

D. FRANCOIS TOLMIE

Persuading  
the Galatians

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen  
zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe*

190

---

Mohr Siebeck

Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen  
zum Neuen Testament · 2. Reihe

Herausgeber/Editor  
Jörg Frey

Mitherausgeber / Associate Editors  
Friedrich Avemarie · Judith Gundry-Volf  
Martin Hengel · Otfried Hofius · Hans-Josef Klauck

190





D. Francois Tolmie

# Persuading the Galatians

A Text-Centred Rhetorical Analysis of a Pauline  
Letter

Mohr Siebeck

D. FRANCOIS TOLMIE, born 1959; 1992 D Th in New Testament, 2004 Ph D in Greek (University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa); Professor of New Testament at the Faculty of Theology of the University of the Free State in Bloemfontein (South Africa).

ISBN 3-16-148455-X

ISSN 0340-9570 (Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament 2. Reihe)

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at <http://dnb.ddb.de>.

978-3-16-157055-1 Unveränderte eBook-Ausgabe 2019

© 2005 Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Druckpartner Rübelmann GmbH in Hemsbach on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Schaumann in Darmstadt.

Printed in Germany.

## Preface

This study is a revised version of a Ph. D.-thesis (Greek) submitted to the University of the Free State in Bloemfontein, South Africa. Since my undergraduate studies in Greek more than twenty years ago, the concept of rhetorical criticism has fascinated me. This was primarily due to one of the Professors in Greek at the University of the Orange Free State, Cobus Cronjé. With his remarkable knowledge of ancient Greek rhetoricians and his unwavering enthusiasm for the academic study of persuasion he aroused and kept alive my interest in this fascinating aspect of human communication. During my Honours and Masters courses in Greek I spent much time investigating this theme further. However, my D. Th. studies in New Testament took me on a different road, that of the narratological analysis of some chapters in the Fourth Gospel. But I always knew that I would one day return to rhetorical criticism, and, in particular, its application to the Letter to the Galatians. It so happened that I could devote my time to this issue since 1995. Now, at the completion of this study, I wish to thank those who were involved in one way or another in this endeavour:

Professor Cobus Cronjé was an excellent promoter during my studies. His knowledge of ancient and modern theories of persuasion enabled me to undertake and complete this study with much satisfaction. He has not only been my academic guide, but also became a friend in the true sense of the word.

I also wish to express my gratitude to the University of the Free State for the study leave granted to me on several occasions and for providing a climate favourable for research. In particular, I wish to express my gratitude to my colleague in the department of New Testament (now Dean of the Faculty of Theology), Professor Hermie van Zyl, for his friendship and words of encouragement. He also made valuable suggestions with regard to Chapter 3.

In 1997 the University of Durham awarded me a Visiting Fellowship for three months. A heartfelt word of thanks to Professor Jimmy Dunn with whom I could discuss my research project, and from whom I received valuable advice.

I also wish to express my gratitude to the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven who accepted me as visiting professor on two occasions, in 2001 and

again in 2003. In particular, I want to thank Professors Joël Delobel and Gilbert van Belle who acted as hosts during my first and second stays, respectively.

I want to express my gratitude to Professor Jörg Frey, the editor of the WUNT 2 series, for his willingness to include this work in this series.

Lastly, but definitely not least, I wish to express my gratitude to my family, in particular, my parents, my wife, Ansa, and my three children, Carmien, Francois and Mialise. Without them this study would not have meant anything to me.

It is my earnest prayer that this study will not only contribute to the academic understanding of the Letter to the Galatians, but will also help us to understand God's Word in a better way. After all, Paul was right about what is really important in life: ἐν γὰρ Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ οὕτε περιτομή τι ἴσχυει οὕτε ἀκροβυστία ἀλλὰ πίστις δι' ἀγάπης ἐνεργουμένη (Gal. 5:6), and: οὕτε γὰρ περιτομή τι ἔστιν οὕτε ἀκροβυστία ἀλλὰ καὶ κτίσις (Gal. 6:15).

South Africa, November 2004.

D. Francois Tolmie.

## Table of Contents

Abbreviations .....	IX
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Recent rhetorical studies of Galatians .....	1
1.1.1 H. D. Betz .....	1
1.1.2 Forensic or deliberative rhetoric? .....	3
1.1.3 Alternatives.....	10
1.1.4 Conclusion .....	19
Excursus: A brief overview of approaches followed in rhetorical analyses of other Pauline letters .....	20
1.2 Approach to be followed in this study.....	24
<b>Chapter 2: Rhetorical Analysis.....</b>	<b>31</b>
2.1 Phase One: Galatians 1:1–5: Adapting the salutation in order to emphasise the divine origin of his apostleship .....	31
2.1.1 Emphasis on the divine origin of his apostleship.....	31
2.1.2 The reference to co-senders .....	34
2.1.3 Appeal to tradition .....	35
2.2 Phase Two: Galatians 1:6–10: Expressing disgust at events in the Galatian churches in order to force them to reconsider their position.....	37
2.2.1 Rebuke .....	38
2.2.2 Vilification of the opponents .....	40
2.2.3 A twofold curse.....	41
2.2.4 Refutation of criticism .....	43
2.3 Phase Three: Galatians 1:11–24: Recounting events from his life in order to prove the divine origin of his gospel.....	45
2.3.1 Introduction.....	45
2.3.2 Galatians 1:11–12: A concise statement highlighting the basic issue.....	48
2.3.3 Galatians 1:13–14: Paul's former life in Judaism .....	53
2.3.4 Galatians 1:15–17: Paul called by God.....	57
2.3.5 Galatians 1:18–20: Paul's first visit to Jerusalem .....	63
2.3.6 Galatians 1:21–24: Paul's missionary work.....	66
2.3.7 Conclusion .....	68
2.4 Phase Four: Galatians 2:1–10: Recounting his second visit to Jerusalem in order to prove the acknowledgement of the content and origin of his gospel by the authorities in Jerusalem.....	69
2.5 Phase Five: Galatians 2:11–21: Recounting his version of the incident at Antioch in order to show how he stood firmly for the ‘truth of the gospel’ .....	83

2.6 Phase Six: Galatians 3:1–5: A series of accusatory rhetorical questions used to remind the Galatians of events they experienced that support his gospel.....	100
2.7 Phase Seven: Galatians 3:6–14: An example and arguments based on the authority of Scripture to counter the Scriptural arguments of the opponents .....	109
2.8 Phase Eight: Galatians 3:15–18: An <i>a minori ad maius</i> argument used to dissociate covenant and law.....	124
2.9 Phase Nine: Galatians 3:19–25: Explaining the purpose of the law in such a way as to emphasise its inferiority.....	131
2.10 Phase Ten: Galatians 3:26–29: Reminding the Galatians of their baptism as proof that they became children of God by faith.....	142
2.11 Phase Eleven: Galatians 4:1–7: An analogy to guardianship used in order to contrast spiritual slavery and sonship of God .....	145
2.12 Phase Twelve: Galatians 4:8–11: Rebuking the Galatians for turning to religious slavery again.....	152
2.13 Phase Thirteen: Galatians 4:12–20: A series of emotional arguments .....	156
2.14 Phase Fourteen: Galatians 4:21–5:1: An allegorical argument, based on the authority of Scripture, used to urge the Galatians not to yield to spiritual slavery ....	165
2.15 Phase Fifteen: Galatians 5:2–6: A strict warning against circumcision.....	177
2.16 Phase Sixteen: Galatians 5:7–12: Vilifying the opponents.....	183
2.17 Phase Seventeen: Galatians 5:13–6:10: Urging the Galatians to have their lives directed by the Spirit.....	189
Excursus: Patterns in the lists of vices and virtues in Galatians 5:19–23?.....	204
2.18 Phase Eighteen: Galatians 6:11–18: Adapting the letter closing for a final refutation of the opponents.....	219
Excursus: A brief overview of the opinion of exegetes on the cultural background, reference and rhetorical function of τὰ στίγματα τοῦ Ἰησοῦ in Galatians 6:17.....	227
Chapter 3: Conclusion .....	233
Appendix: Some Rhetorical Techniques used in the Letter to the Galatians .....	249
Bibliography .....	257
Index of References .....	275
Index of Modern Authors .....	278
Index of Subjects .....	284

## Abbreviations

AB	Anchor Bible
ABD	Anchor Bible Dictionary
ABR	Australian Biblical Review
ACEBT	Amsterdamse Cahiers voor Exegese en Bijbelse Theologie
AcTh	Acta Theologica
AGJU	Arbeiten zur Geschichte des antiken Judentums und des Urchristentums
AnBib	Analecta Biblica
ANRW	Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt
AThANT	Abhandlungen zur Theologie des Alten und Neuen Testaments
BBB	Bonner Biblische Beiträge
BCPE	Bulletin du Centre Protestant d'Études
BCSNT	Biblischer Kommentar über sämmtliche Schriften des Neuen Testaments
BDAG	W. Bauer, W. F. Arndt, F. W. Gingrich & F. W. Danker, <i>A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament</i> . Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000. Third edition.
BDR	F. Blass & A. Debrunner, <i>Grammatik des neutestamentlichen Griechisch</i> . Bearbeitet von Friedrich Rehkopf. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2001. 18. Auflage.
BET	Beiträge zur biblischen Exegese und Theologie
BETHL	Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium
BFChTh	Beiträge zur Forderung Christlicher Theologie
BHTh	Beiträge zur Historischen Theologie
Bib	Biblica
BiblSac	Bibliotheca Sacra
BibTod	Bible Today
BIS	Biblical Interpretation Series
BMSBES	Benedictina Monograph Series: Biblical-Ecumenical Section
BN	Biblische Notizen
BNTC	Black's New Testament Commentaries
BTB	Biblical Theology Bulletin
BThZ	Berliner Theologische Zeitschrift
BZ	Biblische Zeitschrift
BZNW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft

CB.NT	Coniectanea Biblica, New Testament Series
CBET	Contributions to Biblical Exegesis and Theology
CBQ	Catholic Biblical Quarterly
CBSC	Cambridge Bible for Scholars and Colleges
CThMi	Currents in Theology and Missions
EHS	Europäische Hochschulschriften
Eloc	(Demetrius) <i>de Elocutione</i>
ESEC	Emory Studies in Early Christianity
ET	Expository Times
ETHL	Ephemerides Theologicae Lovanienses
EvQ	Evangelical Quarterly
Exp	Expositor
FRLANT	Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments
FzB	Forschung zur Bibel
GTA	Göttinger Theologische Arbeiten
HisRhet	History of Rhetoric
HNT	Handbuch zum Neuen Testament
HSNT	Die Heilige Schrift des Neuen Testaments
HThR	Harvard Theological Review
HThS	Harvard Theological Studies
HTKNT	Herders Theologischer Kommentar zum Neuen Testament
HUTH	Hermeneutische Untersuchungen zur Theologie
IBSt	Irish Biblical Studies
ICC	International Critical Commentary
Interp	Interpretation
IThS	Innsbrucker Theologische Studien
JBL	Journal of Biblical Literature
JBL.MS	Journal of Biblical Literature Monograph Series
JETS	Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society
JR	Journal of Religion
JSNT	Journal for the Study of the New Testament
JSNT.S	Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplement Series
JSOT	Journal for the Study of the Old Testament

JThS	Journal of Theological Studies
JTSA	Journal of Theology for Southern Africa
Jud	Judaica
KEK	Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar über das Neue Testament
KNT	Kommentar zum Neuen Testament
L&N	J. P. Louw & E. A. Nida, <i>Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament Based on Semantic Domains</i> . New York: United Bible Societies, 1988.
LEC	Library of Early Christianity
LSCP	London Studies in Classical Philology
LSJ	H. G. Liddell, R. Scott & H. Jones, <i>Greek-English Lexicon</i> . Oxford: Clarendon, 1968.
MillSt	Milltown Studies
MNTC	Moffat New Testament Commentaries
Neotest	Neotestamentica
NICNT	New International Commentary on the New Testament
NIGNT	New International Greek Testament Commentary
NT	Novum Testamentum
NT.S	Novum Testamentum Supplements
NTA	Neutestamentliche Abhandlungen
NTA(NF)	Neutestamentliche Abhandlungen (Neue Folge)
NTD	Das Neue Testament Deutsch
NTOA	Novum Testamentum et Orbis Antiquus
NTS	New Testament Studies
NTTS	New Testament Tools and Studies
PMLA	Publications of the Modern Language Association of America
Presb	Presbyterian
PRSt	Perspectives in Religious Studies
RB	Revue Biblique
RestQ	Restoration Quarterly
RExp	Review and Expositor
Rhet	Rhetorica
RNT	Regensburger Neues Testament
RStR	Religious Studies Review
SBL.DS	SBL Dissertation Series

SBS	Stuttgarter Bibelstudien
Script	Scriptura
SKGA	Studien zur Geschichte und Kultur des Altertums
SNTS.MS	Society for New Testament Studies Monograph Series
SNW	Studies of the New Testament and its World
SR	Studies in Religion
StBL	Studies in Biblical Literature
StTh	Studia Theologica
SWJT	Southwestern Journal of Theology
TB	Theologische Bücherei
TBNT	Theologische Bücherei, Neues Testament
TDNT	Theological Dictionary of the New Testament (1967, Reprinted 1973)
ThD	Theology Digest
Theol	Theologie
ThHK	Theologischer Handkommentar zum Neuen Testament
ThLZ	Theologische Literaturzeitung
ThR	Theological Review
ThTo	Theology Today
ThViat(S)	Theologia Viatorum (Faculty of Theology, University of the North, Sovenga)
ThZ	Theologische Zeitschrift
TS	Theological Studies
TSAJ	Texte und Studien zum Antiken Judentum
TTh	Tijdschrift voor theologie
TynB	Tyndale Bulletin
UBT	Urban Taschenbücher
UNT	Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
WBC	Word Biblical Commentary
WMANT	Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen Testament
WThJ	Westminster Theological Journal
WUNT	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
YR	Yale Review
ZAW	Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZBK	Zürcher Bibelkommentare
ZNW	Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
ZThK	Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

As the title of this study indicates, this is a *rhetorical* analysis. Since ‘rhetorical’ is one of those terms that can be used to indicate almost any form of literary criticism nowadays,<sup>1</sup> it is necessary to clarify what I mean when using it. In this study I shall use it in the narrower sense of the word, that is, as referring to an approach which aims to analyse the ways in which a text is used in order to persuade its audience.<sup>2</sup> However, before outlining my intention in more detail, it is necessary to provide a brief overview of recent rhetorical studies of Galatians in order to indicate how my study overlaps and differs from other rhetorical studies of the Letter to the Galatians.

### 1.1 Recent rhetorical studies of Galatians

#### 1.1.1 H. D. Betz

Any rhetorical study of the Letter to the Galatians should begin by referring to the study of H. D. Betz. He is the person that should be credited with reviving<sup>3</sup> interest in the rhetorical nature of Galatians. Building on his

---

<sup>1</sup> When ‘rhetorical’ is used in a broader sense, it can refer to any aspect linked to the production, structure and reception of texts. See F. D’Angelo, “Rhetorical Criticism”, in: T. Enos (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Rhetoric and Composition. Communication from Ancient Times into the Information Age* (New York: Garland, 1996), pp. 604–608, for a discussion of the way in which literary criticism tends to dissolve into rhetorical criticism nowadays.

<sup>2</sup> I shall use ‘audience’ in order to refer to the first readers/hearers of the Letter to the Galatians.

<sup>3</sup> It is important to note that the modern rhetorical approach to the New Testament is not something totally new. See C. J. Classen, *Rhetorical Criticism of the New Testament* (WUNT 128, Tübingen: Mohr–Siebeck, 2000), pp. 1–28, who points out that ancient rhetorical criticism was used frequently in the interpretation of the New Testament, for example by Melanchton. (Earlier versions: “St. Paul’s Epistles and Ancient Greek and Roman Rhetoric”, in: S. E. Porter & T. H. Olbricht [eds.], *Rhetoric and the New Testament. Essays from the 1992 Heidelberg Conference* [JSNT.S 90, Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1993], pp. 264–291; and “Paulus und die antike Rhetorik”, ZNW 82:1–2 [1991], pp. 1–33.)

earlier research,<sup>4</sup> Betz published his well-known commentary on Galatians in 1979.<sup>5</sup> His main thesis is that Galatians is to be regarded as an example of the ancient ‘apologetic letter genre’ and that it can therefore be analysed by means of Greco-Roman rhetoric and epistolography. One of the important distinctions in ancient rhetoric was the three classes of rhetoric identified by Aristotle: forensic, epideictic and deliberative rhetoric. *Forensic rhetoric* dealt with courtroom oratory, in particular, speeches of accusation and defence, the basic issue being guilt or innocence. *Epideictic rhetoric* focused on ceremonial oratory, in particular, speeches of praise and blame, the basic issue being the honourable and the shameful. *Deliberative rhetoric* dealt with counselling the audience on a future course of action, usually within a political context, the basic issue being the expediency or harmfulness of a future act.<sup>6</sup> According to Betz, as an apologetic letter, Galatians is an example of forensic rhetoric, as it presupposes the fictitious situation of a court of law: Paul is to be regarded as the defendant, his opponents as the accusers and the Galatians as the jury. Furthermore, Betz argues that the intended function of the letter is not only to persuade the Galatians to accept Paul’s case, but also to serve as a ‘magical letter’, as it contains both a conditional blessing and a curse that would become effective at the reading of the letter.<sup>7</sup>

Based on his view that Galatians can be analysed in terms of the classical rhetorical system, Betz then applies the categories used in forensic defence speeches to the letter and provides a detailed (this should be stressed!) outline of the letter. I indicate only the broadest division:

1:1–5: Epistolary prescript

1:6–11: *Exordium*

1:12–2:14: *Narratio*

2:15–21: *Propositio*

3:1–4:31: *Probatio*

3:1–5: First argument: an argument from indisputable evidence

3:6–14: Second argument: an argument from Scripture

3:15–18: Third argument: an argument from common human practice

3:19–25: Digression on the Torah

<sup>4</sup> See H. D. Betz, “The Literary Composition and Function of Paul’s Letter to the Galatians”, *NTS* 21:3 (1975), pp. 353–379.

<sup>5</sup> H. D. Betz, *Galatians. A Commentary on Paul’s Letter to the Churches in Galatia* (Hermeneia, Philadelphia: Fortress, 1979). The German version was published in 1988 as *Der Galaterbrief. Ein Kommentar zum Brief des Apostels Paulus an die Gemeinden in Galatien* (Hermeneia, München: Kaiser, 1988).

<sup>6</sup> See S. P. O’Rourke, “Modes of Discourse”, in: T. Enos (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Rhetoric and Composition. Communication from Ancient Times into the Information Age* (New York: Garland, 1996), pp. 446–447.

<sup>7</sup> Betz, *Galatians*, pp. 24–25.

- 3:26–4:11: Fourth argument: an argument from Christian tradition  
 4:12–20: Fifth argument: an argument from friendship  
 4:21–31: Sixth argument: an allegorical argument from Scripture  
 5:1–6:10: *Exhortatio*  
 6:11–18: Epistolary postscript (serving as *conclusio*).<sup>8</sup>

In his commentary Betz frequently refers to ancient rhetorical theory as well as to other ancient literature in order to explain Paul's argumentation.

Virtually all the reviews of Betz's commentary indicate a mixed reaction. On the one hand, the significance of his contribution is acknowledged — in particular, the way in which he shows his skill in the grammatical, lexical, historical and theological commentary on the text. On the other hand, reviewers are reluctant to accept the rigid way in which Betz applies the forensic rhetorical system to the letter. For example, C. K. Barrett<sup>9</sup> hails the commentary as an "outstanding achievement in biblical rhetorical scholarship", yet at the same time he points out that Betz makes too much of the Greco-Roman rhetorical model, and that other factors should also be considered. Similarly, D. E. Aune<sup>10</sup> sees the great strength of the commentary in Betz's emphasis on the need of understanding and interpreting Galatians within a larger genetic framework and the meticulous way in which he analyses the argument and content of the letter in terms of Greco-Roman rhetoric. Yet, he considers Betz's attempts to force the letter into the framework of the apologetic letter as a major drawback.<sup>11</sup> Nevertheless, all subsequent rhetorical analyses have to situate themselves in relation to Betz's study.

### 1.1.2 Forensic or deliberative rhetoric?

One of the issues that has been receiving considerable attention is Betz's main proposal, namely that Galatians must be regarded as an apologetic letter<sup>12</sup> and that it can therefore be analysed in terms of the categories de-

<sup>8</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 16–23.

<sup>9</sup> "Galatians as an 'Apologetic Letter'", *Interp* 24:4 (1980), pp. 414–417.

<sup>10</sup> D. E. Aune, "Review of Betz, H. D., *Galatians. A Commentary on Paul's Letter to the Churches in Galatia* (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1979)", *RStR* 7 (1981), pp. 323–325.

<sup>11</sup> For other reviews of Betz's work see W. D. Davies, "Review of Betz, H. D., *Galatians. A Commentary on Paul's Letter to the Churches in Galatia* (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1979)", *RStR* 7 (1981), pp. 310–318; P. W. Meyer, "Review of Betz, H. D., *Galatians. A Commentary on Paul's Letter to the Churches in Galatia* (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1979)", *RStR* 7 (1981), pp. 318–323; E. A. Russell, "Convincing or Merely Curious? A Look at Some Recent Writings on Galatians", *IBSt* 6:4 (1984), pp. 156–176; and M. Silva, "Betz and Bruce on Galatians", *WThJ* 45:2 (1983), pp. 371–385.

<sup>12</sup> In the foreword of the German version of his commentary Betz confirms that his view in this regard has not changed. See Betz, *Galaterbrief*, p. 2.

veloped in ancient rhetoric for the analysis of forensic speeches. This has been met with mixed reaction:

1.1.2.1 A number of scholars respond favourably to this proposal. Scholars such as Brinsmead, Ruegg, Hübner, Becker, Hester and Martin accept it — although in a qualified way.

*H. Brinsmead*<sup>13</sup> treats Galatians as a dialogic response to Paul's opponents. In this process he discusses the literary genre of Galatians and accepts Betz's classification of Galatians as an apologetic letter and then follows his outline of the structure of the letter with some minor qualifications.<sup>14</sup>

*U. Ruegg*<sup>15</sup> also accepts Betz's proposal with regard to the apologetic nature of Galatians. His argument is similar to that of Betz: Galatians corresponds to the rhetorical structure of a legal plea as outlined by Quintilian, and should thus be classified as forensic. He also indicates how Betz's approach helps to illuminate Paul's strategy in the letter, his cultural background, personality and apostolic authority.

*H. Hübner*<sup>16</sup> also accepts Betz's proposal that Galatians is to be viewed as a forensic speech, yet draws attention to what he considers the most vulnerable part of Betz's reasoning, namely that he does not take into account that Galatians is a letter and not a speech:

Wie kann die Gattung *Verteidigungsbrief* mit den Begriffen der Rhetorik auf einen Nenner gebracht werden? Noch fundamentaler gefragt: *Wie steht es um das Verhältnis von antiker Epistolographie und Rhetorik?* (Hübner's italics).<sup>17</sup>

He then proceeds to answer the question himself. He argues that it is indeed possible to reconcile these two categories as Galatians is the letter of a man for whom preaching was an essential task, and that Paul followed this kind of style when he wrote letters.<sup>18</sup> Hübner then proposes minor qualifications to Betz's rhetorical outline. For example, he classifies Gal-

<sup>13</sup> B. H. Brinsmead, *Galatians* (SBL.DS 65, Chico: Scholars Press, 1982). Although Brinsmead's study was published in 1982, it was completed in 1979 and, accordingly, he only used Betz, "Composition", and not Betz, *Galatians*.

<sup>14</sup> For example, Brinsmead, *Galatians*, pp. 49–55, identifies Galatians 1:6–10 as *exordium* instead of Betz's 1:6–11 ("Composition", pp. 359–361), and classifies Galatians 5:1–6:10 as *refutatio* and not as *exhortatio* as Betz, "Composition", pp. 375–377, does.

<sup>15</sup> U. Ruegg, "Paul et la Rhétorique Ancienne", *BCPE* 35:7–8 (1983), pp. 5–35.

<sup>16</sup> H. Hübner, "Der Galaterbrief und das Verhältnis von antiker Rhetorik und Epistolographie", *ThLZ* 109:4 (1984), pp. 241–250. See p. 249: "Im Prinzip ist B. zuzustimmen."

<sup>17</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 245.

<sup>18</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 245: "...daß Paulus Apostel war, und als solcher, sofern er schreibt 'redend schreibt.'"

tians 3:1–5 as introduction to the *probatio*,<sup>19</sup> and adds Galatians 5:1–12 to the *probatio*<sup>20</sup> and not to the *exhortatio* as Betz does. In his *Biblische Theologie*<sup>21</sup> Hübner confirms his view that Galatians is to be regarded as an apologetic letter.

J. Becker<sup>22</sup> also follows Betz. However, his outline of the rhetorical structure of Galatians differs slightly from that of Betz: Galatians 1:6–9 (*exordium*; v. 10 is regarded as a brief transition), 1:11–2:14a (*narratio*), 2:14b–21 (*propositio*),<sup>23</sup> 3:1–5:12 (*probatio*) divided into two argumentative complexes (3:1–4:7; 4:8–31) followed by a conclusion (5:1–12), and, finally, 5:13–6:10 (*paraenesis*).

In an article devoted to the rhetorical structure of Galatians, James Hester<sup>24</sup> proposes some modifications to Betz's explanation of the rhetorical structure of Galatians: the *exordium* is delineated as 1:6–10 instead of Betz's 1:6–11; 1:11–12 is identified as the *stasis*<sup>25</sup> with 1:13–14 functioning as a *transitio*; the *narratio* is delineated as 1:15–2:10 instead of Betz's

<sup>19</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 249.

<sup>20</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 246.

<sup>21</sup> H. Hübner, *Biblische Theologie des Neuen Testaments. Band 2: Die Theologie des Paulus und ihre neutestamentliche Wirkungsgeschichte* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1993), pp. 57–58. Hübner acknowledges that it would be possible to classify Galatians as an example of deliberative rhetoric instead of forensic rhetoric, but, nevertheless, maintains that, in principle, it is *apologetic* and that attack ('Angriff') would be possible in such a speech.

<sup>22</sup> J. Becker, *Paulus. Der Apostel der Völker* (Tübingen: Mohr–Siebeck, 1992), pp. 288–294.

<sup>23</sup> According to Becker, *Paulus*, p. 292, Galatians 2:14b–21 can be viewed as a small forensic speech ("eine kleine Gerichtsrede") with 2:14b as *exordium*, 2:15–17 as *narratio*, 2:18–20 as *probatio*, and 2:21 as *peroratio*.

<sup>24</sup> J. D. Hester, "The Rhetorical Structure of Galatians 1:11–2:14", *JBL* 103:2 (1984), pp. 223–233. Note that in this article he does not intend to examine Betz's assertion that Galatians is a model of forensic speech.

<sup>25</sup> See R. D. Anderson, *Glossary of Greek Rhetorical Terms Connected to Methods of Argumentation, Figures and Tropes from Anaximenes to Quintilian* (CBET 24, Leuven: Peeters, 2000), p. 68 note 111, for a brief description of what was meant by *stasis*. It was used in ancient rhetoric mostly in connection with judicial rhetoric and referred to the nature of the case to be argued. Usually four *staseis* were identified:

1. Concerning the fact of the occurrence, for example, did the accused actually commit the crime?
2. Concerning the definition of the crime, for example, can the deed committed by the accused really be classified as murder?
3. Concerning the quality, for example, were there any mitigating circumstances that justified the deed?
4. Concerning the procedural objections, for example, has the accused been brought before the appropriate court?

1:12–2:14; and 2:11–14 is identified as *digressio*. In another article, Hester<sup>26</sup> responds favourably to Betz's proposal that a forensic model is present in Galatians (at least through Gal. 2:21),<sup>27</sup> and discusses the *topoi* (in particular, quality and order) as well as a number of rhetorical devices used in Galatians 2:1–10. In an article published later, Hester<sup>28</sup> develops his interpretation of Galatians 1:11–12 as the *stasis* statement of the letter. He points out that the *stasis* of Galatians is to be classified as one of *qualitas*. This is then linked to the epideictic genre, and he concludes that Galatians 1–2 is a carefully constructed epideictic discourse.<sup>29</sup>

T. Martin<sup>30</sup> also analyses the rhetorical *stasis* of the Galatian controversy. He determines the principal *stasis* as Galatians 4:8–11 and the secondary *stasis* as Galatians 1:6–9. According to Martin, the principal *stasis* is one of quality. He then presents an analysis of Galatians to show how Paul argues his case based on this *stasis*. He concludes by noting that Betz is correct in identifying Galatians as an example of forensic oratory, since the breach of agreement between Paul and the Galatians was an issue that could have been tried in a court of law.

1.1.2.2 Several scholars do not accept Betz's classification of Galatians as an example of forensic rhetoric. Instead, they regard Galatians as a mixture of two rhetorical genres:

D. E. Aune<sup>31</sup> proposes that Galatians should be viewed as a *mixture* of two types of rhetoric, namely forensic and deliberative. According to this proposal, Galatians 1–2 is an example of forensic rhetoric (as Betz maintains), but Galatians 3–4 is an example of deliberative rhetoric, since, according to Aune, there is a clear change of style (in particular, the introduction of diatribe style) at the beginning of chapter 3.

<sup>26</sup> J. D. Hester, "The Use and Influence of Rhetoric in Galatians 2:1–14", *ThZ* 42:5 (1986), pp. 386–408.

<sup>27</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 408.

<sup>28</sup> J. D. Hester, "Placing the Blame: The Presence of Epideictic in Galatians 1 and 2", in: D. F. Watson (ed.), *Persuasive Artistry. Studies in New Testament Rhetoric in Honor of George A. Kennedy* (JSNT.S 50, Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1991), pp. 281–307.

<sup>29</sup> See also his "Epideictic Rhetoric and Persona in Galatians 1 and 2", in: M. D. Nanos (ed.), *The Galatians Debate. Contemporary Issues in Rhetorical and Historical Interpretation* (Peabody: Hendrickson, 2002), pp. 180–196, in which he argues that a "constellation of forms" (p. 195) related to the epideictic can be indicated in Galatians 1–2.

<sup>30</sup> "Apostasy to Paganism: The Rhetorical Stasis of the Galatian Controversy", *JBL* 114:3 (1995), pp. 437–461.

<sup>31</sup> "Review", pp. 325–326.

Aune's suggestion is followed by G. W. Hansen,<sup>32</sup> whose primary aim is to describe the function of Paul's use of the Abraham story in the light of an epistolary and a rhetorical analysis. With regard to the rhetorical genre of Galatians, Hansen points out that Galatians 1:6–4:11 has the typical characteristics of forensic rhetoric, since Paul defends himself against false accusations in these chapters, and, at the same time accuses his opponents of spreading a false gospel. However, according to Hansen, there is a major shift in Galatians 4:12–20: the dominant tone becomes deliberative rather than forensic. Henceforth Paul's primary purpose is no longer to accuse/defend, but to persuade the Galatians to adopt a new kind of action.<sup>33</sup> Thus, Galatians consists of a mixture of forensic and deliberative rhetoric.

In his study of the rhetorical situation of 1 Thessalonians, Galatians and Philippians, J. Schoon-Janßen<sup>34</sup> devotes attention to the way in which Paul uses the following aspects: epistolography, Greco-Roman rhetoric, diatribe style and Old Testament quotations. In his discussion of the way in which Paul uses Greco-Roman rhetoric he rejects Betz's proposal that Galatians must be viewed as an example of forensic rhetoric only. According to Schoon-Janßen, Galatians consists of a mixture of rhetorical genres: Galatians 1:10–2:14 can be classified as pure forensic oratory;<sup>35</sup> Galatians 3:1–5:12 is a mixture of deliberative and forensic oratory, and Galatians 5:13–6:18 is pure deliberative oratory.<sup>36</sup>

1.1.2.3 There is also a third perspective on the rhetorical genre of Galatians. Some scholars reject Betz's proposal that Galatians must be viewed as an example of forensic rhetoric, arguing that it should be classified as an example of deliberative oratory. Scholars such as Kennedy, Hall, Cosgrove, Vouga and Smit choose this option:

<sup>32</sup> G. W. Hansen, *Abraham in Galatians. Epistolary and Rhetorical Contexts* (JSNT.S 29, Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1989).

<sup>33</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 58–60. It should be pointed out that Hansen also includes an epistolary analysis, as well as a discussion of the rhetorical techniques in Galatians in terms of the outline provided by Ch. Perelman and L. Olbrechts-Tyteca, *The New Rhetoric. A Treatise on Argumentation* (London: University of Notre Dame Press, 1969).

<sup>34</sup> J. Schoon-Janßen, *Umstrittene 'Apologien' in den Paulusbriefen. Studien zur rhetorischen Situation des 1. Thessalonicherbriefes, des Galaterbriefes und des Philipperbriefes* (GTA 45, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1991).

<sup>35</sup> Schoon-Janßen, *ibid.*, p. 112, distinguishes three arguments ('Beweisgänge') in this section (Galatians 1:12–24; 2:1–10 and 2:11–14), and views the dialogical use of diatribe elements as important for delineating this section.

<sup>36</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 70–82, 112–113.

*G. A. Kennedy*<sup>37</sup> draws attention to the fact that Betz is unable to provide any example of forensic rhetoric that includes exhortation (as is found in Galatians 5–6). According to Kennedy, this is not the case with deliberative rhetoric, since Quintilian states that exhortation and discussion are two forms of deliberative rhetoric. Thus, it is better to classify Galatians as an example of deliberative rhetoric. According to Kennedy, this is confirmed by the fact that the basic argument in Galatians is that the action, which the audience has to take, is in their self-interest — the type of argument typical of deliberative rhetoric.<sup>38</sup>

*R. G. Hall*<sup>39</sup> argues that the aim of Paul's argument in Galatians is not primarily to defend himself (as Betz assumes), but rather to urge the Galatians to cleave to him and his version of the gospel. Therefore Hall classifies Galatians as deliberative. He also provides a different outline of the rhetorical structure of Galatians:

1. Salutation (1:1–5)
2. *Propositio* (1:6–9)
3. Proof (1:10–6:10)
  - A. Narration (1:10–2:21)
  - B. Further Headings (3:1–6:10)
4. Epilogue (6:11–18).<sup>40</sup>

In his study of the relation between cross and Spirit in Galatians, *C. H. Cosgrove*<sup>41</sup> points out that Paul's aim is to change the Galatians' present course of thinking and action with reference to the gospel, and argues that the letter should be classified as a deliberative speech. In his own outline of the letter, Cosgrove pays more attention to its epistolary nature and divides it as follows:

- Opening (1:1–5)  
 Thanksgiving parody (1:6–10)  
 Body (1:11–6:10)
 

Part One:	Apostolic Autobiography (1:11–2:21)
Part Two:	Central Argument (3:1–4:30)

<sup>37</sup> G. A. Kennedy, *New Testament Interpretation through Rhetorical Criticism* (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1984), pp. 145–147.

<sup>38</sup> See Section 1.1.3.1 below for the methodology developed by Kennedy for rhetorical analysis.

<sup>39</sup> R. G. Hall, "The Rhetorical Outline for Galatians: A Reconsideration", *JBL* 106:2 (1987), pp. 277–287.

<sup>40</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 287.

<sup>41</sup> C. H. Cosgrove, *The Cross and the Spirit. A Study in the Argument and Theology of Galatians* (Macon: Mercer University Press, 1988), pp. 25–27. On p. 26 (note 49) Cosgrove draws attention to an unpublished paper of N. Dahl in which he stresses the affinities between Galatians and deliberative rhetoric, too.

Part Three: Apostolic Exhortation (4:31–6:10)  
 Opening (4:31–5:12)  
 Paraenesis (5:13–6:10)

Postscript (6:11–17)

Closing Benediction (6:18).<sup>42</sup>

In a short article on the rhetorical genre of Galatians, F. Vouga<sup>43</sup> points out that one of the arguments that can be lodged against attempts to classify Galatians as an example of deliberative rhetoric is the exceptional length of the *narratio*. Therefore he draws attention to an ancient deliberative text that does contain a relatively long *narratio*, namely Demosthenes' *On the Peace*. Vouga argues that the *dispositio* of this speech corresponds exactly to that of Galatians,<sup>44</sup> and that this may serve as confirmation that Galatians is indeed a deliberative speech.

J. Smit<sup>45</sup> raises several objections against Betz's rhetorical analysis and then presents his own analysis of the letter in terms of the rules designed for rhetoric:<sup>46</sup>

*Exordium* (1:6–12)

*Narratio* (1:13–2:21)

*Confirmatio* (3:1–4:11)

*Conclusio*: Part 1: *Conquestio* (4:12–20)

*Conclusio*: Part 2: *Enumeratio* (4:21–5:6)

*Conclusio*: Part 3: *Indignatio* (5:7–12)

*Amplificatio* (6:11–18).

Smit concludes that Galatians corresponds entirely to the norms set for deliberative rhetoric in the ancient handbooks on rhetoric.<sup>47</sup>

<sup>42</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 31.

<sup>43</sup> F. Vouga, "Zur rhetorischen Gattung des Galaterbriefes", *ZNW* 79:3–4 (1988), pp. 291–292.

<sup>44</sup> For criticism of this argument, see R. D. Anderson, *Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Paul* (CBET 18, Leuven: Peeters, 1999, Revised Edition), p. 148 (note 60).

<sup>45</sup> J. Smit, "The Letter of Paul to the Galatians: A Deliberative Speech", *NTS* 35:1 (1989), pp. 1–26.

<sup>46</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 9–22. Note that Galatians 5:13–6:10 is omitted, because Smit views it as a (Pauline) fragment added to the letter at a later stage.

<sup>47</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 22–23. R. T. H. Dolamo, "Rhetorical Speech in Galatians", *ThViat(S)* 17 (1989), pp. 30–37, also regards Galatians as a deliberative speech. See also the comments by H. Boers, *The Justification of the Gentiles. Paul's Letter to the Galatians and Romans* (Peabody: Hendrickson, 1994), p. 45:

Neither Betz nor his critics have shown conclusively that Galatians was actually structured by Paul as a rhetorical speech with an epistolary prescript and conclusion. What they have shown, with varying degrees of success, is that Galatians can be analyzed rhetorically in terms of the structure of either an apologetic or a deliberative speech. They have shown convincingly that Paul's letters were influenced by rhetoric, either

*W. B. Russell*<sup>48</sup> also regards Galatians as a deliberative speech. He basically uses the procedures outlined by G. A. Kennedy<sup>49</sup> and outlines the rhetorical structure of the letter as follows:

Prescript/Salutation (1:1–5)

Prologue/Proem/Exordium (1:6–10)

Proof/Probatio/Confirmatio (1:11–6:10)

A historical argument proving the superiority of Paul's gospel *via narratio* (1:11–2:21)

An experimental argument proving the superiority of their sonship-through-faith *via* Scripture fulfilment in six external proofs (3:1–4:31)

A causal argument proving the superiority of their present deliverance in Christ *via* community observation (5:1–6:10)

Postscript/Epilogue/Conclusio (6:11–18).

### 1.1.3 Alternatives

Not all scholars share the enthusiasm for the way in which Betz applies rhetorical criticism to Galatians. Most of them are not against the notion of rhetorical criticism as such, but feel uneasy about the rigid way in which Betz applies categories from ancient rhetorical criticism to Galatians. Accordingly, a number of alternative approaches are suggested:

1.1.3.1 One alternative is that ancient rhetoric may be used to analyse Galatians, although not in the way Betz does it. Several scholars can be mentioned:

*G. A. Kennedy*<sup>50</sup> views rhetoric as a universal phenomenon. In particular, he regards ancient rhetoric as a universal system that can be applied to all texts.<sup>51</sup> Yet he does not follow the same approach as Betz. Instead, he develops his own methodology comprising of the following steps:<sup>52</sup>

Determination of the rhetorical unit: It must have a beginning, a middle and an end. It should not be too large and, accordingly, it is better to analyse a large rhetorical unit in terms of its smaller constituent units.

formally or as a part of Paul's involvement in the general culture of his time... Furthermore, these studies have shown that if the letter was structured rhetorically, the evidence clearly favors a deliberative speech.

<sup>48</sup> W. B. Russell, "Rhetorical Analysis of the Book of Galatians", *BiblSac* 150:599 (1993), pp. 341–358, and *BiblSac* 150:600 (1993), pp. 416–439.

<sup>49</sup> See Section 1.1.3.1.

<sup>50</sup> *New Testament Interpretation*. Kennedy's views on the rhetorical genre of Galatians is discussed in 1.1.2.3 above.

<sup>51</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 10–11.

<sup>52</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 33–38. See Anderson, *Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Paul*, pp. 28–29, on the difficulty in outlining the various steps distinguished by Kennedy.

## Index of References

### Old Testament

		<i>1 Samuel</i>	
<i>Genesis</i>			
12:3	113	12:22	58 n. 74
13:15	128 n. 32		
15:6	110, 112	<i>Psalms</i>	
16:7	172	39:14	58 n. 74
17:4ff.	110	67:17	58 n. 74
17:8	128 n. 32	142:2	90–91, 97
18:18	113		
21:9	174 n. 52	<i>Isaiah</i>	
21:10	167, 175	49:1	59
24:7	128 n. 132	49:6	59
		52:10	58 n. 78
<i>Leviticus</i>		54:1	173
18:5	12, 121		
19:18	194	<i>Jeremiah</i>	
		1:5	59
<i>Deuteronomium</i>			
21:23	122	<i>Habakuk</i>	
27:26	114, 115 n. 31, 118	2:4	12, 120

### New Testament

<i>Acts</i>			
11:2	86	15:23ff.	82 n. 54
15:1–35	70 n. 3	<i>1 Corinthians</i>	
26:13–14	229	1:1	34
		1:12	64 n. 105
<i>Romans</i>		3:22	64 n. 105
1:16–17	23	4:14–15	139
2:17–20	116	9:5	64 n. 105
3:5	125 n. 10	9:8	125 n. 10
5:12ff.	82 n. 54	9:15	82 n. 54
6:19	125 n. 10	9:24–26	183 n. 2
7:1–6	93 n. 77	12:1–14:40	20
9:1–11:36	22–23	12:3	52
10:5–10	12	14:6	50
10:2–3	116	14:26	50

14:30	50	2:7–9	74
15:1	52	2:9	79
15:1–3	49 n. 24	2:10	81
15:5	64 n. 105	2:11	83, 98
		2:11–13	84–85
<i>2 Corinthians</i>		2:11–21	83–99
1:1	34	2:12	84, 98
5:6ff.	82 n. 54	2:13	86, 98
6:11	103	2:14	87, 98–99
7:8ff.	82 n. 54	2:15–16	87–88
8:1	52	2:16–17	98
8:1–9:15	20, 22–23	2:17	91, 99
8:6	46 n. 13	2:18	92
9:3–5a	46 n. 13	2:19	93
12:1	50	2:20	94
12:7	50	2:21	94
		3:1	102
<i>Galatians</i>		3:1–5	100–108
1:1	31–33, 35	3:2	106
1:1–5	31–36	3:3	106, 194 n. 23
1:4	35–36	3:6–14	109–123
1:6–10	37–44	3:6–9	112
1:7	40–41	3:8–9	113
1:8–9	41–42, 120	3:9	114
1:10	43	3:10	115, 122, 180
1:11–12	33, 48–53	3:10–12	114
1:11–24	45–68	3:11–12	12, 120
1:12	51, 73	3:13	121–122,
1:13	53, 55, 68	3:14	36, 122
1:13–14	53–57, 116	3:15	125
1:14	55, 56	3:15–18	124–130
1:15	58	3:16	128–129
1:15–17	57–63	3:17	129
1:16	50–51	3:18	130
1:17	62	3:19	129, 133, 135
1:18–20	63–66	3:19–20	132
1:21	67	3:19–25	131–141
1:22	67	3:20	135
1:23	67, 70 n. 4	3:21–22	137
1:24	67	3:22	139
2:1–10	69–82	3:23	141
2:1–2	71	3:23–25	138
2:2	50, 73, 76, 78, 81, 183	3:24	141
	n. 2	3:26	142, 144
2:3	71, 72 n. 10	3:26–29	142–144
2:4	80 n. 49, 81	3:27	143
2:4–5	72, 81–82, 85	3:28	144
2:5	80	3:29	145
2:6	78, 82 n. 55	4:1	149
2:6–7	72	4:1–7	145–151

4:3	147, 203	5:13	194
4:4–5	148–149	5:13–15	193
4:6	149	5:13–6:10	189–218
4:6–7	148	5:14	194
4:8–11	152–155	5:15	195
4:8–9	153	5:16	196
4:9	153, 203	5:17	196
4:10	154	5:16–18	196
4:11	155	5:18	198
4:12	156	5:19–23	204–217
4:12–16	157	5:19–24	199
4:12–20	156–164	5:25–26	202–203
4:13–14	158	5:26	203
4:14	159	6:1	208–209, 218
4:15	160	6:2	209, 218
4:16	160	6:3	211
4:17	162	6:4	212
4:17	168 n. 19	6:5	212–214
4:17–18	160	6:6	213–214
4:18	161	6:7	218
4:19–20	162	6:7–9	214
4:21	171	6:9	215
4:21–5:1	165–176	6:10	215
4:22–23	171	6:11	220
4:24	171	6:11–18	219–232
4:25	172–173	6:12	222
4:26	173	6:13	222
4:28	169, 174	6:14–15	223
4:29	169, 174	6:16	223
4:30	174, 176 n. 59	6:16	224
4:31	175–176	6:17	226–232
5:1	175–176, 177 n. 7	6:18	232
5:2	179		
5:2–6	177–182	<i>Philippians</i>	
5:3	179	2:16	183 n. 2
5:4	180	3:4	119
5:5–6	181	3:6	116, 119
5:7	184	3:14	183 n. 2
5:7–12	183–188	4:15	103
5:8	183–184	4:1–20	103
5:9	184		
5:10	184–185, 197 n. 50	<i>2 Thessalonians</i>	
5:11	185–186	2:3	82 n. 55
5:12	187	2:7	82 n. 55

## Index of Modern Authors

- Althaus P 197  
Amadi-Azuogu CA 103, 107, 109, 120, 124–125, 134  
Anderson RD 5, 9–10, 13–15, 24, 26, 33, 40, 46–47, 66, 74, 78, 81, 95, 99, 101, 103, 108, 111, 113, 124, 126–127, 150, 153–154, 156, 158–159, 162–166, 170–171, 179–180, 183  
Arichea DC 55  
Arndt WF 84, 86, 107, 126, 130, 135, 137, 139, 144, 158, 160–161, 168, 173, 181, 183–184, 194, 203, 208–209, 212, 215  
Arnold CE 147  
Arzt P 39, 42, 79, 170, 213  
Aune DE 3, 6–7, 14  
Aus RD 75  
Baarda T 186  
Baasland E 169  
Bachmann M 17–18, 41, 89, 167, 169  
Bahr GJ 221  
Baird W 59  
Balch DL 142  
Bammel E 68, 125, 127  
Bandstra AJ 147  
Barclay JMG 32–33, 63, 167, 191–192, 194–195, 202, 209–212, 214, 216  
Barrett CK 3, 144, 166  
Bauer W 84, 86, 107, 126, 130, 135, 137, 139, 144, 158, 160–161, 168, 173, 181, 183–184, 194, 203, 208–209, 212, 215  
Baumert N 144  
Becker J 5, 35, 37, 45, 47–48, 50, 56, 59–61, 74, 76, 96, 121–122, 125, 131, 140–141, 143–144, 146, 152, 157, 163, 165, 185, 193, 195, 205, 208, 214  
Behnisch M 42  
Belleville LL 139–140, 146, 150  
Berchmann RM 13  
Berényl G 94  
Berger K 38  
Bettinghaus EP 47  
Betz HD 1–3, 9–10, 12, 15, 20, 37, 39–41, 43, 45–47, 50, 52, 61, 67, 73, 75–76, 78, 81, 85, 92, 94–97, 101, 103, 105, 107, 112–115, 120–122, 124, 127, 129, 131, 133, 135–136, 139–140, 143, 146, 150, 152–153, 155–158, 160, 163–165, 167, 175, 177, 179–180, 183–184, 187, 194–197, 200–201, 208–209, 211, 214–215, 219, 221–222, 225, 228–229, 242–243  
Betz O 228–229, 231  
Bjerkelund CJ 126  
Blakeney EH 198  
Blass F 60, 76, 99, 108, 134, 144, 163, 175, 187, 196, 198, 226  
Bligh J 40–41, 61, 70, 77, 85, 92, 96, 101, 121, 132, 134, 147, 153–154, 158, 160, 165, 179, 185, 208, 214, 229, 231  
Blinzler J 147  
Blommerde ACM 81  
Boers H 9, 71, 74  
Bonneau N 115, 120  
Bornkamm G 65  
Borse U 91, 107, 120–121, 140, 157–158, 160, 163, 169, 171, 177, 180, 183, 195, 206, 221, 229, 231–232  
Botha JE 72  
Böttrich C 85, 96  
Bousset W 172  
Bouwman G 165, 172  
Braswell JP 115  
Brehm HA 78  
Brewer DI 124  
Breytenbach C 27, 161  
Brinsmead BH 4, 45, 95  
Bruce FF 27, 41, 58, 61, 65, 67, 74, 76–77, 81, 84, 88–89, 91, 93–94, 100, 103, 105–107, 114, 124, 126, 128,

- 133, 137, 141, 143, 146, 148, 152–  
153, 157, 160, 175, 179, 182, 185,  
188–189, 196, 209, 213, 215–216,  
221–222, 226, 229–230
- Bryant RA 36, 50, 102, 175, 202
- Bultmann R 154, 212
- Burton E de W 39, 47, 50, 64–65, 72,  
74, 76, 81, 84–85, 90, 92, 100, 102,  
104, 109, 113, 116, 122, 124–126,  
129, 132, 137–138, 146, 148, 150,  
152–153, 159–163, 166, 170–172,  
177, 180–181, 184, 191, 193, 197,  
201–203, 205, 208–209, 212–213,  
220, 222, 225, 229–230
- Byrskog S 39
- Callan T 136
- Cambell RA 201–202
- Carey C 26
- Chamblin K 49
- Ciampa RE 59, 97
- Clarke WKL 221
- Classen CJ 1, 11, 34, 45, 47, 102
- Clemens JS 221
- Cody MJ 47
- Cook D 32
- Cooper SA 46
- Cosby M 188
- Cosgrove CH 8, 108, 126, 140, 166, 200
- Craffert PF 57
- Cranford LL 15, 45, 95, 119
- Cranford M 115
- Cronjé J van W 38, 145, 153, 159, 163
- Cummins SA 157–160
- D'Angelo F 1
- Dahl NA 8, 225–226
- Dalton WJ 121
- Danker FW 84, 86, 107, 126, 130, 135,  
137, 139, 144, 158, 160–161, 168,  
173, 181, 183–184, 194, 203, 208–  
209, 212, 215
- Das AA 97
- Daube D 128
- Davies WD 3, 210, 225
- Davis BS 102
- De Saeger L 36
- De Vries CE 183
- De Wette WML 204
- Debrunner A 60, 76, 99, 108, 134, 144,  
163, 175, 187, 196, 198, 226
- Deißmann GA 221, 231
- Delling G 147, 173, 203
- Dibelius M 178, 190
- Dietzfelbinger C 58
- Dinkler E 229
- Dodd CH 210
- Dolamo RTH 9
- Donaldson TL 121
- Dölger FJ 228–229
- Du Toit AB 40, 58, 80, 104, 223
- Duncan GS 197, 205, 207
- Dunn JDG 27, 33–34, 39, 41, 43, 48–  
49, 52, 56, 60, 64–65, 67, 72–73, 76,  
78, 84–85, 87, 90–91, 93–94, 105,  
107, 112–117, 119, 125–126, 128,  
133, 135, 138, 142, 144, 149, 154,  
156–157, 160–161, 171, 173, 175–  
177, 179, 181–188, 191, 193–194,  
197, 200, 209–210, 213–215, 221–  
222, 230
- Duvall JS 184, 200
- Eastman S 104
- Easton BS 199
- Ebeling G 35, 37, 39, 41, 43, 50, 54, 63,  
66, 103, 196, 200, 207
- Eckstein H-J 87–89, 91–94, 102, 104,  
106, 112–116, 120–122, 124, 126,  
128–129, 132, 136–139, 142, 145, 150
- Elliott JH 104
- Elliott SM 173
- Eriksson A 35–36, 51
- Esler PF 85, 117, 119, 124, 130, 191,  
196, 200, 203, 216–217
- Fairweather J 11, 95, 103, 111
- Farrar FW 82
- Fee GD 177, 189, 201, 205
- Fenner F 229
- Fischer JA 52, 110
- Fowl S 121
- Fuller RH 149
- Fung RYK 32, 49
- Funk A 144
- Galitis G 165, 169, 171
- Garland DE 109–110, 114
- Garlington DB 118
- Gaston L 134–135
- Gaventa BR 54, 163
- Gese H 244
- Gingrich FW 84, 86, 107, 126, 130, 135,  
137, 139, 144, 158, 160–161, 168,

- 173, 181, 183–184, 194, 203, 208–  
209, 212, 215
- Goddard AJ 157–159, 160
- Goppelt L 165
- Güttgemanns E 158, 231–232
- Haenchen E 62, 186
- Haesler B 78
- Hahn F 89, 149
- Hall RG 8, 14, 37, 53, 95
- Hanse H 120
- Hansen GW 7, 14, 17, 33, 110, 113, 119,  
139, 167
- Hanson AT 140
- Harnisch W 191, 211–212
- Harrisville RA 90
- Hartman L 130, 133, 138, 144, 148–149,  
154
- Haufe G 201
- Hays RB 89, 105, 112–114, 121, 138,  
169–170, 174, 210
- Heckel U 158
- Heidrich CH 24
- Heitsch D 88, 110
- Hellholm D 25
- Hengel M 25, 61, 172
- Hester JD 5–6, 14, 95
- Hirsch E 229–230
- Hofius O 64
- Holloway JO 196
- Holmstrand J 52, 101, 131, 175, 177,  
189
- Howard G 190
- Hubbard MV 223
- Hübner H 4–5, 15, 35, 59, 91, 101, 112,  
114, 117, 127, 133–134, 171
- Hughes FW 21
- Hultgren AJ 90, 138
- Jannaris AN 198
- Jegher-Bucher V 16, 48, 71, 95
- Jeremias J 126, 199
- Jewett R 20, 85–86, 197
- Jobes KH 174
- Johanson BC 25
- Jones FS 66, 72, 175
- Jones H 203
- Kamlah E 199
- Karrer M 64
- Kennedy GA 8, 10, 14, 25–27, 37, 95
- Kern PH 18, 24, 95, 100, 156, 168, 219
- Kertelge K 47, 50–51, 53, 72, 140
- Keydell R 126
- Kilgallen JJ 197
- Kilpatrick GD 87
- Kim JD 22
- Kim S 51
- King DH 109
- Kirby JT 26
- Klassen W 230
- Klausner J 158
- Klehn L 143
- Klein P 77
- Koch D-A 112–113, 120–121, 165,  
173–175
- Koptak PE 16
- Krämer H 187
- Kramer W 149
- Kremendahl D 16, 25, 39, 41, 45, 65,  
92, 177, 180–181, 187, 231–232
- Kruse CG 107
- Kuck DW 211, 213
- Lambrecht J 91–92, 130, 137, 156–157,  
159–161, 163, 186, 197, 208–209,  
211–214
- Lategan BC 44, 49, 102
- Lausberg H 26, 38
- Lemmer HR 102, 104, 106, 108
- Liddell HG 203
- Lietzmann H 53, 64, 76–77, 85, 93–94,  
100, 103, 106, 113, 116, 124, 126,  
140, 142, 146, 149, 157–160, 163,  
174, 180, 185–187, 191, 209, 214,  
216, 228, 231
- Lightfoot JB 54, 61, 65, 74, 76, 78, 84,  
88, 91–93, 97, 100, 105, 107–108,  
112, 120, 125–126, 133, 135–136,  
138, 142, 146, 149, 151, 157, 159,  
161–163, 177, 181, 184, 187, 196–  
197, 202–204, 207, 212–213, 216,  
220–222, 228–229
- Lilliebjörn H 228
- Lim TH 127
- Lincoln AT 173
- Lipsius RA 172
- Lohse E 78
- Longenecker BW 110, 112
- Longenecker RN 15, 33–34, 40–41, 45,  
47, 50, 52, 56, 63, 65, 67–69, 71, 74,  
76, 78, 81, 84–87, 90, 93, 95, 103–  
104, 107–108, 113–115, 120–121,  
126–127, 129, 131, 133, 135–138,

- 142, 150, 157–158, 160–164, 167, 170–171, 174, 179–180, 183, 193–194, 196, 200–203, 212, 214–215, 219–220, 226, 228–229
- Louw JP 84, 86–87, 91, 102–103, 126, 137, 158–159, 161, 194, 180–181, 183–184, 196, 203, 211–212, 222
- Lührmann D 35–36, 41, 48, 50–51, 61, 66, 72–73, 129–130, 134, 137, 144, 152–153, 173, 175, 180, 182, 203, 214, 228–229
- Lull DJ 104, 139–140, 190
- Lütgert W 190, 209
- Lutjens R 197
- Lyons G 32–33, 43, 223
- Mach M 134
- Mack BL 101
- MacKendrick P 26
- Malan FS 168–169, 171
- Malherbe AJ 200
- Marshall JW 22
- Martin DB 25
- Martin J 52
- Martin T 6
- Martin TW 158–159
- Martyn JL 35, 43, 83, 85–86, 90, 92–94, 96, 105, 107, 109, 112–115, 118–119, 121–122, 127, 133–134, 137, 143–144, 148, 152–155, 161, 163, 167, 170, 175, 177, 179, 185, 187, 189, 191, 194–195, 197, 199–200, 202, 206–207, 210–212, 214, 221–222, 230, 232
- Matera FJ 178–179, 191, 223
- Matlock RB 90
- Mc Call MH 26
- Mc Clane CD 171
- McNamara M 172
- Mell U 222–223, 225
- Merk O 178
- Metzger BM 172
- Meyer PW 3
- Meynet R 17
- Mitchell MM 21
- Morland KA 42, 45, 95, 117, 120
- Moule CFD 198
- Moulton JH 76, 198, 231
- Muddiman J 86
- Murphy-O'Connor J 61, 77
- Mussner F 34–35, 41, 43, 48–49, 54, 58, 64, 66–67, 70–71, 76, 83–85, 87, 90–94, 96, 102, 106–107, 112–115, 120–122, 124, 128, 133, 136–138, 142–143, 146, 150, 152, 155, 157, 160, 162–163, 170–172, 174–175, 179–181, 183, 185, 189, 194, 197, 202, 205, 211, 213–216, 221–222, 225, 230, 232
- Nanos MD 27
- Neyrey JH 86–87, 104
- Nida EA 55, 84, 91, 102–103, 126, 137, 158–161, 180–181, 183–184, 194, 196, 203, 211–212, 222
- Niebuhr KW 54, 56
- Nijenhuis J 221
- North JL 216
- Noth M 115–116
- O'Brien PT 38
- O'Mahony KJ 22–23
- O'Neill JC 190
- O'Rourke SP 2
- Oepke A 40, 107, 136, 139–140, 165–166, 185, 202
- Olbrechts-Tyteca L 7, 17
- Olbright TS 20, 25
- Olshausen H 205, 230
- Olson SN 184
- Orchard JB 81
- Paulsen H 143
- Pelser GMM 110
- Perelman Ch 7, 17
- Perriman AC 165, 167
- Porter SE 25
- Ralston TJ 57
- Ramsay WM 127, 205
- Rehkopf F 60, 76, 99, 108, 134, 144, 163, 175, 187, 196, 198, 226
- Reicke B 140
- Reumann J 89
- Richards ER 220–221
- Richardson P 225
- Riesenfeld H 196
- Robb JD 201
- Roberts JK 39
- Robertson AT 197–198
- Robinson JM 149
- Rohde J 50, 54, 58, 61, 64–65, 72, 76–77, 84, 91, 93–94, 96, 103, 105–109, 113, 128–129, 131, 133–134, 142,

- 145–146, 149–150, 152, 154–157,  
159–161, 163, 171, 174–175, 179,  
183–184, 187, 194, 201, 205, 207,  
211–213, 220, 229–230
- Ropes JH 190
- Ruegg U 4
- Rusam D 147
- Russell EA 3
- Russel WB 10, 101, 131, 167, 187
- Sampley JP 66
- Sanders EP 89, 117, 120
- Sänger D 122
- Sass G 116, 121, 125
- Schäfer K 143–144
- Schenke L 149
- Schlher H 33, 39–41, 49–50, 58, 64, 67–  
68, 72, 76, 84–85, 87, 89–94, 107,  
113, 115, 117, 120, 122, 124–125,  
128, 133–134, 136, 146–147, 150,  
152–153, 157, 160–163, 165, 169,  
175, 177, 184, 186–187, 193, 198,  
201, 207, 209–210, 214, 216, 222–  
223, 228, 230
- Schmidt KL 58
- Schmiedel PW 127
- Schnelle U 89
- Schneider N 32
- Schnider F 31, 34
- Schoon-Janßen J 7, 15
- Schreiber S 108
- Schreiner TR 116–117
- Schrenk G 58, 225
- Schubert P 38
- Schürmann H 210
- Schütz JH 32, 73
- Schweizer E 147, 149
- Schwemer AM 61, 83, 172–173
- Scott JM 116, 146
- Scott R 203
- Seeberg DA 149
- Siegert F 23, 205, 229–230
- Siegert F 23
- Siker JS 109, 166, 169–170
- Silberman LH 135
- Silva M 3, 50, 56, 101, 107, 113, 130,  
137, 141, 181
- Smit J 9, 20, 45, 55, 70, 95, 101, 108,  
121, 127, 133, 150, 154, 156, 177,  
181, 183, 185, 190, 219, 230
- Smith CS 161
- Snyman AH 22, 159
- Söding T 194–195, 210–211
- Stacy RH 74, 82, 99
- Stambaugh JE 142
- Stanley CD 111–112, 115, 119
- Steinhauser MG 172
- Steinmann A 207, 230
- Stendahl K 57
- Stenger W 31, 34, 53, 59
- Stowasser M 63, 75
- Stowers SK 46
- Strathmann H 180
- Strelan JG 209
- Stuhlmacher P 47, 51
- Stumpff A 161
- Suhl A 42, 63, 78, 87, 167
- Synofzik E 35, 185, 213, 216
- Taylor NH 53, 57, 70, 96
- Thielman F 116, 134
- Thomas J 206
- Thurén L 116, 131, 133–134
- Tolmie DF 139
- Turner N 220–221
- Udoh FE 96
- Van Spanje TE 119
- Van Zyl HC 70
- Versepuy DJ 54, 66, 70
- Vögtle A 199, 205
- Von Campenhausen H 187
- Von Dobbeler A 138–139, 141
- Vorster JN 23
- Vos JS 12, 32, 37, 41, 51, 64, 70, 12
- Vouga F 9, 105–106, 113, 179–181,  
183, 187, 194, 202–203, 205, 212,  
222, 228, 230
- Walker WO 88, 92
- Wallace DB 132–133
- Wallis IG 138
- Wechsler A 83–87
- Wedderburn AJM 83
- Weder H 120
- Weima JAD 219–220, 225, 229, 231
- White JL 31, 38
- Wibbing S 199
- Wilder WN 198
- Wilhelmi G 163
- Wilk F 58, 174
- Williams AL 205
- Williams DJ 127
- Williams SK 105, 112, 123, 127

- Winer GB 204  
Winger M 94, 137  
Witherington B 85, 92–95, 104, 107,  
112, 120–121, 124–125, 133, 139,  
143, 146, 148, 150, 152–154, 156–  
158, 161–163, 170–172, 177, 179–  
180, 187–189, 193–194, 197, 201,  
203, 206, 209, 211, 213–214, 219,  
221, 231  
Wright NT 61, 116, 129  
Yaron R 127  
Young NH 139  
Zahn T 87, 184, 221  
Zerwick M 76, 198  
Zuurmond R 120

## Index of Subjects

- 430 years 129  
*A minori ad maius* argument 124–131,  
238  
Abraham 109–124  
ἀδελφοί 52, 125, 157, 174–175, 187,  
193, 232  
*Adiaphoron* 78 n. 45  
ἀφορμή 193  
ἀκοή 105  
Allegory 165–176, 239  
Alliteration 159, 193, 253  
ἄλλος 40  
ἀμαρτωλοί 88, 93  
Anacolouthon 81–82, 252  
Analogy 145–152, 238, 246  
ἀναστατώ 187 n. 27  
Anastrophe 176  
Ancient rhetoric as universal system 10  
Angels 134–135, 158, 159 n. 17  
ἀνόητος 103  
ἀντειναντίωσις 158 n. 9  
ἀντίκειμαι 196 n. 42  
Antioch incident 28, 83–100  
Antithetic presentation 33, 52, 61, 159,  
223, 182, 249  
ἀφορίζω 58  
Aphorism 161–162  
ἀποκάλυψις 50–51, 73  
ἀπορία 164 n. 47  
Apostleship 31, 33–34, 51, 57 n. 70,  
70–79  
ἄρα 91, 186 n. 25  
ἄρα 91  
Arabia 61–63  
ἄρτι 44  
Assurance, Formula of 160  
ἀσθένεια 158 n. 13  
Asyndeton 157 n. 8  
Attention, Marker drawing of audience  
52, 171, 179, 201, 220, 222, 250  
Authentication of letters 220  
Baptism 142–145, 238  
Barnabas 34, 70 n. 2, 86, 98 n. 99, 185  
n. 16  
βασκαίνω 104  
Biblical rhetoric 17  
Biography as proof 52, 65  
Blessing 224, 244  
Brief sentences, Use of 252  
Cefas See Peter  
Change in rhetorical strategy 29  
Chiasm 98–99, 106, 123, 151, 162, 199,  
218, 253  
Children of God 142–145  
Choice of words 150, 201, 254  
Chreia 95  
Circumcision 71, 73, 107, 110, 177  
Command 170, 176, 192–193, 196, 212–  
213, 226  
Concise thematic statement 48  
Conditional blessing 224, 244, 254  
Conditional sentence 91, 94, 130 n. 40,  
137 n. 32, 160 n. 25  
Confidence, Expression of 184, 246, 254  
Consequence of behaviour 214  
Consonance 180, 199, 218, 254  
*Constructio ad sensum* 163 n. 40  
Conversion, Paul's 57 n. 68  
Correction 40, 108, 153, 250  
Co-senders 34  
Covenant 124–131  
Crucifixion 122, 202, 223  
Curse 41, 244  
Cybele 188  
Damascus experience, Paul's 51, 57–  
59, 61, 64 n. 100  
Dative 106 n. 32, 175 n. 58, 196 n. 39,  
203 n. 77  
Definition, Argument based on 17  
Deliberative rhetoric 2–10, 13  
Desire, Expression of to visit 246, 254  
διὰ νόμου 93

- διατάσσω 135 n. 18  
 Diatribe style 17  
 διδάσκω 49  
 Direct address 52, 150, 157, 162, 175, 196, 232, 246, 250  
 Disclosure formula 52  
 Disgust, Expression of 38, 236  
 Dissociation of ideas, Argument based on 17, 124–131  
 Divine authorisation, Argument based on notion of 17, 28, 33, 42, 48–49, 51–52, 59, 63, 67, 70–79, 185, 235–236, 243–244  
 δοκοῦντες 77–79  
 Dominant rhetorical strategy 28  
*Dubitatio* 164 n. 47  
 δυνάμεις 108 n. 47, 109  
 ἐὰν μή 88 n. 35  
 ἐγκόπτω 183 n. 5  
 ἐκκλείω 161 n. 33  
 ἐξέληται 35 n. 18  
 ἐξουνθενέω 158 n. 14  
 Emotional argument 152, 155–164, 238–239, 246  
 Emphasis 34, 180  
 ἐν χειρί 135 n. 22  
 ἐν ἐμοί 57 n. 69, 67 n. 120  
 ἐνι 144 n. 13  
 ἐπαγγελία 128  
*Epicheireme* 95  
 Epideictic rhetoric 2, 13  
 Epistolography 4, 15  
 ἐπιτρόποι 146  
 ἔργα νόμου 89  
 ἔργον 212 n. 143  
 ἔτερος 40  
*Ethos* 22, 26, 33 n. 13  
 ἔτι 186 n. 22, 23  
 εὐαγγέλιον 51  
 εὐαγγελίζομαι 51  
 εύδοκέω 58  
 Example 44, 250  
*Exemplum* 124  
 Exhortation 192, 203, 215, 247  
 Experience of audience used as argument 101, 107, 142, 149, 153, 174, 196, 237, 244  
 ζηλόω 161  
 Faith of Jesus Christ 94, 99, 113 n. 18, 138 n. 41  
 Forensic rhetoric 2–10, 13  
 Freedom See Liberty  
 Γαλάται 103  
 γέ 108  
 Gematria 172  
 Genitive 50, 94 n. 79, 122 n. 68, 123 n. 73, 145 n. 16, 159 n. 18, 181 n. 32  
 γινώσκω 153  
 Gospel 51, 57 n. 70  
 Gospel of circumcision 72–73  
 Gospel of uncircumcision 72  
 γράμμα 221 n. 6  
 Hagar 165–176  
 Hyperbaton 121, 145, 175, 182, 187, 223, 253  
 Hyperbole 56–57, 150, 159–160, 195, 251  
 Illness, Paul's 158 n. 13  
 ἵνα 198  
 Inclusive language 36, 141, 150 n. 20, 173, 175, 181, 204, 218, 246, 249  
 Inconsistency, Accusation of 186  
 Inferiority of the law 131–142, 198, 238  
 Ἰουδαϊκῶς 87  
 Ιουδαῖζειν 87  
 Irony 77, 154, 171, 253  
 Isaac 174  
 Ishmael 174  
 Israel of God 224–226  
 ἴστορέω 64  
 James 65, 79, 84–86, 185 n. 16  
 Jerusalem 63–82  
 Jewish Christians 86, 92, 150  
 John 79  
 Justification 88–89  
 κατὰ ἄνθρωπον 49  
 κατὰ ἄνθρωπον λέγω 125–126  
 καθώς 100  
 καύχημα 212  
 κληρονομία 130  
*kyklos* 176  
 Law of Christ 210  
 λέγω δέ 149, 199  
 Letter closing 219–232  
 Letter element, Adopting 31, 219  
 Liberty, Spiritual 36, 72, 81, 148, 165–176, 238  
*Logos* 22, 26  
 μακαρισμός 160  
 Maxim 214–215

- μὴ γένοιτο 91  
 Mediator 135–136  
 μεταβολή 40 n. 18  
 Metaphor 99, 139, 146, 153, 163, 168,  
     175–176, 183, 194–195, 201–202, 252  
 μεταστρέφω 41  
 μετατίθημι 39  
 Missionary work, Paul's 66–68, 77,  
     155 n. 17  
*Narratio* 9, 45–48, 95  
 Oath 65–66, 244, 251  
 Objective, Rhetorical 235  
 οἰκονόμοι 146  
 Old Testament concepts, Use of 58–59  
 ὅμως 126 n. 17  
 ὁρθοποδέω 87  
 ὅτε δέ 83 n. 2  
 Oxymoron 194, 254  
 παιδαγωγός 139–141  
 παραβάτης 93  
 Paradigmatic I 94 n. 78  
 Paraenesis 178  
 παραλαμβάνω 49  
 Paranomasia 52, 162, 180, 184, 218,  
     251  
 Parenthesis 251  
*Partes* 15  
 πάσχω 107  
*Passivum divinum* 84  
*Pathos* 22, 26  
 πεισμονή 183  
 πείθω 43, 183 n. 6  
 πεπίστευματι 74  
 Periphrastic construction 67, 251  
 Perplexity, Expression of 39, 164, 247,  
     250  
 Persecution 174  
 Personification 113, 137, 139, 141, 253  
 Peter 34, 64–65, 73, 80 n. 48, 84–86, 91,  
     185 n. 16,  
 πιστός 114  
 Pleading 246, 253  
 πλήρωμα τοῦ χρόνου 148  
 πνευματικοί 209  
 Polysyndeton 3, 154  
*Probatio* 47  
 προγράφω 102  
 προλαμβάνω 208 n. 117  
 Promise 128, 192, 196, 209, 214, 244,  
     254
- Propositio* 12, 95  
 Proverb 184, 215  
 Rebuke 38–39, 103, 154–155, 157, 160,  
     195 n. 33, 238, 247, 249  
 Recipients of the Letter to the Galatians  
     27  
 Refutation of criticism 43–44  
 Repetition 98, 175, 218, 253  
 Rerouting the attention of the audience  
     136, 138–139  
 Revelation 57  
 Rhetorical criticism 1  
 Rhetorical question 43, 99–109, 131–  
     132, 137–138, 153, 171, 174, 183,  
     186, 250  
 Rhetorical strategy 28  
 Rhetorical system, Use of ancient 11,  
     13–14, 16, 18–19, 24–27, 222  
 Rhetorical technique 29  
 Righteousness See Justification  
 Salutation 31  
 Sarah 165–176  
 Sarcasm 77, 187–188, 247, 254  
 σάρξ 106–107, 194  
 Satan 183  
 Scripture, Use of as argument 96, 109–  
     124, 165–176, 194–195, 237, 244  
 Sentence structure 60, 151, 159, 218  
 Servant of sin, Christ as 91  
 Shared knowledge 200, 216, 247  
*Similitudo* 124  
 Slavery 72, 81, 146, 148, 152–155, 165–  
     176, 194, 238  
 Solemn declaration 244, 254  
 Spirit 100–109, 123, 148, 151, 181,  
     188–219  
*Stasis* 5–6  
 στίγματα τοῦ Ἰησοῦ 227–232  
 στοιχεῖα 146 n. 7; 147–148, 154  
 στοιχέω 203  
 στῦλοι 79  
 συγκλείω 137 n. 36  
 συνυποκρίνομαι 86  
 συστοιχέω 173 n. 47  
 Sympathy, Attempt to gain 187, 246,  
     254  
 ταράσσω 41, 185  
 Text-centred approach 18–19, 28  
 Thanksgiving 38–39  
 θαυμάζω 39

- τί 132 n. 3  
Titus 71  
*Topoi* 14, 156 n. 1  
Tradition, Christian as argument 35, 88, 96–97, 121, 149, 201, 223, 244  
Transparent, Making events for the situation in Galatia 80, 97, 233  
Truth of the gospel 72, 96, 160 n. 29, 183  
Type of arguments 28  
Typology 165  
Understatement 158–159, 202, 253  
ὑπόκρισις 86
- χαρακτήρες λέξεως system 26  
χαρίζομαι 130 n. 43  
χάριν 132–133  
Vices 199, 204–208  
Vilification 40–42, 80, 98, 104, 119, 160–161, 169, 178, 183–188, 222, 241, 245  
Virtues 200, 204–208  
Warning 42, 119, 179, 192–193, 197, 209, 211, 214, 224, 226, 240, 244  
Weak point in Paul's argument 73, 77, 234



# Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament

## *Alphabetical Index of the First and Second Series*

- Ådnæ, Jostein: Jesu Stellung zum Tempel. 2000. *Volume II/119.*
- Ådnæ, Jostein and Kvalbein, Hans (Ed.): The Mission of the Early Church to Jews and Gentiles. 2000. *Volume 127.*
- Alkier, Stefan: Wunder und Wirklichkeit in den Briefen des Apostels Paulus. 2001. *Volume 134.*
- Anderson, Paul N.: The Christology of the Fourth Gospel. 1996. *Volume II/78.*
- Appold, Mark L.: The Oneness Motif in the Fourth Gospel. 1976. *Volume III/1.*
- Arnold, Clinton E.: The Colossian Syncretism. 1995. *Volume II/77.*
- Ascough, Richard S.: Paul's Macedonian Associations. 2003. *Volume II/161.*
- Asiedu-Peprah, Martin: Johannine Sabbath Conflicts As Juridical Controversy. 2001. *Volume II/132.*
- Avermarie, Friedrich: Die Täuferzählungen der Apostelgeschichte. 2002. *Volume 139.*
- Avermarie, Friedrich and Hermann Lichtenberger (Ed.): Auferstehung – Ressurection. 2001. *Volume 135.*
- Avermarie, Friedrich and Hermann Lichtenberger (Ed.): Bund und Tora. 1996. *Volume 92.*
- Baarlink, Heinrich: Verkündigtes Heil. 2004. *Volume 168.*
- Bachmann, Michael: Sünder oder Übertreter. 1992. *Volume 59.*
- Back, Frances: Verwandlung durch Offenbarung bei Paulus. 2002. *Volume II/153.*
- Baker, William R.: Personal Speech-Ethics in the Epistle of James. 1995. *Volume II/68.*
- Bakke, Odd Magne: 'Concord and Peace'. 2001. *Volume II/143.*
- Balla, Peter: Challenges to New Testament Theology. 1997. *Volume II/95.*
- *The Child-Parent Relationship in the New Testament and its Environment.* 2003. *Volume 155.*
- Bammel, Ernst: Judaica. Volume I 1986. *Volume 37.*
- Volume II 1997. *Volume 91.*
- Bash, Anthony: Ambassadors for Christ. 1997. *Volume II/92.*
- Bauernfeind, Otto: Kommentar und Studien zur Apostelgeschichte. 1980. *Volume 22.*
- Baum, Armin Daniel: Pseudepigraphie und literarische Fälschung im frühen Christentum. 2001. *Volume II/138.*
- Bayer, Hans Friedrich: Jesus' Predictions of Vindication and Resurrection. 1986. *Volume II/20.*
- Becker, Michael: Wunder und Wundertäter im früh-rabbinischen Judentum. 2002. *Volume II/144.*
- Bell, Richard H.: Provoked to Jealousy. 1994. *Volume II/63.*
- No One Seeks for God. 1998. *Volume 106.*
- Bennema, Cornelis: The Power of Saving Wisdom. 2002. *Volume II/148.*
- Bergman, Jan: see Kieffer, René
- Bergmeier, Roland: Das Gesetz im Römerbrief und andere Studien zum Neuen Testament. 2000. *Volume 121.*
- Betz, Otto: Jesus, der Messias Israels. 1987. *Volume 42.*
- Jesus, der Herr der Kirche. 1990. *Volume 52.*
- Beyschlag, Karlmann: Simon Magus und die christliche Gnosis. 1974. *Volume 16.*
- Bittner, Wolfgang J.: Jesu Zeichen im Johannesevangelium. 1987. *Volume II/26.*
- Bjerkelund, Carl J.: Tauta Egeneto. 1987. *Volume 40.*
- Blackburn, Barry Lee: Theios Anēr and the Markan Miracle Traditions. 1991. *Volume II/40.*
- Bock, Darrell L.: Blasphemy and Exaltation in Judaism and the Final Examination of Jesus. 1998. *Volume II/106.*
- Bockmuehl, Markus N.A.: Revelation and Mystery in Ancient Judaism and Pauline Christianity. 1990. *Volume II/36.*
- Bøe, Sverre: Gog and Magog. 2001. *Volume II/135.*
- Böhlig, Alexander: Gnosis und Synkretismus. Teil 1 1989. *Volume 47 – Teil 2 1989.* *Volume 48.*
- Böhm, Martina: Samaren und die Samaritai bei Lukas. 1999. *Volume II/111.*
- Bötttrich, Christfried: Weltweisheit – Menschheitsethik – Urkult. 1992. *Volume II/50.*

- Bolyki, János:* Jesu Tischgemeinschaften. 1997. *Volume II/96.*
- Bosman, Philip:* Conscience in Philo and Paul. 2003. *Volume II/166.*
- Bovon, François:* Studies in Early Christianity. 2003. *Volume 161.*
- Brocke, Christoph vom:* Thessaloniki – Stadt des Kassander und Gemeinde des Paulus. 2001. *Volume II/125.*
- Brunson, Andrew:* Psalm 118 in the Gospel of John. 2003. *Volume II/158.*
- Büchli, Jörg:* Der Poimandres – ein paganisierter Evangelium. 1987. *Volume II/27.*
- Bühner, Jan A.:* Der Gesandte und sein Weg im 4. Evangelium. 1977. *Volume II/2.*
- Burkhardt, Christoph:* Untersuchungen zu Joseph und Aseneth. 1965. *Volume 8.*
- Studien zur Theologie, Sprache und Umwelt des Neuen Testaments. Ed. von D. Sänger. 1998. *Volume 107.*
- Burnett, Richard:* Karl Barth's Theological Exegesis. 2001. *Volume II/145.*
- Byron, John:* Slavery Metaphors in Early Judaism and Pauline Christianity. 2003. *Volume II/162.*
- Byrskog, Samuel:* Story as History – History as Story. 2000. *Volume 123.*
- Cancik, Hubert* (Ed.): Markus-Philologie. 1984. *Volume 33.*
- Capes, David B.:* Old Testament Yaweh Texts in Paul's Christology. 1992. *Volume II/47.*
- Caragounis, Chrys C.:* The Development of Greek and the New Testament. 2004. *Volume 167.*
- The Son of Man. 1986. *Volume 38.*
  - see Fridrichsen, Anton.
- Carleton Paget, James:* The Epistle of Barnabas. 1994. *Volume II/64.*
- Carson, D.A., O'Brien, Peter T. and Mark Seifrid* (Ed.): Justification and Variegated Nomism. Volume 1: The Complexities of Second Temple Judaism. 2001. *Volume II/140.* Volume 2: The Paradoxes of Paul. 2004. *Volume II/181.*
- Ciampa, Roy E.:* The Presence and Function of Scripture in Galatians 1 and 2. 1998. *Volume II/102.*
- Classen, Carl Joachim:* Rhetorical Criticism of the New Testament. 2000. *Volume 128.*
- Colpe, Carsten:* Iranier – Aramäer – Hebräer – Hellenen. 2003. *Volume 154.*
- Crump, David:* Jesus the Intercessor. 1992. *Volume II/49.*
- Dahl, Nils Alstrup:* Studies in Ephesians. 2000. *Volume 131.*
- Deines, Roland:* Jüdische Steingefäße und pharisäische Frömmigkeit. 1993. *Volume II/52.*
- Die Pharisäer. 1997. *Volume 101.*
  - and *Karl-Wilhelm Niebuhr* (Ed.): Philo und das Neue Testament. 2004. *Volume 172.*
- Dettwiler, Andreas und Jean Zumstein* (Ed.): Kreuzestheologie im Neuen Testament. 2002. *Volume 151.*
- Dickson, John P.:* Mission-Commitment in Ancient Judaism and in the Pauline Communities. 2003. *Volume II/159.*
- Dietzfelbinger, Christian:* Der Abschied des Kommanden. 1997. *Volume 95.*
- Dimitrov, Ivan Z., James D.G. Dunn, Ulrich Luz und Karl-Wilhelm Niebuhr* (Ed.): Das Alte Testament als christliche Bibel in orthodoxer und westlicher Sicht. 2004. *Volume 174.*
- Dobbeler, Axel von:* Glaube als Teilhabe. 1987. *Volume II/22.*
- Du Toit, David S.:* Theios Anthropos. 1997. *Volume II/91.*
- Dübbers, Michael:* Christologie und Existenz im Kolosserbrief. 2005. *Volume II/191.*
- Dunn, James D.G.* (Ed.): Jews and Christians. 1992. *Volume 66.*
- Paul and the Mosaic Law. 1996. *Volume 89.*
  - see Dimitrov, Ivan Z.
- Dunn, James D.G., Hans Klein, Ulrich Luz und Vasile Mihoc* (Ed.): Auslegung der Bibel in orthodoxer und westlicher Perspektive. 2000. *Volume 130.*
- Ebel, Eva:* Die Attraktivität früher christlicher Gemeinden. 2004. *Volume II/178.*
- Ebertz, Michael N.:* Das Charisma des Gekreuzigten. 1987. *Volume 45.*
- Eckstein, Hans-Joachim:* Der Begriff Syneidesis bei Paulus. 1983. *Volume II/10.*
- Verheibung und Gesetz. 1996. *Volume 86.*
- Ego, Beate:* Im Himmel wie auf Erden. 1989. *Volume II/34.*
- Ego, Beate, Armin Lange und Peter Pilhofer* (Ed.): Gemeinde ohne Tempel – Community without Temple. 1999. *Volume 118.*
- Eisen, Ute E.:* see Paulsen, Henning.
- Ellis, E. Earle:* Prophecy and Hermeneutic in Early Christianity. 1978. *Volume 18.*
- The Old Testament in Early Christianity. 1991. *Volume 54.*
- Endo, Masanobu:* Creation and Christology. 2002. *Volume 149.*
- Ennulat, Andreas:* Die 'Minor Agreements'. 1994. *Volume II/62.*
- Ensor, Peter W.:* Jesus and His 'Works'. 1996. *Volume II/85.*
- Eskola, Timo:* Messiah and the Throne. 2001. *Volume II/142.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Theodicy and Predestination in Pauline Soteriology. 1998. *Volume II/100.*
- Fatehi, Mehrdad:* The Spirit's Relation to the Risen Lord in Paul. 2000. *Volume II/128.*
- Feldmeier, Reinhard:* Die Krisis des Gottessohnes. 1987. *Volume II/21.*
- Die Christen als Fremde. 1992. *Volume 64.*
- Feldmeier, Reinhard and Ulrich Heckel* (Ed.): Die Heiden. 1994. *Volume 70.*
- Fletcher-Louis, Crispin H.T.:* Luke-Acts: Angels, Christology and Soteriology. 1997. *Volume II/94.*
- Förster, Niclas:* Marcus Magus. 1999. *Volume 114.*
- Forbes, Christopher Brian:* Prophecy and Inspired Speech in Early Christianity and its Hellenistic Environment. 1995. *Volume II/75.*
- Fornberg, Tord:* see *Fridrichsen, Anton.*
- Fossum, Jarl E.:* The Name of God and the Angel of the Lord. 1985. *Volume 36.*
- Foster, Paul:* Community, Law and Mission in Matthew's Gospel. *Volume II/177.*
- Fotopoulos, John:* Food Offered to Idols in Roman Corinth. 2003. *Volume II/151.*
- Frenschkowski, Marco:* Offenbarung und Epiphanie. Volume 1 1995. *Volume II/79 – Volume 2 1997. Volume II/80.*
- Frey, Jörg:* Eugen Drewermann und die biblische Exegese. 1995. *Volume II/71.*
- Die johanneische Eschatologie. Volume I. 1997. *Volume 96.* – Volume II. 1998. *Volume 110.*
- Volume III. 2000. *Volume 117.*
- Frey, Jörg and Udo Schnelle* (Ed.): Kontexte des Johannesevangeliums. 2004. *Volume 175.*
- Freyne, Sean:* Galilee and Gospel. 2000. *Volume 125.*
- Fridrichsen, Anton:* Exegetical Writings. Edited by C.C. Caragounis and T. Fornberg. 1994. *Volume 76.*
- Garlington, Don B.:* 'The Obedience of Faith'. 1991. *Volume II/38.*
- Faith, Obedience, and Perseverance. 1994. *Volume 79.*
- Garnet, Paul:* Salvation and Atonement in the Qumran Scrolls. 1977. *Volume II/3.*
- Gese, Michael:* Das Vermächtnis des Apostels. 1997. *Volume II/99.*
- Gheorghita, Radu:* The Role of the Septuagint in Hebrews. 2003. *Volume II/160.*
- Gräbe, Petrus J.:* The Power of God in Paul's Letters. 2000. *Volume II/123.*
- Gräßer, Erich:* Der Alte Bund im Neuen. 1985. *Volume 35.*
- Forschungen zur Apostelgeschichte. 2001. *Volume 137.*
- Green, Joel B.:* The Death of Jesus. 1988. *Volume II/33.*
- Gregory, Andrew:* The Reception of Luke and Acts in the Period before Irenaeus. 2003. *Volume II/169.*
- Gundry Volf, Judith M.:* Paul and Perseverance. 1990. *Volume II/37.*
- Hafemann, Scott J.:* Suffering and the Spirit. 1986. *Volume II/19.*
- Paul, Moses, and the History of Israel. 1995. *Volume 81.*
- Hahn, Johannes* (Ed.): Zerstörungen des Jerusalemer Tempels. 2002. *Volume 147.*
- Hannah, Darrel D.:* Michael and Christ. 1999. *Volume II/109.*
- Hamid-Khani, Saeed:* Relevation and Concealment of Christ. 2000. *Volume II/120.*
- Harrison, James R.:* Paul's Language of Grace in Its Graeco-Roman Context. 2003. *Volume II/172.*
- Hartman, Lars:* Text-Centered New Testament Studies. Ed. von D. Hellholm. 1997. *Volume 102.*
- Hartog, Paul:* Polycarp and the New Testament. 2001. *Volume II/134.*
- Heckel, Theo K.:* Der Innere Mensch. 1993. *Volume II/53.*
- Vom Evangelium des Markus zum viergestaltigen Evangelium. 1999. *Volume 120.*
- Heckel, Ulrich:* Kraft in Schwachheit. 1993. *Volume II/56.*
- Der Segen im Neuen Testament. 2002. *Volume 150.*
- see *Feldmeier, Reinhard.*
- see *Hengel, Martin.*
- Heiligenthal, Roman:* Werke als Zeichen. 1983. *Volume II/9.*
- Hellholm, D.:* see *Hartman, Lars.*
- Hemer, Colin J.:* The Book of Acts in the Setting of Hellenistic History. 1989. *Volume 49.*
- Hengel, Martin:* Judentum und Hellenismus. 1969, 1988. *Volume 10.*
- Die johanneische Frage. 1993. *Volume 67.*
- Judaica et Hellenistica. Kleine Schriften I. 1996. *Volume 90.*
- Judaica, Hellenistica et Christiana. Kleine Schriften II. 1999. *Volume 109.*
- Paulus und Jakobus. Kleine Schriften III. 2002. *Volume 141.*
- Hengel, Martin and Ulrich Heckel* (Ed.): Paulus und das antike Judentum. 1991. *Volume 58.*
- Hengel, Martin and Hermut Löhr* (Ed.): Schriftauslegung im antiken Judentum und im Urchristentum. 1994. *Volume 73.*

- Hengel, Martin and Anna Maria Schwemer:* Paulus zwischen Damaskus und Antiochien. 1998. *Volume 108.*
- Der messianische Anspruch Jesu und die Anfänge der Christologie. 2001. *Volume 138.*
- Hengel, Martin and Anna Maria Schwemer* (Ed.): Königsherrschaft Gottes und himmlischer Kult. 1991. *Volume 55.*
- Die Septuaginta. 1994. *Volume 72.*
- Hengel, Martin; Siegfried Mittmann and Anna Maria Schwemer* (Ed.): *La Cité de Dieu / Die Stadt Gottes.* 2000. *Volume 129.*
- Herrenbrück, Fritz:* Jesus und die Zöllner. 1990. *Volume II/41.*
- Herzer, Jens:* Paulus oder Petrus? 1998. *Volume 103.*
- Hoegen-Rohls, Christina:* Der nachösterliche Johannes. 1996. *Volume II/84.*
- Hofius, Otfried:* Katapausis. 1970. *Volume 11.*
- Der Vorhang vor dem Thron Gottes. 1972. *Volume 14.*
  - Der Christushymnus Philipper 2,6-11. 1976, <sup>2</sup>1991. *Volume 17.*
  - Paulusstudien. 1989, <sup>2</sup>1994. *Volume 51.*
  - Neutestamentliche Studien. 2000. *Volume 132.*
  - Paulusstudien II. 2002. *Volume 143.*
- Hofius, Otfried and Hans-Christian Kammler:* Johannesstudien. 1996. *Volume 88.*
- Holtz, Traugott:* Geschichte und Theologie des Urchristentums. 1991. *Volume 57.*
- Hommel, Hildebrecht:* Sebasmata. Volume 1 1983. *Volume 31 – Volume 2 1984. Volume 32.*
- Hvalvik, Reidar:* The Struggle for Scripture and Covenant. 1996. *Volume II/82.*
- Johns, Loren L.:* The Lamb Christology of the Apocalypse of John. 2003. *Volume II/167.*
- Joubert, Stephan:* Paul as Benefactor. 2000. *Volume II/124.*
- Jungbauer, Harry:* „Ehre Vater und Mutter“. 2002. *Volume II/146.*
- Kähler, Christoph:* Jesu Gleichnisse als Poesie und Therapie. 1995. *Volume 78.*
- Kamlah, Ehrhard:* Die Form der katalogischen Paränesen im Neuen Testament. 1964. *Volume 7.*
- Kammler, Hans-Christian:* Christologie und Eschatologie. 2000. *Volume 126.*
- Kreuz und Weisheit. 2003. *Volume 159.*
  - see Hofius, Otfried.
- Kelhoffer, James A.:* Miracle and Mission. 1999. *Volume II/112.*
- Kieffer, René and Jan Bergman* (Ed.): *La Main de Dieu / Die Hand Gottes.* 1997. *Volume 94.*
- Kim, Seyoon:* The Origin of Paul's Gospel. 1981, <sup>2</sup>1984. *Volume II/4.*
- “The ‘Son of Man’” as the Son of God. 1983. *Volume 30.*
- Klauck, Hans-Josef:* Religion und Gesellschaft im frühen Christentum. 2003. *Volume 152.*
- Klein, Hans:* see Dunn, James D.G..
- Kleinknecht, Karl Th.:* Der leidende Gerechtferigte. 1984, <sup>2</sup>1988. *Volume II/13.*
- Klinghardt, Matthias:* Gesetz und Volk Gottes. 1988. *Volume II/32.*
- Koch, Michael:* Drachenkampf und Sonnenfrau. 2004. *Volume II/184.*
- Koch, Stefan:* Rechtliche Regelung von Konflikten im frühen Christentum. 2004. *Volume II/174.*
- Köhler, Wolf-Dietrich:* Rezeption des Matthäusevangeliums in der Zeit vor Irenäus. 1987. *Volume II/24.*
- Köhn, Andreas:* Der Neutestamentler Ernst Lohmeyer. 2004. *Band II/180.*
- Kooten, George H. van:* Cosmic Christology in Paul and the Pauline School. 2003. *Volume II/171.*
- Korn, Manfred:* Die Geschichte Jesu in veränderter Zeit. 1993. *Volume II/51.*
- Koskenniemi, Erkki:* Apollonios von Tyana in der neutestamentlichen Exegese. 1994. *Volume II/61.*
- Kraus, Thomas J.:* Sprache, Stil und historischer Ort des zweiten Petrusbriefes. 2001. *Volume II/136.*
- Kraus, Wolfgang:* Das Volk Gottes. 1996. *Volume 85.*
- and Karl-Wilhelm Niebuhr (Ed.): Frühjudentum und Neues Testament im Horizont Biblischer Theologie. 2003. *Volume 162.*
  - see Walter, Nikolaus.
- Kreplin, Matthias:* Das Selbstverständnis Jesu. 2001. *Volume II/141.*
- Kuhn, Karl G.:* Achtzehngebet und Vaterunser und der Reim. 1950. *Volume 1.*
- Kvalbein, Hans:* see Ådne, Jostein.
- Kwon, Yon-Gyong:* Eschatology in Galatians. 2004. *Volume II/183.*
- Laansma, Jon:* I Will Give You Rest. 1997. *Volume II/98.*
- Labahn, Michael:* Offenbarung in Zeichen und Wort. 2000. *Volume II/117.*
- Lambers-Petry, Doris:* see Tomson, Peter J.
- Lange, Armin:* see Ego, Beate.
- Lampe, Peter:* Die stadtömischen Christen in den ersten beiden Jahrhunderten. 1987, <sup>2</sup>1989. *Volume II/18.*
- Landmesser, Christof:* Wahrheit als Grundbegriff neutestamentlicher Wissenschaft. 1999. *Volume 113.*
- Jüngerberufung und Zuwendung zu Gott. 2000. *Volume 133.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Lau, Andrew: *Manifest in Flesh*. 1996.  
Volume II/86.
- Lawrence, Louise: *An Ethnography of the Gospel of Matthew*. 2003. Volume II/165.
- Lee, Pilchan: *The New Jerusalem in the Book of Revelation*. 2000. Volume II/129.
- Lichtenberger, Hermann: see Avermarie, Friedrich.
- Lichtenberger, Hermann: *Das Ich Adams und das Ich der Menschheit*. 2004. Volume 164.
- Lierman, John: *The New Testament Moses*. 2004. Volume II/173.
- Lieu, Samuel N.C.: *Manichaeism in the Later Roman Empire and Medieval China*.<sup>2</sup> 1992. Volume 63.
- Lindgård, Fredrik: *Paul's Line of Thought in 2 Corinthians 4:16-5:10*. 2004. Volume II/189.
- Loader, William R.G.: *Jesus' Attitude Towards the Law*. 1997. Volume II/97.
- Löhr, Gebhard: *Verherrlichung Gottes durch Philosophie*. 1997. Volume 97.
- Löhr, Hermut: *Studien zum frühchristlichen und frühjüdischen Gebet*. 2003. Volume 160.  
– : see Hengel, Martin.
- Löhr, Winrich Alfried: *Basilides und seine Schule*. 1995. Volume 83.
- Luomanen, Petri: *Entering the Kingdom of Heaven*. 1998. Volume II/101.
- Luz, Ulrich: see Dunn, James D.G.
- Mackay, Ian D.: *John's Relationship with Mark*. 2004. Volume II/182.
- Maier, Gerhard: *Mensch und freier Wille*. 1971. Volume 12.  
– : *Die Johannesoffenbarung und die Kirche*. 1981. Volume 25.
- Markschies, Christoph: *Valentinus Gnosticus?* 1992. Volume 65.
- Marshall, Peter: *Enmity in Corinth: Social Conventions in Paul's Relations with the Corinthians*. 1987. Volume II/23.
- Mayer, Annemarie: *Sprache der Einheit im Epheserbrief und in der Ökumene*. 2002. Volume II/150.
- McDonough, Sean M.: *YHWH at Patmos: Rev. 1:4 in its Hellenistic and Early Jewish Setting*. 1999. Volume II/107.
- McGlynn, Moyna: *Divine Judgement and Divine Benevolence in the Book of Wisdom*. 2001. Volume II/139.
- Meade, David G.: *Pseudonymity and Canon*. 1986. Volume 39.
- Meadors, Edward P.: *Jesus the Messianic Herald of Salvation*. 1995. Volume II/72.
- Meißner, Stefan: *Die Heimholung des Ketzers*. 1996. Volume II/87.
- Mell, Ulrich: *Die „anderen“ Winzer*. 1994.  
Volume 77.
- Mengel, Berthold: *Studien zum Philipperbrief*. 1982. Volume II/8.
- Merkel, Helmut: *Die Widersprüche zwischen den Evangelien*. 1971. Volume 13.
- Merklein, Helmut: *Studien zu Jesus und Paulus*. Volume 1 1987. Volume 43. – Volume 2 1998. Volume 105.
- Metzdorf, Christina: *Die Tempelaktion Jesu*. 2003. Volume II/168.
- Metzler, Karin: *Der griechische Begriff des Verzeihens*. 1991. Volume II/44.
- Metzner, Rainer: *Die Rezeption des Matthäusevangeliums im 1. Petrusbrief*. 1995. Volume II/74.  
– : *Das Verständnis der Sünde im Johannesevangelium*. 2000. Volume 122.
- Mihoc, Vasile: see Dunn, James D.G..
- Mineshige, Kiyoshi: *Besitzverzicht und Almosen bei Lukas*. 2003. Volume II/163.
- Mittmann, Siegfried: see Hengel, Martin.
- Mittmann-Richert, Ulrike: *Magnifikat und Benediktus*. 1996. Volume II/90.
- Mußner, Franz: *Jesus von Nazareth im Umfeld Israels und der Urkirche*. Ed. von M. Theobald. 1998. Volume III.
- Niebuhr, Karl-Wilhelm: *Gesetz und Paränese*. 1987. Volume II/28.  
– : *Heidenapostel aus Israel*. 1992. Volume 62.  
– : see Deines, Roland  
– : see Dimitrov, Ivan Z.  
– : see Kraus, Wolfgang
- Nielsen, Anders E.: *“Until it is Fulfilled”*. 2000. Volume II/126.
- Nissen, Andreas: *Gott und der Nächste im antiken Judentum*. 1974. Volume 15.
- Noack, Christian: *Gottesbewußtsein*. 2000. Volume II/116.
- Noormann, Rolf: *Irenäus als Paulusinterpret*. 1994. Volume II/66.
- Novakovic, Lidija: *Messiah, the Healer of the Sick*. 2003. Volume II/170.
- Obermann, Andreas: *Die christologische Erfüllung der Schrift im Johannesevangelium*. 1996. Volume II/83.
- Öhler, Markus: *Barnabas*. 2003. Volume 156.
- Okure, Teresa: *The Johannine Approach to Mission*. 1988. Volume II/31.
- Onuki, Takashi: *Heil und Erlösung*. 2004. Volume 165.
- Oropeza, B. J.: *Paul and Apostasy*. 2000. Volume II/115.
- Ostmeyer, Karl-Heinrich: *Taufe und Typos*. 2000. Volume II/118.

- Paulsen, Henning:* Studien zur Literatur und Geschichte des frühen Christentums. Ed. von Ute E. Eisen. 1997. *Volume 99.*
- Peres, Imre:* Griechische Grabinschriften und neutestamentliche Eschatologie. 2003. *Volume 157.*
- Philonenko, Marc (Ed.):* Le Trône de Dieu. 1993. *Volume 69.*
- Pilhofer, Peter:* Presbyteron Kreitton. 1990. *Volume II/39.*
- *Philippi.* Volume 1 1995. *Volume 87.* - *Volume 2 2000.* *Volume 119.*
  - *Die frühen Christen und ihre Welt.* 2002. *Volume 145.*
  - see *Ego, Beate.*
- Plümacher, Eckhard:* Geschichte und Geschichten. Aufsätze zur Apostelgeschichte und zu den Johannesakten. Herausgegeben von Jens Schröter und Ralph Brucker. 2004. *Volume 170.*
- Pöhlmann, Wolfgang:* Der Verlorene Sohn und das Haus. 1993. *Volume 68.*
- Pokorný, Petr and Josef B. Souček:* Bibelauslegung als Theologie. 1997. *Volume 100.*
- Pokorný, Petr and Jan Roskovec (Ed.):* Philosophical Hermeneutics and Biblical Exegesis. 2002. *Volume 153.*
- Porter, Stanley E.:* The Paul of Acts. 1999. *Volume 115.*
- Prieur, Alexander:* Die Verkündigung der Gottesherrschaft. 1996. *Volume II/89.*
- Probst, Hermann:* Paulus und der Brief. 1991. *Volume II/45.*
- Räisänen, Heikki:* Paul and the Law. 1983, 2<sup>1987</sup>. *Volume 29.*
- Rehkopf, Friedrich:* Die lukanische Sonderquelle. 1959. *Volume 5.*
- Rein, Matthias:* Die Heilung des Blindgeborenen (Joh 9). 1995. *Volume II/73.*
- Reimnuth, Eckart:* Pseudo-Philo und Lukas. 1994. *Volume 74.*
- Reiser, Marius:* Syntax und Stil des Markus-evangeliums. 1984. *Volume II/11.*
- Rhodes, James N.:* The Epistle of Barnabas and the Deuteronomistic Tradition. 2004. *Volume II/188.*
- Richards, E. Randolph:* The Secretary in the Letters of Paul. 1991. *Volume II/42.*
- Riesner, Rainer:* Jesus als Lehrer. 1981, 3<sup>1988</sup>. *Volume II/7.*
- Die Frühzeit des Apostels Paulus. 1994. *Volume 71.*
- Rissi, Mathias:* Die Theologie des Hebräerbriefs. 1987. *Volume 41.*
- Roskovec, Jan:* see *Pokorný, Petr.*
- Röhser, Günter:* Metaphorik und Personifikation der Sünde. 1987. *Volume II/25.*
- Rose, Christian:* Die Wolke der Zeugen. 1994. *Volume II/60.*
- Rothschild, Clare K.:* Luke Acts and the Rhetoric of History. 2004. *Volume II/175.*
- Rüegger, Hans-Ulrich:* Verstehen, was Markus erzählt. 2002. *Volume II/155.*
- Rüger, Hans Peter:* Die Weisheitsschrift aus der Kairoer Geniza. 1991. *Volume 53.*
- Sänger, Dieter:* Antikes Judentum und die Mysterien. 1980. *Volume II/5.*
- Die Verkündigung des Gekreuzigten und Israel. 1994. *Volume 75.*
  - see *Burchard, Christoph*
- Salier, Willis Hedley:* The Rhetorical Impact of the Sēmeia in the Gospel of John. 2004. *Volume II/186.*
- Salzmann, Jorg Christian:* Lehren und Ermahnungen. 1994. *Volume II/59.*
- Sandnes, Karl Olav:* Paul – One of the Prophets? 1991. *Volume II/43.*
- Sato, Migaku:* Q und Prophetie. 1988. *Volume II/29.*
- Schäfer, Ruth:* Paulus bis zum Apostelkonzil. 2004. *Volume II/179.*
- Schaper, Joachim:* Eschatology in the Greek Psalter. 1995. *Volume II/76.*
- Schimanowski, Gottfried:* Die himmlische Liturgie in der Apokalypse des Johannes. 2002. *Volume II/154.*
- Weisheit und Messias. 1985. *Volume II/17.*
- Schlichting, Günter:* Ein jüdisches Leben Jesu. 1982. *Volume 24.*
- Schnabel, Eckhard J.:* Law and Wisdom from Ben Sira to Paul. 1985. *Volume II/16.*
- Schnelle, Udo:* see *Frey, Jörg.*
- Schutter, William L.:* Hermeneutic and Composition in I Peter. 1989. *Volume II/30.*
- Schwartz, Daniel R.:* Studies in the Jewish Background of Christianity. 1992. *Volume 60.*
- Schwermer, Anna Maria:* see *Hengel, Martin*
- Scott, James M.:* Adoption as Sons of God. 1992. *Volume II/48.*
- Paul and the Nations. 1995. *Volume 84.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Shum, Shiu-Lun:* Paul's Use of Isaiah in Romans. 2002. *Volume II/156.*
- Siebert, Folker:* Drei hellenistisch-jüdische Predigten. Teil I 1980. *Volume 20 – Teil II 1992. Volume 61.*
- Nag-Hammadi-Register. 1982. *Volume 26.*
  - Argumentation bei Paulus. 1985. *Volume 34.*
  - Philon von Alexandrien. 1988. *Volume 46.*
- Simon, Marcel:* Le christianisme antique et son contexte religieux I/II. 1981. *Volume 23.*
- Snodgrass, Klyne:* The Parable of the Wicked Tenants. 1983. *Volume 27.*
- Söding, Thomas:* Das Wort vom Kreuz. 1997. *Volume 93.*
- see *Thüsing, Wilhelm.*
- Sommer, Urs:* Die Passionsgeschichte des Markusevangeliums. 1993. *Volume II/58.*
- Souček, Josef B.:* see *Pokorný, Petr.*
- Spangenberg, Volker:* Herrlichkeit des Neuen Bundes. 1993. *Volume II/55.*
- Spanje, T.E. van:* Inconsistency in Paul? 1999. *Volume II/110.*
- Speyer, Wolfgang:* Frühes Christentum im antiken Strahlungsfeld. Volume I: 1989. *Volume 50.*
- Volume II: 1999. *Volume 116.*
- Stadelmann, Helge:* Ben Sira als Schriftgelehrter. 1980. *Volume II/6.*
- Stenschke, Christoph W.:* Luke's Portrait of Gentiles Prior to Their Coming to Faith. *Volume II/108.*
- Sterck-Deguel dre, Jean-Pierre:* Eine Frau namens Lydia. 2004. *Volume II/176.*
- Stettler, Christian:* Der Kolosserhymnus. 2000. *Volume II/131.*
- Stettler, Hanna:* Die Christologie der Pastoralbriefe. 1998. *Volume II/105.*
- Stökl Ben Ezra, Daniel:* The Impact of Yom Kippur on Early Christianity. 2003. *Volume 163.*
- Strobel, August:* Die Stunde der Wahrheit. 1980. *Volume 21.*
- Stroumsa, Guy G.:* Barbarian Philosophy. 1999. *Volume 112.*
- Stuckenbruck, Loren T.:* Angel Veneration and Christology. 1995. *Volume II/70.*
- Stuhlmacher, Peter* (Ed.): Das Evangelium und die Evangelien. 1983. *Volume 28.*
- Biblische Theologie und Evangelium. 2002. *Volume 146.*
- Sung, Chong-Hyon:* Vergebung der Sünden. 1993. *Volume II/57.*
- Tajra, Harry W.:* The Trial of St. Paul. 1989. *Volume II/35.*
- The Martyrdom of St. Paul. 1994. *Volume II/67.*
- Theißßen, Gerd:* Studien zur Soziologie des Urchristentums. 1979, <sup>3</sup>1989. *Volume 19.*
- Theobald, Michael:* Studien zum Römerbrief. 2001. *Volume 136.*
- Theobald, Michael:* see *Mußner, Franz.*
- Thornton, Claus-Jürgen:* Der Zeuge des Zeugen. 1991. *Volume 56.*
- Thüsing, Wilhelm:* Studien zur neutestamentlichen Theologie. Ed. von Thomas Söding. 1995. *Volume 82.*
- Thurén, Lauri:* Derhetherizing Paul. 2000. *Volume 124.*
- Tolmie, D. Francois:* Persuading the Galatians. 2005. *Volume II/190.*
- Tomson, Peter J. and Doris Lambers-Petry* (Ed.): The Image of the Judeao-Christians in Ancient Jewish and Christian Literature. 2003. *Volume 158.*
- Trebilco, Paul:* The Early Christians in Ephesus from Paul to Ignatius. 2004. *Volume 166.*
- Treloar, Geoffrey R.:* Lightfoot the Historian. 1998. *Volume II/103.*
- Tsuji, Manabu:* Glaube zwischen Vollkommenheit und Verweltlichung. 1997. *Volume II/93.*
- Twelftree, Graham H.:* Jesus the Exorcist. 1993. *Volume II/54.*
- Urban, Christina:* Das Menschenbild nach dem Johannesevangelium. 2001. *Volume II/137.*
- Visorzyk, Burton L.:* Fathers of the World. 1995. *Volume 80.*
- Vollenweider, Samuel:* Horizonte neutestamentlicher Christologie. 2002. *Volume 144.*
- Vos, Johan S.:* Die Kunst der Argumentation bei Paulus. 2002. *Volume 149.*
- Wagener, Ulrike:* Die Ordnung des „Hauses Gottes“. 1994. *Volume II/65.*
- Wahlen, Clinton:* Jesus and the Impurity of Spirits in the Synoptic Gospels. 2004. *Volume II/185.*
- Walker, Donald D.:* Paul's Offer of Leniency (2 Cor 10:1). 2002. *Volume II/152.*
- Walter, Nikolaus:* Praeparatio Evangelica. Ed. von Wolfgang Kraus und Florian Wilk. 1997. *Volume 98.*
- Wander, Bernd:* Gottesfürchtige und Sympathisanten. 1998. *Volume 104.*
- Watts, Rikki:* Isaiah's New Exodus and Mark. 1997. *Volume II/88.*
- Wedderburn, A.J.M.:* Baptism and Resurrection. 1987. *Volume 44.*
- Wegner, Uwe:* Der Hauptmann von Kafarnaum. 1985. *Volume II/14.*
- Weissenrieder, Annette:* Images of Illness in the Gospel of Luke. 2003. *Volume II/164.*
- Welck, Christian:* Erzählte ‚Zeichen‘. 1994. *Volume II/69.*

*Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament*

- Wiarda, Timothy: Peter in the Gospels . 2000.  
Volume II/127.
- Wilk, Florian: see Walter, Nikolaus.
- Williams, Catrin H.: I am He. 2000.  
Volume II/113.
- Wilson, Walter T.: Love without Pretense. 1991.  
Volume II/46.
- Wischmeyer, Oda: Von Ben Sira zu Paulus.  
2004. Volume 173.
- Wisdom, Jeffrey: Blessing for the Nations and  
the Curse of the Law. 2001. Volume II/133.
- Wucherpfennig, Ansgar: Heracleon Philologus.  
2002. Volume 142.
- Yeung, Maureen: Faith in Jesus and Paul. 2002.  
Volume II/147.
- Zimmermann, Alfred E.: Die urchristlichen  
Lehrer. 1984, <sup>2</sup>1988. Volume II/12.
- Zimmermann, Johannes: Messianische Texte  
aus Qumran. 1998. Volume II/104.
- Zimmermann, Ruben: Christologie der Bilder  
im Johannesevangelium. 2004. Volume 171.
- Geschlechtermetaphorik und Gottes-  
verhältnis. 2001. Volume II/122.
- Zumstein, Jean: see Dettwiler, Andreas
- Zwiep, Arie W.: Judas and the Choice of  
Matthias. 2004. Volume II/187.

*For a complete catalogue please write to the publisher  
Mohr Siebeck • P.O. Box 2030 • D-72010 Tübingen/Germany  
Up-to-date information on the internet at [www.mohr.de](http://www.mohr.de)*