ALEC BASSON

Divine Metaphors in Selected Hebrew Psalms of Lamentation

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe 15

Mohr Siebeck

Forschungen zum Alten Testament 2. Reihe

Edited by

Bernd Janowski (Tübingen) · Mark S. Smith (New York) Hermann Spieckermann (Göttingen)

15



Alec Basson

Divine Metaphors in Selected Hebrew Psalms of Lamentation

Mohr Siebeck

ALEC BASSON, born 1973; studied at the University of Stellenbosch; 2005 Doctorate of Literature (biblical Hebrew); currently post doctoral research fellow in the Department of Ancient Studies at the University of Stellenbosch.

ISBN 3-16-148854-7 ISBN-13 978-3-16-148854-2 978-3-16-157845-8 Unveränderte eBook-Ausgabe 2019 ISSN 1611-4914 (Forschungen zum Alten Testament, 2. Reihe)

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data is available in the Internet at *http://dnb.ddb.de*.

© 2006 by Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany.

This book may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems.

The book was printed by Gulde-Druck in Tübingen on non-aging paper and bound by Buchbinderei Held in Rottenburg.

Printed in Germany.

Preface

The present book is a reworked version of my doctoral dissertation which was accepted at the University of Stellenbosch, South Africa in April 2005. Even though the journey was at times long and arduous, a number of people accompanied me and assisted me in the completion of this work. I would like to express my gratitude to the following persons and institutions:

Prof. Paul Kruger (University of Stellenbosch, Stellenbosch) who acted as my Doktorvater from 2001-2005. Through his professional leadership and guidance, I was introduced to the cultural and symbolic world behind the biblical Hebrew and ancient Near Eastern texts. As a mentor he played a major role in my personal development and helped refine my academic skills.

Prof. Dr. Bernd Janowski (Eberhard-Karls-University, Tübingen, Germany) who was my supervisor during my stay in Germany. He contributed immensely towards the broadening of my academic horizons.

Johann Theron (Faculty of Theology, University of Stellenbosch), who provided invaluable assistance in the final stages of the book. He shared my frustrations and attended to the technical aspects with patience. I am indeed grateful to him for his unwavering support.

The University of Stellenbosch for creating an environment conducive to the stimulation of critical thought and the promotion of academic excellence.

I am also indebted to the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD) and the National Research Foundation (South Africa) for affording me the opportunity to conduct my research in Tübingen, Germany. The financial support of the abovementioned institutions is hereby acknowledged.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the editors of the series Forschungen zum Alten Testament, Prof. Dr. Bernd Janowski, Prof. Dr. Hermann Spieckermann and Prof. Mark Smith for accepting this work for publication.

Stellenbosch, 29 November 2005

Alec Basson

Prefa	ace	V
Intro	oduction	1
1.	Problem Statement and Focus	1
2.	Preliminary Study	2
3.	Theoretical Presuppositions and Method	3
4.	Plan of the Present Investigation	
Cha	pter 1: The Psalms of Lamentation: A Survey of Research	6
1.1	Form Criticism	6
	1.1.1 Excursus: New Stylistics	
1.2	Cult-functional Approach	11
1.3	Psalms of Lamentation and the Ancient Near East	
1.4	Canonical Criticism	16
1.5	Functional Approach	19
1.6	Anthropological Approach	21
Cha	pter 2: Culture, Cognition and Language	24
2.1	The Properties of Culture	24
	2.1.1 Culture as Learned	
	2.1.2 Culture as Shared	
	2.1.3 Culture as an Integrated Whole	27
	2.1.4 Culture as Symbolic	
2.2	Culture and Cognition	29
	2.2.1 Cultural Schemas	30
	2.2.2 Cultural Models	
2.3	Language and Culture	
	2.3.1 Language Function in General	
	2.3.2 Language and Worldview	
	2.3.3 Language and Categorisation	
	2.3.4 Language as Part of Culture	
	2.3.5 Metaphor and Culture	

Chap	oter 3: Metaphor	41
3.1	Traditional Theories of Metaphor	41
	3.1.1 Aristotle	41
	3.1.2 Ivor Richards	43
	3.1.3 Max Black	44
3.2	Cognitive Theory of Metaphor	47
	3.2.1 Conceptual and Linguistic Metaphor	48
	3.2.2 LOVE IS A JOURNEY as an Example of Conceptual Mapping	
	3.2.3 Types of Metaphors	51
	3.2.3.1 Structural Metaphors	52
	3.2.3.2 Ontological Metaphors	53
	3.2.3.3 Orientational Metaphors	
3.3	Metaphor and the Notion of Embodiment	56
	3.3.1 Image-Schemas	57
	3.3.1.1 Container Schema	58
	3.3.1.2 Balance Schema	59
	3.3.2 Image Metaphors	60
Cha	pter 4: Psalm 7	63
4.1	Translation with Textual-critical Notes	63
4.2	Literary Genre	
4.3	Analysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
	4.3.1 Introductory Prayer for Deliverance (vv. 2-3)	
	4.3.2 Declaration of Innocence, Expressed in the Form of an Oath (vv. 4-6)	
	4.3.3 Appeal for Judgement and Justice (vv. 7-12)	
	4.3.4 Description and Fate of the Wicked (vv. 13-17)	
	4.3.5 Vow of Praise (v. 18)	
4.4	Contextual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
	4.4.1 Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
	4.4.1.1 Yahweh as Refuge and Saviour (vv. 2, 7)	
	4.4.1.2 Yahweh as Judge (v. 9, 18)	
	4.4.1.3 Yahweh as Shield (v. 11)	82
4.4.2	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	83
Chap	pter 5: Psalm 17	86
5.1	Translation with Textual-critical Notes	86
5.2	Literary Genre	
5.3	Analysis of Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
5.5	5.3.1 Prayer for Hearing and Justice (vv. 1-2)	
	5.3.2 Declaration of Innocence (vv. 3-5)	
	5.3.3 Prayer for Refuge and Protection from the Enemy (vv. 6-9)	
	5.3.4 Wickedness of the Enemy (vv. 10-12)	
	5.5.7 WINNUNDO OF THE LINETTY (W. 10-12)	

	5.3.5	Prayer for Intervention and Destruction of the Enemy (vv. 13-14) Expression of Confidence (v. 15)	94
5.4		extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
5.4	5.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
	5.4.1	דער אוניענער איניער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער אוניענער 5.4.11 (v. 3)	
		5.4.1.2 The Saving Hand of Yahweh (v. 7, 14)	
		5.4.1.3 שמר (v. 8)	
		5.4.1.5 שטוי (v. 8) 5.4.1.4 סתר (v. 8)	
		5.4.1.5 (v. 13)	
		 5.4.1.6 ם ף, ש בו עי. 13) 5.4.1.6 פלט (v. 13) 	
		5.4.1.7 הרב (v. 13)	
	5.4.2	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Negative Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
	0.1.2	דארוני איזעקאוטא אווויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע אוויע געעע געעע געעע געעע געעע געעע געע אוו קשב אוויע געעע געעע געעע געעע געעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעעע געעע געעע געעעע געעעע גע	
	5.4.3	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	
Cha	pter 6	· Psalm 31	107
6.1	Tran	slation with Textual-critical Notes	107
6.2		ary Genre	
6.3		ysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
		Prayer for Help and Expression of Confidence (vv. 2a-6a)	
	6.3.2	Expression of Trust Based on Yahweh's Divine Presence (vv. 6b-9b)	
	6.3.3	Lament (vv. 10a-14d)	
	6.3.4	Trust and Prayer for the Downfall of the Enemy (vv. 15a-19c)	120
	6.3.5	Thanksgiving and Praise (vv. 20a-25)	122
6.4		extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
	6.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
		6.4.1.1 היי (v. 3c)	
		6.4.1.2 מעה (v. 3c)	
		6.4.1.3 סלע מצודה (vv. 3d, 4a)	126
		6.4.1.4 ¥¥ (v. 5a)	126
		6.4.1.5 ראה (v. 8)	
		6.4.1.6 ידע (v. 8)	
		6.4.1.7 אור (v. 17a)	128
		6.4.1.7.1 Excursus: The "Face" of Yahweh	128
	6.4.2	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Negative Attitude Towards the Supplicant	130
		6.4.2.1 בוש (vv. 2, 18)	131
	6.4.3	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	132
Cha	pter 7	Psalm 35	136
7.1	Tran	slation with Textual-critical Notes	136
7.2		ary Genre	
7.3		ysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
1.5		Appeal to the Deity (vv. 1b-3)	
	1.3.1	Appear to the Delty (vv. 10-3)	

	7.3.2	Imprecations Against the Enemy (vv. 4-8)	
	7.3.3	Praise to Yahweh (vv. 9-10)	
	7.3.4	Charges Against the Enemy (vv. 11-12)	
	7.3.5	Psalmist's Conduct Towards the Foes (vv. 13-14)	
	7.3.6	Heartlessness of the Adversaries (vv. 15-16)	
	7.3.7	Appeal, Praise to Yahweh and Prayer Against the Enemy (vv. 17-21)	
	7.3.8	Prayer for Justification (vv. 22-25)	
7.4	7.3.9	Imprecation Against the Antagonist and Praise for Yahweh (vv. 26-28) extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
7.4			
	7.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant 7.4.1.1 ריב (v. 1)	
		7.4.1.2 Yahweh as Warrior (vv. 2, 3)	
		7.4.1.3 לבש, הפר אנג (vv. 4, 26)	
		7.4.1.4 שוב (v. 17)	
	712	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Negative Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
	1.4.2	דרוש, אויניגע אוויניגע אוויניג 7.4.2.1 (v. 22)	
	7 4 2	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	
	7.4.3	Conceptual world Onderlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	.155
Cha	oter 8.	· Psalm 44	. 161
8.1	Trans	slation with Textual-critical Notes	161
8.2		ary Genre	
8.3		ysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
0.3			
	8.3.1	Recital of God's Past Mighty Deeds (vv. 2-9)	. 165
		Lament (vv. 10-23)	
~ .		Petition for Deliverance and Help (vv. 24-27)	
8.4		extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
	8.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicants	
		8.4.1.1 שלח , רעע נמע (v. 3)	
		8.4.1.2 זרוע (v. 4)	
		8.4.1.3 רצה (v. 4)	
		8.4.1.4 God as King (v. 5)	.178
		8.4.1.5 עזר (v. 27)	
	8.4.2	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Negative Attitude Towards the Supplicants	.180
		8.4.2.1 II) (v. 10)	.180
		8.4.2.2 כסה , דכה (v. 20)	
		8.4.2.3 تتار (v. 24a)	.182
		8.4.2.4 שכח (v. 25)	.183
	8.4.3	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	.184
Cha	pter 9.	· Psalm 59	.187
9.1	Trans	slation with Textual-critical Notes	.187
9.2		ary Genre	
1.4	Little		. 109

9.3		ysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
	9.3.1	Lament and Call for Help (vv. 2-6)	191
	9.3.2	Depiction of the Enemies as Dogs (vv. 7-8)	. 193
	9.3.3	Statements of Confidence (vv. 9-11)	194
	9.3.4	Prayer for Punishment (vv. 12-14)	195
	9.3.5	Repetition of the Description of the Enemies (vv. 15-16)	196
	9.3.6	Confidence in God (vv. 17-18)	197
9.4	Cont	extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	198
	9.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	198
		9.4.1.1 מנוס, משגב, שגב (vv. 2b, 10b, 17c, d 18b)	198
		9.4.1.2 עו (vv. 10a, 17a, 18a)	199
		9.4.1.3 Yahweh the God of Hosts (v. 6)	199
		9.4.1.4 לעג שחק (v. 9)	201
		9.4.1.5 ירד (v. 12)	201
		9.4.1.6 UV (v. 12)	202
		9.4.1.7 כלה (v. 14)	202
	9.4.2	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	203
Cha	ntar 1	0: Psalm 74	206
Chu	oler I	0. I Sami / 4	200
10.1	Tran	slation with Textual-critical Notes	206
10.2	Liter	ary Genre	209
10.3	Anal	ysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	210
		Lament and Prayer for Help (vv. 1-3)	
	10.3.2	2 Description of the Deeds of the Enemy (vv. 4-8)	212
	10.3.3	B Protest against God (vv. 9-11)	213
		Praise of God's Work in Creation (vv. 12-17)	
		10.3.4.1 Excursus: Myth and History	
	10.3.5	5 Prayer and Appeal to God to intercede (vv. 18-23)	
10.4	Cont	extual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	219
	10.4.1	Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	219
		10.4.1.1 זכר (vv. 2, 18, 22)	
		10.4.1.2 קנה (v. 2)	
		10.4.1.3 (v. 2)	
		10.4.1.4 (v. 3)	
		10.4.1.5 פרר (v. 13)	
		10.4.1.6 שבר (v. 13)	
		10.4.1.7 ארא (v. 14)	
	10.4.2	2 Divine Metaphors Indicating a Negative Attitude Towards the Supplicants.	
		10.4.2.1 ער א עשן (v. 1)	
	10.4.3	Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	

Chapter 11: Psalm 80	227
11.1 Translation with Textual-critical Notes	227
11.2 Literary Genre	229
11.3 Analysis of the Poetic and Stylistic Elements	
11.3.1 Prayer for Help (vv. 2-4)	231
11.3.2 Lament (vv. 5-8)	231
11.3.3 Recital of Yahweh's Saving Acts (vv. 9-12)	233
11.3.4 Lament and Prayer for Restoration (vv. 13-16)	234
11.3.5 Appeal for Judgement and Deliverance (vv. 17-20)	
11.4 Contextual Analysis of the Most Important Divine Metaphors	
11.4.1 Divine Metaphors Indicating a Positive Attitude Towards the Supplicant	
11.4.1.1 Yahweh as Shepherd	236
11.4.1.2 עבט האוינה (vv. 1, 15)	237
11.4.1.3 פקד (v. 15)	238
11.4.1.4 אור , עור , יפע , שוב (vv. 2-4, 8, 20)	
11.4.2 Conceptual World Underlying Some of the Divine Metaphors	239
Conclusion	243
Abbreviations	245
Bibliography	247
Source Index	269
Author Index	276
Subject Index	279

Introduction

1. Problem Statement and Focus

The biblical Hebrew Psalms mirror humankind's entire spectrum of emotions and experiences in its relation to the deity ranging from praise, hope and joy to anxiety, desperation and lament.¹ The biblical Hebrew Psalms of lamentation reflect the struggle and affliction of the individual and the Israelite community.² Brueggemann maintains that even though the lament articulates a religious problem, it is much more than a religious action "Rather, the religious speech always carries with it a surplus of political, economic and social freight".³ Research on the psalms of lamentation has neglected these aspects in the past - especially the way the poet portrays and experiences Yahweh in the face of danger. These poems bombard the reader with a multitude of portrayals of the deity such as father, shepherd, rock, judge, warrior, lion, and shield. As De Vos observes "Das Gottesbild des Beters zeigt sich in der Art, wie er JHWH benennt, wie er welche Erwartungen an ihn äußert und welche Gotteserfahrungen, aktuelle, vergangene und erhoffte, er ins Spiel bringt".⁴ Nowhere else in biblical Hebrew literature is Yahweh portraved in so many ways. In their various studies, Balentine⁵ and Broyles⁶ emphasise the poet's experience of Yahweh in the psalms of lamentation, but their theoretical argumentation of the problem is inadequate and their solutions are not profound enough. The psalms of lamentation bear witness to the fact that the psalmist's suffering determines the thoughts about and depictions of God. These representations also afford the reader insight into the cognitive world of the psalmist. The cognitive factors, which give rise to the unusual divine images, have not been adequately investigated. The aim of this study is to establish the relation between the portrayals of Yahweh and the cognitive organisation of the supplicant's experience, and to try to find cultural explanations for these conceptions on the deity.

¹ WESTERMANN, Psalms.

² HAYES, Psalms; COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation.

³ BRUEGGEMANN, Lament.

⁴ DE VOS, Klage, 1.

⁵ BALENTINE, Hidden God.

⁶ BROYLES, Conflict.

2. Preliminary Study

In the research on the Psalms, scholars have examined several aspects of the psalms of lamentation.⁷ One of the major issues studied, is the form-critical aspects and *Sitz im Leben* – more specifically the cultic *Sitz im Leben* of this literary form⁸ and its various content elements (e.g. the aspects of praise and lament). Coetzee evaluates the most important approaches to the study of the psalms of lamentation in the twentieth century and categorises these methods as follows:⁹ (1) literary interpretations (e.g. form criticism, canon-critical questions, etc.), (2) religious perspectives (where the emphasis is on cultic facets), (3) anthropological explanations¹⁰ and (4) a functional-psychological analysis of the textual information. The functional-psychological approach deals with the poet's existential positions, which may vary from orientation to disorientation.¹¹

All the aforementioned approaches made valuable contributions to the study of the psalms of lamentation. It should, however, be added that one of the limitations of past research was the lack of attention paid to the poet's cognitive utilisation of cultural information in these psalms and the divine portrayals resulting from such an organisation. Assuming that the textual information in the psalms of lamentation is more than literary information as such but also a cognitive and cultural representation of the world the psalmist lived in, then there are still many interesting aspects of the psalms of lamentation awaiting to be investigated. Insights from the social sciences, which gained ground over the last more or less twenty years, will be useful in this regard. Eilberg-Schwartz claims that "a turn toward anthropological cross-cultural traditions can provide a fresh set of questions to ask … and new ways of answering those questions" (emphasis added).¹² The nature and understanding of the divine images in the psalms of lamentation in particular,

⁷ Cf. KEEL, Feinde; WESTERMANN, Praise; FUCHS, Klage; BRUEGGEMANN, Message; MILLER, Interpreting; BRUEGGEMANN, Lament; COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation; BROYLES, Conflict; BALENTINE, Prayer; MILLER, Lord; RIEDE, Netz; JANOWSKI, Konfliktgespräche.

⁸ Cf. MOWINCKEL, Psalms; WEISER, Psalmen; GUNKEL & BEGRICH, Einleitung; WESTER-MANN, Praise.

⁹ COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation.

¹⁰ "Anthropological" does not refer to the study of humankind in its cultural context, but to the experience of man in relation to the deity (cf. WESTERMANN, Gebet; JANOWSKI, Konfliktgespräche).

¹¹ BRUEGGEMANN, Message.

¹² EILBERG-SCHWARTZ, Judaism, 24.

have not previously been analysed from a cognitive perspective. This study aims to do just that.

3. Theoretical Presuppositions and Method

This investigation will draw upon some of the insights from cognitive anthropology, which forms part of the wider spectrum of "cognitive sciences" of which cognitive psychology and cognitive linguistics are the most significant.¹³ D'Andrade defines cognitive anthropology as "the study of the relation between human society and human thought."¹⁴ This implies that there is a close link between human experience of reality and human thought. Cognitive anthropology studies how people in social groups conceive of and think about objects and events which make up their cultural world – including everything from physical objects to abstract categories.¹⁵

Cognitive anthropologists investigate cultural knowledge, knowledge embedded in words, stories, and artefacts, learned from and shared with members of a particular culture. The way people organise, understand and utilise material objects, events, and experiences that make up their world¹⁶ is an important area of investigation. Cognitive anthropology does not claim to predict human behaviour, but purports to describe what is culturally expected or appropriate in given situations, circumstances and contexts.¹⁷ Cognitive anthropologists focus on the cultural aspects of human beings' cognitive worlds.¹⁸ The aim is to reveal some inner workings of the mind, in order to provide a better understanding of how people perceive and structure the world around them. This method also attempts to present a detailed and reliable description of cultural representations.¹⁹

Cognitive anthropology focuses on the intellectual and rational aspects of culture (culture and mind), particularly through the study of language use. Another theoretical underpinning of this approach is that semantic categories marked by linguistic forms are related to meaningful cultural categories. Any cognitive anthropological investigation into culture, therefore, has to take into account the role language plays, especially the language of metaphors. Casson

¹³ CASSON, Schemata, 429.

¹⁴ D'ANDRADE, Cognitive Anthropology, 1.

¹⁵ D'ANDRADE, Cognitive Anthropology, 1.

¹⁶ TYLER, Cognitive Anthropology 3; SOLOMON, History, 2; ROBERTSON, Cognitive Anthropololgy, 1.

¹⁷ SOLOMON, History, 2.

¹⁸ BOYER, Religious Symbolism, 19.

¹⁹ D'ANDRADE, Cognitive Anthropology, 251.

emphasises the importance of metaphorical language "The systematicity of metaphorical concepts is reflected in the language used in talking about these concepts and that as a consequence, linguistic expressions are a source of insight into and evidence for the nature of the human conceptual system."²⁰

Metaphors appear to introduce information from the source domain (physical reality) into the target domain (abstract world). Studying metaphorical linguistic expressions thus makes the cognitive world of an individual or a group more accessible. Assuming that metaphoric linguistic expressions abound in the psalms of lamentation, it means that the poet cognitively construes reality as it is perceived. In this construction, images of Yahweh are prominent. This investigation therefore regards certain insights from cognitive anthropology as a useful means of explaining why the psalmist uses this particular strategy. The current study will focus on the nature of the supplicant's experience in a selection of psalms of lamentation and the relation between the physical world and its cultural representation in terms of metaphors.

4. Plan of the Present Investigation

The investigation will be conducted as follows:

Chapter 1 evaluates the most important approaches to the research on the psalms of lamentation in the twentieth century. This chapter also examines the extent to which researchers have made use of "cultural information" in the interpretation of the psalms of lamentation and how this is reconciled with the predominantly literary approaches which characterised the study of these poems in the twentieth century.

Chapter 2 will investigate the relation between culture (which includes a general outlook) and cognition, and the representation of these elements in language. Given that language embeds itself in a particular cultural milieu, linguistic expressions can only be understood when cultural aspects – which include cognition – are also taken into account in the interpretation process.

Chapter 3 elucidates metaphor as a literary and cognitive phenomenon, for the descriptions of Yahweh in the psalms of lamentation are of a metaphorical. Metaphors are one of the primary strategies for interpreting the abstract reality. Furthermore, metaphors are valuable tools for deciphering the divine images in the psalms of lamentation. Since this trope allows for the mapping of elements of source domains unto elements of target domains, the cognitive function of metaphor will be emphasised. Chapters 4 to 11 will be the focus of the study. In this section, a selection of psalms of lamentation

²⁰ CASSON, Schemata, 451.

5

with a significant concentration of images of Yahweh will be analysed from a literary and cognitive perspective. The psalms to be studied are Psalms 7, 17, 31, 35, 44, 59, 74 and 80. This selection attempts to be a representative choice of the divine portrayals found in the psalms of lamentation. Viewed from a cognitive perspective, these psalms seem to best highlight the function of the nominal and verbal metaphorical descriptions of Yahweh. The analysis will be conducted as follows: Firstly, a translation with textual-critical notes on the various psalms is offered, whereafter the literary genre of every psalm will be determined. Secondly, the literary aspects of every selected psalm are discussed with special reference to the unique poetic and stylistic elements of each. Finally, the unique nature and character of the divine images in each psalm will be studied and explained within a cognitive anthropological framework. This study argues that a cognitive analysis of this (cultural) aspect in the psalms of lamentation can afford new and fresh insights, especially in finding explanations for the affinity of these poets for the recurring images of Yahweh.

Chapter 1

The Psalms of Lamentation: A Survey of Research

Throughout the centuries, the Psalms have captured the imagination of biblical scholars. These lyric poems best describe the human being's relation to Yahweh and *vice versa*. It is therefore not surprising that the Psalms have been the topic of various discussions, which in a sense complicate any survey of these poems in just one chapter. Coetzee¹ is justified in his observation that a study of the history of research on the psalms of lamentation presupposes a specific focus. On the one hand, it implies reviewing the psalms of lamentation as a genre and, on the other hand blending it with psalm research in general. Owing to the vast amount of literature, this investigation will focus, in a rather eclectic manner, on the major twentieth century approaches and attempt to highlight their contribution to the exegesis of the Psalms in general and the psalms of lamentation in particular. Coetzee² offers a helpful schema for the analysis of the key approaches.

1.1 Form Criticism

It is common knowledge that Gunkel's work on the Psalms revolutionised the way scholars viewed this corpus of the Hebrew Bible. Without discarding the contributions of earlier expositions, this study deems it in order to begin with the contributions of Gunkel. As the pioneer of the form-critical method, Gunkel postulates that the particular experience that calls forth the composition simultaneously shapes its literary form at the same time. He maintains that "Ein literarischer Stoff muß zunächst nach Gesetzen seiner eigenen Art, also nach Gesetzen, die der Literaturgeschichte entnommen sind, geordnet werden. Der Forscher soll danach streben, dieser Dichtungsart ihre eingeborene, natürliche Gliederung abzulauschen."³ The notion of genre⁴

¹ COETZEE, 1992.

² COETZEE, 1992.

³ GUNKEL & BEGRICH, Einleitung, 9, 10.

⁴ CLINES, Postmodern, 666-686, offers an illuminating exposition of the genre criticism that followed the work of Gunkel; cf. also NASUTI, Defining, 30-56. SEYBOLD, Psalmauslegungen, discusses the research on certain individual psalms.

which was initially mainly oral, developed from this. Texts belong to a specific genre when they share certain thoughts and moods, a particular linguistic form and a common setting in life.⁵ Content, form and experience all interact and are interdependent, so that by a close examination of the first two, the proper setting of the composition in real life (*Sitz im Leben*) can be determined.⁶ Sociological and literary elements combine in the form-critical definition of genre.

The linguistic types underlying the individual texts are genres that arise out of a typical societal or life setting.⁷ They are governed by patterns, appear in typical formulaic expressions, convey a typical mood and have a typical function. In order to identify a genre one has to recognise the coherence of all these factors, or at least that of the mood, the formulaic language and the setting.⁸ Form criticism treats the practical life context as the logical primary one, in a sequence that proceeds from the occasion, to the content, to the form of expression.⁹ The psalms of lamentation belonged to the cult and were initially transmitted orally by the priest.¹⁰ In the course of time, these psalms became disengaged from their cultic settings, ultimately developing into "spiritual songs". Some laments thus originated outside the cult. They emanated from private pious circles, usually consisting of poor, oppressed laymen.

Almost concurring with Gunkel, Gerstenberger¹¹ asserts that the psalms had their origin in the non-institutional circles of ancient Israel, that is, in the family and smaller settings of life.¹² He also focuses on cultic activities

¹² COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation, 160-162, discusses the life setting of the psalms of lamentation. This investigation illustrates the diverse positions held by various scholars on this subject. Some of the proposed life settings are a sacral judgement (SCHMIDT, Gebet), the Covenant festival (WEISER, Psalmen), an institutional cultic ordeal (BEYERLIN, Rettung) and the sanctuary as a place for asylum seekers (DELEKAT, Asylie). In his work on the enemies in the Psalms, KEEL, Feinde, endeavours to explicate the *Sitz im Leben* of the lamentation psalms psychoanalytically. The adversaries are the physical personification of the subjectivity of the plaintiff (COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation, 161). The problem of *Sitz im Leben* connects with the change in mood found in the psalms of lamentation (COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation, 161). The difficulty of postulating a unified life setting for these psalms complicates the matter. One is therefore "forced" to focus on individual psalms or certain groups of lamentation psalms.

⁵ Cf. BUSS, Sitz im Leben, 159; NASUTI, Defining, 45.

⁶ Cf. SARNA, Prolegomenon, XX.

⁷ KNIERIM, Old Testament, 435.

⁸ KNIERIM, Old Testament, 436.

⁹ BUSS, Form Criticism, 247.

¹⁰ GUNKEL & BEGRICH, Einleitung, 260-265.

¹¹ GERSTENBERGER, Psalms, 33.

outside the purview of the official temple cult; in other words, on family rituals that descended from long-standing traditions circulated among kinship groups. The Psalter proceeded from religious poems composed in these humble settings to the temple where it became the instrument of worship and teaching of the priest and temple officials.¹³ Although Gerstenberger supports the cultic interpretations of the Psalter, he rejects Gunkel and Mowinckel's myopic view of cult.¹⁴ The key notion is that these psalms were more than mere outpourings of a solitary poetic genius; they also reflected the varied liturgical traditions of a worshipping community.¹⁵ Nasuti is of the opinion that the impetus behind Gunkel's form criticism was the desire to move beyond the sterile concerns of the historical criticism of his own day.¹⁶

Historical criticism insisted on situating the text in a specific setting of a particular historical period. Scholars emphasised the authenticity and integrity of a text, its origin and date, and the background and intention of the author.¹⁷ Historical criticism focused on the "uncovering of the history of Israel in each psalm."¹⁸ The objective was to determine "how it really was."¹⁹ The way in which the poet expresses the experience of historical events was foregrounded. It was especially difficult to achieve these goals from a formcritical viewpoint in the case of the Psalms because of their stereotypes. Gunkel did not discard the historical matters pertaining to a text; instead, he shifted the focus from historical settings to typical institutional settings in the life of the community. He concentrated on the very aspects that had frustrated historical criticism, viz. the general, formulaic and repetitious character of the language in which the Psalms were composed.²⁰ The Psalms were not so much rooted in unique historical circumstances, but were instances of a genre that belonged to recurring occasions in the course of Israel's religion.²¹ The dawn of a more cultic interpretation liberated scholars from speculative efforts to reconstruct the historical background of the Psalms.²²

¹³ Cf. also BULLOCK, Encountering, 48.

¹⁴ MANDOLFO, God, 152.

¹⁵ MUDDIMAN, Form Criticism, 241.

¹⁶ Cf. NASUTI, Defining, 46. WELLHAUSEN, Prolegomena, applies and explicates the basic assumptions of the historical-critical method. For a discussion on Wellhausen's contributions to the study of the Israelite religion, cf. KRAUS, Geschichte; KNIGHT, Wellhausen; MILLER, Wellhausen; SMEND, Wellhausen.

¹⁷ FITZMYER, Historical Criticism, 249.

¹⁸ GERSTENBERGER, Psalms, 180.

¹⁹ FITZMYER, Historical Criticism, 246.

²⁰ MAYS, Past, 149.

²¹ MAYS, Past, 149.

²² NASUTI, Defining, 46.

Gunkel's revolutionary study of the Psalms elicits what could be regarded as rather harsh criticism from Kroeze who asserts that Gunkel's "... formal tendencies don't make him a reliable guide to a better understanding of the Psalms: his constructions rest on debatable premises, followed by faulty inferences."23 Buss maintains that, despite the criticism levelled against Gunkel, his most important contribution to the study of the Psalms in general was his overt characterisation of the genres as trimodal, with reference to their life situation, content and linguistic form.²⁴ He combines the classification of literary form with the discernment of that form's social setting. Gunkel regards the aesthetic features of the text (mood and literary features) and its social Sitz im Leben in the life of ancient Israel as important.²⁵ Muilenberg and Hossfeld & Zenger, however, claim that form criticism's preoccupation with speech patterns undermines the individual, personal and unique characteristics of a specific psalm.²⁶ This method overlooks important inner correspondences that would connect psalms of apparently diverse types.²⁷ The traditional way of practising form criticism did not consider the artistic creativity of the individual poetic units. Buss claims that form-critical research foregrounds the correlation of content, linguistic form and life setting.²⁸

Muddiman accuses form criticism of abstract idealisation and logical circularity.²⁹ It is open to doubt whether the short, pure forms reconstructed by form criticism ever existed, because surviving textual material is often complex and mixed. To reconstruct the life setting from form and to explain the form by an appeal to its life setting is to argue in a circle, and to ignore the possibility that a particular form may be transmitted in a variety of different settings, whilst not being entirely subordinate to any of them.³⁰ The relation between the forms of oral tradition and their social function is much more complicated than the form-critical method is willing to acknowledge. Consider for instance Buss's remark in this regard "Gunkel's major error lay in believing that the connection between the three aspects is a tight one in oral life."³¹ Form criticism has traditionally been concerned with issues of "form", "time", "place", and "occasion", while "function" has been largely neglected.³² Despite a fair amount of criticism, Gunkel highlighted those

²³ KROEZE, Studies, 42.

²⁴ BUSS, Form Criticism, 259.

²⁵ Cf. MUILENBERG, Form Criticism, 5.

²⁶ Cf. MUILENBERG, Form Criticism, 5; HOSSFELD & ZENGER, Psalmen, 19.

²⁷ Cf. SARNA, Prolegomenon, XXII.

²⁸ BUSS, Form Criticism, 73.

²⁹ MUDDIMAN, Form Criticism, 241.

³⁰ MUDDIMAN, Form Criticism, 241-242.

³¹ BUSS, Form Criticism, 259, 260.

³² BALENTINE, Prayer, 17.

aspects of the Psalms neglected by his colleagues and, in so doing, offered new insights and enhanced the interpretation of the Psalms in the twentieth century. The form-critical approach has "... provided a literary context out of which one can interpret each individual psalm."³³

1.1.1 Excursus: New Stylistics

The Gunkelian *Gattungsforschung* has been challenged by the "new stylistics" as part of the new literary criticism.³⁴ The following remark of BLENKINSOPP best characterises the basic position of this school "A poem is an indivisible entity which cannot be divided into matter and form and which should not be used as a document to prove anything outside itself; the critic's only approach is to study the different stylistic procedures as so many levels of articulation, all simultaneously present and dynamically interactive within the poem. His work must begin and end in the concrete, unique and non-recurring event which the poem is.³⁵ It can be inferred that, according to this stance, asking questions about life setting and form and answering them would be a valueless endeavour, since the Psalms do not lend themselves to the asking and answering of such questions.

WEISS, as an adherent of the new stylistics, takes a far more radical stance towards the *Gattungsforschung* of Gunkel.³⁶ He proposes to do away with the *Gattung* study, which he brands a Germanic notion quite unrelated to Hebrew ways of thinking and Hebrew poetical procedures that, unlike classical poetry, paid little attention to formal precision and structure. In his view, Gunkel was not able to appreciate the individuality of the Psalms.

Although REVENTLOW declares his support for the new stylistics, he nonetheless criticises Weiss's rejection of *Gattung* study as one-sided and calls for the co-operation between the study of the *Gattung* and the style analysis of the individual poem.³⁷ VAN DER PLOEG argues that, although the classification of genre is important, it should not dominate the study of the Psalms.³⁸ While attention should be paid to the style and genre of each psalm, it is the concrete reality of the individual psalm and not the abstraction of a literary genre that must be dominant in exegesis.³⁹

Concurring with other exponents of the "new stylistics", ALLEN asserts that the "stylistic aspects of the psalm need to be taken seriously as yielding insights into the intricate exegetical development of the Psalm. They shed light upon the psalmist's handling of standard forms, upon the major and minor pauses within the composition, and upon textual issues. In the quest for meaning, style is an invaluable guide."⁴⁰ A rhetorical-critical study of the Psalms is thus advocated. Although the new stylistics can make a very important contribution to the interpretation of the Psalms, CLINES doubts "whether the outright rejection of *Gattung* study has proved beneficial."⁴¹

³³ NASUTI, Defining, 13.

³⁴ COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation, 162; CLINES, Postmodern, 676.

³⁵ BLENKINSOPP, Stylistics, 353.

³⁶ WEISS, Wege.

³⁷ REVENTLOW, Psalm 8.

³⁸ VAN DER PLOEG, Psalmen.

³⁹ COETZEE, Psalms of Lamentation, 163.

⁴⁰ ALLEN, Rhetorical Criticism, 598.

⁴¹ CLINES, Postmodern, 679.

Source Index

Footnotes are not included

Genesis		6:6	177
3:23	176	11:8	127
6:12	127	12:26	165
8:7	154	12:31	127
8:7, 8	176	13:9	96
8:9	154	14:13	78
9:15	220	14:14	151
12:20	176	14:16	96
13:7	150	14:30	78
14:7	154	15:1, 16	220
15:3	175	15:11-13	92
15:16	154	15:13	199, 221
18:10	154	17:2	150
18:25	73	19:4	100
21:10	175	20:5	96
21:11	176	20:18	202
21:16	155	21:8	150
26:20	150	25:20	99
29:3	154	32-34	129
31:36	150	33:7	155
33:10	178	33:14	129
34:5	155	33:23	127
37:35	202	37:9	99
40:7	128		
41:13	154	Leviticus	
42:35	152	11:7	152
43:10	154	26:15	222
44:3	130	26:33	152
49:9	101	26:44	220
49:24	177		
50:24	96	Numbers	
		11:5	219
Exodus		11:10	176
2:24	220	14:45	202
3:4	127	16:30, 33	202
4:31	96	24:9	101
6:5	220	24:16	128

Deuteronom	1)	11:3	78
1:30	151	12:7	82
2:15	96	14:36	130
3:22	151	15:18	203
3.22 4:9		15.18	127
	183		
4:37	129	17:45	200
4:44	177	20:30	131
6:12	183	24:3	124
6:20	165	25:20	202
7:18	219	26:12	128
7:22	203	29:4	154
9:2	222		
12:21	155	2 Samuel	
14:24	155	5:7, 9	126
28:68	220	7:10	176
31:6	123	9:6	128
31:16, 20	222	11:9	202
32:10	92	14:7	175
32:11	100	19:6	131
33:24	178	19:11	154
33:27	125	22:3	198, 199
		22:47	222
Joshua			
1:6	123	l Kings	
10:14, 42	151	1:1	182
19:47	175	8:23	98
24:20	203	8:31	69
24.20	205	0.51	07
Judges		2 Kings	
3:13	175	2:27	131
3:25	131	4:8	152
4:18	182	8:11	132
6:31	150	13:17	203
7:8	150	18:21	203
7:25	132	18:21	155
8:1	124	19:21	201
8.1 9:7	103	19:21	82
9:17 9:15	77	20:6	82 82
		20:6	82
9:36	202	7 · 1	
9:53	223	Isaiah	202
14:15	175	1:10-31	203
16:2	130	2:14	222
16:28	220	4:6	99
19:25	130	5:2	176
		5:9	128
1 Samuel		5:25	98
1:3	200	6:1	127
1:11	220	6:2	99
2:9	98	6:9	103

9:1	130	48:17	221
9:17	224	49:25	150
10:18	203	50:7	128
11:11	220	51:1, 5, 6	82
13:11	96	51:9	177
14:5	223	51:16	176
14:22	79	52:10	177
14:25	223	54:5, 8	221
17:5	177	54:14	155
17:9	125	58:3	128
17:10	126	58:8	130
18:11	198	59:4	74
19:11	202	59:12	128
21:7	103	59:16	78, 177
23:11	125	60:16	221
24:4	124	63:9	129
26:5	198	63:12	177
29:15	128	63:15	238
29:20	203	63:16	221
29:22	128	64:8	96
30:2	77	64:12	155
30:3	76	65:5	183
30:3-5	152	65:12	101
31:5	82		
32:2	99	Jeremiah	
33:2	124	1:10	176
33:11	74	3:25	182
33:16	198	4:16	129
34:10	224	5:3	128
34:15	99	6:25	102
36:6	223	8:6	103
36:21	155	11:10	222
37:22	201	11:19	219
37:27	142	11:20	150
37:35	82	12:3	127
40:11	177	14:3	131
40:21	103	14:19-21	220
41:10	180	14:21	220
41:14	221	15:6	98
41:21	179	15:15	96
42:1	178	16:19	199
42:3	223	18:19	103
42:14	155	18:22	142
42:17	131, 153	21:5	151, 177
43:14	202, 221	21:13	124, 125
44:2	180	22:22	152
46:12-13	82	23:12	142
47:1	202	23:40	152
47:7	219	27:5	177

272		Source Index	
28:2	223	5:18-20	156
29:10-11	238	7:9	79
29:20	177	9:9	202
30:6	128		
30:8	223	Jonah	
31:19	152	3:8	182
36:26	99		
42:11	78	Micah	
42:17	128	7:6	155
48:4	223		
48:11	152	Nahum	
48:18	202	1:13	223
49:16	202	2:14	224
49:35	223	3:12	202
50:34	221		
51:40	202	Habakkuk	
51:50	219	3:13	78
51:51	182		
		Zephaniah	
Ezekiel		2:7	238
3:16	155	a 1 · 1	
5:12	102, 203	Zechariah	02
6:13 7:18	222	2:12	92 152
7:18 8:6	182 155	4:12 10:3	152 238
8.0 11:5	155	10.5	238 156
11:8	102	14	150
16:52	152	Malachi	
20:33, 34	177	3:16	103
21:37	219	5.10	105
22:12	183	Psalms	
26:20	202	3:8	79
30:21	223	5:3	103
36:36	176	5:11, 12	178
40:4	103	6:11	154
44:7	222	9:5	71, 179
		9:10	198
Hosea		9:13	183
5:3	128	9:16	75, 143
6:3	130	9:18	121
6:10	127	9:20	79
9:10	127	10:3-4	93
10:6	131	10:11	127
		10:12	71, 183
Joel		10:14	180
4:2	202	10:18	179
		11:2	74
Amos	222	13:2	129, 183
1:5	223	13:4	130, 238

14:6	76	46:5-8	200
16:11	97	46:6	180
18	71	46:8	198
18:3	67, 198	47	179
18:3, 47	124	47:11	178
18:18	78	48:9	200
18:20	126	49:15	121
18:29	130	50:3-21	155
18:31	67	50:18	178
18:38	203	51:5	128
19:15	221	51:10, 19	182
20:6	97	52:6	201
21:4	101	54:6	78, 180
22:9	178	55:3	103
22:12	154	55:6	182
22:31	82	56:14	78, 130
24:5	78	57:2	93, 100
24:6	129	57:5	102
24:7-10	201	57:7	143
25:6-7	220	60:3	145
	126	61:3	222
25:15, 17 25:20			199
	98, 132	61:4	
27	129	61:5	93, 100
27:1	126	62:5	178
27:8	129	62:8	76, 199
27:9	129	62:12	199
28:7	180, 199	63:8	93, 100
28:8	126	63:19	121
29	179	64:4	74
30:6	178	65:6	78
30:8	178	66:12	126
31:18-19	93	66:19	103
33:16	78	68:2	79
34:5	78	69:18	129
34:8	142	69:20	128
36:8	67, 93, 100	71:3	125
36:10	130	71:13	132
37:14	74	72:4	78
37:20	203	72:12	180
37:24	96	73:3-9	93
38:9	182	76:10	78
40:11	78	77:4, 6	219
40:16	132	77:6	221
42:10	183	77:8	181
43:1	150	77:15	177
43:2	180	78:3	165
43:3	130	78:7, 11	183
44:4-6	78	78:35	221
45:11	103	78:39	220

274		Source Index	
78:54	97, 220	141:7	121
78:65	182	141:9	98
79:8	101	142:5	199
79:9	180	143:5	220
80:9, 16	176	144:2	126, 198
82:8	79	144:7	97
84:2, 9, 13	200	145:16	97
85:2, 5	178	145:20	98
86:2	98	146:7-9	179
86:17	180		
88:6	220	Job	
88:15	129, 180	7:9	202
89:5-7	200	8:4	177
89:5-18	179	12:16	199
89:11	177, 199	13:1, 2	103
89:12	97	14:13	220
89:39	180	14:20	177
89:51-52	220	17:16	202
91:2	126	22:12	222
93:1	199	24:13-16	130
94:2	73	28:4	183
94:4	93	28:11	130
94:12	198	40:9	177
95:2	101		
95:5	96	Proverbs	
96:6	199	1:26	201
96:13	179	5:4	102
97:11	130	10:5	131
98:1	177	11:20	178
98:9	179	12:4	131
102:15	178	12:18	102
103:6	179	13:5	153
103:14	220	15:18	178
104:28	97	18:1	198
105:4	129	19:26	153
107:14, 28	127	20:22	78
108:12	181	21:31	78
108:13	78	23:10	221
109:26	180	23:26	178
110:1	97	26:27	75, 143
113:4	222		
118:15-16	97	Lamentation	ıs
119:86	180	1:11	238
119:154	151, 221	1:16	155
136:23	220	2:7	181
137:6	219	2:20	238
138:7	97	3:58	151, 221
140:5	98	5:1-20	220
140:8	199	5:20	183

Daniel		1 Chronicles	
10:10	202	12:18	180
11:1	152	21:16	182
11:7	125, 152	28:4	178
		28:9	181
Nehemiah		29:17	178
4:1	201		
5:16	152	2 Chronicles	
6:14	220	4:5	152
9:32	98	6:14	98
13:11	150	6:32	177
13:29	220	14:10	180
		20:29	151
		25:8	180
		32:7	123

Author Index

Footnotes are not included

Albright, W.F. 15 Allen, L.C. 10 Anderson, A.A. 67, 75 Balentine, S.E. 1 Barr, J. 17, 174 Barstad, H.M. 177 Basso, K.H. 38 Batto, B.F. 72, 182 Begrich, J. 14 Bergmann, U. 78 Beyerlin, W. 66, 89 Black, M. 44-47 Blenkinsopp, J. 10 Bons, E. 114, 123, 128 Bowling, A. 220, 222 Bratcher, R.G. & Reyburn, W.A. 141 Briggs, C.A. & Briggs, E.G. 230 Brown, W.P. 77, 82-84, 100, 104, 124, 220Broyles, C.C. 2, 73, 111, 164 Brueggemann, W. 1, 12, 19-20, 61, 149 Buss, M.J. 9 Buttenwieser, M. 66, 110-111, 164, 190, 209, 230 Casson, R.W. 3 Chamberlain, G. 99 Cheyne, T.K. 163 Childs, B.S. 16-18 Clines, D.J.A. 10 Coetzee, J.H. 2, 13-14, 22 Cohen, G. 198 Collins, T. 111 Coppes, L.J.C. 79 Craigie, P.C. 65, 71, 73, 74, 89, 92, 112, 139, 140, 141, 163, 164, 168

Creach, J.F.D. 77, 124 Croft, S.F.L. 140 D'Andrade, R. 30-32 Dahood, M. 112, 139, 164, 189 Davidson, R. 189, 230 De Vos, C. 1, 120 Deist, F.E. 30 Doyle, B. 190 Duhm, D.B. 110, 164 Duranti, A. 25 Eaton, J.H. 139 Eichorn, D. 125, 196 Eilberg-Schwartz, H. 2 Eising, H. 101, 220 Eißfeldt, O. 230 Emmendörffer, M. 163, 209 Endres, J.C. 111 Falkenstein, A. & Von Soden, W. 14 Firmage, E. 222 Fuhs, H.F. 127 Gamberoni, J. 77 Gamper, A. 73 Garcia-López, F. 98 Geertz, C. 26, 28, 34 Gerstenberger, E.S. 7, 8, 65, 74, 76, 111, 230 Gierlich, A.M. 129 Goeke, H. 21-22 Goodenough, W. 26 Goulder, M.D. 164 Gunkel, H. 6-11, 13, 23 Hamp, V. 81

Harrison, R.K. & Merril, H. 155 Hartenstein, F. 129 Heinemann, H. 230 Hill, A.E. 99 Hossfeld, F-L. & Zenger, E. 90, 111, 140, 192, 195, 209 Hubbard, R.L. 73-74 Hugger, P. 77 Human, D. 164 Janowski, B. 72, 80, 179 Janzen, J.G. 145 Jenni, E. 84 Jepsen, A. 82, 174, 221 Johnson, M. 57, 59, 104 Jüngling, H-W. 140 Kaiser, W.C. 102 Keel, O. 124 Kloos, C. 223 Klopfenstein, M. 131 Koenen, K. 68 Koller, V. 43 Kövecses, Z. 50, 51, 53-54, 85, 104, 159 Kraus, H-J. 66, 71, 73, 75, 90-91, 93, 111, 121, 129, 140-141, 164, 210, 229 Kroeber, K. & Kluckhohn, C. 24 Kroeze, H. 9 Kwakkel, G. 67, 73, 75, 166, 182 Lakoff, G. 49, 51, 56 Lakoff, G. & Johnson, M. 38-39, 44, 47, 53-54, 61, 85, 132, 184 Lakoff, G. & Turner, M. 54, 60, 83, 104 Leveen, J. 88 Lindström, F. 69 Lohfink, N. 176 Longman, T. 156, 200 Macholz, C. 65 Mafico, T.L.J. 73 Mahon, J.W. 42 Markschies, C. 112 Mays, J. L. 66, 67, 77, 110 McAlpine, T.H. 182 McFague, S. 48 Mettinger, T.N.D. 182, 200, 201 Micheal, T.S.C. 200 Miller, P.D. 67, 156, 200

Mosis, F. 103 Mowinckel, S. 8, 11-13, 15, 19 Muddiman, J. 9 Muilenberg, J. 9 Murphy, G.L. 48 Nasuti, H.P. 8, 11 Naudé, J.A. 24, 37 Niehaus, J.J. 224 North, R. 224 Nwaoru, E.O. 45 Oeming, M. 118, 120 Opperwall-Galluch, N.J. 100 Ortony, A. 42 Oswalt, J.N.O. 152 Reventlow, H.G. 10, 68 Richards, I.A. 43, 44 Ricoeur, P. 19-20, 46 Riede, P. 67-68, 70 Ringgren, H. 238 Roberts, J.J.M. 98 Rogerson, J.W & Mckay, J.W. 89, 92 Ross, J.P. 200 Ross, N. 25, 29, 31 Ruprecht, E. 101 Sapir, E. 37 Sarna, N.H. 13 Sauer, G. 98 Sawyer, J. 82 Schaefer, K. 65, 89 Schäfer, R. 157 Schmidt, H. 189 Schmidt, W.H. 220 Schottroff, W. 219 Schrey, H.H. 13 Schuman, N.A. 70-71 Schwab, E. 99 Seebaß, H. 131, 132 Seeligmann, I.L. 80 Seybold, K. 14, 91, 111, 116, 139, 140, 171 Spiro, M.E. 25 Strauss, C. & Quinn, N. 27 Tate, M.E. 190, 230 Tigay, J.H. 66

Tournay, R.J. 129 Van der Ploeg, J.P.M. 10, 110 Van der Toorn, K. 91 Wagner, S. 99, 153, 199 Wakely, R. 199 Weber, B. 89, 140, 152, 164, 209 Weiss, M. 10 Wendland, E. 117, 120 Westermann, C. 22, 232 Widengren, G. 14, 182 Wierzbicka, A. 49, 53, 54 Willesen, F. 209 Williams, R.P. 96, 101 Willis, J.T. 75 Willmes, B. 237 Wilson, G.H. 176 Witherspoon, G. 37 Wright, C.J.H. 175 Young, W.A. 209 Zenger, E. 129

Zobel, H-J. 126

Subject Index

Analogy 41 Anger 48, 58, 63, 64, 65, 71, 128, 174, 177, 178, 180, 203, 206, 210, 211, 223, 224 Battle axe 141 Categories 3, 22, 23, 35, 36, 37, 40, 41, 56, 106, 216 Clothing 153, 182 Cognitive 2, 3, 4, 5, 23, 25, 29, 30, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 43, 44, 47, 48, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 83, 84, 85, 105, 106, 130, 133, 134, 157, 180, 184, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244 Anthropology 3, 243 - Schema 31, 57 - World 1, 3, 105, 159, 160, 186, 204, 241, 243 Correspondences 9, 50 - Epistemic 50 **Ontological** 49 _ Cultural 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 56, 83, 84, 85, 105, 106, 156, 157, 239, 240, 243, 244 - Elements 27 - Identity 37 Representations 38 Culture 3, 4, 14, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 56, 83, 243 Dogs 25, 188, 189, 190, 193, 196, 197, 204 Domain Source 4, 48, 54, 61, 62 Target 4,48 Enculturation 24

Entailment 132, 240 Enthronement 12, 13, 72 Festival 12 Ethos 34 Focus 45 Frame. See Focus Honour 63, 70, 71, 97, 130, 132, 135, 152, 158, 213 Interaction 44 Kin 221 Kingship 12, 13, 77, 178, 182, 200, 215, 229 Knowledge 6, 25, 26, 29, 33, 36, 37, 38, 40, 46, 48, 49, 52, 54, 55, 56, 61, 104, 128, 130, 156, 243 Cultural 3, 25, 26, 28, 29, 32, 37 Generic 29 Procedural 25 Propositional 25 Lion 1, 63, 68, 70, 88, 93, 94, 146, 154 Loving-kindness 87, 92, 107, 109, 114, 116, 121, 122, 163, 175, 189, 197 Ludlill 181 Mapping 4, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 54, 60, 61,62 Marduk 181 Metaphor 4, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 61, 67, 68, 74, 77, 83, 84, 85, 95, 100, 104, 105, 115, 118, 124, 133, 171, 178, 184, 185, 203, 204, 224, 227, 236, 240, 241, 243

Model 31 - Mental 31 Myth 13, 15, 32, 215 Oath 66, 69, 70, 71 Ordeal 7,69 Private. See Culture Protection 22, 64, 65, 67, 74, 76, 82, 83, 84, 89, 92, 93, 97, 98, 99, 100, 104, 113, 117, 121, 122, 123, 124, 126, 132, 134, 177, 181, 183, 195, 197, 199, 203, 240 Public. See Culture Restaurant script 31 Righteousness 64, 65, 70, 71, 72, 73, 76, 80, 82, 86, 88, 96, 107, 112, 113, 116, 139, 149, 150, 160, 178

Schemas

- Idiosyncratic 30
- Universal 30

Shame 112, 121, 130, 131, 132, 135, 139, 149, 153, 158, 162, 168, 169, 171, 182 Sitz im Leben 2, 7, 9, 13, 20, 66, 111, 140, 163, 209, 210 Spear 97, 136, 141, 151, 156, 157, 158 Subcultures 26 Sword 65, 88, 94, 97, 102, 105, 106, 151, 152, 161, 162, 166, 167, 177, 184 Symbols 26, 28, 83, 99, 193, 212, 216 Temple 8, 67, 89, 95, 100, 140, 163, 181, 190, 200, 207, 209, 211, 212, 221, 224, 226 Tenor 43, 44 Vehicle 28, 37, 43, 44, 50 War 36, 75, 82, 83, 84, 102, 105, 111, 116, 124, 151, 156, 157, 167, 184, 200, 218, 243

- Wings 67, 88, 92, 93, 99, 100, 104, 105, 109
- Worldview 20, 34, 35

Forschungen zum Alten Testament Edited by Bernd Janowski, Mark S. Smith and Hermann Spieckermann

Alphabetical Index

- Barthel, Jörg: Prophetenwort und Geschichte. 1997. Volume 19.
- -: see Hermisson, Hans-Jürgen.
- Basson, Alec: Divine Metaphors in Selected Hebrew Psalms of Lamentation. 2006. Volume II/15.
- Baumann, Gerlinde: Die Weisheitsgestalt in Proverbien 1-9. 1996. Volume 16.
- Bodendorfer, Gerhard und Matthias Millard (Hrsg.): Bibel und Midrasch. Unter Mitarbeit von B. Kagerer. 1998. Volume 22.
- Chapman, Stephen B.: The Law and the Prophets. 2000. Volume 27.
- Diße, Andreas: see Groß, Walter.
- Ego, Beate: see Janowski, Bernd.
- Ehrlich, Carl S. / White, Marsha C. (Ed.): Saul in Story and Tradition. 2006. Volume 47. Emmendörffer, Michael: Der ferne Gott. 1997. Volume 21.
- Finlay, Timothy D.: The Birth Report Genre in the Hebrew Bible. 2005. Volume II/12.
- Finsterbusch, Karin: Weisung für Israel. 2005. Volume 44.
- Frevel, Christian (Ed.): Medien im antiken Palästina. 2005. Volume II/10.
- *Groβ, Walter:* Die Satzteilfolge im Verbalsatz alttestamentlicher Prosa. Unter Mitarbeit von A. Diße und A. Michel. 1996. *Volume 17.*
- Hanhart, Robert: Studien zur Septuaginta und zum hellenistischen Judentum. 1999. Volume 24.
- Hardmeier, Christof: Erzähldiskurs und Redepragmatik im Alten Testament. 2005. Volume 46.
- Hausmann, Jutta: Studien zum Menschenbild der älteren Weisheit (Spr 10ff). 1995. Volume 7.
- Hermisson, Hans-Jürgen: Studien zu Prophetie und Weisheit. Hrsg. von J. Barthel, H. Jauss und K. Koenen 1998. Volume 23.
- Huwyler, Beat: Jeremia und die Völker. 1997. Volume 20.
- Janowski, Bernd und Ego, Beate (Hrsg.): Das biblische Weltbild und seine altorientalischen Kontexte. 2001. Volume 32.
- Janowski, Bernd und Stuhlmacher, Peter (Hrsg.): Der Leidende Gottesknecht. 1996. Volume 14.
- Jauss, Hannelore: see Hermisson, Hans-Jürgen.
- Jeremias, Jörg: Hosea und Amos. 1996. Volume 13.
- Kagerer, Bernhard: see Bodendorfer, Gerhard.
- Kakkanattu, Joy Philip: God's Enduring Love in the Book of Hosea. 2006. Volume 2/14.
- Kiuchi, Nobuyoshi: A Study of Hata' and Hatta't in Leviticus 4-5. 2003. Volume II/2.
- Knierim, Rolf P.: Text and Concept in Leviticus 1:1–9. 1992. Volume 2.
- Köckert, Matthias: Leben in Gottes Gegenwart. 2004. Volume 43.

Köhlmoos, Melanie: Das Auge Gottes. 1999. Volume 25.

-: Bet-El - Erinnerungen an eine Stadt. 2006. Volume 49.

Koenen, Klaus: see Hermisson, Hans-Jürgen.

Körting, Corinna: Zion in den Psalmen. 2006. Volume 48.

Kratz, Reinhard Gregor: Kyros im Deuterojesaja-Buch. 1991. Volume 1, -: Das Judentum im Zeitalter des Zweiten Tempels. 2004. Volume 42. Lange, Armin: Vom prophetischen Wort zur prophetischen Tradition. 2002. Volume 34. Liess, Kathrin: Der Weg des Lebens, 2004. Volume II/5. MacDonald, Nathan: Deuteronomy and the Meaning of "Monotheism". 2003. Volume II/1. Marttila, Marko: Collective Reinterpretation in the Psalms. 2006. Volume II/13. Michel, Andreas: Gott und Gewalt gegen Kinder im Alten Testament, 2003. Volume 37. -: see Groß. Walter. Millard, Matthias: Die Komposition des Psalters. 1994. Volume 9. -: see Bodendorfer. Gerhard. Miller, Patrick D.: The Way of the Lord. 2004. Volume 39. Müller, Reinhard: Königtum und Gottesherrschaft. 2004. Volume II/3. Niemann, Hermann Michael: Herrschaft, Königtum und Staat, 1993, Volume 6. Otto, Eckart: Das Deuteronomium im Pentateuch und Hexateuch. 2001, Volume 30. Perlitt, Lothar: Deuteronomium-Studien, 1994, Volume 8, Podella, Thomas: Das Lichtkleid JHWHs. 1996. Volume 15. Pola, Thomas: Das Priestertum bei Sacharia, 2003, Volume 35, Rösel, Martin: Adonaj - Warum Gott 'Herr' genannt wird. 2000. Volume 29. Ruwe, Andreas: "Heiligkeitsgesetz" und "Priesterschrift". 1999. Volume 26. Sals, Ulrike: Die Biographie der "Hure Babylon". 2004. Volume II/6. Schaper, Joachim; Priester und Leviten im achämenidischen Juda. 2000, Volume 31. Schenker, Adrian (Hrsg.): Studien zu Opfer und Kult im Alten Testament. 1992. Volume 3. Schmidt, Brian B.: Israel's Beneficent Dead. 1994. Volume 11. Schöpflin, Karin: Theologie als Biographie im Ezechielbuch. 2002. Volume 36. Seeligmann, Isac Leo: The Septuagint Version of Isaiah and Cognate Studies. Edited by Robert Hanhart and Hermann Spieckermann. 2004. Volume 40. -: Gesammelte Studien zur Hebräischen Bibel. Herausgegeben von Erhard Blum mit einem Beitrag von Rudolf Smend. 2004. Volume 41. Spieckermann, Hermann: Gottes Liebe zu Israel. Volume 33. Steck, Odil Hannes: Gottesknecht und Zion. 1992. Volume 4. Stuhlmacher, Peter: see Janowski, Bernd. Süssenbach, Claudia: Der elohistische Psalter. 2005. Volume II/7. Sweeney, Marvin A.: Form and Intertextuality in Prophetic and Apocalyptic Literature, 2005. Volume 45. Vos, Christiane de: Klage als Gotteslob aus der Tiefe. 2005. Volume II/11. Weber, Cornelia: Altes Testament und völkische Frage. 2000. Volume 28. Weippert, Manfred: Jahwe und die anderen Götter. 1997. Volume 18. Weyde, Karl William: The Appointed Festivals of YHWH. 2004. Volume II/4. White, Marsha C.: see Ehrlich, Carl S. Widmer, Michael; Moses, God, and the Dynamics of Intercessory Praver. 2004. Band II/8. Willi, Thomas: Juda - Jehud - Israel. 1995. Volume 12. Williamson, Hugh: Studies in Persian Period History and Historiography. 2004. Volume 38. Wilson, Kevin A .: The Campaign of Pharaoh Shoshenq I into Palestine. 2005. Volume II/9. Young, Ian: Diversity in Pre-Exilic Hebrew. 1993. Volume 5. Zwickel, Wolfgang: Der Tempelkult in Kanaan und Israel. 1994. Volume 10. For a complete catalogue please write to the publisher

For a complete catalogue please write to the publisher Mohr Siebeck • P.O. Box 2030 • D-72010 Tübingen/Germany Up-to-date information on the internet at www.mohr.de