work for publication.

Table of Contents

Preface.....	v
List of Abbreviations.....	xii
Chapter One: Prolegomena	1
<i>1. Introduction: Recent Methodological Questions in Q Studies.....</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>2. The Study of Q as a Reconstructed Text.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>3. Possible Independent Witnesses to Q's Sayings and Stories.....</i>	<i>11</i>
3.1 Q and its Possible Autonomous Parallels.....	11
3.2 Contested Case no. 1: Q and Did. 1:3b–5b.....	13
3.3 Contested Case no. 2: Q and the Gospel of Thomas.....	17
<i>4. Q and the Historical Jesus</i>	<i>21</i>
Chapter Two: Q, An Apocalyptic Wisdom Instruction	23
<i>1. Introduction: The Necessity of a Renewed Inquiry into Q's Apocalypticism.....</i>	<i>23</i>
<i>2. Q and the Son of Man</i>	<i>25</i>
2.1 The Semitic Background of ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου	25
2.2 From Fiebig to Vermes by way of Kahle	26
2.2.1 From Fiebig to Vermes.....	26
2.2.2 The Targumic Evidence and the Kahle School	30
2.3 From Vermes to Modern Q Research by Way of Colpe.....	32
2.3.1 Vermes's Corpus of Evidence: The Problem of the Aphaeresis.....	32
2.3.2 The Question of Determination.....	34
2.3.3 Colpe and the New Testament Son of Man Studies post-Kittel.....	36
2.4 The Use of בר אנש/אנשא in Official and Middle Aramaic	40

2.5 Q and its Apocalyptic Predecessors, Case no. 1: The Son of Man in the Parables of Enoch.....	41
3. <i>Q and the Apocalyptic Worldview</i>	48
3.1 Apocalypse and Apocalypticism.....	48
3.2 Deuteronomistic Business as Usual – in Q? John S. Kloppenborg’s “Symbolic Eschatology”.....	53
3.3 Q and its Apocalyptic Predecessors, Case no. 2: 4QInstruction.....	55
Chapter Three: Recent Compositional Studies of Q (1987–Present).....	59
1. <i>Introduction: The Necessity of Returning to the Issues of the Composition and Stratigraphy of Q</i>	59
2. <i>John S. Kloppenborg and “The Formation of Q” (1987)</i>	62
2.1 Theory.....	62
2.2 Analysis of Kloppenborg’s Q ³ stratum.....	65
2.3 Analysis of Kloppenborg’s Q ² stratum.....	66
2.4 Analysis of Kloppenborg’s Q ¹ stratum.....	70
2.5 Conclusion.....	73
3. <i>Migaku Sato and “Q und Prophetie” (1988)</i>	74
3.1 Prophetisierung – in Q?.....	74
3.2 Prophecy and Apocalypticism.....	76
4. <i>Arland D. Jacobson and “The First Gospel” (1992)</i>	77
5. <i>Dale C. Allison and “The Jesus Tradition in Q” (1997)</i>	80
6. <i>The Synchronic Models of Alan Kirk and H.T. Fleddermann</i>	83
6.1 Alan Kirk and “The Composition of the Sayings Source” (1998).....	83
6.2 H.T. Fleddermann and “Q: A Reconstruction and Commentary” (2005).....	84
6.3 Conflicting Timelines and the Synchronic Approach to Q.....	86
7. <i>Moving Forward</i>	87

Chapter Four: Reconstruction	89
1. Introduction.....	89
2. Reconstruction of Q's Sequence.....	90
 Chapter Five: Macro Compositions in Q	101
1. Introduction.....	101
2. The Compositional Structure of Q 3:2b–9:60.....	103
3. The Compositional Structure of Q 10:2–12:40.....	105
4. The Compositional Structure of Q 12:35–22:30.....	108
 Chapter Six: The Temptation Story	111
1. Introduction.....	111
2. Q 4:1–13: Genre, Interpretation, and Stratigraphy.....	112
2.1 The Story's Genre: A Cosmic Journey.....	112
2.2 Q 4:1–13 as an Angelic Disputation	114
2.3 The First Sequence (Q 4:1–4).....	116
2.4 The Second Sequence (Q 4:5–8).....	119
2.5 The Third Sequence (Q 4:9–13).....	123
3. Summary: Stratigraphic Provenance of Q 4:1–13	126
 Chapter Seven: Q 4:16, 6:20–49.....	129
1. Introduction.....	129
2. Ναζαρά (Q 4:16).....	130
3. The Beatitudes (Q 6:20b–23).....	131
3.1 Reconstruction and the Main Issues	131
3.2 Is Mourning a Socioeconomic Index? LXX Isa 61:1–3 as the Intertextual Background of Q 6:20b–21.....	132
3.3 The Q Beatitudes and their Thomasine Parallels	137
3.4 Q 6:22–23 and 1 Pet 3:14; 4:14	139

3.5 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 6:22c.....	140
3.6 The Prophets in Q 6:23c.....	143
3.7 Conclusion: Q 6:20b–23 as a Q ² Composition	143
4. “Why do you call me ‘κύριε κύριε’...?” Q 6:46 and the Christological Bookends of the Sermon.....	144
5. <i>The Sectio Evangelica</i> (Q 6:27–6:35) and Q 6:36.....	147
5.1 The Shared Vorlage of Q 6:27–35 and Did. 1:3b–5b.....	147
5.2 Q 6:27–36, Imitatio Dei, and Divine Adoption.....	152
6. <i>Summary: Stratigraphic Provenance of Q 4:16, 6:20–49</i>	153
Chapter Eight: Q 7:1–9:60	155
1. <i>Introduction</i>	155
2. <i>Q and the Gentile Mission in Q 7:1–10</i>	155
3. “Go and Tell John” (Q 7:18–23).....	159
4. Σὺ εἶ ὁ ἐρχόμενος? <i>The Son of Man’s Earthly Mission in Q 7:34 and 9:58</i>	165
4.1 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 7:34.....	165
4.2 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 9:58.....	169
4.3 Q 9:59–60 as a Q ² Text	172
5. <i>Summary: Compositional Unity of Q 7:1–35, 9:57–60</i>	173
Chapter Nine: Q 10:2–12:40.....	175
1. <i>Introduction</i>	175
2. <i>Stratigraphic Provenance of Q 12:8–10</i>	176
2.1 Structural Similarity of Q 12:4–7 to Q 11:2b–4, 9–13; 12:22b–34	176
2.2 Q 12:2–12 as a Q ¹ “Persecution Speech”.....	181
2.3 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 12:8–10.....	184
2.3.1 Reconstruction and the Main Issues.....	184
2.3.2 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 12:8.....	185
2.3.3 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου – in Q 12:9?	188

2.3.4 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 12:10.....	188
2.3.5 Conclusion: Q 12:8–10 as a Q ¹ Text	190
3. <i>Stratigraphic Provenance of Q 12:39–40</i>	190
3.1 Q 12:39–40 and Q 12:33–34	190
3.2 Q 12:39–40 and Gos. Thom. 21.5–8.....	192
4. <i>Summary</i>	194
Chapter 10: Q 12:35–22:30.....	197
1. <i>Introduction</i>	197
2. <i>Ten Lost Tribes or Gentiles in Q 13:28–29?</i>	198
3. <i>Q and its Opponents</i>	200
3.1 Jesus and the Temple in Q 11:49–51, 13:34–35	200
3.2 Q ² and the Wicked Slaves	203
4. <i>The Halakhic Compendium in Q 16:17–17:2</i>	206
5. <i>Stratigraphic Provenance of Q 17:23–37</i>	210
5.1 Q 17:23–37 as an Apocalyptic Discourse	210
5.2 Ὁ υἱὸς τοῦ ἀνθρώπου in Q 17:23–37.....	212
Chapter 11: Conclusion.....	213
Bibliography	217
Index of Ancient Sources.....	245
Index of Modern Authors.....	261
Index of Subjects.....	267

List of Abbreviations

AASF	Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae
AB	Anchor Bible
ABD	Anchor Bible Dictionary
ABRL	Anchor Bible Reference Library
<i>AcOr</i>	<i>Acta Orientalia</i>
AGJU	Arbeiten zur Geschichte des antiken Judentums und des Urchristentums
AnBib	Analecta Biblica
ANTC	Abingdon New Testament Commentaries
BBB	Bonner Biblische Beiträge
BeO	Biblica et Orientalia
BETL	Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium
<i>Bib</i>	<i>Biblica</i>
<i>BJRL</i>	<i>Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library of Manchester</i>
BNTC	Black's New Testament Commentary
<i>BR</i>	<i>Biblical Research</i>
<i>BSR</i>	<i>Bulletin for the Study of Religion</i>
<i>BTB</i>	<i>Biblical Theology Bulletin</i>
BTS	Biblical Tools and Studies
BWANT	Beiträge zur Wissenschaft vom Alten und Neuen Testament
<i>BZ</i>	<i>Biblische Zeitschrift</i>
BZNW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
<i>CBR</i>	<i>Currents in Biblical Research</i>
CC	Concordia Commentary
<i>CEQ</i>	<i>The Critical Edition of Q: Synopsis Including the Gospels of Matthew and Luke, Mark and Thomas with English, German, and French Translations of Q and Thomas</i>
<i>CBQ</i>	<i>Catholic Biblical Quarterly</i>
CRINT	Compendia Rerum Iudaicarum ad Novum Testamentum
DJD	Discoveries in the Judean Desert
<i>DSD</i>	<i>Dead Sea Discoveries</i>
ECC	Eerdmans Critical Commentary
<i>EstBib</i>	<i>Estudios Biblicos</i>
<i>ETL</i>	<i>Ephemerides Theologicae Lovanienses</i>
<i>EvT</i>	<i>Evangelische Theologie</i>
<i>ExpT</i>	<i>The Expository Times</i>
FB	Forschung zur Bibel
FFRS	Foundations and Facets Reference Series
FRLANT	Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments
<i>GTJ</i>	<i>Grace Theological Journal</i>
HNT	Handbuch zum Neuen Testament
<i>HR</i>	<i>History of Religions</i>
HTCNT	Herder's Theological Commentary on the New Testament

<i>HTR</i>	<i>Harvard Theological Review</i>
HTS	Harvard Theological Studies
<i>IBS</i>	<i>Irish Biblical Studies</i>
ICC	The International Critical Commentary
<i>IQP</i>	<i>The International Q Project</i>
<i>JAOS</i>	<i>Journal of the American Oriental Society</i>
<i>JBL</i>	<i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i>
JCTCRS	Jewish and Christian Texts in Contexts and Related Studies
<i>JECH</i>	<i>Journal of Early Christian History</i>
<i>JJS</i>	<i>Journal of Jewish Studies</i>
<i>JQR</i>	<i>Jewish Quarterly Review</i>
<i>JR</i>	<i>Journal of Religion</i>
<i>JSJ</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of Judaism</i>
JSJSup	Journal for the Study of Judaism Supplement Series
<i>JSNT</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the New Testament</i>
JSNTSup	Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplement Series
<i>JSOT</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the Old Testament</i>
JSOTSup	Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series
<i>JSP</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha</i>
JSPSup	Journal for the Study of the Pseudepigrapha Supplement Series
JTC	Journal for Theology and the Church
<i>JTS</i>	<i>Journal of Theological Studies</i>
<i>LingBibl</i>	<i>Linguistica Biblica</i>
LNTS	Library of New Testament Studies
LSTS	Library of Second Temple Studies
LUA	Lunds Universitets Arsskrift
MTS	Marburger theologische Studien
MThA	Münsteraner theologische Abhandlungen
NA	Neutestamentliche Arbeiten
NHS	Nag Hammadi Studies
NHMS	Nag Hammadi and Manichaean Studies
NIBC	The New International Biblical Commentary
NIGTC	The New International Greek Testament Commentary
<i>NovT</i>	<i>Novum Testamentum</i>
NovTSup	Novum Testamentum Supplements
NTL	The New Testament Library
NTR	New Testament Readings
<i>NTS</i>	<i>New Testament Studies</i>
NTTS	New Testament Tools and Studies
OTL	The Old Testament Library
PBM	Paternoster Biblical Monographs
PFES	Publications of the Finnish Exegetical Society
<i>RB</i>	<i>Revue biblique</i>
<i>RevQ</i>	<i>Revue de Qumran</i>
SAC	Studies in Antiquity and Christianity
SBL	Studies in Biblical Literature
SBLECL	Society of Biblical Literature: Early Christianity and its Literature
SBLEJL	Society of Biblical Literature: Early Judaism and its Literature
SBLMS	Society of Biblical Literature Monograph Series
SBLSBS	Society of Biblical Literature: Sources for Biblical Study
SBLSP	Society of Biblical Literature Seminar Papers
SNTSMS	Society for New Testament Studies Monograph Series

ScrHier	Scripta Hierosolymitana
SPhiloA	Studia Philonica Annual
STDJ	Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah
StJ	Studia Judaica
SVTP	Studia in Veteris Testamenti Pseudepigrapha
<i>SVTQ</i>	<i>St. Vladimir's Theological Quarterly</i>
TAZ	Texte und Arbeiten zum neutestamentlichen Zeitalter
TDNT	Theological Dictionary of the New Testament
TENT	Texts and Editions for New Testament Study
<i>TJT</i>	<i>Toronto Journal of Theology</i>
<i>TS</i>	<i>Theological Studies</i>
<i>TTZ</i>	<i>Trierer theologische Zeitschrift</i>
UNDCSJCA	University of Notre Dame Center for the Study of Judaism and Christianity in Antiquity
VCSup	Vigiliae Christianae Supplement Series
<i>VT</i>	<i>Vetus Testamentum</i>
VTSup	Vetus Testamentum Supplement Series
WBC	Word Biblical Commentary
WUNT	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
<i>ZDMG</i>	<i>Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft</i>
<i>ZKT</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie</i>
<i>ZNW</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft</i>
<i>ZTK</i>	<i>Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche</i>

Chapter One

Prolegomena

1. Introduction: Recent Methodological Questions in Q Studies

A survey of the current trends in New Testament and Q studies reveals a somewhat uncertain environment. While the Two-Document Hypothesis (hereafter, 2DH) continues to hold its own, occasional skepticism with regard to Q's existence has by no means dissipated.¹ Within the ranks of those scholars who work with or trend toward the 2DH, the written character of Q is not universally accepted.² Among those who accept the premise of a written Q, there remain

¹ For recent arguments against the 2DH, see, e.g., Mark S. Goodacre, *The Case Against Q: Studies in Markan Priority and the Synoptic Problem* (Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 2002); Francis Watson, *Gospel Writing: A Canonical Perspective* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2013). For responses to these scholars, see, e.g., Paul Foster, "Is It Possible to Dispense with Q?" *NovT* 45 (2003): 313–37; John S. Kloppenborg, "On Dispensing with Q? Goodacre on the Relation of Luke to Matthew," *NTS* 49 (2003): 210–36; Christopher M. Tuckett, "Watson, Q and L/M," in *Gospel Interpretation and the Q-Hypothesis* (ed. Mogens Müller and Heike Omerzu; LNTS 573; London: T&T Clark, 2018), 115–38 (for Watson's rejoinder, see "Seven Theses on the Synoptic Problem, in Disagreement with Christopher Tuckett," in *Idem*, 139–47). For classic comprehensive cases in support of the 2DH, see Joseph A. Fitzmyer, "The Priority of Mark and the 'Q' Source in Luke," in *Jesus and Man's Hope* (ed. Donald G. Miller; Pittsburgh, PA: Pittsburgh Theological Seminary, 1970), 131–70; W. D. Davies and Dale C. Allison, *The Gospel According to Saint Matthew* (ICC 26; 3 vols.; Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1988–97), 1.115–21; John S. Kloppenborg Verbin, *Excavating Q: The History and Setting of the Sayings Gospel* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2000), 11–54. For recent investigations demonstrating the viability of the 2DH, see Robert A. Derrenbacher, *Ancient Compositional Practices and the Synoptic Problem* (BETL 186; Leuven: Leuven University Press, 2005); Alan Kirk, *Q in Matthew: Ancient Media, Memory, and Early Scribal Transmission of the Jesus Tradition* (LNTS 564; London: T&T Clark, 2016). For additional recent statements by Q scholars, see Simon J. Joseph, *The Nonviolent Messiah: Jesus, Q, and the Enochic Tradition* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2014), 8–10; Giovanni B. Bazzana, *Kingdom of Bureaucracy: The Political Ideology of Village Scribes in the Sayings Gospel Q* (BETL 274; Leuven: Peeters, 2015), 2–3. While a close discussion of the synoptic problem lies outside the scope of this monograph, it is perhaps worth emphasizing that the solutions of Goodacre and Watson are equally, if not more so, hypothetical than the 2DH.

² E.g., Terence C. Mournet, *Oral Tradition and Literary Dependency: Variability and Stability in the Synoptic Tradition and Q* (WUNT 2/195; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2005); Armin D. Baum, *Der mündliche Faktor und seine Bedeutung für die synoptische Frage* (TAZ 49; Tübingen: Francke, 2008); James D. G. Dunn, *The Oral Gospel Tradition* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2013). For responses to the arguments made by these and other scholars, see John

important disagreements regarding the attainability of Q's word-level reconstruction.³ Additionally, questions about the provenance of portions of two second-century documents, the *Didache* and the pseudepigraphal *Gospel of Thomas*, continue to affect the study of Q's sources and redaction. If the Q parallels contained in those documents derive from the canonical gospels – as some scholars have argued – then such parallels obviously have no bearing on Q's compositional history. If, however, certain sayings or combinations of sayings reach the *Didache* and *Thomas* via autonomous channels apart from the canonical gospels and can be shown to not depend on Q, then the hypothesis of a *Vorlage* shared by the parallels in question and Q becomes logical. It is not difficult to see how these matters are critical to the study of Q's stratigraphy. After all, one of its fundamental tasks is to identify possible modifications of traditional material, thereby acquiring a better understanding of the document's redactional interests.

This chapter will discuss two of the aforementioned issues. While we presuppose the validity of the 2DH and Q's written character, in what follows we shall engage the feasibility of Q's reconstruction and the attainability of the document's wording. The former has recently been dismissed by a number of scholars who have pointed out the inferiority of the reconstructed Mark⁴ to the canonical version of the gospel, while Q's wording has come under renewed scrutiny. This will be followed by arguments in support of the autonomy of *Did.* 1:3b–2:1 and portions of the *Gospel of Thomas*, both contested subjects in recent academic discussion. Some of the observations included in the treatment of these matters will cover familiar terrain. However, their configuration is designed to highlight the problematic nature of a number of current claims and to lay the methodological foundation for the present investigation as well as for a fresh treatment of the Sayings Source and related documents.⁵

S. Kloppenborg, "Variation and Reproduction of the Double Tradition and an Oral Q?" *ETL* 83 (2007): 53–80; Alan Kirk, "Orality, Writing, and Phantom Sources: Appeals to Ancient Media in Some Recent Challenges to the Two Document Hypothesis," *NTS* 58 (2011): 1–22; Idem, *Q in Matthew*, 151–66, 220–24, 293–97; Sarah E. Rollens, *Framing Social Criticism in the Jesus Movement: The Ideological Project in the Sayings Gospel Q* (WUNT 2/374; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014), 81–90. See also the earlier discussion in, e.g., Joseph A. Fitzmyer, *The Gospel According to Luke* (AB 28 and 28A; 2 vols.; New York, NY: Doubleday, 1981–85), 75–81; John S. Kloppenborg, *Formation of Q: Trajectories in Ancient Wisdom Collections* (SAC; Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1987), 42–51; Davies and Allison, *Matthew*, 1.116; H. T. Fleddermann, *Q: A Reconstruction and Commentary* (BTS 1; Leuven: Peeters, 2005), 41–68. Based on the case made collectively by the above scholars, it will be a presupposition of this study that Q was a written document.

³ E.g., Dieter T. Roth, *The Parables in Q* (LNTS 582; London: T&T Clark, 2018), 30–44. See the discussion below in this chapter.

⁴ Viz., Mark's gospel as reconstructed from its reception by Matthew and Luke.

⁵ It is necessary also to address the frequent identification of Q as a gospel. Frans Neirynck ("Q: From Source to Gospel," *ETL* 71 [1995]: 421) notes that "the use of the term originated in the SBL Q Seminar and was strongly promoted by its presidents, James M. Robinson and John

2. The Study of Q as a Reconstructed Text

It is one thing to assert, based on the synoptic evidence, that Q was a written document with a single recension of the finished text.⁶ It is another matter to

S. Kloppenborg.” For the rationale, see, e.g., John S. Kloppenborg, “Introduction,” in *The Shape of Q: Signal Essays on the Sayings Gospel* (ed. Idem; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1994), 1 n. 1. I am sympathetic with Neiryck’s view (“Q: From Source to Gospel,” 429): it is “an advantage of the full designation ‘(Synoptic) Sayings Source Q’ that it reminds us of the fact that we have no direct access to the text of Q: it remains a hypothetical source text that we are to reconstruct from Matthew and Luke.” Furthermore, there is “a difference between recognizing that the sayings of Jesus contain the gospel and designating the Sayings collection as a Gospel.” Edwin K. Broadhead, “On the (Mis)Definition of Q,” *JSNT* 68 (1999): 9.

⁶ The alternative premise – of two separate recensions (Q^{Matt} and Q^{Lk}) – is quite venerable. E.g., Rudolph Bultmann, *The History of the Synoptic Tradition* (New York, NY: Harper & Row, 1963), 328 (originally published in 1921). In modern research it has continued to be accepted, with a varying degree of certainty, by a number of New Testament and Q scholars, e.g.: I. Howard Marshall, *The Gospel of Luke: A Commentary on the Greek Text* (NIGTC; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1978), 30–1; Davies and Allison, *Matthew*, 1.121; Migaku Sato, *Q und Prophetie: Studien zur Gattungs- und Traditionsgeschichte der Quelle Q* (WUNT 2/29; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1988), 18–19; Hans Dieter Betz, *The Sermon on the Mount* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis, MI: Fortress Press, 1995), 7–9; Ulrich Luz, *Matthew 8–20* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2001); Idem, *Matthew 21–28* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2005); Idem, “Matthew and Q,” in *Studies in Matthew* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005), 39–53; Idem, *Matthew 1–7* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2007); Idem, “Looking at Q through the Eyes of Matthew,” in *New Studies in the Synoptic Problem. Oxford Conference, April 2008: Essays in Honour of Christopher M. Tuckett* (ed. Paul Foster, Andrew Gregory, John S. Kloppenborg, Joseph Verheyden; BETL 239; Leuven: Leuven University Press, 2011), 571–89; John T. Carroll, *Luke: A Commentary* (NTL; Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2012), 8; Rollens, *Framing Social Criticism*, 86 n. 10; Bazzana, *Kingdom of Bureaucracy*, 4–5; John S. Kloppenborg, “A ‘Parting of the Ways’ in Q?” in *Q in Context I: The Separation between the Just and the Unjust in Early Judaism and in the Sayings Source* (ed. Markus Tiwald; BBB 172; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2015), 123. Kloppenborg (ibid) has recently stated: “In what follows I will assume the still-dominant view of Q that it is a document that came to Matthew and Luke in approximately the same form. I say ‘approximately,’ because we must allow for some variation at least in wording of Q and perhaps even expansions – the kind of elaboration that Ulrich Luz and his students have proposed.” In my view, the drawbacks of this hypothesis significantly outweigh its potential benefits. Kloppenborg (*Excavating Q*, 109) and Bazzana (*Kingdom of Bureaucracy*, 4–5) appeal to the diversity of the NT manuscripts and their circulation patterns, but this is an argument from analogy rather than a demonstration. The only way of demonstrating the existence of such recensions would be by showing (preferably on multiple occasions) that Matthew’s or Luke’s *Sondergut* text adjacent to or interpolated in a Q block of material is traditional, coheres with the content of that Q block and of the Q document, and is unlikely to have been omitted by the other evangelist. This is virtually impossible to demonstrate conclusively, and so the hypothesis enters the realm of speculation (e.g., Fitzmyer, *Luke*, 80) where “in every case, there are other possible explanations” (Luz, “Looking at Q,” 579). Further red flags lurk in the occasional connection between the multiple recension hypothesis and the sub-literary (*Kleinliteratur*) view of Q (e.g., ibid, 578, 584). Thus, Luz (*Matthew 1–7*, 19) and Sato (*Q und Prophetie*, 72–77) envision Q as

produce a reliable reconstruction of that text. The point of departure rests with Matthew's and Luke's redaction of Mark: Luke preserves the Markan order with only minor exceptions. The implications of this naturally carry over to the reconstruction of Q's sequence.⁷ Based on the conclusions reached by Heinrich Julius Holtzmann in 1863,⁸ confirmed by Burnett Hillman Streeter and George DeWitt Castor in the first half of the twentieth century,⁹ and reaffirmed by the

a notebook to which new sheets could be added at any time. Some such approach to Q has formed the dominant paradigm in 2DH based synoptic studies until only recently. See the survey of scholarship in Alan Kirk, *The Composition of the Sayings Source: Genre, Synchrony, and Wisdom Redaction in Q* (NovTSup 91; Leiden: Brill, 1998), 2–64. As the discussion in chapters three and five of this study will suggest (in continuity with Kirk's view), the *Kleinliteratur* paradigm constitutes a mischaracterization of Q. This still leaves the option of Q receiving further editing from its own tradents, which essentially amounts to an additional layer of redaction (e.g., one would have to envision a Q⁴ stratum in Kloppenborg's stratigraphy). The outline and profile of such a redaction (or, in the case of Q^{Matt} and Q^{Lk}, redactions) remains to be demonstrated, however. Meanwhile, the document's eventual disappearance and complete lack of attestation in the Church Fathers suggests caution in imagining any kind of significant textual proliferation. Could multiple autonomous copies of Q go from being in possession by and being normative for some of the early Christianity's most prominent communities to vanishing not only from existence but also from subsequent memory? It seems that the possibility of a single circulating copy at least deserves further consideration. Finally, Neirynek's objection remains pertinent: "the need for positing an intermediate stage ... is it not a logical consequence of their too restrictive notion of Matthean and Lukan redaction?" Frans Neirynek, "Q^{Mt} and Q^{Lk} and the Reconstruction of Q," *ETL* 66 (1990): 390. In other words, Q's putative textual fluidity has much to do with a restriction of Matthean and/or Lukan redactional freedom and derives logically from a view of Matthew and/or Luke as conservative traditionalists. Syreeni's observations, made with regard to the thesis of a Q^{Matt}, offer an alternative: "Instead of such a literary evolution, it would be quite natural to reckon with oral usage and application of Q sayings and sequences in Matthew's community. Oral variants and new combinations of various Q passages, as well as combinations of Q and special material, were to be expected if Q was used for purposes of community teaching and paraenesis. Also, ecclesiastical material which was affected or even inspired by Q sayings was likely to emerge. Some literary reworking may have been made ... what I doubt, however, is the existence of a massively expanded Q^{Mt} edition." Kari Syreeni, *The Making of the Sermon on the Mount: A Procedural Analysis of Matthew's Redactional Activity* (AASF 44; Helsinki: Suomalainen Tiedekatemia, 1987), 161. Because the existence of scribes other than the gospel's author in Matthew's community has not been demonstrated, I hesitate to imagine a literary reworking of Q by that community prior to the gospel's composition (a similar situation obtains on the Lukan side). With this minor adjustment, Syreeni's statement describes the optimal alternative to the multiple recension hypothesis.

⁷ It should be noted, however, that although Matthew's approach to Q (when reconstructed based on its Lukan sequence) can be described as liberal, Matthew's "appropriative movement through Q is consistently forward," indicating memory competence and "cognitive operations that follow the schematic, organizational lines" of the source. Kirk, *Q in Matthew*, 166.

⁸ Heinrich Julius Holtzmann, *Die synoptischen Evangelien: Ihr Ursprung und geschichtlicher Charakter* (Leipzig: Wilhelm Engelmann, 1886), 142–43.

⁹ Burnett Hillman Streeter, "On the Original Order of Q," in *Oxford Studies in the Synoptic Problem* (ed. William Sanday; Oxford: At the Clarendon Press, 1911), 141–64; George DeWitt

ensuing Q scholarship as well as a number of Matthean and Lukan scholars,¹⁰ today it is possible to assert with “a near unanimity that Luke best preserved the sequence of Q sayings.”¹¹

Q attained what currently remains its definitive reconstruction by the end of the twentieth century, in the form of *The Critical Edition of Q* (hereafter, *CEQ*).¹² To call the *CEQ* “definitive”, of course, is to neither imply its infallibility nor to expect it to produce the final say on the matter.¹³ As noted by James M. Robinson in the introduction to the *CEQ*, it was a product “of a team of scholars who have been working together since 1985 as the International Q Project” (hereafter, *IQP*).¹⁴ The text and sequence of Q published in the *CEQ*

Castor, *Matthew's Sayings of Jesus: The Non-Marcian Common Source of Matthew and Luke* (Ph.D. diss., University of Chicago, 1918), 120–39.

¹⁰ E.g., T. W. Manson, *The Sayings of Jesus as recorded in the Gospels according to St. Matthew and St. Luke arranged with introduction and commentary* (London: SCM Press, 1949; reprint 1971), 39–148; James M. Robinson, “The Sermon on the Mount / Plain: Work Sheets for the Reconstruction of Q,” *SBLSP* 22 (1983): 451–54; Arland D. Jacobson, *The First Gospel: An Introduction to Q* (FFRS; Sonoma, CA: Polebridge Press, 1992), 45; Dale C. Allison, *The Jesus Tradition in Q* (Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 1997), 2 n. 6; François Bovon, *Luke 1: A Commentary on the Gospel of Luke 1:1–9:50* (Hermeneia; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2002), 6–8; Kirk, *Q in Matthew*, 163–66.

¹¹ Kloppenborg Verbin, *Excavating Q*, 88. Noting that “most recent reconstructions of Q include both (a) the double tradition and (b) items triply attested where the degree of agreement against Mark is substantial and where the agreements are not likely to be merely coincidental,” Kloppenborg (*ibid.*, 92) uses these criteria to assign the following texts to Q (by Lukan versification): Q 3:7b–9, 16b–17; 4:1–13; 6:20b–23, 27–33, 35c, 36–37b, 38c, 39–49; 7:1b–2, 6b–10, 18–19, 22–23, 24–28, 31–35; 9:57–60; 10:2–16, 21–22, 23b–24; 11:2–4, 9–13, 14–20, 23, 24–26, 29–35, 39–44, 46–52; 12:2–12, 22b–31, 33–34, 39–40, 42b–46, 51–53, 54b–56, 58–59; 13:18–19, 20–21, 24, 26–27, 28–30, 34–35; 14:11/18:14; 14:16–24, 26–27, 34–35; 15:4–7; 16:13, 16, 17, 18; 17:1b–2, 3b–4, 6b, 23–24, 26–27, 30, 33, 34–35, 37b; 19:12–13, 15b–26; 22:28b–30. Kloppenborg also assigns to Q some *Sondergut* materials which involve a different set of methodological considerations. See the discussion in chapter four.

¹² James M. Robinson, Paul Hoffmann, and John S. Kloppenborg, eds., *The Critical Edition of Q: Synopsis including the Gospels of Matthew and Luke, Mark and Thomas with English, German, and French Translations of Q and Thomas* (Hermeneia Supplement Series; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2000).

¹³ It may suffice here to quote the editors. James M. Robinson, “History of Q Research,” in *The Critical Edition of Q*, lxxi: “It is not to be assumed that the present critical text is a last word.” John S. Kloppenborg, “The Use of the Synoptics or Q in *Did.* 1:3b–2:1,” in *Matthew and the Didache: Two Documents from the Same Jewish-Christian Milieu?* (ed. Huub van de Sandt; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2005), 115: “While the *Critical Edition* should hardly be taken as the definitive reconstruction of Q, it does provide a benchmark and a useful point of reference.”

¹⁴ Robinson, “History of Q Research,” xix. For a survey of *IQP*'s methodology and results as assessed at the time of the *CEQ*'s publication, see Christoph Heil, “Die Q-Rekonstruktion des Internationalen Q-Projekts: Einführung in Methodik und Resultate,” *NovT* 43 (2001): 128–43. For *IQP* work sessions, see James M. Robinson, “The International Q Project Work Session 17 November 1989,” *JBL* 109 (1990): 499–501; Idem, “The International Q Project Work

therefore represent a consensus among a group of scholars reflecting the pre-suppositions held by those scholars at a particular juncture in the history of Q's research.¹⁵ That it is only a necessary first step and demands continued reevaluation becomes evident from the fact that some of the *IQP* members whose preferences were occasionally not reflected in the *CEQ* proceeded to release their own alternative reconstructions of Q either shortly before or after the *CEQ*'s seminal publication.¹⁶ Indeed the present study also makes several emendations of both the *CEQ*'s reconstruction of the document's sequence and of its wording. Overall, however, it is clear that the *CEQ* will continue to provide the baseline for compositional studies of Q for years to come.

The availability of the reconstructed text enables the literary analysis of Q. A number of scholars, however, have recently expressed doubts regarding the attainability of not only Q's wording but, in fact, of the document's full extent. Cyril S. Rodd has declared it "sheer conjecture" to imagine "that the passages which are common to Matthew and Luke comprise this Q document in its entirety."¹⁷ Joseph Allen Weaks has similarly called the text of Q "speculative," claiming that to rely on it as though it were "an extant gospel text" is "simply not possible."¹⁸ Both scholars have tied the bulk of their objections to the observation (called a "thought experiment" by Dieter T. Roth)¹⁹ that the text of Mark's gospel cannot be accurately reconstructed from its Matthean and Lukan reception. While this argument has already been engaged by some scholars,²⁰ the deficiency of its logic appears to not have been sufficiently exposed, as Roth's renewed deployment of it in 2018 demonstrates – in a Q monograph.²¹ It is therefore important to allow the reader to assess the difficulties

Session 16 November 1990," *JBL* 110 (1991): 494–98; Idem, "The International Q Project Work Sessions 12–14 July, 22 November 1991," *JBL* 111 (1992): 500–08; Idem, "The International Q Project Work Sessions 31 July–2 August, 20 November 1992," *JBL* 112 (1993): 500–06; Idem, "The International Q Project Work Sessions 6–8 August, 18–19 November 1993," *JBL* 113 (1994): 495–99; Idem, "The International Q Project Work Sessions 23–27 May, 22–26 August, 17–18 November 1994," *JBL* 114 (1995): 475–85; Idem, "The International Q Project Editorial Board Meetings 1–10 June, 16 November 1995, 16–23 August, 22 November 1996, Work Sessions 17 November 1995, 23 November 1996," *JBL* 116 (1997): 521–25.

¹⁵ The full list of the *IQP*'s members may be found in *The Critical Edition*, xvii.

¹⁶ Kirk, *Composition*, 152–403; Fleddermann, *Commentary*, 874–913.

¹⁷ Cyril S. Rodd, "The End of the Theology of Q?" *ExpT* 113 (2001): 12; Idem, "The Theology of Q Yet Again: A Reply to the Responses of Christopher Tuckett and Paul Foster," *ExpT* 114 (2002), 80–85.

¹⁸ Joseph Allen Weaks, "Mark without Mark: Problematizing the Reliability of a Reconstructed Text of Q" (Ph.D. diss., Brite Divinity School, 2010), 344–45.

¹⁹ Roth, *Parables*, 36.

²⁰ E.g., Christopher M. Tuckett, "The Search for a Theology of Q: A Dead End?" *ExpT* 113 (2002): 291–94; Paul Foster, "In Defense of the Study of Q," *ExpT* 113 (2002): 295–300.

²¹ Roth, *Parables*, 36–37. It should be noted, of course, that Roth does not wish to completely dismiss the accessibility of Q's text. His intention is to argue that "scholarship should be rather more skeptical about the wording of Q" (*ibid.*, 39).

compromising the case that Rodd, Weaks, and Roth have found rather persuasive. Three observations stand to be made in this regard.

First of all, because it is a sayings collection, Q does not lend itself to the same type of editorial abridgements as Mark. The two documents are very different. Not nearly enough attention is given to this by Rodd, Weaks, and Roth, all of whom hang their case to some degree on the fragmentary product that is the reconstructed Mark, as though Q similarly contains a plot or even a remotely compatible story to saying ratio. The abridgement of Markan pericopes by Luke and Matthew reflects editorial strategies appropriate to rewriting a biography, viz., a document exhibiting a large concentration of narrative material.²² The Markan *words* of Jesus are omitted at a vastly different rate, with the majority of those words preserved by both Matthew and Luke with minimal rephrasing,²³

²² Rodd ("The End of the Theology of Q?" 6) notes that "almost always each pericope in [the reconstructed] 'Mark' will be shorter than in the canonical gospel," citing as examples the abridgements of Mk 2:1–12 (= Matt 9:1–8 // Lk 5:17–26) and Mk 12:1–12 (= Matt 21:33–46 // Lk 20:9–19). However, the healing of a man with the palsy (Mk 2:1–12) is a good example of a story whose narrative details welcome abridgements, while the *words* of Jesus (Mk 2:5b, 8b–11) – notably including the key statements in Mk 2:5b, 10 – are preserved correctly by both Matthew and Luke (Matt 9:2c, 4b–6 // Lk 5:20b, 22b–24). As for the parable of the wicked tenants (Mk 12:1–12), this can be reliably reconstructed from its Matthew/Luke reproduction, missing only minor details. The omitted details scarcely seem to affect the interpretation of the source text, viz., the intention of the parable as it was deployed in Mark's gospel.

²³ Mk 1:41, 44 (= Matt 8:3–4 // Lk 5:13–14); Mk 2:5, 8–11 (= Matt 9:2, 4–6 // Lk 5:20, 22–24); Mk 2:14 (= Matt 9:9 // Lk 5:27); Mk 2:17 (= Matt 9:12–13 // Lk 5:31–32); Mk 2:19–22 (= Matt 9:15–17 // Lk 5:34–38); Mk 2:25–28 (= Matt 12:3–4, 8 // Lk 6:3–5); Mk 3:4–5 (= Matt 12:12–13 // Lk 6:9–10); Mk 3:35 (= Matt 12:50 // Lk 8:21); Mk 4:2–9 (= Matt 13:3–9 // Lk 8:5–8); Mk 4:11–12 (= Matt 13:11, 13 // Lk 8:10); Mk 4:14–20 (= Matt 13:18–23 // Lk 8:11–15); Mk 4:21–22 (= Matt/Q 5:15; Matt/Q 10:26 // Lk 8:16–17); Mk 4:24c (= Matt/Q 7:2 // Lk/Q 6:38); Mk 4:25 (= Matt 13:12 // Lk 8:18); Mk 4:40 (= Matt 8:26 // Lk 8:25); Mk 5:34 (= Matt 9:22 // Lk 8:48); Mk 5:39 (= Matt 9:23–24 // Lk 8:52); Mk 6:4bc (= Matt 13:57 // Lk 4:24); Mk 6:37 (= Matt 14:16 // Lk 9:13); Mk 8:27, 29 (= Matt 16:13, 15 // Lk 9:18, 20); Mk 8:34–36 (= Matt 16:24–26 // Lk 9:23–25); Mk 9:1 (= Matt 16:28 // Lk 9:27); Mk 9:19 (= Matt 17:17 // Lk 9:41); Mk 9:31 (= Matt 17:22–23 // Lk 9:44); Mk 9:35 (= Matt 23:11 // Lk 22:26); Mk 9:37 (= Matt 18:5 // Lk 9:48); Mk 9:40 (= Matt/Q 12:30 // Lk 9:50); Mk 10:14–15 (= Matt 18:3; 19:14 // Lk 18:16–17); Mk 10:18–19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29–30 (= Matt 19:17–19, 21, 23–24, 26, 29 // Lk 18:19–20, 22, 24–25, 27, 29–30); Mk 10:33–34 (= Matt 20:18–19 // Lk 18:31–33); Mk 10:42–45 (= Matt 20:25–28 // Lk 22:25–27); Mk 10:51 (= Matt 20:32 // Lk 18:41); Mk 11:2–3 (= Matt 21:2–3 // Lk 19:30–31); Mk 11:17 (= Matt 21:13 // Lk 19:46); Mk 11:29–30, 33 (= Matt 21:24–25, 27 // Lk 20:3–4, 8); Mk 12:1–10 (= Matt 21:33–42 // Lk 20:9–17); Mk 12:15d–17 (= Matt 22:19–21 // Lk 20:24–25); Mk 12:25–27 (= Matt 22:30–32 // Lk 20:34–38); Mk 12:30–31 (= Matt 22:37–39 // Lk 10:27); Mk 12:35–37 (= Matt 22:41–45 // Lk 20:41–44); Mk 13:2 (= Matt 24:2 // Lk 21:6); Mk 13:5–13 (Matt 24:4–13 // Lk 21:8–19); Mk 13:14c, 17, 19 (= Matt 24:16, 19, 21 // Lk 21:21, 23); Mk 13:24–31 (= Matt 24:29–35 // Lk 21:25–33); Mk 14:13–14 (= Matt 26:18 // Lk 22:10–11); Mk 14:18, 20–21 (= Matt 26:21, 23–24 // Lk 22:21–22); Mk 14:22–25 (= Matt 26:26–29 // Lk 22:16–20); Mk 14:30 (= Matt 26:34 // Lk 22:34); Mk 14:36 (= Matt 26:39 // Lk 22:42); Mk 14:37–38 (= Matt 26:40–41 // Lk 22:46); Mk 14:48–49a (= Matt 26:55 // Lk 22:52–53a); Mk 14:62 (= Matt 26:64 // Lk 22:69–70); Mk 15:2 (= Matt 27:11 // Lk 23:3).

and the non-Q related omissions limited to: (a) statements forming an integral part of a narrative;²⁴ (b) statements, commands, and questions that are redundant;²⁵ (c) statements that cast a potentially negative light on Jesus' family²⁶ or disciples,²⁷ both established Markan themes;²⁸ (d) statements that are potentially problematic theologically;²⁹ (e) statements falling under none of the above categories that are abridged, expanded, or reworked by one of the evangelists;³⁰ (f) statements occurring in pericopes that have been eliminated completely by Matthew or Luke.³¹ Of these six categories, the first three are unlikely to have been present in Q due to its lack of a plot, relative scarcity of stories, and absence of any interest in Jesus' family or disciples. The remaining three categories include only a few sayings outside of the Great Omission (more on that below). Consequently, it appears that Matthew and Luke, already eliminating a minor percentage of the Markan Jesus' words that lack Q parallels, had no clear reason to omit even that much from Q.

Secondly, on a number of occasions one or both evangelists preserve doublets of the Markan sayings of Jesus which on the 2DH are assigned to Q.³² This development is surprising in Luke's case, since his tendency to avoid doublets is well known.³³ What is really decisive, however, is that in instances

²⁴ Mk 1:25; 1:38; 4:35; 5:9, 19; 5:30; 5:41; 12:43 (omitted by Matthew); Mk 10:36; 14:32, 34, 41–42 (omitted by Luke); Mk 11:14 (either omitted or reworked in Lk 13:6–9); Mk 4:39; 5:8; 6:31; 6:38; 9:16, 21, 25; 9:33; 9:39c; 10:49; 12:34 (omitted or reworked by both Matthew and Luke).

²⁵ Mk 4:24bd; 12:38, 40 (omitted by Matthew); Mk 3:34; 8:37; 9:41; 12:11; 12:15b; 12:24; 13:18, 20, 23 (omitted by Luke); Mk 14:49b (reworked in Lk 22:53b); Mk 9:49; 10:24; 12:29 (omitted by both Matthew and Luke).

²⁶ Mk 3:33–34; Mk 6:4de (omitted by Luke).

²⁷ Mk 4:13 (omitted by Matthew and Luke); Mk 8:33; 10:38–40 (omitted by Luke); Mk 14:27–28 (reworked in Lk 22:31–32).

²⁸ E.g., Joel Marcus, *Mark* (AB 27 and 27A; 2 vols.; New York, NY and New Haven, CT: Doubleday and Yale, 2000–2009), 279–80, 310–11, 378–80, 509–15, 653, 677–81, 999–1000.

²⁹ Mk 9:39 (omitted by Matthew and Luke); Mk 9:43–48 (omitted by Luke); Mk 15:34 (reworked in Lk 23:46).

³⁰ Mk 1:15 (reworked and expanded in Lk 4:16–21); Mk 5:36 (abridged in Matt 9:23–26); Mk 13:14ab (reworked in Lk 21:20); Mk 14:6–9 (reworked in Lk 7:36–50); Mk 14:13–15 (abridged in Matt 26:18).

³¹ Mk 4:26–29; 9:9–13 (omitted by Luke); everything that belongs to Mk 6:45–8:26 (the Great Omission of the Markan material by Luke).

³² Mk 4:21 (= Lk 8:16; Lk/Q 11:33); Mk 4:22 (= Lk 8:17; Lk/Q 12:2); Mk 4:25 (= Matt 13:12; Matt/Q 25:29; Lk 8:18; Lk/Q 19:26); Mk 6:8, 10–11 (= Lk 9:3–5; Lk/Q 10:4–5, 8, 10–11); Mk 8:34 (= Matt/Q 10:38; Matt 16:24; Lk 9:23; Lk/Q 14:27); Mk 8:35 (= Matt/Q 10:39; Matt 16:25; Lk 9:24; Lk/Q 17:33); Mk 8:38 (= Lk 9:26; Lk/Q 12:8–9); Mk 9:37 (= Matt 18:5; Matt/Q 10:40; Lk 9:48; Lk/Q 10:16); Mk 9:40 (Lk 9:50; Lk/Q 11:23); Mk 10:11–12 (= Matt 19:9; Matt/Q 5:32); Mk 12:38–39 (= Lk 20:46; Lk/Q 11:43); Mk 13:9, 11 (= Lk 21:12–15; Lk/Q 12:11–12). See further Fitzmyer, *Luke*, 81–82.

³³ See, e.g., H. Schürmann, "Die Dubletten im Lukasevangelium," *ZKT* 75 (1953): 339–445; Idem, "Die Dublettenvermeidungen im Lukasevangelium," *ZKT* 76 (1954): 83–93.

when Luke is faced with a choice between Mark and Q and does *not* opt to retain both, the preference is overwhelmingly given to the Q location and wording of the text.³⁴ Consequently, it seems overly simplistic to suggest, as Rodd does, that “as [the reconstructed] ‘Mark’ is not Mark, so, in all probability, the ‘Q’ of the scholars is not the historical document Q.”³⁵ The evangelists’ tendency to either retain the Mark/Q parallels or – especially in Luke’s case – to favor Q, its wording, and location over the Markan parallels shows that the two documents were not treated equally as far as the words of Jesus were concerned, with the approach to Q being more conservative.³⁶

Thirdly, the verse and word count discrepancy between the reconstructed and canonical Mark has been somewhat inflated, as the percentages cited by scholars are routinely bolstered by the inclusion of the Great Omission. On the 2DH, there are two possible solutions to this well-known source-critical anomaly: the absence of Mk 6:45–8:26 from Luke’s gospel is either intentional or stems from inheriting a shorter copy of Mark which lacked that material. In either scenario, Luke’s omission of Mk 6:45–8:26 complicates the analogy between the reconstructed Mark and Q. If the omission was unintentional, it tells us something only about the compositional history or textual transmission of Mark’s gospel. If, however, Luke’s decision to skip Mk 6:45–8:26 was intentional, is it not likely to have been motivated by Luke’s avoidance of doublets?³⁷ A similar development is unlikely to have occurred in Luke’s reception of Q: as we have noted, when faced with Mark/Q doublets Luke tends to favor Q’s version over an available Markan parallel.³⁸ Consequently, due to the sheer size of the Great Omission and regardless of the Omission’s intentionality or

³⁴ Lk/Q 11:2, 4, 9 (= Mk 11:24–25); Lk/Q 11:15, 17–18, 21 (= Mk 3:23–27); Lk 12:10 (= Mk 3:28–29); Lk/Q 12:40 (= Mk 13:32); Lk/Q 13:18–19 (= Mk 4:30–32); Lk/Q 13:30 (= Mk 10:31); Lk 16:18 (= Mk 10:11–12); Lk/Q 17:1–2 (= Mk 9:42); Lk/Q 17:6 (= Mk 11:23); Lk/Q 17:23, 31 (= Mk 13:15–16, 21). All of these are deployed by Luke in clusters of Q material rather than in the Lukan parallels to the respective Markan pericopes. Mk 9:50 (= Lk/Q 14:34–35) is similarly deployed away from its Markan context and surrounded by Q and L material. Both Matthew and Luke favor the wording of Q 14:34–35 over its Markan parallel.

³⁵ Rodd, “The End of the Theology of Q?” 12.

³⁶ See, e.g., Christopher M. Tuckett, *Q and the History of Early Christianity: Studies on Q* (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1996), 94; Luz, “Q in Matthew,” 50.

³⁷ Mk 6:45–52 contains a sea miracle, similar to Mk 4:35–41. Mk 7:1–23 was likely eliminated to shift the lifting of the dietary restrictions to the post-Easter stage in Luke’s narrative (Acts 10:9–16). Mk 7:24–30 was probably (a) deemed redundant (see Lk/Q 7:1–10), (b) regarded as problematic due to the conflict between the Markan Jesus’ reluctance to perform a miracle for a Gentile person (Mk 7:27) and the Lukan Jesus’ programmatic openness to such developments (Lk 4:25–27). Mk 8:1–10, 14–21 and 8:22–26 are clearly redundant (see Mk 6:35–44 // Lk 9:12–17; Mk 10:46–52 // Lk 18:35–43). Mk 8:11–13 has a Q parallel (= Lk/Q 11:29–32).

³⁸ On the L-M Hypothesis this would mean that Luke tends to favor Matthew’s version over an available Markan parallel.

lack thereof, the reconstructed Mark presents an analogy to Q that is at best tenuous.

In light of the above observations my contention is that, methodologically, virtually the entire Q is likely to be accounted for in terms of its content.³⁹ That still leaves the question of the document's precise wording and its recovery. Here, Roth has argued that

there is a fundamental difference between conceiving of the "text of Q" as a reconstruction of its original wording and approaching it as a metaphorical and narrational realm, an intertext as it were, accessible through Matthew and Luke.⁴⁰

Roth further states as one of his monograph's objectives (which is primarily dedicated to the study of Q's parables) an attempt to

advance Q studies by pursuing insight into the parables of Q through a different set of methodological approaches than those present in the reigning paradigm focusing upon word-level reconstruction ... The resultant exegetical work is not, therefore, in the first instance focused upon vocabulary and syntax of a reconstructed text, but rather upon the narrational elements and structures, characters, images, metaphors, and theological emphases of an "intertext."⁴¹

I see no reason to contest Roth's objections to an uncritical acceptance of the *CEQ* text (of course, the *CEQ* editors have already noted that it is only a working reconstruction).⁴² Pending the surfacing of an actual copy of Q, any reconstruction of its wording is necessarily going to remain hypothetical and thus subject to continued analysis. Still, to suggest that the hypothetical nature of the enterprise undermines its results perhaps runs the risk "that a methodological limitation becomes an ontological description of Q itself."⁴³ Roth admits that his own study of narrational and metaphorical elements "cannot function on an entirely 'wordless' level," proposing as a solution that Q's text should be accessed exclusively through the wording of Matthew and Luke.⁴⁴ Such drastic restrictions are, in my opinion, unnecessary. In light of the previous discussion, it is possible to assert from a methodologically sound position that Q's reconstructions are not "potentially faulty" to the degree suggested by Roth.⁴⁵ While a precise word-by-word recovery may not be possible or even necessary in every verse of the synoptic double tradition, a reliable near-word-level reconstruction of Q appears consistently attainable. This is suggested by Luke's (and, frequently, Matthew's) aforementioned preference of Q's wording in the Mark/Q parallels, Matthew's and Luke's slightly superior degree of verbal

³⁹ See further Fleddermann, *Commentary*, 73–74; Luz, "Q in Matthew," 45 (while positing the existence of Q^{Matt}, Luz concludes that it "did not differ substantially" from the main body of the Q source).

⁴⁰ Roth, *Parables*, 41.

⁴¹ *Ibid.*, 44–45.

⁴² *Ibid.*, 38–39. Roth (*ibid.*) also critiques Fleddermann's alternative reconstruction.

⁴³ Kirk, *Q in Matthew*, 152.

⁴⁴ Roth, *Parables*, 40–41.

⁴⁵ *Ibid.*, 44.

Index of Ancient Sources

Hebrew Bible (LXX)

<i>Genesis</i>		9:26 (LXX)	146
4:14	28, 33	15:9 (LXX)	205
6:1–4	50	24:1–4	79, 208, 209
6:2, 4 (LXX)	115	24:10–17	150
7:23 (LXX)	98	29:18–20	207
13:16	33	31:9, 25–26	209
14:17	36	32:8–9 (LXX)	121
17:4–6	199	32:11	201
17:10–14	159		
18:17–18	199	<i>Joshua</i>	
18:26 (LXX)	98	23:13 (LXX)	207
19:17 (LXX)	98		
22:18	199	<i>Ruth</i>	
26:4	199	2:12	201
<i>Exodus</i>		<i>1 Samuel</i>	
16:1–36	117	2:7 (LXX)	137
17:1–7	118	18:21 (LXX)	207
17:15 (LXX)	124		
21:14 (LXX)	124	<i>1 Kings</i>	
22:25–26	150	6:27 (LXX)	124
23:20–21, 23	163	8:6 (LXX)	124
24:15–16 (LXX)	47	17:17–24	162
25:20 (LXX)	124	19:1–18	116, 118, 119
		19:4 (LXX)	117
		19:5 (LXX)	118
		19:8 (LXX)	117, 118
		19:10, 14 (LXX)	118
		22:19–23 (LXX)	121
<i>Numbers</i>		<i>2 Kings</i>	
11:1–9	117	2:16 (LXX)	112, 116
23:19	40	4:18–37	162
		5:1–14	163
<i>Deuteronomy</i>		<i>2 Chronicles</i>	
3:24 (LXX)	146	5:8 (LXX)	124
6:12–15	118	23:14	125
6:13 (LXX)	116		
6:16 (LXX)	116, 118		
8:2–3	117		
8:3 (LXX)	116		
8:11–20	118		
8:12–15 (LXX)	117		

<i>Ezra</i>		42:6	168
9:1–2	137	42:7, 18 (LXX)	161
10:18–44	137	43:5	198
<i>Job</i>		49:1–8	168
1:6	115	51:12	40
2:1	115	55–66	135
16:21	40	56:2	40
25:6	40	56:3–8	136
35:8	40	58:5–7	138
38:7	115	60–62	135
<i>Psalms</i>		61:1 (LXX)	160, 161
2	168	61:1–2 (LXX)	48, 134
6:9 (LXX)	197	61:1–3 (LXX)	132, 135, 136, 137
8:5	40	66:5 (LXX)	137
10:2, 9	137	66:18–20	164, 198
17:8	201	<i>Jeremiah</i>	
35:10	137	3:17–18	164, 198
36:7	201	49:18, 33	40
60:5 (LXX)	124	50:40	40
61:4	201	51:43	40
69:23 (LXX)	207	<i>Ezekiel</i>	
72:2–12	137	8–11	112, 113
80:18	40	8:2	114
81:3 (LXX)	137	40–48	112, 113
90:1–2, 4 (LXX)	124	40:2	113
90:6 (LXX)	124	40:3	114
90:11–12 (LXX)	123	<i>Daniel</i>	
91:4	201	7:13	27, 40, 41, 168, 213
106:3 (LXX)	198	8:17	40
109:1 (LXX)	41	<i>Amos</i>	
109:16, 22	136	1:3 (LXX)	204
118:26	106	1:3–2:8	75
145:7–8 (LXX)	161	2:8	150
146:3	40	3:5 (LXX)	180
147:6	137	8:6 (LXX)	137
<i>Proverbs</i>		<i>Haggai</i>	
1–9	55	2:20–22	163
23:6 (LXX)	205	<i>Zechariah</i>	
26:4–5	189	3:15	137
<i>Isaiah</i>		8:7	198
1–39	135	<i>Malachi</i>	
11	168	1:6–2:9	163
25:3–4 (LXX)	137	2:10–16	163
31:5	201		
35:5 (LXX)	161		
40–55	135		

3:1 163, 164 3:22–23 (LXX) 163

Old Testament Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha

1 Enoch

1–5	76	48:3	202
1–36	46	48:3–7	168
2:1–5:4	122	48:8–9 (10)	45, 122
6–11	52	49:2, 4	168
6–16	47	49:3	202
6:6	45	50:5	76
7:3–6	122	51:3 (5a)	168, 172, 202
10:21	164, 198	51–52	52
12:3–4	46	52–56	112
12:4	113, 125	52:6, 9	168
13:7	45	53:1–5	45, 122
13:9	45	53:6	46, 168
14:8–16:4	76	54:2	45
15:1	46	54:3–4	76
15:2–4	125	54:5–6	45
17:1	114	54:7	210
17–19	112, 113	55:4	45, 108
20–36	112, 113	56:1–4	45
21:5	114	56:5–8	43, 44, 45
24:2–25:7	113	57:1	198
26:1–27:5	113	59:1–60:23	76
32:3–6	113	60:10	42
37–71	41, 45, 186, 213	61–63	46, 52
38:1–6	122	62:1–9	45
38:4–5	45	62:2–3	122
39:1–3	45	62:4–63:12	122
39:6	108	62:5–14	42
41:3–8	76	63:1–12	45, 122
41:8	44	63:2–4	106
42:1–2 (3)	126, 171, 201	63:7	123
42:2	170	63:11	42
45:1–6	76	63:12	76
45:5	45	65:1–66:3	52
46	52	67:1–68:1	52
46:1–8	141	67:8–13	43, 44, 45, 122
46:2–4	42	69:26–29	42, 52
46:4–6	45	70:1	42
46:8	46	71:5–17	44
47:1–4	141	71:13(14)–17	42, 141
48–49	52	82:15–20	76
48:1	202	83–84	52
48:1–10	141	89:1–9	52
48:2	42	89:73–74	113
		90:38	164

100:6	168		
104:9–13	47		
<i>2 Enoch</i>			
3:1–22:1	113		
10:1–6	112		
<i>3 Baruch</i>			
3–17	113		
16:3	204		
<i>3 Enoch</i>			
1:1–12	113		
<i>Ascension of Isaiah</i>			
6:10–15	113		
<i>Baruch</i>			
4:37	198		
5:5	198		
<i>Bel and the Dragon</i>			
36	112, 114, 116		
<i>Jubilees</i>			
1:1–8	209		
1:20	121		
2:2	114		
7:27	52		
10:2	52		
10:5	52		
10:6	121		
10:8–11	52, 114, 121		
11:4	121		
11:5	52		
11:14–12:14	32		
12:20	121		
15:25–34	159		
15:31–32	114, 121		
19:28	121		
49:2	52		
<i>Letter of Aristeas</i>			
155	145		
<i>Psalms of Solomon</i>			
2:19	159		
8:23, 30	159		
11:12	198		
17:31	164, 198		
18:10–12	76		
<i>Sirach</i>			
2:1–17	72, 86		
2:12	87		
14:3–7	205		
14:10–11	202		
24:1–12	125		
24:7–11	126		
24:10–11	159		
25:25–26	207		
26:11	205		
31:13	205		
35:22–36:22	72		
38:24–39:11	172		
43	76		
50:1–24	125		
<i>The Sentences of the Syriac Menander</i>			
340–46	189		
<i>Testament of Abraham</i>			
8:1–12	113		
<i>Testament of Levi</i>			
2:6–5:7	113		
<i>Testament of Moses</i>			
5:1–6:2	126		
<i>Tobit</i>			
14:5–7	164, 198		
<i>Vita Adae et Evae</i>			
6:1–10:3	116		
12:1–16:1	115		
<i>Wisdom of Solomon</i>			
4:10–15	46		
4:18–5:13	46		

New Testament

<i>Q</i>		6:47–49	12, 92, 144
3:2–9:58 (59–60)	101, 103, 104, 108, 126, 155, 173, 175	7:1–10	9, 64, 92, 104, 126, 155, 156, 158, 169, 197, 199
3:7	52		
3:7–9, 16–17	5, 54, 64, 68, 70, 90, 104, 116	7:6	145, 203
3:7–7:35	78, 80, 81, 83, 85, 101, 102, 103	7:9	87, 96, 104, 156, 157, 158, 167, 173, 194, 198, 199
3:17	54, 91	7:18–19	159, 167
4:1–13	5, 51, 52, 53, 64, 65, 66, 78, 91, 104, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 118, 119, 126, 152, 153, 155, 156	7:18–23	159
		7:18–28	64, 166
4:1–4	116, 117	7:18–9:60	92
4:5–8	114, 119, 121, 122, 123, 159	7:22	16, 54, 134, 135, 161, 163, 164, 172
4:9–12 (13)	47, 51, 65, 96, 123, 157, 200	7:27	96, 163
4:16	91, 129, 130, 131, 153	7:31–35	64, 70, 92, 165, 166, 169, 170, 171
6:20–49	16, 63, 82, 91, 104, 105, 129, 130, 131, 153	7:33	51, 53
6:20b	12, 91, 132	7:34	24, 26, 52, 165, 167, 169, 170, 172
6:20b–21	48, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137	7:35	166, 170
6:20b–23	5, 131, 132, 143	9:57–58 (59–60)	92, 105, 111, 155, 169, 170, 171
6:21a	12, 20, 91, 132	9:57–62	63
6:22	12, 17, 24, 25, 26, 38, 71, 140, 141, 153, 167, 170, 194, 205	9:59–60	172, 173
6:22–23	17, 20, 52, 53, 54, 96, 132, 133, 134, 136, 139, 140, 141, 142, 206	9:58	24, 25, 26, 27, 38, 52, 71, 164, 165, 169, 170, 171, 172
6:23c	64, 82, 129, 132, 139, 140, 142, 143	9:59	145
6:27–35 (36)	13, 16, 71, 129, 147, 151, 152, 153, 154	10:2–16	5, 56, 63, 70, 86, 158, 170, 175, 182, 215
6:29	24, 91, 150		
6:30	24, 150	10:2–24	92
6:31	91, 152	10:2–12:40	101, 105, 107, 108, 175, 205
6:37–38	12, 92	10:4	48, 92
6:40	92, 144	10:9	73, 93
6:46	92, 104, 144, 145, 146, 153, 154, 203	10:12	93, 175
		10:12–15	54, 64, 82, 86
		10:13–15	47, 56, 70, 80, 81, 82, 86, 87, 96, 107, 126, 175, 199, 212
		10:16	72, 93
		10:21	56, 81, 87, 93, 96, 173, 209
		10:21–22	54, 56, 78, 79, 81, 82, 145, 194
		10:21–24	64, 107

10:22	81, 93, 114, 116, 145, 146, 203, 209	12:22–31 (33–34)	63, 73, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 190, 192
10:23–24	17, 93, 106		
11:2–4, 9–13	5, 63, 78, 93, 117, 176, 177, 179, 180	12:22–40	94
11:2b	48, 178, 184	12:24	23, 178, 180
11:4	24, 178	12:27	23
11:9–13	12, 93, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181	12:31	48, 94, 184
11:14	51, 176	12:33	12, 48, 191
11:14–20	52, 53	12:33–34	69, 94, 191, 192
11:14–32	93	12:35–38	94, 108, 190, 203
11:14–52	64, 107, 179	12:35–46	108
11:18	51, 53, 93, 114, 121, 159	12:35–59	94, 108
11:24–26	51, 53, 93	12:35–22:30	101, 105, 108, 109, 197, 203
11:(16) 29–32	9, 54, 70, 96, 212	12:39	17, 18, 95, 191
11:30	24, 26, 52, 186	12:39–40	18, 20, 54, 70, 71, 94, 105, 175, 190, 191, 192, 193, 203, 212
11:33–52	93, 205		
11:34	93, 205	12:39–59	64, 191
11:39–52	70, 107, 126, 169	12:40	24, 52, 69, 95, 167, 186, 191, 193
11:42c	64, 66, 125		
11:49	52	12:42–46	52, 53, 54, 69, 70, 95, 191, 192, 197, 203, 204, 205, 206, 212
11:49–51	47, 53, 54, 66, 96, 118, 119, 157, 200		
11:51	52, 200, 205	12:45	96, 205
12:2–12	5, 70, 94, 107, 155, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 194	12:46	204
12:3	72, 94	12:51	20, 95
12:4–5	54, 70, 73, 181, 182, 190	12:51–59	108
12:4–7	94, 121, 122, 176, 179, 180, 181, 194, 214	12:52–53	20
12:5	181, 204	12:56	17
12:8	24, 26, 38, 54, 185, 187, 188, 189	12:58–59	24, 95
12:8–9	52, 69, 94, 167, 184, 186, 187, 188, 189, 214	13:18–19, 20–21	5, 20, 48, 63, 95, 108, 207
12:8–10	54, 64, 69, 71, 82, 175, 176, 183, 184, 187, 189, 190, 194, 212	13:18–24	95
12:9	184, 185, 188	13:24	63, 95
12:10	24, 26, 94, 185, 187, 188, 189	13:25–30	54, 63, 82, 87
12:11–12	54, 70, 72, 73, 94, 181, 182, 214	13:25–14:23	54, 95, 96, 126, 158, 199
		13:27	52, 53, 96, 118, 198
		13:28–29	96, 198, 199
		13:34	52, 125, 206
		13:34–35	47, 53, 54, 63, 66, 81, 82, 83, 96, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 118, 119, 125, 157, 194, 198, 200, 201, 202
		13:35	51, 54, 96, 106, 202

14:11	54, 96	5:4	134
14:16–23 (24)	63, 82, 97	5:8	138
14:23	54	5:11 (12)	52, 205
14:26	72, 97, 215	5:12	142
14:26–27, 17:33	20, 63, 72, 97	5:15	7
14:26–17:6	97	5:39	149, 150
14:27	54, 97, 140, 141, 182, 214	5:40	15, 150
14:34–35	63, 97	5:41	15, 89, 91, 149, 150
15:4–5a, 7	20, 63, 97, 108, 209	5:42	150, 151
16:13	12, 63, 94, 97	5:44	148, 149
16:16	64, 97, 207, 209	5:44–45	91, 149
16:16–18	206	5:45	208
16:17	17, 63, 64, 66, 79, 97, 125, 206, 207, 208, 209	6:1–18	94
16:16(17)–17:2	197, 206, 208	6:12	177
16:18	24, 63, 79, 97, 206, 207, 208, 209	6:19–21	94
17:1–2	97, 207, 208	6:20	191
17:1–6	63	6:23	205
17:3–4	18, 20, 98	6:24	94
17:6	18, 20, 78, 98, 108, 210	6:25–34	94
17:20–21	17, 98	6:26	178
17:23	98, 210	6:27	177
17:23–37	54, 64, 69, 70, 71, 98, 167, 170, 197, 210, 211, 212	6:30	178, 179
17:23–22:30	108, 211	7:5	18
17:24, 26, 30	24, 52, 98, 99	7:7	177
17:33	54, 97, 182, 214	7:9	177
17:34–35	98, 99	7:11	178, 179
19:12–26	64, 69, 99, 108, 197, 203, 204, 205, 206, 212	7:12	152
19:12–22:30	99	7:23	52
19:22	205	7:24, 26	91
22:28–30	5, 54, 64, 99, 108, 212	8:5–13	199
<i>Matthew</i>		8:10	155
3:7	52	8:11–12	198, 199
3:10	95	8:19	169
4:2	117	8:20	27, 142, 171
4:5	124	8:21	172
4:8	119, 120	8:22	173
4:13	130, 131	8:29	121
5–7	94, 102	9:1–8	7
5:1	119	9:20–22	97
		9:22	7
		9:37	92
		10:7	73
		10:26	7
		10:29	180
		10:32	184, 189
		10:32–33	91, 184
		10:34	95
		10:38	73
		10:39	141
		11:5	134, 163

11:19	169	26:69, 71	130
11:22–45	93	26:69–75	189
11:25	208	27:32	91
12:17	73	27:55	97
12:32	184	28:5	130
12:39	52, 205	28:9–10	97
12:43	124	28:16–18	119
13:11, 13	7	28:18	120
13:42	95		
14:16	7	<i>Mark</i>	
15:21–28	97	1:14, 21	131
16:2b–3	95	1:23–26	121
16:13–28	140	1:24	130
16:17–19	47	1:29–31	188
16:25	141	2:1–12	7
17:1	119	3:1	149
17:17	7	3:7–12	188
18:6	208	3:13	114
18:6–22	97	3:27	93
18:8–9	95	3:28	188
18:25	97	3:28–29	184
19:28	99	4:3–8	193
19:29	99	4:11–12	7
20:30	130	4:13	177
21:9	106	4:30–32	20, 193
21:31	97	4:38	177
21:33–41 (42–46)	7, 19	4:21–22	7
22:1–14	18	4:35–41	9
23:6–7	206	5:25–34	97
23:7	166	5:34	7
23:34	52	6:1–6a	188
23:34–36	96	6:15	163
23:35	52	6:35–44	9
23:37	52, 197	6:37	7
23:37–39	81, 105, 201	6:45–52	9
24:3–41	210, 211	6:45–8:26	9
24:4–14	7	7:1–23	9
24:23	98	7:24–30	9, 97
24:43	191	8:1–10	9
24:43–44	193	8:11–13	9
24:45	206	8:22–26	9
24:48 (49)	52, 205	8:27	140
25:1–13	97	8:27–9:8	47
25:14–30	99	8:28	163
25:26, 28	205	8:31–33	121
25:30	99	8:34–35	20
25:31	99	8:35	141
25:41	95, 121	8:38	184, 190
26:6–13	97	9:2	114
26:40–41	7	9:2–8	47

9:19	7	6:27	149
9:43–47	95	6:27–28	148
10:28	73, 179	6:28	149
10:29	20	6:29	15, 150
10:35–40	183	6:30	150, 151
10:39	188	6:34	151
10:46–52	9	6:35	91, 208
10:47	130	6:42	18
11:9	106	6:46	146
11:17	7, 177	6:47–49	91
11:22–23, 25ab	18, 20	7:9	155
11:24	180	7:22	163
11:29–30	7	7:34	169
12:1–9 (10–12)	7, 19	7:35	166, 208
12:9	19	8:10	7
12:11	19	8:16–17	7
12:26	177	8:24	177
13:3–37	210, 211	8:31	121
13:5–13	7	8:48	7
13:15–16	99	9:12–17	9
13:21	98	9:13	7
14:3–9	97	9:24	141
14:37–38	7	9:26	190
14:47	95, 149	9:41	7
14:62	41	9:57	169
14:66–72	189	9:58	171
14:67	130	9:59	172
15:1–5	95	9:60	173
15:21	91	10:2	92
15:41	97	10:9	73
16:6	130	10:17–20	121
		10:21	208
<i>Luke</i>		11:4	177
1:5–25	102	11:5–8	97, 177
3:7	52	11:9	177
4:2	117	11:11	177
4:5	122	11:12	117
4:6b, 7	120	11:13	178, 179
4:6cd	120, 121	11:20	51
4:16	130	11:21–22	93
4:16–30	188	11:24	124, 205
4:25–27	9	11:24–26	93
4:38–39	188	11:29	52, 205
5:17–26	7	11:43	166, 206
6:6	149	11:49	52
6:17–19	188	11:50	52
6:21	134	11:51	52
6:22	205	12:4	73, 179
6:23	142	12:6	180
6:25	134	12:8	184

12:8–9	91	<i>John</i>	
12:11	73	4:46	158
12:13–21	97	4:46–54	157
12:23a	177	4:48	158
12:24	177, 178	6:31–58	117
12:28	179	8:44	121
12:33	191	12:3	106
12:35–38	89, 94	12:25–26	20
12:39	191	12:31	121
12:39–40	193	14:30	121
12:42	206	16:11	121
12:45	52, 205	18:28–19:16	102
12:49	89, 95		
12:54–56	95	<i>Acts</i>	
13:1–35	102	2:3	95
13:27	52, 197	2:1–4	189
13:28–29	198	5:1–11	189
13:34	52, 197	10:9–16	9
14:15–24	18	12:1–2	183
14:27	73	12:3–19	183
15:8–10	97		
17:2	208	<i>Romans</i>	
17:11–19	98	12:14	149
17:20	98		
17:21	98	<i>1 Corinthians</i>	
17:22	98	4:12	149
17:28–29	89, 98, 99	6:3	121, 192
17:31	99	13:2	18, 20
17:32	99		
17:33	97	<i>2 Corinthians</i>	
18:35–43	9	4:1–6	192
19:12–27	99	4:4	121
19:22, 24	205		
19:27	99	<i>Galatians</i>	
19:38	96, 106	1:13–14	183
19:41–44	96	4:3, 8–9	121, 192
19:46	177		
20:9–16 (17–19)	7, 19	<i>Ephesians</i>	
20:18	19	2:1–2	121, 192
20:37	177	6:12	121, 192
21:8–19	7		
22:3	121	<i>1 Thessalonians</i>	
22:28–30	99	2:14–16	183
22:46	7	2:18	121, 192
22:49–51	95	5:1–11	192
22:50	149	5:2	20, 192
22:54–62	188	5:5–6	192
23:4, 14	95		
24:13–33	102	<i>2 Thessalonians</i>	
		2:1–12	210, 211

<i>2 Timothy</i>		<i>2 Peter</i>	
2:11–13	190	2:4–5	47, 121
<i>Hebrews</i>		<i>Jude</i>	
11:37	204	1:6–7	121
<i>James</i>		<i>Revelation</i>	
3:15–17	124	1:10–20	113
4:4–7	121	1:13	186
<i>1 Peter</i>		3:5	190
3:14	132, 139, 143	4:1–11	113
3:19–20	47	12:3–4	121
4:14	132, 139, 141, 143	17:1–3	114
5:8	121	17:3–18	112, 113
		21:9–27	112, 113
		21:10	113

New Testament Apocrypha and Pseudepigrapha

<i>Gospel of Thomas</i>		54	137, 138
1–7.1	17	55	20
3.1–3	21	58	17, 138
6.1–4	17	64	18, 19
10	19, 95	65	19
11.1	17	68	20, 132, 138, 139,
13	21		140
16.1–2	20, 95	68–69	19, 139, 143
16.3	20	69.1	17, 138
17	17	69.2	19, 20, 137, 138
20–21	71, 193	77	21
20.1–4	20, 192	79	21
21.5–8	18, 19, 20, 192	86	169, 170
24.3, 36–39	17	103	17
26.1–2	18	106–107	19, 20
26.2–33.1	17	113.1–2	9
31	21	<i>Pseudo-Clementine Homilies</i>	
36.1–3	19, 143, 180	12.31.3	180
47.3	21		
48	18, 19, 20		

Dead Sea Scrolls

<i>CD</i>		5:1–5	209
2:14–4:1	125	13:16–17	207
4:12–14	121, 124, 125	12:2–3	121
4:19–5:2	208	16:1–2	125

<i>IQapGen</i>	29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 37, 40	69 ii 12–13 81 1–2 81 2–5 81 4 81 5 81 20 88 103 107 123 5–8	56 182 56 115 115 56, 202 56 56 56 56
<i>IQH</i>			
4:30	40		
<i>IQM</i>			
1:1	121		
13:10–12	121		
17:5–6	51		
<i>IQpHab</i>	56, 125		
<i>IQS</i>			
2:5	121		
2:11–15	207, 208		
3:21–25	117		
4:9	182		
5:8	125		
11:9–10	182		
11:20	40		
<i>IQ27 (IQ/4QMysteries)</i>			
1 i 1–8	56, 202		
<i>4Q299 (IQ/4QMysteries)</i>			
3a–b ii 2–8	56		
3a–b ii 9–16	56		
<i>4Q416 (4QInstruction)</i>			
1 10–13	56, 202		
1 12	182		
2 i 21–iv 13	56		
2 ii 6, 17	178		
<i>4Q417 (4QInstruction)</i>			
1 i 6–9	56		
1 i 10(11)–12	56, 202		
1 i 12–13	56		
1 i 14	56		
1 i 16	115, 182		
1 i 17	182		
1 i 24	56, 202		
2 i 17–24	56		
2 i 19, 21–22	178		
<i>4Q418 (4QInstruction)</i>			
69 ii 6–9	56, 202		
		<i>4Q423 (4QInstruction)</i>	
		5 3	56
		5 5–6	56
		<i>4Q503</i>	115
		<i>4Q521</i>	16, 54, 135, 137, 159, 160, 161, 162
		<i>4Q525</i>	57, 133
		<i>4QDeut^f</i>	
		12:14	121
		<i>4QIsa</i>	135
		<i>4QMMT</i>	
		C 4–9	125
		<i>11Q11</i>	124
		<i>11Q13</i>	135, 137
		<i>11QPsa</i>	
		19:1–18	121
		<i>11QT</i>	
		54:5	207
		57:15–19	208
		66:9–11	207
		Targums	
		<i>4QtgJob</i>	31
		<i>4QtgLev</i>	31
		<i>11QtgJob</i>	31, 33, 35, 40

Josephus

<i>Ant.</i>		1.13.1–11	44
14.13.3–14.6	44	1.16.1–5	46
15.10.3	45	1.21.3	46
19.8.2	123	1.33.5	45
		2.10.1–5	123
<i>Bell.</i>		2.11.6	183
1.8.8	46		

Babylonian Texts

Gilgamesh	112
-----------	-----

Greek and Latin Authors

Dio Cassius		Seneca	
<i>Hist.</i>		<i>de Ben.</i>	
59.27.1	120	2.12.1–2	120
Homer		Seneca the Elder	
<i>Od.</i>		<i>Controv.</i>	
10.503–15	112	9.2.10	183
11.12–22	112	Tacitus	
Plato		<i>Ann.</i>	
<i>Phaed.</i>		6.32	120
111c–112e	112	<i>Hist.</i>	
		5.9	183

Apostolic and Church Fathers

<i>1 Clement</i>		7.2.2	148, 150, 151
13:2	153	7.2.2–6	147
29:2	121	7.2.5	149, 150
39:4–5	121	7.2.6	148, 153
<i>2 Clement</i>		Athanasius	
3:2	190	<i>Fest. Let.</i>	
13:4	148	39.11	14
<i>Apostolic Constitutions</i>		<i>Canons of the Holy Apostles</i>	
7.2.1	152	4–5	152

Chrysostom		Eusebius	
<i>Hom. Mt.</i>		<i>Hist. Eccl.</i>	
34.2	180	3.25.4	
Clement of Alexandria		Irenaeus	
<i>Strom.</i>		<i>Adv. Haer.</i>	
4.6.41	139, 143	1.10.1	121
		4.16.2	121
<i>Didache</i>		Justin Martyr	
1:1–6:3	14	<i>1 Apol.</i>	
1:2c	152	15.9	148
1:3b	148, 149		
1:3b–5b	11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 71, 147, 151, 154	<i>2 Apol.</i>	
1:3b–2:1	5, 11, 14, 15, 150	2.5	121
1:3c	151		
1:3c–4a	14	Origen	
1:3d	15, 148	<i>C. Cels.</i>	
1:4	14	8.70	180
1:4a	16		
1:4b	15, 149	Polycarp	
1:4c	91, 150	<i>Philippians</i>	
1:4d	15, 149, 150, 151	2:2–3	153
1:5a	150		
1:5b	148, 153	Shepherd of Hermas	
8:1–2	14	<i>Mandate</i>	
8:2	16	2.4–6	153
10:3b–12:2a	14		
11:3	16	<i>Similitude</i>	
11:3–13:7	14	5.3.5–8	138
15:3–4	16		
16:1–8	210, 211, 212		

Rabbinic Literature

<i>j. Ber.</i>		<i>R. Gen.</i>	
3b, 5b, 5c	31, 37, 38	7:2	31
		38:13	31, 37
<i>j. Ket.</i>		79:6	31
35a	31, 37		
<i>j. Šab.</i>		<i>R. Num.</i>	
3a, 38d	31, 37	19:3	31, 38
<i>j. Ta'an.</i>		Targums	
65b	40	<i>Cairo B</i>	
69ab	125	Gen 4:14	31, 33, 34, 37, 41

Cairo F

Exod 19:13 37

Deut 34:6 37

Neof. I

Gen 4:14 31, 33, 41

Gen 9:5–6 37

Gen 49:22 36

Papyri, Manuscripts, and Inscriptions

Br. Mus. Or. 9271 14

P. Oxy. 1 17

P. Oxy. 654 17

P. Oxy. 655 17, 143, 180

P. Oxy. 1782 14, 15, 148, 151

Sf III 16–17 40

Index of Modern Authors

- Achtemeier, P. 139, 141
Adams, S. L. 56, 72, 202
Alexander, P. S. 31, 32
Allison, D. C. 1, 2, 3, 5, 12, 22, 24, 66,
75, 77, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87,
88, 90, 91, 94, 96, 98, 99, 103, 105,
107, 112, 117, 119, 120, 123, 125, 129,
130, 131, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138,
139, 140, 144, 147, 148, 149, 150, 152,
153, 155, 158, 160, 163, 169, 170, 172,
175, 176, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183,
184, 185, 193, 197, 198, 200, 205
Anderson, A. A. 124
Anderson, F. I. 113
Andrejevs, O. 25, 164, 172, 190
Arcari, L. 44
Argall, R. A. 47, 72, 202
Arnal, W. E. 23, 24, 48, 59, 61, 63, 65,
66, 67, 68, 78, 86, 104, 123, 125, 132,
134, 136, 150, 191, 194, 208, 214
Attridge, H. W. 17
Audet, J.-P. 14
Avigad, N. 29, 30

Bailey, K. 102
Bauckham, R. 12
Baum, A. D. 1
Bazzana, G. B. 1, 3, 24, 59, 178, 198
Beasley-Murray, G. R. 158
Berger, K. 104
Bergmeier, R. 159, 160, 162
Betz, D. H. 3, 24, 51, 103, 146, 179, 183
de Bèze, T. 27
Bird, M. F. 159, 198, 199
Black, C. C. 11
Black, M. 26, 28, 30, 31, 32, 35, 36, 37,
40, 168
Blenkinsopp, J. 135, 136, 137
Boccaccini, G. 32, 43, 44, 50
Boring, M. E. 38, 183, 184, 185, 188,
192, 211

Borsch, F. H. 185
Bovon, F. 5, 19, 93, 95, 96, 98, 99, 106,
140, 177, 179, 184, 185, 198
Bowman, J. W. 28, 33
Boyarin, D. 23
Breuer, Y. 34
Brin, G. 208
Broadhead, E. K. 3, 89
Brodie, T. L. 163
Brooke, G. J. 43, 160
Brown, R. E. 11, 12, 102, 130, 157, 158
Broyles, C. C. 123
Bultmann, R. 3, 60, 98, 111, 132, 156,
158, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 201
Burkett, D. 27, 213
Bussmann, W. 26

Campbell, J. Y. 28, 46
Caragounis, C. C. 27, 29, 39
Carroll, J. T. 3
Carruth, S. 119
Casey, P. M. 29, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41
Castor, G. D. 4, 5
Catchpole, D. R. 21, 77, 90, 96, 99, 129,
131, 145, 147, 156, 157, 159, 164, 175,
188, 198, 208
Chang, A. 199
Charlesworth, J. H. 31, 42, 43, 44, 45,
46, 47, 72, 160, 171, 185
Chazon, E. G. 115, 202
Cheung, L. L. 12
Childs, B. S. 163
Chilton, B. D. 26, 36, 39, 117, 130
Clark, D. J. 109
Collins, A. Y. 39, 41, 47, 50, 51, 52, 103,
114, 188, 193, 211
Collins, J. J. 23, 40, 43, 48, 49, 50, 51,
52, 53, 57, 76, 77, 121, 126, 160, 161,
162, 163, 168, 202
Colpe, C. 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36, 37,
39, 40, 45, 140

- Conzelmann, H. 96, 97
 Cotter, W. J. 61, 104, 132, 156, 163, 165,
 166, 170, 191
 Cromhout, M. 61, 145, 191
 Crossan, J. D. 39, 89, 94, 98, 134
- Dalman, G. 27, 29, 30
 Damm, A. 171
 Davidson, M. J. 114
 Davies, W. D. 1, 2, 3, 91, 99, 119, 120,
 131, 138, 139, 140, 149, 150, 169, 172,
 179, 180, 184, 185, 193, 197, 200
 DeConick, A. D. 11, 17, 20, 21, 138, 139,
 192
 Derrenbacher, R. A. 1, 24
 Di Lella, A. A. 73
 Dibelius, M. 12
 Díez Macho, A. 30
 Dimant, D. 160
 DiTommaso, L. 57
 Doering, L. 208
 Downing, F. G. 23, 64
 Draper, J. A. 13, 14, 15, 16, 68, 156
 Dundenberg, I. 11
 Dunn, J. D. G. 1, 149, 183
 Dupont, J. 97, 116
 Durham, J. I. 163
- Eldridge, M. D. 117
 Elgvin, T. 49, 56, 57, 115, 202
 Eshel, H. 44
- Fiebig, P. 26, 27, 28, 34, 37, 39
 Fitzmyer, J. A. 1, 2, 3, 8, 19, 26, 28, 30,
 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40,
 41, 82, 91, 95, 97, 98, 117, 118, 119,
 130, 131, 133, 138, 139, 140, 146, 149,
 163, 177, 179, 208
 Fleddermann, H. T. 2, 6, 10, 11, 59, 62,
 69, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 90, 91, 93, 95,
 97, 98, 101, 103, 104, 105, 109, 129,
 130, 134, 140, 144, 148, 150, 152,
 156, 157, 163, 166, 170, 176, 177, 179,
 184, 185, 189, 199, 200, 203, 205, 211
- Flusser, D. 14, 15
 Foster, P. 1, 3, 6, 12, 26
 Freedman, H. 32
 Frenschkowski, M. 145
 Frey, J. 56
 Freyne, S. 159, 199
- Funk, F. X. 14, 147
- García Martínez, F. 49, 57, 160, 162,
 202, 208
 Garrow, A. 13
 Gathercole, S. 17, 19, 20, 138, 139, 181
 Gerber, C. 203
 Gibson, J. B. 116
 Gieschen, C. A. 147
 Ginsburger, M. 30
 Glover, R. 13, 16
 Goering, G. S. 72, 125
 Goff, M. J. 55, 56, 57, 79, 84, 115, 178,
 202
 Goodacre, M. 1, 17, 19
 Greenfield, J. C. 27
 Greeven, H. 12
 Gregg, B. H. 90, 97, 186, 198, 204
 Grenfell, B. P. 14, 17
 Guelich, R. A. 193
 Guenther, H. O. 26
 Gundry, R. H. 150, 181, 197
- Haenchen, E. 12, 139, 157, 158, 201
 Hahn, F. 185
 Han, K. S. 124, 125, 200
 Hannah, D. D. 42, 43, 45, 46
 Hare, D. R. A. 38, 39, 40, 184, 185
 Harrington, D. J. 33, 55, 57, 202
 Hartin, P. J. 12, 61, 119, 166
 Hasitschka, M. 118
 Heil, C. 5, 38, 60, 99, 119, 181
 Higgins, A. J. B. 184
 Hill, A. E. 163
 Hindley, J. C. 43
 Hoffmann, P. 5, 38, 55, 67, 70, 75, 77,
 80, 96, 99, 112, 145, 167, 170, 184,
 185
 Holtzmann, H. J. 4
 Hooker, M. D. 45
 Horsley, R. 68, 156, 198, 199, 200
 van der Horst, P. W. 73
 Hossfeld, F. L. 124
 Howard, G. 146
 Howes, L. 26, 39, 43, 61, 65, 77, 81, 133,
 140, 141, 142, 166, 167, 170, 185, 188,
 190, 212
 Hubbard, B. J. 38
 Hultgren, S. 160, 162
 Hunt, A. S. 14, 17

- van Iersel, B. M. F. 102
- Jacobson, A. D. 5, 26, 39, 49, 54, 60, 61, 64, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, 87, 90, 94, 96, 97, 98, 106, 129, 132, 140, 144, 152, 156, 157, 167, 170, 171, 184, 185, 187, 201, 207, 210
- Jefford, C. N. 13, 14, 15
- Jeremias, J. 179, 198, 204
- Jervis, L. A. 55
- Jewett, R. 149
- Johnson, L. A. 178, 208
- Johnson, L. T. 12
- Johnson-DeBaufre, M. 66, 103, 163
- Jonas, H. 51
- de Jonge, H. J. 24, 185
- Jongeling, B. 35
- Joseph, S. J. 1, 21, 23, 24, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 52, 68, 103, 125, 132, 134, 136, 142, 144, 153, 156, 159, 160, 161, 162, 164, 178, 180, 181, 182, 184, 185, 187, 190, 191, 200, 201, 202, 206, 208, 214, 215
- Just, A. A. 102
- Kahle, P. 26, 30, 31, 36
- Kampen, J. L. 162, 209
- Kaufman, S. A. 31, 32, 36, 40
- Keener, C. S. 183
- Kirk, A. 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 15, 24, 55, 59, 60, 61, 62, 66, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 94, 96, 98, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 114, 129, 152, 155, 156, 166, 177, 179, 184, 189, 190, 197, 203, 207, 208, 214, 215
- Kloppenborg, J. S. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 38, 39, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 95, 97, 98, 99, 103, 104, 107, 108, 111, 112, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 147, 148, 150, 151, 155, 156, 157, 158, 160, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 172, 175, 176, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 187, 189, 190, 191, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 208, 210, 211, 212, 213
- Knibb, M. A. 46, 202
- Koester, H. 15, 38, 48, 60, 61, 63, 66, 148
- Köhler, W. D. 16
- Kraus, H.-J. 124
- Kutscher, E. Y. 30, 35, 36
- Lang, B. 201
- Laurence, R. 41
- Leivestad, R. 43
- Levine, A.-J. 208
- Lichtenberger, H. 124
- Lindars, B. 29, 34, 37, 39, 43, 184, 185, 188
- Lohfink, N. 118
- Lukaszewski, A. 25, 27
- Luz, U. 3, 10, 60, 74, 75, 78, 81, 87, 90, 91, 93, 95, 96, 97, 99, 102, 106, 111, 131, 134, 140, 142, 145, 148, 150, 151, 166, 169, 180, 181, 184, 185, 197, 198, 199, 201, 204, 207
- Lüdemann, G. 183
- Lührmann, D. 61, 64, 78, 111, 140, 157, 158, 165, 180, 184
- Mack, B. L. 23, 38, 43, 61, 133, 135, 170
- Magness, J. 160
- Malherbe, A. 192, 211
- Manson, T. W. 5, 28, 101
- Maori, Y. 32
- Marcus, J. 8, 11, 41, 47, 102, 183, 188, 193, 199, 211
- Marshall, I. H. 3
- Martínez, R. 166, 167
- Martyn, J. L. 183
- März, C.-P. 90, 94
- McDermott, J. M. 185
- McNamara, M. J. 31
- Meadors, E. P. 62, 66, 67, 72, 74, 76, 77, 166, 168, 201
- Meadors, G. T. 137
- Menken, M. J. J. 116, 117
- Meyer, A. 27
- Meyer, P. D. 157
- Middleton, P. 182
- Milik, J. P. 36, 42, 43, 187
- Milikowsky, C. 181
- Miller, R. J. 63, 201

- Mitchell, D. C. 124
 Moller, H. B. 29
 Moreland, M. 23
 Moss, C. 182
 Mournet, T. C. 1
 Muraoka, T. 35, 38
 Münch, C. 203

 Neiryneck, F. 2, 3, 4, 11, 22, 26, 90, 98,
 99, 116, 130, 140, 149
 Nickelsburg, G. 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46,
 47, 52, 53, 76, 106, 112, 113, 122,
 126, 141, 168, 172, 202, 210, 213
 Niebuhr, K. W. 159
 Niederwimmer, K. 13, 14, 15, 16, 148,
 151, 152
 Nielsen, K. 114, 115
 Nolland, J. 179
 Novakovic, L. 160, 162

 Odeberg, H. 36
 Oegema, G. S. 116
 Owen, P. 25, 29, 35

 Patterson, S. J. 17, 18, 21, 61, 63
 Perrin, N. 186
 Pervo, R. I. 183
 Pietersma, A. 146
 Piovanelli, P. 44, 45
 Piper, R. A. 61, 82, 112, 176, 190
 Ploeg, J. P. M. 35
 Pokorný, P. 11, 17, 19, 117, 139
 Polag, A. 62, 73, 89, 90, 94
 Porter, S. E. 180, 181
 Porton, G. G. 38
 Priest, J. 126
 Propp, W. H. C. 163
 Puech, É. 133, 160, 162

 Ra, Y. 39, 132, 145, 155, 170, 184, 185
 Reicke, B. 141
 Riesner, R. 183
 Robbins, M. C. 51, 103, 113, 115, 117,
 121, 125
 Robbins, V. K. 104
 Robinson, J. M. 2, 5, 24, 26, 34, 38, 39,
 41, 43, 55, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66,
 67, 77, 96, 103, 119, 129, 131, 132,
 134, 135, 140, 142, 143, 144, 145,
 156, 160, 165, 166, 167, 180, 181, 184,
 185, 186, 187, 188, 190, 200, 201, 202
 Rodd, C. S. 6, 7, 9
 Rollens, S. E. 2, 3, 23, 24, 26, 61, 132,
 182, 191
 Roth, D. T. 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 54, 90, 145,
 165, 191, 192, 203, 204, 205, 206
 Rothschild, C. K. 90, 159, 164
 Roysse, J. R. 146
 Rösel, M. 146

 Sacchi, P. 43, 44, 45, 47
 Sandt, H. 5, 14, 15
 Sato, M. 3, 59, 62, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80,
 82, 83, 87, 90, 94, 98, 111, 134, 170,
 176, 184
 Schalit, A. 44
 Schenk, W. 62, 73, 89, 90, 103, 170, 176
 Schiavo, L. 104, 112, 113
 Schiffman, L. H. 57, 160
 Schnackenburg, R. 12, 157, 158
 Schniedewind, W. M. 160
 Schröter, J. 180
 Schulz, S. 74, 132, 165, 167, 176, 179,
 201, 210
 Schürmann, H. 8, 130, 167, 170
 Seeley, D. 132, 141, 142
 Segal, M. 43, 114, 121
 Sellew, P. 193
 Sevenich-Bax, E. 103, 105
 Shelton, J. 163
 Shepherd, D. 29, 35
 Shinall, M. C. 51
 Shirock, R. J. 102
 Sjöberg, E. 28, 29, 35, 39, 45
 Skeat, T. C. 180
 Skehan, P. W. 73
 Smith, D. A. 96, 201, 202, 203
 So, K.-C. 157
 Sokoloff, M. 35
 Somov, A. 181
 Spranger, P. P. 204
 Staples, J. A. 145, 146, 154
 Steck, O. H. 61, 64, 132, 201
 Stone, M. E. 45
 Streeter, B. H. 4, 12, 130
 Strugnell, J. 55
 Stuckenbruck, L. T. 27, 30, 47, 51, 65,
 114, 121
 Syreeni, K. 4, 15, 153

- Tabor, J. D. 160
Tannehil, R. C. 178, 208
Taylor, N. H. 120, 123, 183
Theissen, G. 123
Theophilos, M. P. 126
Tigchelaar, E. J. C. 56
Tiwald, M. 3, 23, 160
Tödt, H. E. 47, 184, 185, 186, 187
Tuckett, C. M. 1, 3, 6, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15,
17, 23, 26, 49, 50, 53, 54, 61, 62, 63,
66, 68, 72, 76, 77, 84, 86, 90, 94, 95,
98, 112, 114, 119, 121, 125, 130, 134,
140, 150, 154, 156, 157, 158, 160,
166, 170, 184, 193, 199, 205, 206,
207, 208, 210

Uhlig, S. 45
Uro, R. 26, 62, 185

Vaage, L. E. 23, 24, 26, 39, 61, 133, 165,
167, 170, 171, 190, 191, 210
VanderKam, J. C. 43, 46, 57, 168
Vassiliadis, P. 89, 94
de Vaux, R. 56
Vermes, G. 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35,
36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, 140, 160, 184

Wacholder, B. Z. 209

von Wahlde, U. C. 12, 158
Wahlen, C. 51
Walck, L. W. 46, 52
Watson, F. 1
Weaks, J. A. 6, 7
Weinfeld, M. 118, 146, 207, 208
Weiser, A. 124
Weiss, B. 130
Wellhausen, J. 26
Welch, J. W. 102
Wernberg-Møller, P. 36
Westermann, C. 135, 136
Williams, P. J. 35
Wilson, W. T. 149
Winston, D. 46, 72
Wise, M. O. 160
Witetschek, S. 86, 167
Wolter, M. 90
van der Woude, A. S. 35
Wright III, B. J. 72, 202

Xeravits, G. G. 202

York, A. D. 32

Zeller, D. 63, 69, 111, 165, 212
Zenger, E. 124

Index of Subjects

- Abel-Main (Tell Abl) 45
Abraham 32, 157
Agrippa I 123, 183
Ahab 118, 121
angel of Yahweh 163
angelic/heavenly rebellion 121, 125
Antigonus 43
aphaeresis 27, 32, 34, 36, 38
apocalypse 48
– as incorrectly applied to Mk 13:3–37 211
– as incorrectly applied to Q 17:23–37 210, 211
apocalyptic
– Christianity 53
– discourse 211
– framework 57, 121
– inaccurately used as a noun 49
– literature 47, 53, 54, 135
– language in Ben Sira 72
– tradition 56, 57, 186
– wisdom instructions other than Q 56, 57, 77, 202
– worldview (outside of Q; see its attestation in Q referenced separately below) 24, 49, 50, 51, 55, 57, 87, 121
apocalypticism 25, 87, 58, 62
– and apocalypse 48, 49
– and eschatology 48
– as a literary category 48, 79
– as a distortion of God’s intended order 23
– as compatible with wisdom in late second temple literature 57, 62, 66, 70, 72, 154, 202
– as having a place outside the genre of an apocalypse 49
– as synonymous with apocalyptic worldview 49
– in Pauline thought 192
– in sectarian environments 53
– in recent Q scholarship 48
– methodological definitions of 50, 54, 186
Aramaic 25, 26, 46, 70, 140, 187, 204, 213
– Official/Imperial/Biblical 26, 27, 35, 40
– Middle 26, 27, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 41, 52, 167, 170, 187, 188
– Late 26, 27, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 140, 187
– Galilean 29, 36, 38
– Qumran 31, 32, 35, 38
Athaliah 125
Augustus 45

Babylonian Exile 118
Banias 45
βασιλεία
– as a hypothetical metaphor reflecting the social interests of Q’s author(s) 48
– as a political entity 120
– of God 23, 48, 73, 95, 108, 123, 132, 177, 178, 182, 183, 184, 192, 194, 206, 207
– of Satan 51, 114
– realized 142
beatitude(s) 82, 91, 93, 105, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 153
Bethsaida 45, 56, 93

Caesarea Philippi 46
(Cairo) Geniza fragments 28, 29, 30, 32, 36, 37
Capernaum 45, 56, 93
chiasm 83, 85, 101, 102, 103, 109, 118, 120
chiastic 85, 101, 103, 106, 107, 108, 120, 152, 197

- Chorazin 56, 93
 chreia(s) 63, 71, 104, 105, 120, 211
 chreic 65, 86, 104, 105, 111, 156
 christology (see the Q discussion refer-
 enced separately below)
 – in the *Gospel of Thomas* 17
 – in Luke 19
 – in Mark 131
 circumcision 159, 209
 Claudius 183
 cosmic mountain 114, 119
 Cynic(s) 23
- Dan 45, 47
 Darius I 163
 Deuteronomist 118
 Deuteronomistic History 146
 deuteronomistic
 – agenda 156
 – context(s) 143, 153
 – framework 53, 54
 – motifs 118, 127
 – orientation 64
 – outlook 61, 82, 114
 – redaction 80
 – rhetoric 136
 – stratum/strata 78
 – theme 78
 – tradition 79
 – understanding 64
 devil 51, 66, 114, 117, 119, 120, 121, 123,
 124
- Elijah 96, 116, 118, 126, 162, 163, 164
 Elijah/Elisha cycle 162, 163
 Enoch 44, 45, 47, 51, 76, 112, 113, 157,
 172, 202
 – as a scribe 46
 – *Book of Watchers* 45, 113, 125
 – sapiential content in *Watchers* 76
 – *Book of Parables* 24, 41, 47, 157, 167,
 171, 213
 – sapiential content in *Parables* 76
 – *Epistle of Enoch* 43
 – historical incarnation of 202
 – Seminar 47
 Enochic
 – author 122
 – corpus 52, 73
 – formulation 52
 – framework 121
 – literature/text 43, 46, 56
 – movement 47, 53, 157, 210
 – mythology 113, 114, 122, 164
 – Son of Man 172
 – tradents 46
 – tradition 43, 46, 47, 79, 113, 122, 157,
 215
 – Watchers myth 45, 53, 121
 eschatological prophet
 – in 4Q521 160, 162
 – in Q 164, 165, 166
 eschatology (see the Q discussion refer-
 enced separately below) 47, 48, 50
 – in 1 Enoch 42, 47, 76, 122, 141, 142,
 168, 169
 – in 4Q521 159, 160, 162
 – in 4QInstruction 56
 – in Ben Sira 72
 – in Malachi 163, 164
 – in *Psalms of Solomon* 159
 – in Trito-Isaiah 136
 Exodus
 – legend/myth 117
 – imagery/motifs 116, 163
- form (literary)
 – and meaning 82
 – and worldview 76
 – apocalypse 49
 – criticism 61, 62, 63, 67, 68, 69, 70,
 74, 156, 170, 214
 – miracle story 156
 – wisdom 84
 – (wisdom) instruction 70, 71
- Gaius (Caligula) 44, 46, 123
 Galilee 23, 36, 44, 45, 47, 56, 73, 131,
 171, 194, 215
 – village scribes 48
 – villages/towns 24, 93, 199
 Gentile(s) 9, 87, 96, 120, 126, 155, 157,
 158, 159, 164, 167, 168, 169, 173, 198,
 199, 209, 215
 Great Omission 8, 9
- Hasidic/Hasidim 79, 162
 Hebrew 25, 35, 39, 40, 41, 42, 204
 Hebrew Bible/scriptures 24, 118, 127,
 136, 160

- Hermogenes 171
 mt. Hermon 45, 46, 47
 Herod the Great and the Herodian era
 43, 44, 45, 46, 126
 Herodians 45
 (holy) Spirit 72, 94, 178, 189
 Hulah Valley 45
- Isaiah (see the Index of Ancient Sources
 for specific passages)
 – Deutero 46, 135, 136, 168, 169
 – first-century reader of 135
 – Trito 51, 54, 135, 136, 137, 143, 154,
 163, 200
- Israel
 – in Ben Sira 125
 – in Daniel 168
 – in Deuteronomy 118
 – in the *Gospel of Thomas* 139
 – in the Hebrew Bible 198, 199
 – in *Jubilees* 121
 – in Malachi 163
 – in Q 53, 64, 78, 80, 87, 96, 104, 118,
 127, 155, 156, 158, 198, 199
 – pre-exilic 50
 – traditional wisdom of 58
 – wilderness myth 117
- James (son of Zebedee) 183
 Jerusalem 44, 72, 96, 106, 108, 113, 119,
 125, 159, 166, 199, 200
 – cult/temple 136, 137, 163, 214
 – priesthood 45, 47, 127
- Jesus
 – as an apocalyptic figure in Q 38
 – as a literary character in Q 21
 – floating traditions ascribed to 48
 – historical 21, 29, 37, 43, 47, 73, 116,
 141, 142, 164, 165, 171, 172, 186, 198
 – historical greeting of in Jerusalem
 106
 – movement 23
 – tradition 161
- Jewish Christianity
 – as a movement in a contested envi-
 ronment 43, 183
 – as a proliferation of groups and
 communities 183
 – on the use of the term 23
- possible connection to the Enochic
 movement 47
- Jewish War 200
- John (the Baptist) 68, 78, 80, 83, 85, 90,
 91, 92, 97, 103, 104, 105, 116, 159,
 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 169, 172
 – followers of 159, 165, 166
 – historical 159, 165
- Journeys
 – cosmic/terrestrial 76, 112, 113, 114,
 119, 122, 127
 – heavenly 45, 112, 113
- Judea 36, 44, 200, 215
- Kallirrhöe 45
Kleinliteratur 3, 4, 59, 60, 73, 215
- Lord's Prayer 93, 94, 177
 Lot 97, 99
- Maccabean era 126
 Mastema 121
 Matthew and Luke as conservative
 traditionalists 4
 Matthew's and Luke's redactional
 freedom 4
 Matthew's use of Q 4
 messiah 106, 116, 162, 164, 210
 messiahship 123
 messianic 116, 210
 Migdal 46
 mimesis 114, 141
 minor agreements 15, 130
 Minor Prophets 146
 Moses 117
- Nag Hammadi 17
 Nimrod 32
- oral
 – possible cross-influence on the *Gos-
 pel of Thomas* from the canonical
 gospels 11, 193
 – harmonization of Matthew and Luke
 16
 – life of the primitive community 60
 – performance 15, 16, 161
 – source utilization 15
 – tradition 17, 98, 151
 – transmission 188

- usage and application of Q 4
- usage of Matthew 15
- variants of Q 4
- Oxyrhynchus 17
- Paneion 46
- Parousia 116, 186, 193
- Parthian invasion of 40 BCE 44, 46
- Paul
 - historical 149, 183
 - possible awareness of the Q tradition by 192
- persecution
 - as an apocalyptic motif 54
 - because of someone 142
 - in authentic Pauline corpus 149, 183
 - in Q 52, 54, 78, 94, 107, 118, 122, 126, 132, 133, 134, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 175, 180, 181, 182, 184, 190, 194, 200, 206
 - in the *Gospel of Thomas* 138
 - in the *Parables of Enoch* 141
 - in Trito-Isaiah 137, 200
 - plausibility of 182, 183
- Pharisees 65, 68, 94, 166, 169, 205, 206, 214
- pleroma 39
- prophecy
 - pre-exilic and Persian era (classical) 50
 - mixture of with wisdom 77
- Prophetisierung 75
- Q
 - apocalypticism, apocalyptic motifs, features, imagination, framework, and worldview 23, 25, 46, 53, 57, 58, 63, 69, 70, 71, 73, 76, 79, 84, 87, 88, 111, 114, 121, 127, 141, 175, 190, 194, 197, 202, 210, 211, 213, 214
 - apocalyptic document 48, 51
 - apocalyptic wisdom instruction 23, 55, 70, 73, 74, 77, 79, 214
 - (apocalyptic) community/movement 55, 107, 122, 194, 195, 206, 209
 - Aramaic roots 26
 - christology 70, 81, 83, 87, 93, 104, 115, 116, 123, 130, 131, 142, 144, 145, 147, 153, 154, 156, 157, 159, 164, 166, 167, 194, 214
 - demonology 51, 53
 - eschatological (apocalyptic) discourse 64, 83, 97, 98, 170, 210, 211, 212
 - eschatology 48, 51, 54, 56, 69, 73, 75, 87, 94, 95, 101, 104, 105, 108, 133, 141, 142, 143, 144, 147, 159, 164, 165, 166, 167, 169, 172, 180, 192, 193, 194, 198, 199, 202, 203, 210
 - final (literary) form 59, 62, 69, 78, 178
 - hypothetical mid- (lower) level or sub-elite scribal origin 24, 59
 - hypothetical recensions 3, 4, 59, 60, 90, 98
 - hypothetical segregation of wisdom and apocalyptic strata 60, 66, 67, 70, 213, 214
 - mission speech 23, 56, 86, 87, 92, 104, 105, 107, 173, 182, 194
 - Palestinian character 24
 - possible authenticity of its content 22, 81
 - prophetic content 61, 63, 67, 70, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 82, 83, 142, 143, 176
 - reconstruction (*CEQ*) 5, 6, 10, 90 (see also reconstruction [in this study] below, as such frequently follows the *CEQ*)
 - reconstruction (feasibility) 2, 3, 4, 10, 11
 - reconstruction (in this study) 60, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 111, 116, 119, 120, 123, 130, 131, 134, 144, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 165, 169, 170, 172, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 181, 184, 191, 193, 199, 204, 207, 213, 215
 - reconstruction (methodology) 5, 89, 90
 - reconstruction (skepticism) 2, 10, 59 (see also reconstructed Mark below)
 - sapiential content 12, 62, 63, 67, 77, 79, 80, 82, 130, 154, 175, 191, 202, 214
 - sermon 63, 82, 91, 92, 103, 105, 127, 129, 130, 131, 134, 135, 138, 140, 144, 145, 147, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156
 - sophisticated scribal traditions 24
 - sub-literary view of 3, 59, 60, 74

- tradents 4, 59, 67, 125, 135, 147, 181, 182, 197, 199, 202, 203, 207, 209, 214
- tradition 13, 17, 87, 161, 163, 164, 186, 187, 192, 202
- Quintillian 171
- Qumran (see the Index of Ancient Sources for specific documents)
 - apocalyptic worldview 50, 135
 - Aramaic corpus 33
 - beatitude collection 133
 - community/movement/*Yahad* 44, 53, 56, 121, 135, 136, 137, 157, 160, 161, 206, 207, 208, 209
 - demonology 124
 - discovery 29
 - fellowship with angels 115
 - fragments of *1 Enoch* 44
 - library 43
 - manuals/rules 82
 - sapiential literature 25, 55, 56, 57, 58, 62, 69
 - social setting 43
- reconstructed Mark 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
- Rome/Romans 44, 91, 121, 126, 159, 199, 207
 - occupation of Judea 44
- sage(s) 55, 56, 79, 84
- Samaria 44
- Satan 50, 51, 52, 53, 114, 115, 117, 118, 120, 121, 159, 192
- scribe(s) 102, 205
 - ancient reading practices of 102
 - as a Q opponent group 68, 169, 205
 - as advanced or elite readers of Q 102, 103
 - Enochic 46, 215
 - in Matthew's community (other than Matthew) 4
 - in the Q community 48, 135, 195, 215
 - in the text of Q 169, 172
 - memory competence of 4, 102
 - responsible for *2 Enoch* 112
 - responsible for *Did.* 1:3b–5b 15
- scriptorium 46
- Sefire 27, 37
- Sepphoris 38, 46
- Sermon on the Mount 15, 16, 87, 94
- Sermon on the Plain 15, 16
- (Deutero-Isaianic) Servant 136, 168, 169
- Sidon 199
- Simeon II 125
- Son of Man 47, 115
 - Aramaic background of the phrase 25, 26, 28, 37, 153
 - as a messianic title in the *Parables of Enoch* 28, 46
 - circumlocutional non-titular use of the phrase in Late Aramaic 34
 - classifications of the phrase's Q instances 26
 - designation of as Son of Humanity in some recent research 39
 - historical incarnation of as understood by some ancient authors 165, 172
 - hypothetical non-titular (circumlocutional or generic) use of the definite phrase in Middle Aramaic, by the historical Jesus, and in Q 28, 29, 34, 37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 52, 71, 140, 142, 167, 170, 186, 187
 - in Daniel 41, 42, 186, 213
 - in Luke 142, 186
 - in Mark 41
 - in Matthew 99, 142, 186
 - in Q 25, 26, 34, 38, 39, 42, 43, 46, 47, 52, 53, 64, 67, 69, 70, 71, 96, 98, 104, 106, 115, 116, 123, 141, 142, 147, 164, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 176, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 191, 194, 201, 203, 210, 212, 213
 - in the *Gospel of Thomas* 170
 - in the *Parables of Enoch* 25, 28, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 122, 141, 142, 146, 147, 153, 155, 164, 165, 168, 169, 172, 173, 202, 213
 - non-titular use of the indefinite phrase in Middle Aramaic 41
 - titular (including but not limited to christological) use of the definite phrase in Q 34, 38, 52, 53, 64, 70, 104, 123, 142, 143, 147, 167, 168, 172, 173, 185, 186, 187, 189, 191, 194, 201, 203, 210, 212, 213
- Sondergut* 89, 90
 - Matthean 91
 - Lukan 94, 95, 97, 98, 177

- Sophia
- myth (Enochic tradition) 172, 202
 - myth (synoptic tradition) 96, 200, 201
 - words of 96, 201
- synoptic
- gospels 11, 150, 151
 - material 16, 151
 - parallels 18, 19
 - problem 1
 - Sayings Source 3, 216
 - studies 4
 - tradition 17, 21, 26, 98, 101, 131, 151, 158, 205, 215
- Syria 215
- Talmud 30, 36, 37, 38
- Targum 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 40, 41
- reconstruction of the Palestinian Targum 32
- Taricheae 46
- Tell Dan 45
- temple
- apparatus/institution 50, 96, 107, 113, 119, 125, 126, 127, 137, 157, 197, 200, 205
 - eschatological 76
 - purity/holiness of 65, 123, 137
 - mitigating/protective role 51, 124
 - of Augustus at Paneion 45
 - second temple era 25, 41, 44, 48, 56, 62, 70, 71, 72, 79, 82, 84, 121, 124, 136, 137, 154, 163, 186, 201, 209, 213, 216
 - liturgy 124
 - mount/hill 113
 - pollution of as perceived by some ancient authors 113, 125, 136
- Theon of Alexandria 171
- Tiberias 38
- Torah 58, 64, 72, 79, 112, 207, 208, 209
- transfiguration 47
- tree of knowledge 113
- Tyre 199
- Vermes-Fitzmyer debate 28, 38, 39
- Watchers 45, 53, 121
- Wicked Priest 125
- Yahweh 117, 118
- Zechariah (son of Jehoiada) 200
- mt. Zion 113